

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.263 of 2024</p> <p>A.C. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was transferred from post of 'Police Inspector' in 'Crime Branch' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' to post of 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. The Applicant now intends to join on post of 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. Therefore, Applicant does not want to pursue with grievance about earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' and Applicant be thus allowed to withdraw this O.A. No.263/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. concurs with statement of learned Advocate that Applicant be allowed to withdraw this O.A. No.263/2024.</p> <p>4. The Applicant was not granted 'Interim Relief' and thus there would be no impediments for Applicant to join as 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'.</p> <p>5. The O.A. No. 263/2024 is accordingly disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.818 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submit copy of G.A.D. Government Circular dated 23.02.2024 issued consequent to observations made in 'Para G' of Order dated 02.11.2023 in O.A. No.818/2023.</p> <p>3. The Applicant by 'Transfer Order' dated 15.05.2023 of 'Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' was posted as 'Live Stock Development Officer, Nannaj, Taluka Jamkhed, District Ahmednagar' during 'General Transfer 2023'. The Applicant prior to 'General Transfer 2023' had submitted 5 Options on 17.04.2023 by relying (i) 'G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000' & (ii) 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002'. However, at that time 'Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' did not implement the guidelines in (i) 'G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000' & (ii) 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002' and consequently none of 5 Options submitted by Applicant on 17.04.2023 were considered although he had earlier served in 'Tribal and Remote Areas'.</p> <p>4. The 'Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' during course of hearing was directed to give 'Additional Charge' of 'Vacant Post' of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, District Nashik' on 11.01.2024 pending clarification</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

about status of implementation of (i) 'G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000' & (ii) 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002'. Now Government Circular G.A.D. dated 23.02.2024 gives clear directions to all 'Administrative Departments' to implement the 'Policy Decision' taken by (i) 'G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000' & (ii) 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002' so as to give preference in transfer to those 'Government Servants' who have earlier worked in 'Tribal and Remote Areas' subject to provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. Hence, taking into due consideration these new directions; in Government Circular G.A.D. dated 23.02.2024 the post of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, District Nashik' which was the 5th choice amongst the 5 Options submitted by Applicant on 17.04.2023 before 'General Transfers 2023'; which had been overlooked and further as post of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, District Nashik' is still vacant and as Applicant has also been given 'Additional Charge' by way of 'Interim Relief' granted on 11.01.2024; the 'Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' must act promptly on the pending request of Applicant submitted as 5th choice amongst 5 options before 'General Transfer of 2023' to post him within 'Two Weeks' on vacant post of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, District Nashik'.

5. S.O. to 14.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.659 of 2023 with O.A. No. 660 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. Beldar & Ors., N.K. Zanke & Ors.,Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant points out that 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' has not acted promptly in respect of directions given in 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.01.2024 to forthwith obtain 10 options afresh from Applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned PO submits that 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' has now written letter to 'Principal Secretary (Forest) Forest Revenue and Forest Department' who in turn has sought advice of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Services) GAD' about the observations made in 'Para 4' of Order dated 04.01.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned PO nonetheless to personally convey to 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' about adverse observations made today regarding intentional delay in implementation of directions given in 'Para 6' in Order dated 04.01.2024. Thus as observed in 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.01.2024 the 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' is now expected to act with alacrity without any further delay to seek 10 Options afresh from Applicants.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned PO to further inform 'Principal Secretary (Forest) Revenue & Forest Department' to expedite giving instructions as sought by 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane'.

6. The 'Principal Secretary, (Forest) Revenue and Forest Department' to give instructions to 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' preferably within 'One Week' based on advice from 'Additional Chief Secretary (Services) GAD'. Thereupon the 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' to accordingly take appropriate action about transfers of Applicants within 'One Week' of receiving instructions from 'Principal Secretary (Forest) Revenue and Forest Department'.

7. S.O. to 14.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.118 of 2024

S.S. BhosaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant refers to guidelines relating to 'Police Officers' in 'Para 5.3' (ii) of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 regarding lateral shifting of 'Police Sub-Inspectors' to other 'Police Sub-divisions' within 'Revenue District' which do not fall in same 'Assembly Constituency' (AC) and contends that these directions should also be made applicable to 'Sub-Inspectors, State Excise' being an 'Uniformed Service'.

3. Learned PO states that proposal of transfer of Applicant to 'Thane District' has already been submitted by 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' to 'Principal Secretary' (State Excise) 'Home Department' in observance of directions given in 'Para 3' of Order dated 09.02.2024.

4. S.O. to 07.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.276 of 2024</p> <p>V.G. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who belongs to 'Superintendent of Police / Deputy Commissioner of Police (Non Cadre)' and was serving on post of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (Traffic) Pimpri-Chinchwad' has been transferred as 'Superintendent of Police, Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik by 'Home Department' Order dated 28.02.2024. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant came to be posted as 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (Traffic), Pimpri-Chinchwad' from post of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police Zone 1, Pimpri-Chinchwad' by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' Order dated 13.01.2023. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that material fact for consideration in case of Applicant is that the 'Child' of Applicant suffers from 'Mental Retardation' to an extent of 75 %. 5. Learned P.O. thereupon states that the Applicant has been relieved today by order of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' and Commissioner of Police (Traffic), Pimpri-Chinchwad' has been given to 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (Head Quarter), Pimpri-Chinchwad'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The 'Child' is 'Mentally Retarded' to an extent of 75% and Applicant as 'Father' is 'Care Giver' under 'Section 2(d) of 'The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'. Therefore provisions of this 'Special Legislation' could not have been overlooked by (i) 'P.E.B.' while making recommendation to 'Home Department' and (ii) 'Home Department' while taking decision as 'Competent Authority' under 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951', since this material fact had been specifically mentioned in proposal submitted by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.</p> <p>7. The 'Government Of India's, Department of Personnel and Training vide Memorandum F.No.42011/3/2014-Estt (Res) dated 08.10.2018' are appreciably progressive; having exempted Government Servants of Central Government who are 'Care-givers' of their dependent Daughter/ Son/ Parents/ Spouse /Brother/ Sister with 'Specified Disability' under 'Section 2' of 'Right of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016' from the routine exercise of 'Transfer & Rotational Transfer' subject to 'Administrative Constraints'.</p> <p>8. The 'Child' of Applicant who is 'Mentally Retarded' to an extent 75%, would certainly suffer in silence in absence of 'Father' as 'Care Giver'; as the Applicant stands helpless & unable to be with his 'Child'. The Applicant obviously cannot show disobedience to Service Laws, Rules and Regulations and to directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 which are applicable equally to him as well as other Police Officers.</p> <p>9. The 'Home Department' against this backdrop must now respond to call of conscience to review the Order dated 28.02.2024 with concurrence of 'Principal Secretary' and 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State'; so as to uphold 'Legal Rights' of the 'Child' of Applicant who is 'Mentally Retarded' an extent of 75% as these are enshrined in 'The Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016'.</p> <p>10. The case 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' against these facts and circumstances is directed to not to give regular charge of the post of 'Deputy Commissioner of Police (Traffic), Pimpri-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Chinchwad' till such time as case of Applicant is duly reconsidered and accordingly 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by 'Home Department'.</p> <p>11. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2024.</p> <p>13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>15. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>16. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>17. S.O. to 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</u> Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. No.278 of 2024</p> <p>S.C. Shinde S.M. KasbeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that the Applicants were both working at 'Police Training Centre, Khandala' which is 'Functional Department' like Computerization, Training etc. Subsequently the Applicants came to be transferred to establishment of (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' and were working respectively on the posts (a) 'Police Inspector, Marketyard Police Station, Pune' and (b) 'Police Inspector, MIDC Mahalunge, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that therefore in case of Applicants the period of their tenures in 'Police Training Centre, Khandala' being 'Functional Department' should not to be taken into consideration as it has been done in respect of some other 'Police Inspectors' while issuing 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024. She states that revised Order dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' does not include 'Police Inspectors' who had worked for some periods in 'C.I.D. H.Q's.' during last '3 Years' out of last '4 Years' in 'Pune District'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks time to get additional information about these contention of learned Advocate for Applicants made with reference to revised Order dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. further based on information received from 'Law Officer' to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' states that in case of some 'Police Inspectors'</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

who had worked earlier in 'C.I.D. H.Qs' at 'Pune'; appropriate clarifications have now been sought from 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' by letter dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State.

6. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' is expected to soon receive reply regarding this proposal sent to 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' on 26.02.2024 in respect of some 'Police Inspectors' who had earlier served in 'C.I.D. H.Qs'. Thus in the interim period the Applicants are permitted to work on the their present posts of (a) 'Police Inspector, Marketyard Police Station', Pune' and (b) 'Police Inspector, MIDC Mahalunge, Pimpri-Chinchwad' till the 'Next Date'.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 05.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.281 of 2024</p> <p>K.P. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was transferred by 'Rural Development Department' Order dated 23.02.2024 from post of 'Block Development Officer, P.S., Koregaon, District Satara' but cannot join on the post of 'Deputy Chief Executive Officer (EGS) in office of 'Divisional Commissioner Office, Pune' as the post is not vacant because this basic fact was overlooked while issuing 'Rural Development Department' Order dated 23.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then contends that Applicant has not been assigned any work relating to 'General Election to Lok Sabha 2024' but admits that 'Satara District' is his 'Home District'. However, he further contends that several cases of officers who have been allowed to continue on their present posts although 'Satara District' is their 'Home District'. Therefore there is discrimination against Applicant in implementing directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. to seek time to obtain information in this regard from 'Rural Development Department'. Hence till such time the post of 'Block Development Officer, P.S., Koregaon, District Satara' is directed to be kept vacant by 'Rural Development Department'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.282 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. R.S. SatputeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant is working on post of 'Additional C.E.O, Zilla Parishad, Thane' and by 'Transfer Order' dated 23.02.2024 of 'Rural Development Department' has been posted as 'Project Director, DRDA, Jalna' on the grounds that she has completed more than 3 years in last 4 years in 'Thane District'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further contends that Applicant not been assigned any specific work relating to 'General Elections to Lok Sabha 2024' by the 'District Collector & D.E.O. Thane'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that her 'Husband' is also serving as 'Medical Officer' in 'Sub-District Hospital, Panvel, District Raigad'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant based on information available further states that no officer has been posted in place of Applicant as 'Additional C.E.O, Zilla Parishad, Thane'.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. seeks time to ascertain what assignments relating to 'General Elections to Lok Sabha 2024' have been given to Applicant by 'District Collector & D.E.O. Thane'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The Applicant has been transferred to post of 'Project Director, DRDA, Jalna' on ground that she has completed 3 years of tenure out of last 4 years in 'Thane District'. However, the guidelines in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 would be applicable if Applicant has been assigned any specific work related to 'General Elections to Lok Sabha 2024' by 'District Collector & D.E.O. Thane'. Hence, till such time as learned P.O. obtains information in this regard and by taking into consideration the fact that no officer has been posted on post of 'Additional C.E.O, Zilla Parishad, Thane' in place of the Applicant; she is permitted to continue serving on post of 'Additional C.E.O, Zilla Parishad, Thane'.

8. S.O. to 04.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.156 of 2024

V.J. WaghmareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was transferred earlier from post of 'Central Police Station, Ulhasnagar' to 'Special Branch, Ulhasnagar' as per 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. However, as per revised 'Order' dated 26.02.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' the Applicant is now transferred as 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'. The Applicant intends to join on post of 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'. Therefore Applicant be allowed to withdraw this O.A. No.156/2024.

3. Learned P.O. therefore states that 'Interim Relief' dated 06.02.2024 can be vacated and also concurs with the statement of learned Advocate that Applicant to allowed to withdraw this O.A. No.156/2024.

4. The 'Interim Relief' granted on 06.02.2024 thus stands vacated and there would be no impediments for Applicant to be given any posting by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.

5. The O.A. No. 156/2024 is thus disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.336/2023 in R.A.7/2023 in O.A.614/2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, leaned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd</i> <i>sd</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.856/2023 with O.A.873/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.N. Joshi & Ors. And Dr. A.P. Jagtap & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, leaned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.6 to 9 & 11 and 12 in OA No.856/2023 and for Respondent Nos.5 to 11 & 15 in OA No.873/2023.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 07th March, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd</i> <i>sd</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.77/2024</u></p> <p>S.R. Desai & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. Khot, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. 2. All these 30 Applicants have challenged GR dated 23.06.2023 regarding fixing the 'Staffing Pattern' and declaration of surplus posts. The Applicants who are Clerks seek promotion to the post of Senior Clerk. 3. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that during pending of this OA, there is development as Respondent-State has promoted 13 Clerks out of which 4 are the present Applicants to the post of Senior Clerks. Thus, 17 Surplus Posts are pending, but they are ready to go to other Divisions and that proposal is pending. 4. The Respondents are therefore directed to take the decision on that proposal. 5. S.O. to 14th March, 2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.162/2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Radye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, leaned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 7th March, 2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.988/2023</u></p> <p>R.D. Kanade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, leaned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is retiring today on 29.02.2024.</p> <p>3. The learned CPO informs that she has received letter dated 29.02.2024 from Urban Development Department thereby informing that now they want to seek approval of MPSC and so proposal has now submitted to GAD on 28.02.2024 and no order is received about approval in other Departmental Enquiry. It is taken on record and marked as 'Exb.-1'.</p> <p>4. S.O: to 14th March, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd</i> <i>sd</i> </p> <p align="center"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) </p> <p align="center"> Member-A Chairperson </p> <p align="center"> 29.02.2024 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.58/2024</u></p> <p>N.S. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, leaned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents. 2. The learned CPO submits that Department is filing Affidavit-in-Reply today. 3. Admit. 4. Matter will be heard on 'Interim Relief' after 3 weeks. 5. S.O. to 21st March, 2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.06/2024

S.K. More & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents, Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.25 & 32 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4,5,13,15,22,26,27,29,30 & 33.
2. Issue notice returnable on 02.04.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 2nd April, 2024.

sd.
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
29.02.2024

sd
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
29.02.2024

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.239/2023</u></p> <p>G.C. Belle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 14th March, 2024 under the caption of 'Final Hearing (P.H)'.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

the delay. Hence, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the Courts have no power to extend the statutory period of limitation on equitable grounds.

7. In the present case, there is delay of 10 months. The dates given by learned Advocate for the Applicants disclose that Applicant had raised his first objection to the Seniority List within one month of impugned order or the order which is under challenge in this OA. Though in the cases of condonation of delay, generally, this Tribunal has taken a view that placing the representation one after other and waiting for reply is itself not a ground to condone the delay. However, in the present case, the delay is of 10 months and Applicant has made representation to the authority raising objection about seniority. It is the authority to consider the objections raised or grievance raised about the Seniority List and therefore the reason given by Applicants that they waited for some time subsequently for collecting information under RTI and made composite representation are the reasons can be accepted as satisfactory grounds to condone the delay in this matter.

8. On the above background, the delay is condoned. MA No.10/2024 stands allowed.

sd
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
29.02.2024

sd
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
29.02.2024

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.249/2024</u></p> <p>D.D. Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. 2. The Applicant is challenging the order dated 25.09.2023 under which he is transferred temporarily from time to time for 11 months to supermerary post upto 05.09.2023. 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the case of Applicant is squarely covered by the judgment of this Tribunal in OA No.663/2020 and also judgment of Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition St. No.12086/2020. 4. Issue notice made returnable on 28.03.2024. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 28.03.2024.



(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
29.02.2024



(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
29.02.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1318 of 2023</p> <p>R. B. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicant is widow of deceased Government servant Bharat Bhosale. The deceased Government servant was working as 'Health Assistant' on the establishment of Resp. 1- The District Malaria Officer, Satara. He stands retired on 11.5.2018 on attaining the age of superannuation. Thereafter, he passed away on 01.07.2019 leaving behind his widow (present Applicant).</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel submits that Applicant's deceased husband had already commuted his pension to the extent to 40% during his life time and Respondent has paid the commutation amount to the Applicant on 29.09.2020 i.e after sad demise of late Bharat Bhosale. Learned Counsel states that in view of revised pay scale, all the benefits except commutation of pension in terms of 7th Pay Commission was given to widow. The only issue remains about arrears of commutation of pension.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Counsel further submits that as per Finance Department G.R. dated 05.02.2021, the Applicant after the death of deceased Government servant made an application on 28.09.2021 to Respondent No.1 claiming difference of commutation amount. However, no decision has been taken by the Respondent on representation made by Applicant on 28.09.2021.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel for Applicant therefore filed this O.A. seeking direction to Respondents for extending the benefits of GR dated 05.02.2021 and to release arrears of difference of commutation amount as per 7th Pay Commission.</p> <p>6. Learned Counsel for Applicant pointed out that during the pendency of Original Application, updated GR dated 31.01.2024 has been issued by the Finance Department to give the benefit of revised Commutation of Pension as per 7th Pay Commission to the pensioners who retired from service between 1st January 2016 to 31st December 2018. The relevant para of said G.R. reads as under :-</p> <p>“ दि.०१.०१.२०१६ ते ३१.१२.२०१८ या कालावधीत सेवानिवृत्त झालेल्या ज्या निवृत्तिवेतनधारकांनी महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (निवृत्तिवेतनाचे अंशराशीकरण) नियम, १९८४ नुसार सहाय्या वेतन आयोगानुसार निश्चित होणा-या निवृत्तिवेतनाप्रमाणे अंशराशीकरणाचा लाभ घेतला आहे. तथापि, संदर्भाधिन क्र.१ येथील शासन निर्णय निर्गमित होण्यापूर्वी ज्यांचा मृत्यु झाला आहे, अशा निवृत्तिवेतनधारकांच्या कुटुंबियांना सुधारित निवृत्तिवेतनावर अंशराशीकरणाचा लाभ अनुज्ञेय राहिल.”</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Learned Counsel for Applicant placed reliance on the judgment passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.964 of 2022 (Smt. Deepali D. Shrisat V/s Commissioner of Police & Ors.).</p> <p>8. Learned PO relied upon the 'Affidavit in Reply' filed by Dr. Rajendra Jadhav, District Malaria Officer, Satara, dated 28.02.2023 and also produces a copy of letter written by Dr Rajendra Jadhav, District Malaria Officer addressed to CPO, M.A.T. Mumbai in which it is stated as follows :-</p> <p align="center">"सातव्या वेतन आयोगानुसार सुधारित अंशराशीकरण संदर्भात संदर्भ क्र.३ अन्वये शासन निर्णय निर्गमित झालेला असून श्रीमती भोसले यांनी संदर्भ क्र.४ अन्वये या कार्यालयास सुधारित अंशराशीकरण मिळणेसंदर्भात अर्ज सादर केलेला आहे.</p> <p align="center">त्याअनुषंगाने श्रीमती भोसले यांना दिनांक ३१.०१.२०२४ रोजीच्या शासन निर्णयानुसार सुधारित अंशराशीकरणाचा लाभ देय होत आहे."</p> <p>9. The totality of the aforesaid discussion leads me to sum up that Applicant is entitled to get 'difference of commutation pension'. Hence, the following order :-</p> <p align="center">ORDER</p> <p>(A) The Respondents are directed to release difference of commutation of pension as per G.R. dated 31.01.2024 and it be paid to Applicant within 'Two Months' from today.</p> <p>(B) No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.929 of 2023</p> <p>Shri S. S. GharatApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhsoale , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 11.07.2023 issued by the Respondent No.3 – The Divisional Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies (Audit), Navi Mumbai thereby rejecting his request for benefit of 'Old Pension Scheme in terms of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. 3. The Applicant is working as 'Auditor Grade 1' was appointed on 23.01.2007, However, the selection process for the same commenced with requisition letter dated 27.12.2000 issued by Respondent No.3 to the District Employment Officer, Raigad to fill up 3 posts of Auditor, Grade II. 1 post each was for SC, ST and OBC category.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Counsel for Applicant referred to G.R. dated 02.02.2024 regarding eligibility of the 'Old Pension Scheme' issued by Finance Department. Learned Counsel prays that matter be decided by the Respondent No.3 in accordance to G.R. dated 02.02.2024.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>6. In view of above, the Original Application deserves to be disposed of with directions to decide the case of the Applicant as per G.R. dated 02.02.2024. Hence, the following order :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>(A) The Respondent No.3 - the Divisional Joint Registrar, Cooperative Societies (Audit), Navi Mumbai is directed to take decision in the same matter in accordance to G.R. dated 02.02.2024 issued by Finance Department and ascertain whether the scheme is applicable to the said Applicant.</p> <p>(B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>(C) No Order as to Costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 29.02.2024
---	---------------------------------------

O.A. No.213 of 2024

Dr. D.B. BhosaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO states that information is still not received from (i) 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division and (ii) District Collector, Raigad' about what 'Specific Report' had been submitted by them about Applicant to 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department'.
3. 'Interim Relief' to therefore continue till 'Next Date'. Applicant to continue working on post of 'Deputy Collector, Kal Project, Taluka Mangaon, District Raigad'.
4. S.O. to 14.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1581 of 2023</p> <p>R. D. AmbekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha^uGadgil Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1574 of 2023</p> <p>R. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1342 of 2023 With O.A.No.1343 of 2023</p> <p>B. M. Thete & Ors. G. T. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. R. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.833 of 2023 With O.A.No.834 of 2023</p> <p>A. Y. Ilager P. S. KuchekarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. 3. If reply is not filed, the matter will be proceeded without reply on next date. 4. S.O. to 07.03.2024. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>
--	---

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.830 of 2023</p> <p>C. S. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Kale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.642 of 2023 in R. A. 10 of 2023 in O.A.No.555 of 2021</p> <p>S. N. RaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sahil Pangire holding for Smt. Walimbe, learned Counsel for Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Meetha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O. A. No. 250 of 2024</p> <p>D. D. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.03.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 27.03.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.02.2024
	<p align="center">O.A.No.1126 of 2023</p> <p>R.A. R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. 3. If reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is not filed, the matter will be proceeded without reply on next date. 4. S.O. to 12.03.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.814 of 2023</p> <p>R. A. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Affidavit in Reply in compliance to order dated 18.01.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Médha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1373 of 2023</p> <p>R. S. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. Learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.3. S.O. to 12.03.2024. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.139/2024</u></p> <p>C.A. Meshram ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that when Applicant was retired, he was under suspension. She seeks time to put-up her say on this point. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.03.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.186/2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that on 10th June, 2021, Departmental Enquiry was initiated against the Applicant but till today, no Enquiry Officer is appointed.</p> <p>3. Since from 10.06.2021 till today, no Enquiry Officer is appointed, why we should not quash this enquiry only on account of delay for not appointing the Enquiry Officer.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.03.2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 (skw) </p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1081/2017</u></p> <p>D.B. Ghalpade & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents. 2. The learned CPO seeks time of 6 weeks to file Affidavit-in-Reply to amendment. Time Granted, but thereafter no time will be extended for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 12.04.2024. <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.567/2017</u></p> <p>S.R. Rajebhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 29.02.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 29.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.59/2024 in O.A.No.1226/2022</p> <p>R.N. Khune & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar along with Mr. S.B. Chandan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer along with Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking direction to the Respondents to issue promotion order as the Applicants/candidates from batch 102 are found fit as per DPC meeting and cadre list issued on 29.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Today Legal Advisor Mr. Suryakant Lavte is present along with the concerned Office Superintendent, Mr. Kiran Salve. Short Affidavit dated 28.02.2024 of Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singhal, working as Additional Director General of Police, Mumbai pursuant to our order dated 28.02.2024 is filed. Along with the affidavit two letters of Respondent No.1 written by the D.G. to Chief Electoral Officer, Mumbai dated 28.02.2024 making query whether the approval of the Chief Electoral Officer is required for giving promotion and posting from API to PI is enclosed.</p> <p>4. Respondents have filed the reply dated 28.02.2024 of the Chief Electoral Officer, Mr. Gosavi thereby informing that as per the procedure informed in the letter dated 21.02.2023 of the Election Commission of India approval of the Chief</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders


Electoral Officer is required. However, approval of Chief Electoral Officer is not necessary for giving promotion. The Legal Advisor Mr. Lavte has submitted that there cannot be promotion without posting in respect of these applicants as it is promotional post. The order of promotion and posting is always issued together.

5. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that the applicants do not want to come in the way of any functioning of the Election Commission. However, the promotion of this API of 102 batch is pending since along.

6. The State requires Police Officers at the level of PI to maintain law and order in the State and promotion along with the posting for the approval of the Chief Electoral Officer, if required. Under such circumstances, we are of the view that there is no hurdle in sending the proposal of promotion and posting of the Applicants from the post of API to PI posting to the Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra for his/ her approval, within one week.

7. Adjourned to 11.03.2024.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

pik

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 30/2023 in O.A 774/2022</u></p> <p>V.K Adsul & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and therefore he seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 30/2021 in O.A 970/2014</u></p> <p>V.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 860/2022 with O.A 941/2022</u></p> <p>S.H Anande Dr G.K.M.A Ansari ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Both the Original Applications stand dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 1139/2023 with M.A 533/2023 in O.A 70/2023</u></p> <p>Dr S.K Phule & Ors R.H Metkar ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh holding for shri A.A Desai in O.A 1139/2023, Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 670/2023, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants Mr Vijay Singh request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 750/2023</u></p> <p>B.P Dhage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh holding for Shri S.D Patil learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that interim relief is already granted and matter should be heard.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.3.2024, FOB.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1040/2017</u></p> <p>Y.G Bhambure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 14.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 285/17</u></p> <p>R.K Padmane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 7.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>Q.A 349/2017</u></p> <p>K.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 7.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1444/2023</u></p> <p>T.A Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1551/2023</u></p> <p>A.S Sadaphule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Leared counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1422/2023</u></p> <p>H.A Divate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pratik Irpatgir i/b Shri S.P Dhole, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1195/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Tayde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.M Chaudhari, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 802/2023</u></p> <p>A.S Jachak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Avchat with S.H Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 13/2024 in O.A 595/2022</u></p> <p>S.R Misal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 5.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023 with M.a 364/2023 in O.A 610/2023 with M.A 106/2024 in O.A 619/2023</u></p> <p>A.D Nargude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants in C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023, Ms Poonam Mahajan learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 619/2023 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants Mr Bandiwadekar files affidavit in reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.3.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1577 of 2023 with M.A. No. 45 of 2024</p> <p>A.L. DureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shubham Gurav, learned Advocate holding on behalf of Shri S.B. Talekar for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that he is filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. 13.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.1599 of 2023

S.A Barse & Ors.,Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' during the course of the day and copy will be given to the learned PO.
3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
4. S.O. to 13.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.80 of 2024

P.S. ShindeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on 28.02.2024 and he has served copy to the learned PO.
3. Learned PO states that he would like to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.3' who is 'Superintendent, Mumbai Central Jail'.
4. S.O. to 07.03.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.60 of 2024</p> <p>S.P. Rathod & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant within 'One Week'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No. 911 of 2023

R.B. Vaishnav & Ors.

Vaibhav Pilare

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of 'Respondent No.1'. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 06.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1081 of 2023</p> <p>R.D. GhunkikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that he has received instructions to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No. 4 & 5'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' is continued till filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No. 4 & 5'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1056 of 2024</p> <p>N.P. TummevarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.279 of 2024

R.A. KshirsagarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 05.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.02.2024

O.A. No.264 of 2024 to O.A. No.266 of 2024 with
O.A. No.269 of 2024 with O.A. No.270 of 2024

P.P. Bhalerao

V.V. Pagare

B.B. Dukale

P.V. Kawale

K.P. Khandvi

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt Archana B.K. and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. O.A. No.264 of 2024, O.A. No.265 of 2024, O.A. No.266 of 2024, O.A. No.269 of 2024 & O.A. No.270 of 2024 is tagged along with Group of O.A. No.114/2024 & Others on 05.03.2024.
9. S.O. to 05.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.93/2017</p> <p>Dr. R.L. SatheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the course of argument it is found that the report is illegible and the pagination is wrong. Learned Counsel is directed to give legible copy of report along with proper pagination.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 01.03.2024 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. 68/2024 in O.A 864/2022 (At Nagpur)</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondent No. 1)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>1. Rajendra G. Kore ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>2. M.P.S.C</p> <p>3. Dattatray A. Kshirsagar ... Respondents No 2 & 3.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.Y Sakhare, learned Senior Counsel with Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondent No. 1, Shri Abhinandan B. Vagyani, learned counsel for Respondent, Ori Applicant and Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3 .</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for transferring the Original Application No. 864/2022 from Nagpur to Mumbai Bench on the ground that there is no Division Bench at M.A.T, Nagpur Bench.</p> <p>3. Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3, states that he is filing Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 3 today and he seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O 6.3.2024 at 10.15 AM.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.02.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1111/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Rasal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person absent was absent on earlier two occasions i.e., on 3.1.2024 and 24.1.2024. Today also he is absent.</p> <p>3. Hence the matter is placed under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 1.3.2024 at 10.15 am</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>