

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.765 of 2021</u></p> <p>Rahul F. Khairnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On behalf of the applicant reliance is placed on circular dated 13.3.2020. Clause (2) of the said circular reads as under:</p> <p>“2) त्यामुळे एकाच पदावर कार्यालयात सहा वर्षांचा विहित पदावधी पूर्ण झालेल्या संबंधित कर्मचारी यांना शासन निर्णय ०९.०४.२०१८ नुसार पसंतीक्रम (एकूण १० ठिकाणी) देणेबाबत सूचीत करावे. संवर्गनिहाय रिक्त पदांची यादी यापूर्वीच प्रसिध्द करण्यात आली आहे. बदली अधिनियम २००५ मधील प्रकरण २ नुसार गट-अ व गट-ब अधिकारी / कर्मचाऱ्याकरीता एक पदावधी म्हणजेच बदलीसाठी ३ वर्षांचा कालावधीत विहित केलेला आहे, तसेच गट-क व गट-ड करीता दोन पदावधी म्हणजेच ६ वर्षांचा कालावधीत विहित केलेला आहे.”</p> <p>3. To resist the claim of the applicant the respondents-department has relied on circular dated 12.6.2014. Para 1 of the circular reads as under:</p> <p>“१. कारागृह विभागातील लिपिक तसेच रक्षक संवर्गातील कर्मचाऱ्यांची ज्या विभागात प्रथम पदस्थापना झाली आहे त्या विभागात (पती -पत्नी एकत्रिकरणाव्यतिरिक्त ) किमान १० वर्षे सेवा पूर्ण करणे आवश्यक आहे.”</p> <p>4. Ld. PO states that she will make her submission regarding apparent discrepancy between what is stated in the aforesaid two circulars.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 2.5.2022. Part heard. <span style="float: right;">22</span></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.236 of 2021 with O.A.No.237 of 2021</b></p> <p>K. Suryakrishnamurthy (O.A.236/2021) A.N. Padwal (O.A.237/2021) .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None appears for the Applicant in O.A.No.237 of 2021. Heard Troy Legal, for the Applicant in O.A.No.236/2021, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. B.S Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4, 6 and 7 in O.A.No.236/2021 and for Respondents No.5 to 10 in O.A.No.237/2021</p> <p>2. Learned P.O for the Respondents submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. She further submits that similar matter has been concluded in the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad and has been closed for orders.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. B.S. Shinde files affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.06.2022</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>29.04.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 483/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.F Murde ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O for the Respondents serves copy of the affidavit in reply to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.5.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 386/2022</u></b></p> <p>Smt Rekha P. Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022.</li> <li>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>6. Learned P.O is directed to file short affidavit in reply on 5.5.2022.</li> <li>7. S.O to 6.6.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.892/2017

**Shri D.G. Basutkar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court. The decision in W.P. have important bearing over the matter in issue. In similar challenge, Hon'ble High Court has granted stay to the operation of Judgment delivered by this Tribunal which is relied by the Applicant to seek relief claimed in this O.A.

3. O.A. is, therefore, adjourned after vacation to 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**29.04.2022**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )


*versus*


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.04.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.9 of 2022 in O.A.No.733 of 2021</p> <p>Sandeep P. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2), Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This R.A. is filed for review of order passed by this Tribunal dated 29.03.2022 in O.A.No.733/2021. With consent of learned Counsels for the parties, the matter will be taken up for hearing of review on 02.05.2022.</p> <p>3. Notices are dispensed with.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.765 of 2021</u></p> <p>Rahul F. Khairnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On behalf of the applicant reliance is placed on circular dated 13.3.2020. Clause (2) of the said circular reads as under:</p> <p>“2) त्यामुळे एकाच पदावर कार्यालयात सहा वर्षांचा विहित पदावधी पूर्ण झालेल्या संबंधित कर्मचारी यांना शासन निर्णय ०९.०४.२०१८ नुसार पसंतीक्रम (एकूण १० ठिकाणी) देणेबाबत सूचीत करावे. संवर्गनिहाय रिक्त पदांची यादी यापूर्वीच प्रसिध्द करण्यात आली आहे. बदली अधिनियम २००५ मधील प्रकरण २ नुसार गट-अ व गट-ब अधिकारी / कर्मचाऱ्याकरीता एक पदावधी म्हणजेच बदलीसाठी ३ वर्षांचा कालावधीत विहित केलेला आहे, तसेच गट-क व गट-ड करीता दोन पदावधी म्हणजेच ६ वर्षांचा कालावधीत विहित केलेला आहे.”</p> <p>3. To resist the claim of the applicant the respondents-department has relied on circular dated 12.6.2014. Para 1 of the circular reads as under:</p> <p>“१. कारागृह विभागातील लिपिक तसेच रक्षक संवर्गातील कर्मचाऱ्यांची ज्या विभागात प्रथम पदस्थापना झाली आहे त्या विभागात (पती -पत्नी एकत्रिकरणाव्यतिरिक्त ) किमान १० वर्षे सेवा पूर्ण करणे आवश्यक आहे.”</p> <p>4. Ld. PO states that she will make her submission regarding apparent discrepancy between what is stated in the aforesaid two circulars.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 2.5.2022. Part heard. </p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.687 of 2021 with M.A. No.436 of 2021</u></p> <p>Shri D.J. Parmekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is aggrieved by order dated 30.8.2021 passed by respondent no.1 whereunder his request to post him at Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali was turned down.</p> <p>3. Communication dated 19.10.2019 (Exh.B) shows that respondent no.2 communicated that, proposal of the applicant could be considered after he had completed tenure of one year on the post which he was then holding.</p> <p>4. At page 29 there is a chart prepared under the signature of respondent no.3. The chart contains remarks that under Spouse Convenience Scheme application of the applicant could be considered.</p> <p>5. Today, on instructions received from Dy. Conservator of Forest, Alibaug a statement is made by Ld. PO that during the ensuing annual general transfers, transfer case of the applicant for posting him at Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali shall be considered as vacancy is there.</p> <p>6. Respondent No.1 is directed to consider application of the applicant for posting him as aforesaid as per GR dated 9.4.2018 regarding Spouse Convenience Scheme. OA is accordingly disposed of. Since OA is disposed of, MA for interim relief does not survive and the same is also disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.305 to 308 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.P. Patil &amp; Ors. (OA No.305/2022)  M.P. Rao &amp; Ors. (OA No.306/2022)  G.D. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. (OA No.307/2022)  G.D. Chaudhari (OA No.308/2022) ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time till 2.5.2022 to make submission on behalf of the department as to whether the department is prepared to relieve the applicants for joining on the transferred post by giving priority.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.398 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.D. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.5.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.382 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.R. Powar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.166 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.P. Chindarkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of Ld. PO adjourned to 10.6.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.206 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.T. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar h/f Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 10.6.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.644 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.J. Sayyad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.S. Khedkar h/f Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 5.5.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Loyekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.268 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.A. Deore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that during the course of the day she will file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that matter may be kept on 2.5.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.646 &amp; 731 of 2021</u></p> <p>T.A. Sayyad S.I. Abbas ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 24.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1069 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.R. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.C. Mangle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere is directed to serve the entire O.A. to Respondent No.2. Registry to mention the name of the Counsel who is appearing for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>Date : 29.04.2022</b>
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.491 of 2021</b></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Sambhare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that affidavit-in-reply has not be filed by Respondent No.2, M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. O.A. is filed on 29.07.2021. Reply is awaited since 26.08.2022. In view that reply is not filed till date matter will proceed without reply of M.P.S.C.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- /..... (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 64/2022 in O.A 647/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.R Desai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that the name of the present applicant Mr A.R Desai, was not recommended by the M.P.S.C. Therefore, there is no issue of granting interim relief.</p> <p>3. We direct M.P.S.C to inform as to why the name of the applicant was not recommended in the revise list.</p> <p>4. S.O to 9.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.23 of 2022 in O.A.No.563 of 2019</b></p> <p>M.H.H. Kacchi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to make statement regarding entire payment paid to the Applicant after going through the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p>
	<p><b>O.A.No.391 of 2022</b></p> <p>D.C. Ghoderao &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has already served the office of C.P.O. and all Respondents and has tendered the copy of affidavit of service. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b> prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b> Tribunal's orders <b>O.A.No.292 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.R. Kende .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has already served the office of C.P.O. and all Respondents and has tendered the copy of affidavit of service. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
--	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>C.A.No.45 of 2021 in O.A.No.715 of 2020</b></p>
	<p>A.R. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply dated 26.10.2021 has already been filed by the Additional Chief Secretary (Accounts and Treasuries) wherein it relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of SLP (C)No.4722 of 2021 dated 05.04.2021 wherein the issue of payment of last increment which falls due on 1<sup>st</sup> July in respect of the Government employees who are retiring on 30<sup>th</sup> June is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p align="center"><b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b></p>	<p align="center"><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>C.A.No.61 of 2019 in O.A.No.975 of 2018</b></p>
	<p>B.S. Lambhate .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that he wants to verify the calculations given by the Respondents on the basis of affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 892 with 643 &amp; 635/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.B Kharat &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poushali Roychoudhary i/b Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that Mr Talekar, is busy before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and request for time.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, matter is adjourned to 2.5.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.595 of 2021 in O.A.No.1054 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.N. Rotellu .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.A. Inamdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 6 years and 9 months.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply to the said M.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- ..... Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.128 of 2021 in O.A.No.212 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.G. Davari .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Gajanan Kukde, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M.V. Thorate, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 1 year and 4 months.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. raises objection in respect of appearance of learned Advocate Mr. M.V. Thorate. Hence, Mr. Thorate is directed to appear before the Tribunal to satisfy on this issue.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float:right">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.55 of 2019 in O.A.No.805 of 2016 with O.A.No.806 of 2016 with O.A.No.807 of 2016</b></p> <p>R.V. Dube &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that amongst the three Applicants, in respect of Applicant No.1, Mr. R.V. Dube the treasury office has raised some objections. The same will be removed and bill will be resubmitted to the Treasury office today itself. In case of Applicant No.2, Mr. Sitaram Mamataji Pote, his dues will be deposited in his account within 4 to 5 days. As far as Applicant No.3, Mr. Shashikant K. Chinchkar is concerned some details regarding his bank account are lacking.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to inform the Women and Child Development Department to send the written letter seeking clarification from the Applicant, Mr. Chinchkar.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.05.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.105 of 2020</b></p> <p>A.H. Waghmare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 14.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.170 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.K. Sali .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 09.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- " Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.245 of 2021 in M.A.No.246 of 2021 in O.A.No.468 of 2021 with M.A.No.246 of 2021 in O.A.No.468 of 2021 with O.A.No.468 of 2021</b></p> <p>M.M. Patankar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Mansha Khemka, learned Advocate along with Ms. Twinkle Khemka, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.245/2021 is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.245/2021 is allowed.</p> <p>6. M.A.No.246/2021 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 499 days.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate submits that cause of action aroused on 28.07.2017 when the order of promotions regarding P.I. was issued. She further stated that the Applicants made representation to the D.G. on 02.08.2017 which was subsequently rejected in the year 2018. Thereafter Applicant filed Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court bearing No.670/2019. The Hon'ble High Court by order 18.02.2020 directed to appear before this Tribunal.</p>

✓



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Thereafter the Applicant filed the present O.A. on 09.07.2021. In the meanwhile she states that due to COVID-19 pandemic the delay has occurred.

8. In view of the order dated 23.03.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020, the delay is condoned. Hence, M.A.No.245/2021 and M.A.No.246/2021 are allowed. In O.A, affidavit-in-reply as well as affidavit-in-rejoinder is filed.

9. O.A. is admitted and kept for final hearing on 27.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.253 of 2022 in O.A.No.391 of 2022</b></p> <p>D.C. Ghoderao &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>