[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 29.01.2021
	O.A.No.618 of 2020
	S.J. Agale Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.
	3. Adjourned to 15.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.01.2021

O.A.No.6 of 2020

... Applicant

U.R. Harmalkar Vs.

Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sadhna Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the outset learned P.O. opposes the application on the point of delay. The applicant is directed to satisfy the Tribunal on the point of delay.

3. The learned Counsel files Affidavit of service of all the Respondents. The same is taken on record.

4. The applicant prays that his service be regularized from 01.11.1994 he be paid the dues for the said period.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6 Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2021.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

1

10. S.O. to 01.03.2021.

Sd/-

A.E

(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date : 29.01.2021 M.A.No.28 of 2021 in O.A.No.96 of 2020 ... Applicant C.R. Baviskar Vs ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief 1. Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant. The learned C.P.O. points out that the 2. application for interim relief is filed on 18.01.2021 by Shri C.R. Baviskar, Applicant. She further submits that letter dated 20.07.2020 written by the Deputy Director and the Member Secretary of Schedule Tribe Certificate Director Nandurbar to of Scrutiny Committee, Languages and also the Certificate of Validity bearing Sr. No.35471, dated 11.03.2020, issued by Shri Dinesh Tidke, Member Secretary & Deputy Director (Research), Nandurbar of Schedule Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nandurbar is forged and false as per the instructions received from the concerned authority. The letter giving written instructions to learned 3. C.P.O. dated 28.01.2021 by Shri Sitaram Bhalekar, Joint Commissioner and Deputy Director for Schedule Tribe Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Nandurbar is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1. Adjourned to 08.02.2021. 4. 11 Sd/-(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson

prk

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000––3-2017) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

of 20

of 20

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 29.01.2021
	O.A.No.617 of 2020
	G.M.Y. Shaikh Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
• •	1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply.
	3. Adjourned to 15.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson
	prk
	4

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>29.01.2021</u>
	<u>M.A 331/2020 in O.A 829/2019</u>
	Shri Laxman N. Sormare & Ors Applicant
	Vs. Shri Arun P. Gahdge & Ors Respondents
	1. Shri Pramod Kulkarn, learned advocate for the applicants absent. Heard Shri M. Bhandari, learned Special Counsel with Shri Mangesh Deshmukh, learned advocate for the Respondents 1 to 5 and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents no 6 to 9.
	2. Pursuant to order dated 22.1.2021, we have kept the matter today for the submissions by Mr. Pramod Kulkarni, learned advocate for Respondents no 5 to 10 Today when the matter was called out, Mr. Kulkarni is not present. No communication from his side is received by this Tribunal. However, now his Clerk, appeared and handed over Written notes of Arguments dated 29.1.2021 on behalf of Shri Pramod Kulkar, learned advocate Respondents no. 5 to 10 signed by him.
	4. We read the Written note of Arguments of Mr Kulkarni and we found that he has mentioned that Respondents have filed the present Misc Application raising objection regarding maintainability of the O.A on the ground of locus standi as the applicants are seeking directions that they are to be considered on preferential basis for the appointment to the post of Reserve Sub Inspector. The order dated 24.3.2017 was passed by the Aurangabad bench of this Tribunal earlier in O.A 378/2016 and ors (Shivaji N. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors), who are applicants in the matter before Aurangabad Bench in respect of the same issue. It is contended that the said order is not challenged in this Original Application and the decision of the Aurangabad Bench is also not challenged by the Respondents or the present applicants and therefore it holds field.
	5. Learned counsel Mr. Bhandari submitted that the present applicants were not party in the said Original Applications before the Aurangabad Bench and were not aware of the order dated 24.3.2017 till the filing of the present Original Application in August, 2019. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicants have also made written submission for formation of larger bench, as the Police Manual and the Rules there under is the basis of the present O.A and which were not considered by the M.A.T Aurangabad bench as they were never produced when O.A 378/2016 was heard and decided by Aurangabad bench of this Tribunal. Mr. Bandari submits that the request made by the Respondents for the

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	T	ribunal's orders
	formation of larger benc of the applicants.	ch, we admit it also in the interest
	of the O.A is contended standi. However, the promotion to the post of considered for promotic eligibility criterion. Thu	ication the issue of maintainability d mainly on the ground of locus applicants, who also aspire for of Reserve Sub Inspector were not on due to wrong interpretation of s the applicants have locus standi ribunal and challenge their non pomotion.
	9. In the Misc Ap Respondents have given the D.B of the Tribunal,	oplication, in paragraph 2, the the details of the view taken by Aurangabad.
	aggrieved party have a Tribunal. However, the the Aurangabad benc adjudicated by the E Respondents were the a this matter to be pla	view that the applicants being ocus standi to come before the similar dispute was raised before th of the Tribunal and was Division Bench, in which the pplicants; we are of the view that aced before the Larger Bench berson, Member (A) and Member
	11. In view of the rejected.	above, Misc Application stands
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date : 29.01.2021 O.A.No.600 of 2017 S. G. DhanawadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents. 1. Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the copy of order darted 28.01.2021 issued by the Government wherein it is stated that in view of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court dated 04.08.2017, the Applicant is not entitled for promotion on the basis of reservation, and therefore, the decision about benefit of deemed date of promotion cannot be taken since, the matter is subjudice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The copy of order is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to take instructions from his client and to challenge communication dated 28.01.2021 referred to above, if necessary by making suitable amendment. 4. S.O. to 12.02.2021. Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.01.2021

2

M.A No.47 of 2021 in O. A. No.638 of 2020

D.U. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. In the present matter Applicant had initially challenged order dated 28.10.2020 whereby Respondent No.2 Shri Sauravkumar Agarwal has been appointed as Additional Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar in place of Applicant and Applicant was left in waiting without any posting order.

3. During the pendency of O.A. the Government had issued transfer order dated 21.01.2021 whereby Applicant is transferred at Amravati. Now the Applicant wants to challenge transfer order dated 21.01.2021 and for this purpose sought amendment.

4. Perused Draft amendment.

5. In view of subsequent development of transfer order dated 21.01.2021 the amendment is necessitated.

6. In view of above M.A. is allowed.

9.

NMN

7. Amendment to be carried out within a week.

8. In view of amendment, Respondents are at liberty to file Additional Reply.

O.A. No.638 of 2020 is adjourned to 12.02.2021

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	
	Date: 29.01.2021
	R.A. No.12 of 2020 in O. A. No.525 of 2019
	R.B. NirmaleApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer, holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
·	2. Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
	3. Adjourned for hearing.
	4. S.O. to 10.02.2021
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000---10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
•	M.A. No.77 of 2020 in O. A. No.720 of 2019
	M.S. Waghmare & OrsApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Applicant and Learned Advocate for the Applicant both are absent.
	3. M.A. was filed on 30.01.2020 but was kept unlisted due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, today for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal.
	4. In view of above it would be appropriate to give opportunity to the Applicant for necessary steps.
	5. S.O. to 10.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

*

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

-

of 20

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.1220 of 2019
	R.R. JagtapApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Smt. N.L. Rajguru, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1to3 and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4. Till date enough time is availed for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. But today again learned P.O. and Advocate Shri D.B. Khaire requested short time to file Reply.
	3. Perusal reveals that the matter was not listed due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown and after the long gap it is taken on today's board.
	4. In view of above one week time is lastly granted for filing Reply.
and the state of the	5. S.O. to 08.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000--10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.642 of 2020
	B.R. GhadgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri B.R. Ghadge, learned Applicant-in- Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. Applicant has requested for two weeks time to file Rejoinder.
	3. The Applicant has pointed out that Tribunal by order dated 10.12.2020 and 19.01.2021 directed Respondent No.2 to consider the case of the Applicant for giving posting near Thane.
	4. Learned P.O. directed to take instructions in this behalf and appraise the Tribunal.
	5. S.O. to 12.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000---10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	Date: 29.01.2021
	O.A. No.668 of 2020
	Dr. D.P. ManeApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri M.M. Kale, learned Advocate instructed by Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. Pleading is complete and matter is ripe for hearing. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to P.O. to file Rejoinder if any. Interim Relief is continued till next date. S.O. to 15.02.2021.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000–10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O.A. No.791 of 2020
	N.P. BhoyarApplicantVersusRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
	3. S.O. to 12.02.2021.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI ~

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O.A. No.161 of 2020 with O.A. No.155 of 2020 with O.A. No.157 of 2020
	A.A. Zajan & Ors. D.L. Fulpagar
	D.Y. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaiwkad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. Learned P.O. submits that these O.As. are handled by Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, but she is unable to attend the Tribunal today, requested to keep the matter in next week.
	3. S.O. to 03.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000---10-2013)

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.834 of 2019
	S.B. PatilApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	,
	1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. On request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
	3. S.O. to. 09.02.2021.
	N Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
•	

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.1104 of 2019
	A.S. TejiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks one week time to file Rejoinder.
	3. S.O. to. 09.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.1189 of 2019
	D.J. AmbilwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri D.J. Ambilwade, learned Applicant-in- Person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. It is submitted by the Applicant that O.A. in which D.E. is challenged is fixed on 09.02.2021.
	3. This Tribunal in order dated 24.12.2020 has observed that it would be appropriate to get the O.A. in which D.E. is challenged decided and then to hear this O.A.
	4. In view of above hearing of this O.A. is adjourned to 26.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000--10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.05 of 2021
	A.D. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Bospondents
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. On request of the learned P.O. seeks two weeks time. Time as prayed is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.
	3. S.O. to 15.02.2021.
	N Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

IN

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

. 7

and the second

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

Original Application No.

of 20

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 29.01.2021
	O.A.No.232 of 2019
	S.S. Dange Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the very outset the learned C.P.O. takes
	objection on the maintainability of this O.A. mainly on
	the ground of delay. She submits that no Miscellaneous
	Application is also filed along with O.A. for condonatin of delay of 3 years.
	3. Adjourned to 06.04.2021 with liberty to file delay
	application in between if the applicant wants.
	Sd/- Sd/- M
	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar J,) Vice-Chairman Chairperson
	prk

O.A. No.762 of 2020 with M.A. No.335 of 2020

M.R. Gaikwad Vs. .. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files 2 replies in MA one on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and the other on behalf of respondent no.3.

3. In the MA for condonation of delay there is no mention of the period which is to be condoned. Ld. CPO informs that in their affidavit in reply they have counted delay of 5 years 9 months and 14 days.

4. As per the order dated 23.3.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 the delay is excused from 15.3.2020. Thus we are not counting 9 months and 14 days i.e. automatically condoned by virtue of the order of the Supreme Court. However, the explanation in respect of delay of 5 years is called for from the applicant.

5. In this OA the applicant challenges the DE initiated against the applicant and charge sheet dated 20.2.2015. The report of Enquiry officer was served on him on 2.8.2016. By order dated 17.9.2018 of the Sessions Court, the applicant was acquitted from offences punishable under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. Leave to appeal was rejected.

6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder, if any.

7. S.O. to 25.3.2021.

29.1.2021

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.1.2021

(sgj)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		unal's orders	12	
	O.A. No.690 of 2018 with M.A. No.193 of 2020			
	P.S. Vetal & 211 Ors. Vs.		Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents	
	Heard Shri Krant Applicant and Ms. S.P. M Officer for the Responden	anchekar, learned		
	2. S.O. to 1.2.2021 a	t 2.00 p.m.		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	11 Aus	
	(P[Ň. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.1.2021	(Mridula R. Br Chairpers 29.1.202	son	
	(sgj)			

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUMI	DAL		
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	vers	u.s.		
The State		ashtra and others	5	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders			nal's orders	
		<u>O.A. No</u>	0.921 of 2018	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	R.M. Jad V	hav ´s.		Applicant
		e of Maharashtra &	Ors.	Respondents
	Applican	eard Shri N.D. F t and Ms. S.P. Mar or the Respondents	nchekar, learned	
	2. F	Removed from the c	caption 'For Di	smissal'.
	3. S	.O. to 10.2.2021.		
	Vie	Sd/- N. Dixit) ce-Chairman	Sd (Mridula R. I Chairpe	Bhatkar, J.)
	(sgj)	9.1.2021	29.1.20)21

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

т

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>29.01.2021</u>
	<u>O.A 921/2015</u>
	Shri Abasaheb V. Disale Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati
•	Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Matter called out. It is a matter of 2015. Learned counsel is not present.
	3. Matter is kept on 3.2.2021 along with other matter on Horizontal reservation.
	4. S.O to 3.2.2021.
	Sd/-
	(P/N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson
	Akn ;

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	•••••)		
	v	ersus		
The State	e of Ma	harashtra and othe	rs	
(Presenting Officer)		Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Trib	unal's orders	
		<u>O.A. N</u>	No.293 of 2020	
	A.R.	Lohar Vs.		Applicant
	The S	state of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri D.B. cant and Ms. S.P. M er for the Responden	anchekar, learned	
	2. behal	Ld. CPO files affic f of respondent no.1		
		At the request of nt OA No.293 of 2 34/2020 and 294/2020	2020 is tagged	for the applicant, along with OAs.
	4.	S.O. to 26.3.2021.	∫ Sd/-	12 AS
		Sd/-) v -	
		(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.1.2021	(Mridula R. I Chairpe 29.1.20	erson
	(sgj)			

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	-
			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.740 of 201</u>	<u>y</u>
	R.S. Jogi Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learn Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, lear Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicate for the applicate contract of the applicant and the same in the same	
	3. Admit.	
	4. Place the above matter for final with liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if any.	hearing on 31.3.2021
	sd/-	5d/- ~~
	Vice-Chairman Chai	R. Bhatkar, J.) irperson 1.2021

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 29.01.2021 O.A.No.455 of 2020 with M.A.No.209 of 2020
	B.B. Bakle Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays that the order dated 13.03.2014, 07.10.2016 and 08.10.2016 be quashed and set aside which declares her not competent to become a Technician on the basis of less experience.
	3. The M.A.No.209/2020 is filed on 27.10.2020. In view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sue Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court has directed that the period of limitation in such proceedings to be condoned due to COVID-19 Pandemic from 15.03.2020 till October 2020.
	4. The applicant appeared for the examination in 2013 for the post of Technician. She was called to submit her documents for verification on 27.01.2014. However on 13.05.2014 her candidature was rejected for want of work experience of 2 years. She did not take any action after rejection on 13.05.2014. She moved a representation on 26.05.2016 which was rejected on 07.10.2016.
	5. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay is to be computed from 07.10.2016 and the period hence the delay comes to 2 years and 11 months. He further submits that that applicant got

Tribunal's orders

married on 18.01.2015. However, she had troublesome period and ultimately her married life came to an end in 2018 with a decree of divorce.

6. The learned Counsel submits that it was not possible for the applicant to take legal action and pursue the matter in between because of her family problems. The learned Counsel submits that however she has a good case on merit hence the delay be condoned.

The learned P.O. opposes the application and 7 submits that the delay has to be counted from 13.05.2014 when her candidature was rejected. He submits that it is more than 2 years and 11 months and the reason given is not a good ground to condone the delay. The learned P.O. submits that the period started running in years after the date of rejection of her candidature i.e from 13.05.2014. She did not take any step for one year and thereafter she moved the representation to the authority. The respondent by elaborate order has rejected her candidature on 07.10.2016. We give benefit of the concession given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court due to COVID-19 pandemic stuation in filing the matter. In fact the delay goes However the applicant has nearly upto 5 years. undergone family problems for nearly 3 years.

8. Though we understand that the applicant has undergone family problems still it is difficult for us to condone the delay of 5 years for any substantive and ustifiable good reason. Hence, M.A is dismissed.

In view of the dismissal of M.A., O.A. does not survive and hence dismissed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman

prk

(Mridula Bhatkar J,) Chairperson (G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Tribunal's orders	
Date: 29.01.2021	
O. A. No.660 of 2020	
P.A. Jadhav	
Versus	Applicant
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
 Heard Smt. Punam Mahaja for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Presenting Officer for the Responde 	Chougule, learned
2. Today learned P.O. has filed behalf of Respondents No.2 & 3. It i	Affidavit-in-Reply or s taken on record.
 Adjourned for hearing at th with liberty to the Applicant to file F 	e stage of admissior Rejoinder, if any.
4. Learned P.O. suggested that accommodated in general transfer can be disposed of.	at Applicant can be of 2021 and matter
5. In view of above submission directed to come with specific Applicant can consider the same and matter can be disposed of immediate	proposal, so that I if consent then the
6. S.O. to 04.02.2021.	
, Sd	//-
/ww	" urhekar)
Mem	ber (J)
NMN	
	Date: 29.01.2021 O. A. No.660 of 2 P.A. Jadhav Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahaja for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Presenting Officer for the Responder 2. Today learned P.O. has filed behalf of Respondents No.2 & 3. It i 3. Adjourned for hearing at the with liberty to the Applicant to file F 4. Learned P.O. suggested the accommodated in general transfer can be disposed of. 5. In view of above submission directed to come with specific Applicant can consider the same and matter can be disposed of immediated 6. S.O. to 04.02.2021.

Date: 29.01.2021

O. A. No.532 of 2020

R.S. Jadhav	Applicant
Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. In this matter Applicant was transferred by order dated 30.09.2020 from the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad, Chakan Division to Police Training College, Jalna.

3. The Tribunal has granted Interim Relief by order dated 08.10.2020 having regard to the fact that Applicant is retiring on 31.03.2021 but he was transferred mid-tenure without there being any case of administrative exigencies.

4. In view of above it would be appropriate for the Applicant to continue at Pimpri Chinchwad, Chakan Division for two months of his remaining service.

5. Learned C.P.O. is therefore directed to take decision from the Respondent as to whether the Applicant can be accommodated for two months at the same place and appraise the Tribunal on Monday so that matter can be disposed of.

Interim Relief to continue till next date.

7. S.O. to 01.02.2021.

N 1. > Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.267 of 2019
	A.A. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Yogesh Citte, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ashish Giri, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. This matter is taken on board by learned Advocate for the Applicant for withdrawal of O.A.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in view of subsequent development the O.A. has become infructuous and seeks permission to withdraw the O.A.
	4. He has also tendered the Affidavit of the Applicant to that effect. It is taken on record and marked as letter 'X'.
	5. In view of above allowed to withdraw the O.A.
	6. No order as to costs.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000–10-2013)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 29.01.2021
	O. A. No.433 of 2020
	M.R. KasaneApplicant
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent
	1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learne Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learne Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Sk M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Responde No.2.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 h filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. is taken on record.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant Affidavit-in-Reply of the Respondent No.1. It is taken of record.
	4. S.O. to 10.02.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member (J)
	NMN
L'ANALIS AND	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.01.2021

2

O. A. No.159 of 2020

S.V. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. The Applicant has challenged his transfer order dated 20.02.2020 from Pune to Satara which was stayed by this Tribunal by order dated 05.03.2020.

3. Today learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 27.01.2021 received from Deputy Commissioner of Police, Pune. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.

4. In letter dated 27.01.2021 it is stated that in view of Interim Relief granted by the Tribunal, Applicant was reinstated at Pune and thereafter by order dated 20.02.2020 he was transferred from Chandannagar Police Station, Pune to Bharati Vidyapeeth Police Station, Pune as such in view of this subsequent development Applicant is accommodated in Pune itself. In other words grievances of transfer from Pune to Satara has been redressed.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also fairly concedes that Applicant has joined at Bharati Vidyapeeth Police Station, Pune and submitted that O.A. to be disposed of.

6. This O.A. has become infructuous and it is disposed of with no order as to costs.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

۸ Sd/-

NMN