

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.30 of 2023</p> <p>G. N. Tate .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Smt. Pallavi Karanjkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Enough time is granted to reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 but same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. O.A. to proceed without reply. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">→</p> <p align="right">→ [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>L.O.</u></b></p> <p>In afternoon session, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf Respondent Nos.1 and 2. Allowed to file Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to Applicant to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>30.03.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**29.03.2023**

**M.A 200/2023 in O.A 322/2023**

Dr Malakappa M Patil & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**OPERATIVE PART**

1. Heard Shri Sarfaraj J Shaikh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. The Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees if not already paid.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Akn

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**29.03.2023**

**O.A 322/2023**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.03.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.337 of 2023 with O.A. No.338 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N.R. Shinde</b>  <b>V.C. Padole</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Respondent No.3 is present in person.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have challenged Transfer order dated 16.02.2023 whereby Respondent No.1 posted Respondent No.3 – Smt. Sarla Prakash Mahanor - Shinde at I.T.I., Aundh. Learned Advocate for the Applicant raised grievance that Respondent No.3 has spent near about 15 years at I.T.I., Aundh but again accommodated at the same place under political pressure.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.04.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 26.04.2023.

~ ~

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. Shaikh M.A.S. ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit along with annexures. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.      ʌ</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.728 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Jagdale &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.743 of 2022 with O.A. No.744 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. Madar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : <sup>29</sup> ~~28~~.03.2023

O.A.No.85 of 2023

I. J. Aswar

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. The matter is taken on today's board in view of praecipe filed by learned P.O.
3. In this O.A., the Applicant had challenged the order dated 06.01.2023 issued by Respondent No.2 whereby he is relieved from the establishment of Respondent No.2 where she was on deputation for three years. The Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 17.01.2023 with observations that prima-facie the order passed by the Respondent No.2 curtailing deputation was without jurisdiction. Being aggrieved by order dated 17.01.2023, the original Respondent No.2 filed W.P. No.3704/2023 wherein the Hon'ble High Court declined to entertain the matter and disposed of W.P.. However, the Hon'ble High Court directed the State to file reply on or before 30.03.2023.
4. It is on the above background, today, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit in Reply of Government in compliance of directions of the Hon'ble High Court. The Tribunal is directed to consider the matter on its own merit.
5. Affidavit in Reply filed by Respondent No.1 is taken on record. The O.A . is already fixed on 31.03.2023. It be kept on same date.

Sd/-

W

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.537 of 2022</p> <p>A. P. Sansare <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. On previous date, the Applicant in person was present and requested for adjournment.</li> <li>3. However, today the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>4. The matter is for hearing. Thus, it appears that they seem not interested in the matter.</li> <li>5. The O.A. is, therefore, dismissed in default.</li> <li>6. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.233/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.H. Shelke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A.No.139/2023 for condonation of delay, which is allowed today.</li> <li>3. The O.A, therefore, <del>is</del><sup>is</sup> required to be decided on merit. Since Respondents appeared in M.A, the issuance of notice of M.A. is dispensed with.</li> <li>4. Four weeks' time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>29.03.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.139/2023 in O.A.233/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.H. Shelke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...</b></span>  <b>Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply opposing M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. In O.A, the Applicant has challenged the order dated 27.04.2019 whereby his claim for compassionate appointment was rejected. The limitation of one year expired on 26.04.2019 and O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 26.04.2019. The Applicant <sup>now allowed</sup> <del>therefore</del> contends that because of Covid-19 pandemic situation and lock-down, he could not file O.A. within the period of limitation of one year. In this behalf, learned Advocate for the Applicant referred to the order passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition No.03/2020, dated 10.01.2022 wherein it has been held that in cases where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.</p> <p>4. In the present case, limitation expired in between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022, and therefore, O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 01.06.2022. However, it is filed on 27.02.2023. Therefore, M.A. for delay is filed to condone the delay of 8 months.</p> <p>5. In O.A, the challenge is to the rejection of claim for compassionate appointment. Therefore, having regard to the grievance raised in O.A, one need to take sympathetic justice oriented approach so that O.A. could be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>6. I am, therefore, inclined to condone the delay.</p> <p>7. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>29.03.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.131/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri G.I. Hangarge</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Shri I.G. Hangarge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. sought 3 days' time to file short Affidavit, since Department is considering the issue of releasing arrears in terms of 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>29.03.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.642 of 2022</u></p> <p>Pradeep B. Durge <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as District Vocation Education and Training Officer, Group-A is challenging the DE initiated vide charge memo dated 30.12.2015. This is another enquiry.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Enquiry Officer has submitted his report dated 28.10.2022 and applicant has submitted his reply dated 26.12.2012 to respondent no.1 that final order be passed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the OA may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to pass the final order.</p> <p>4. Hence, we direct the respondents to pass final order as per rules within one month and communicate the decision to the applicant within one week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.3.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.03.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.130/2023 in O.A.No.2/2023</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .....Applicants (Org. Respd.)</p> <p>Vs. M.K. Sirsat .....Respondents. (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).</p> <p>2. M.A. is moved by learned P.O. under Section 25 for transfer of O.A. to Aurangabad Bench in view that the cause of action took place at Aurangabad and D.E. is initiated at Aurangabad.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Ms. Mahajan opposes this M.A. mainly on the ground that the Applicant in O.A. is physically handicapped therefore matter is to be taken up for hearing at Mumbai only.</p> <p>4. Considering the submissions made by learned Advocate, O.A. will be heard at Mumbai only.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. stands rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.03.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.930/2016</b></p> <p>G.J. Rajput .....Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Ms. Mahajan adjourned to 12.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-   Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A)   Chairperson</p>

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date : 29.03.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.758/2022</b></p> <p>V.V. Mali .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.04.2023 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Medha Gadgil</b>) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>127</i></p> <p>(<b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b>) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.722 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. R.A. Singh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Urmila Pulekar holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that this OA be heard along with OA No.267 of 2016 which is fixed on 10.4.2023.</p> <p>3. Hence, S.O. to 10.4.2023 along with OA No.267/2016.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.3.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.3.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.643 of 2022</u></p> <p>Pradeep B. Durge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as District Vocation Education and Training Officer, Group-A is challenging the DE initiated vide charge memo dated 30.12.2015.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 23.2.2023 passed by respondent no.1 exonerating the applicant in the DE and submits that the OA may be disposed off.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, OA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.3.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.3.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>29.03.2023</u></b>
	<b><u>O.A 318/2023</u></b>
	Shri Vinayak P. Idate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to hold and declare the non-selection of the applicant pursuant to the select list dated 4.11.2015 issued by Respondent no. 3 as well as communication dated 5.2.2016 as illegal and bad in law.
	3. Issue notice before admission Issue notice made returnable on 12.4.2023.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. S.O to 12.4.2023.
	Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span>
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**29.03.2023**

**O.A 668/2022**

Shri S.S Misal ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for reconstruction of the Original Application as the matter is not traceable.

3. Allowed to reconstruct the matter.

4. S.O to 31.3.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**29.03.2023**

**O.A 452/2022**

Shri D.A Jadhav ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. S.O to 13.4.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.

Sd/-  
*(Medha Gadgil)*  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-  
*(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**29.03.2023**

**C.A 1/2022 in O.A 370/2019**

Shri L.T Rathod ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.L Rathod, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays to withdraw the Contempt Application with liberty to file appropriate Contempt Application.
3. Allowed to withdraw with liberty to file fresh Contempt Application.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1072 of 2022</u></p> <p>Satish G. Ramugade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. Today the matter is fixed for appearance of the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>4. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.3.2023 29.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 5.4.2023. Part heard.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.3.2023 29.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1148 of 2016</u></p> <p>V.K. Gandhile ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to correct the date in prayer clause 9(a) of the OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant was appointed as Forest Guard by order dated 18.11.2006 from Physically Handicapped quota. Ld. Advocate further submits that applicant was promoted by order dated 9.11.2021 to the post of Forester.</p> <p>5. The respondents are directed to file affidavit explaining from which quota the applicant was appointed as Forest Guard by order dated 18.11.2006 and secondly whether applicant is promoted from Physically Handicapped quota to the post of Forester by order dated 9.11.2021.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 12.4.2023. Part Heard.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.3.2023 29.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.03.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1078/2016</b> <b>(O.A.No.443/2014 Nagpur)</b></p> <p>R.D. Wardhane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.2 and 3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Jagdale has submitted that today during the course of the day she will be filing additional affidavit. It be taken on record. Copies are to be served to the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.04.2023. P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.03.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1064/2022</b></p> <p>Smt. Manisha S. Jamdade and Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Ms. Mahajan.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate prays that time given to carry out amendment be extended by one week.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Matter fixed on 05.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.03.2023
	<b>O.A.No.222/2017</b>
	<p>N.G. Pawaskar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 and 2.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays for appointment in Open Female Category for the post of Scientific Officer, Group-B. At the interim stage, this Tribunal has passed orders on 17.03.2017 and also on 20.03.2023 by which interim stay was granted that Respondent No.5 that she should not be the in Open Female Category till final disposal of O.A.</p> <p>3. As per revised merit list dated 10.07.2017 issued by the M.P.S.C. under the signature of Mr. Vivek Shankarrao Deshmukh, Under Secretary in the office of Maharashtra Public Service Commission, Respondent No.5, Ms. Kavita Narendra Naik was selected in Open General Category as she has secured 66 marks. Thus, the post of Open Female was offered to Ms. Walve Geeta Shridhar, the candidate at serial No.6 in the said list who secured 65 marks. The Applicant stands at serial No.8 in the said merit list as she secured 62 marks. Ms. Walve did not have NCL certificate so she should not be considered in Open Female Category though she has secured 65 marks i.e. more than the Applicant. At serial No.7 in the said list the candidate Ms. Mhatre Manisha Bhimrao had</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

secured 64 marks i.e. more than the applicant. However she was not holding NCL certificate therefore she was to be considered in Open Category and as no post was available in Open Category so she was not appointed. Thus, the applicant is the only available candidate who has secured 62 marks and holding NCL certificate in OBC category. However, no post was available in OBC, but she is entitled to get appointed in Open Female Category with 62 marks.

4. We have gone through the affidavit-in-reply dated 27.07.2017 of Respondent, M.P.S.C. filed through Vivek Shankarrao Deshmukh, Under Secretary. Learned P.O. has relied on paragraph 39 of the said affidavit and has argued that the applicant has secured lesser marks i.e. 62 marks. Therefore, the candidate who has secured more marks i.e. Ms. Mhatre Manisha Bhimrao, whose name appears at serial No.7 in the said list and standing above the Applicant has claimed in OBC with NCL certificate. However, the fact is Ms. Mhatre Manisha Bhimrao was not holding NCL certificate.

5. Under such circumstances we are inclined to pass the following order :

- (a) O.A. is allowed.
- (b) Applicant is to be recommended by M.P.S.C. for the post of Scientific Officer, Group-B pursuant to the advertisement dated 31.07.2015 as the Open Female Category. The name of the Applicant is to be recommended by M.P.S.C. within four weeks as the office of M.P.S.C. is going to be shifted.
- (c) Seniority of the Applicant is to be considered as per deemed date of the appointment of the candidates who were appointed from that select list.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**29.03.2023**

**O.A 322/2023**


Dr Malakappa M Patil & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**OPERATIVE PART**

1. Heard Shri Sarfaraj J Shaikh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. We grant interim relief at this stage by directing the Respondent, M.P.S.C, to allow the applicants to submit the application form from today for Preliminary Examination to be conducted for the post of Food Safety Officer and Assistant Commissioner for Food as per advertisement dated 24.2.2023 and 17.3.2023 and allow them to appear for the examination on 4.6.2023.
3. Respondent, M.P.S.C is directed to make available the on-line application site open to the applicants to fill up the form and any decision in the matter will be subject to the outcome of the Original Application.
4. S.O to 18.4.2023.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-   
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.220 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the order passed by the Tribunal on 01.03.2023 the matter was adjourned awaiting decision of Division Bench in view of conflicting decision of Single Bench as to when judicial proceedings shall deem to be instituted against a Government servant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the issue is not yet decided by the Division Bench.</p> <p>4. This O.A. is therefore adjourned awaiting decision of Division Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.46/2019</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.R. Nilkumbh &amp; Ors.                      ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.                      ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants sought permission to file Additional Affidavit of the Applicant to bring on record G.R. dated 31.10.2019 issued by Government after filing of O.A. which have bearing over the issue involved in the matter. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. sought time to file Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>29.03.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1279/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri K.T. Ghorpade</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to withdraw O.A. stating that he has received WhatsApp message from his client that his name is in the promotion list, and therefore, does not want to proceed with the O.A. The print-out of WhatsApp message is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member-J  29.03.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.244 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Dahiphale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- [Signature] (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.621 of 2018</p> <p>V.K. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.N. Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.697 of 2021</p> <p>B.P. Satpute .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for short adjournment stating that he is not fully prepared.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	NMN

Date: 29.03.2023

M.A. No.173 of 2023 in O.A. No.307 of 2023

Smt. G.D. Johar & Anr. ....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of 286 days caused in filing O.A. challenging order dated 22.01.2021 regarding compassionate appointment.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice of M.A. to Respondents before admission returnable on 26.04.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 26.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.174 of 2023 in O.A. No.307 of 2023</p> <p>Smt. G.D. Johar &amp; Anr. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.03.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1174 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>A.Y. Jagtap</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant in person is present.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. The Applicant requested for adjournment to engage another Advocate.</li> <li>4. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.</li> <li>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 21.04.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.18 of 2023 in O.A. No.39 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Misal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and sought three days time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.40 of 2023 in O.A. No.83 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. Sapkal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and sought three days time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.207 of 2023 with M.A. No.203 of 2023 in O.A. No.427 of 2018</p> <p>R.J. Sawant .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to both M.As</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.03.2023

M.A. No.210 of 2023 in O.A. No.278 of 2023

R.K. Bhosale .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. for condonation of delay is filed in pending O.A. in view of query raised by the Tribunal at the time of admission of O.A.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice of M.A. to Respondents before admission returnable on 26.04.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 26.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1291 of 2022</b></p> <p>K.A.H. Mehvi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.14 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Wagh since Deceased legal heirs L.S. Wagh &amp; Anrs. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.46 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. Gharat &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.95 of 2023</p> <p>S.D. Dalavi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.N. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.176 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. Gholap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V. Agane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.193 of 2023</p> <p>J.R. Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.03.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.198 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>B.N. Gite</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.N. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 to 5. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>