

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.618 of 2022 with (Caveat No.87/2022)</p> <p>K.L. Sankhe (O.A.618/2022) S.K. Shelar (Caveat No.87/2022)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.618/2022, Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Caveator in Caveat No.87/2022 and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant, Accounts Officer in the office of Slum Rehabilitation Authority challenges order dated 24.06.2022 by which he was relieved and in his place Mr. S.K. Shelar is appointed on the same post. On behalf of Mr. Shelar, learned Advocate Mr. Chandratre is appearing.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Mr. Chougule pointed out that the Applicant who was working in the office of Slum Rehabilitation Authority was transferred on deputation by the Finance Department by order dated 09.08.2021 at Maharashtra State Police Housing & Welfare Corporation Ltd. However he was not relieved by Slum Rehabilitation Authority for want of adequate staff. He continued there. Subsequently his proposal to continue there was turned down in October, 2021 by the Finance Department and thus he was supposed to be relieved in October, 2021 and was required to join the office of Maharashtra State Police Housing & Welfare Corporation Ltd as per previous order.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. Mr. Chougule submits that already Mr. Shelar has taken charge and hence Applicant should be relieved.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions there is no merit in the matter and the matter is summarily dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.614 of 2022</p> <p>N.D. Gangurde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks direction to pass final order in the joint D.E. initiated against the Applicants in pursuance of charge-sheet dated 07.10.2020 within a period of two weeks. Learned Advocate states that the enquiry officer has submitted his report to Respondent No.1, Revenue and Forest Department on 20.10.2021 and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take decision and pass the final order.</p> <p>4. Hence Respondent is directed to complete the enquiry and pass the final order within the period of six week and communicate the same to the Applicants forthwith.</p> <p>5. O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 29.06.2022

M.A.No.378 of 2022 in O.A.No.614 of 2022

N.D. Gangurde & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.06.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.29 of 2022 in O.A.No.624 of 2020</p> <p>R.M. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. two weeks time granted to comply with the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.06.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.160 of 2021</p> <p>G.V. BellaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr Bandiwadekar submits that he has received NOC from learned Advocate Mr. Suresh Dhole. He will appear in this matter and during the course of the day he will file vakalatnama. He prays for preponing the matter.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.200 of 2020</p> <p>M.P. Jamadar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant submits he will approach the office at Thane on 06.07.2022 and will have joint meeting with the officer from the office to satisfy his queries.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.07.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.521 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.K. Doke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2022</u></p> <p>O.A 1235/2019 with O.A 111 & 224/2020 with O.A 215/2020 with M.A 49/2021 (A'bad) with O.A 443/2021 and O.A 42/2021 (A'bad) with O.A 15/2022</p> <p>M.K Shivsaran & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 1235/2019, Shri A.A Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 111/2020, Mr Shantanu Raktate learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 224/2020, Ms Sonali Pawar learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 15/2022 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day. Learned C.P.O placed on record opinion of two Experts in sealed cover before the Tribunal for perusal.</p> <p>3. S.O 8.7.2022. Part Heard.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 359/2018</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Vaishnav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar i/b Shri Y.P Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits on instructions that the applicant wants to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p>

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 345/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.W Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.M Pujari, learned advocate for the applicant, Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for Respondents 1 to 4 and Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for Respondents no 5 to 9.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Shinde, submits that he has filed appearance for Respondents no 5, 7 & 9 and he will be filing appearance today for Respondents no 6 & 8.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Shri Shinde for Respondents no5 to 9 argued that after filing of this case, the Respondent no. 4, District Malaria Office, Thane has stopped the salary of Respondents no 5 to 9. \</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter and file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 27.7.2022.</p>

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.337 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.W. Pande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents no.1 to 3 and Ms. Akansha Helaskar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 & 2 and Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 also files affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.844/2021 & 433/2022</u></p> <p>C.S. Lokhande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply, rejoinder and sur-rejoinder are already filed in OA No.844/2021 and she seeks time to file reply in OA No.433/2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.7.2022. Interim relief to continue in OA No.433/2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.273 of 2022 in O.A. No.419 of 2022</u></p> <p>Kanta G. Jadhav & 10 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for, the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p align="center">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.419 of 2022

Kanta G. Jadhav & 10 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.22. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
29.6.2022

(sgl)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
29.6.2022


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.423 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Mehta ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankohi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.8.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.967 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Kale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply, rejoinder and sur-rejoinder are already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.8.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1060 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Dhumal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for Respondents no.2 & 3 files replies and the same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.7.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.414 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. N.S. Padmapani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Suhas Deokar i/b. Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he does not want to file rejoinder but submits that this matter is to be heard urgently as the issue of admission in PG Diploma in Dermatology is involved.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.7.2022. First on Board.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022 (sgj) </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.309 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Sawant & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that order dated 9.7.2019 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.571 of 2019 and order dated 23.11.2021 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.550 of 2019 are identical.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply after taking instructions whether the case of the applicant is identical.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.465 of 2021 in O.A. No.214 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.K. Khomane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that provisional seniority list of the Tahsildar and Naib Tahsildar in Divisional and State level cadre are published and objections are invited.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medhā Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.591 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.592 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.B. Achalare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.2022: The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.557 of 2021 & O.A. No.676 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.M. Mutekar & Ors. S.B. Patil & Ors. , ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the point was not dealt with earlier the way it is raised in this matter. After having discussion with Law & Judiciary Department, GAD and Home Department, it is found necessary to consult Advocate General and therefore Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.7.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.6.2022 29.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>