

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.628 of 2022 in O.A. No.1029 of 2019</p> <p>P.M. VelswamyApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is moved for expeditious hearing. Matter issue is pending from 2007. At present we are dealing with other matters of Selection and some Part Heard matters. We can take this matter in 3rd or 4th week of Jan. 2023.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.865 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. GavhaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief if any to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u></p> <p align="center">REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 25 OF 2022 IN MISC APPLICATION NO. 366 OF 2022 IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 459 OF 2022</p> <p>Shri Shakira A. Jamadar & Ors)...Applicants And Shri Vijay B. Mahajan & Ors)...Intervenors Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Chitale, learned counsel for the applicants, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the Intervenors.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants have moved this application for review of the order dated 10.10.2022, Exh.R-1 and also the modification order dated 10.10.2022, Exh. R-2 passed by this Tribunal in M.A 366/2022. In O.A 459/2022, the applicants who are working as Clerk-cum-typists have challenged the cut-off date of the eligibility in the Circular dated 31.12.2021. The Respondents 3,4 & 5 have moved this Misc Applications praying that they be added as Intervenors in the Original Application. The Original Applicants who have filed the Review Application have opposed this Misc Application on 10.10.2022 by filing affidavit in reply. On 10.10.2022, learned C.P.O informs that the result is declared on 15.6.2022 and the date of subsequent examination is also declared by advertisement dated 29.9.2022. At that time, we found that as the result is declared and the intervenors have joined and hence, we thought that the said Misc Application seeking intervention was infructuous and we disposed of the said Misc Application in the morning session before 12.30 pm. However, immediately, thereafter at 12.45 pm Mr Dere, learned counsel appearing for the intervenors submitted that the order of dismissing the Mis Application and his non-opposition to that is to be withdrawn as there is an issue of cut-off date in the prayer of the Original Application and if the cut-off date is changed, then the intervenor would be adversely affected and they would be disqualified. At that time, the learned counsel for the applicants was not present, so we requested Mr Dere, to contact learned counsel for the applicants. We found that the request that he wants to oppose the Misc Application and the order of dismissal is to be</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>withdrawn made on the same date within a short span of time, we modify the order as follows:-</p> <p>“Misc Application is not disposed of, but it will be heard along with O.A 459/2022”.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants have relied on the following cases:-</p> <p>(i) Samarias Trading Co. Pvt Ltd Vs. S. Samuel and Ors. (1984) 4 SCC 666.</p> <p>(ii) Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Anr Vs. Pratibha Industries Ltd & Ors, (2019) 3 SCC 203.</p> <p>4. In the case of Samarias Trading Company, the Hon'ble High Court has passed interim non-speaking order while sitting in chamber on oral prayer made. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that taking cognizance of oral application is not allowed. In the case before us, the facts were openly discussed in the presence of the learned counsel for the applicants. However, initially after hearing the learned C.P.O. we had impression that the intervenors will not be affected and so we disposed of the said Intervention Application. However, subsequently within 15 to 20 minutes, learned counsel for the intervenors appeared and stated that he wants to contest the application. We recollect that the earlier order was not signed as it was not transcribed.</p> <p>5. In the case of Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai, the issue was pertaining to the review under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act. It was held that the Hon'ble High Court has inherent powers to recall its own order as it is supported from the records. We make it clear that we have passed the order of modification order immediately on the same day under Article 151 of the CPC as the Administrative Tribunals Act provides under Section 22 the same powers under CPC and accordingly, we have modified the order. The effect is not damaging the original applicants. If we have rejected the request of the learned counsel for the intervenors, that would have affected the interest of the intervenors.</p> <p>6. Hence, we are not inclined to review or recall our second order passed on 10.10.2022. In this scenario, we further make it clear that for the purpose of clarification, we will hear the application for intervention first and thereafter the Original Application.</p> <p>7. As the learned counsel for the Original Applicants has pointed out that in the intervention application no specific details are given, learned counsel for the intervenors Mr Dere seeks permission to file additional affidavit stating in detail what is the grievance of the intervenors and what damage will be caused to them if the O.A is allowed. Copy of the additional affidavit to be served on the learned counsel for the applicants by 6.12.2022.</p> <p>8. S.O to 13.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- N . An u</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) // / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.912 of 2015</p> <p>S.G. Deshpande & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has produced order of the Hon'ble High dated 25.11.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. 14559/2022 (Smt. Sonali Ramesh Chavan & Anr.) wherein the order of this Tribunal dated 07.11.2022 rejecting M.A. No.626/2022 to intervene in O.A. No.912/2015 was challenged and thereby set aside.</p> <p>3. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has moved application to registry pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble High Court that the three petitioner who are the Applicants are to be allowed to made Party Respondents in O.A. No.912/2015.</p> <p>4. In view of the order of the Hon'ble High Court and as the matter is already heard at the length and the Judgment was to be pronounced in a day or two, we keep this matter day after tomorrow on board along with O.A. No.53/2015.</p> <p>5. We direct the Officer from the P.W.D. to remain present on 30.11.2022.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.1179/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.B. Helavi (Reddy) ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.11.2022 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure on out of cadre post carrying less pay scale and no such case is made out for transfer under Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing of interim relief, it is transpired that Applicant's present pay scale is Rs.1,44,200-2,18,200/- with special pay of Rs.6,750/- p.m. Whereas the post on which he is transferred is carrying pay scale of Rs.71,100-2,11,900/- as fairly conceded by learned P.O. Thus, I the post offered to the Applicant on transfer is out of cadre post and carrying less pay scale.</p> <p>4. However, learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. H.V. Parhate, Desk Officer, Higher & Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai submits that Respondents will protect present pay scale of the Applicant and will pass necessary order of pay protection within a week. She further sought to justify the transfer on the ground of alleged irregularities committed by the Applicant while he was Principal at Vidharbha Science Institute, Amravati.</p> <p>5. Insofar as interim relief is concerned, the learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly stated that he is not pressing for the same and his client will join the post under protest without prejudice to his contentions raised in the O.A.</p> <p>6. In view of above, issue notices to the Respondents made returnable on 19.12.2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 19th December, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
28.11.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1196/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. M.J. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant was Tutor at Shree Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Hospital, Solapur has been transferred to Government Medical College and Hospital, Nagpur by order dated 24.11.2022. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 24.11.2022 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that she is transferred in contravention of provisions of 'Transfer Act 2005' by way of punishment.</p> <p>3. Per contra, learned P.O. tendered file to show that there were complaints against the functioning of the Applicant and transfer was necessitated and accordingly, it was approved by Commissioner as well as Minister of the Department being competent authority for such mid-term transfer.</p> <p>4. The perusal of file reveals that Enquiry Committee was constituted before whom Applicant appeared and gave representation. As such, the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that there was no opportunity of hearing to the Applicant before Enquiry Committee is apparently incorrect.</p> <p>5. The Enquiry Committee found substance in the complaint of harassment of the students at the hands of Applicant. The matter was placed before the Civil Services Board which recommended transfer of the Applicant. Ultimately, Hon'ble Minister of the Department accordingly gave consent for transfer of Applicant at Nagpur on vacant post.</p> <p>6. Thus, <i>prima-facie</i>, there is compliance of Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'. The DE is also proposed</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>against the Applicant under Rule 8 of M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>7. The submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that before transfer, full pledged DE ought to have been conducted is misconceived. Where transfer is necessitated, all that competent authority has to see whether <i>prima-facie</i> there are ground to transfer a Government servant and if holding of regular DE is insisted, it would defeat the very purpose of transfer in administrative exigencies.</p> <p>8. In view of above, no case is made out for interim relief.</p> <p>9. Issue notices to the Respondents made returnable on 23.12.2022.</p> <p>10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 23rd December, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 28.11.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1173 of 2022</p> <p>R. A. BadekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In relief clause 9(a), the Applicant prayed for direction to the Respondents to pay arrears of salary w.e.f. May, 2022 till date and to continue to pay the same. 3. When the Tribunal raised specific query, learned Counsel for the Applicant pointed out that last appointment of the Applicant was for 11 months and it come to an end on 31.07.2022. The last appointment order dated 30.08.2021 is for 11 months or till the availability of MPSC candidate whichever is earlier. Thus, as per this order, 11 months period comes to an end on at the end of July, 2022. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly stated that his client is not in service onward 01.08.2021. 5. Despite the aforesaid position, in prayer clause, the Applicant has claimed pay and allowances from May, 2022 till date. Thus, the prayer is vague, and Applicant is required to specify the period for which he is seeking salary since admittedly onward 01.08.2022, he is not in service though, the Superintendent, Yerwada Central Jail recommend for continuation. 6. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted to take necessary steps so as to correct the relief clause. 7. S.O. to 05.12.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.967 of 2022</p> <p>M. G. SatheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2018 but till date his final pension and gratuity is not sanctioned. Apart, he claimed interest on other retiral benefits on the ground of belated payment. 3. Learned P.O. submits that process for finalizing pension is completed and papers are submitted to Treasury, Pune. Insofar as gratuity is concerned, it is still not paid. According to learned P.O., it is also in process. 4. <i>Prima-facie</i>, there is administrative lapses and inaction for payment of retiral benefits to which the Applicant would be entitled to interest if found entitled on merit. 5. At the same time, the Applicant has also not made it clear the due date of payments and the period by which it is delayed. 6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he would file fresh chart showing the due dates of payment and dates of actual payment. 7. Learned P.O. also sought time to file short affidavit on the point of interest. 8. One week time is granted to file reply as well as to file proper chart by the Applicant. 9. In the meantime, the Respondents are directed to ensure release of gratuity so that liability of interest can be minimized. 10. S.O. to 05.12.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.827, 966, 607, 608 & 620 of 2022</p> <p>D. D. Sonawane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.669 of 2022 in O. A. No. 1163 of 2022</p> <p>P. A. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.669 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 1163 of 2022</p> <p>P. A. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants sought inter district transfer from the establishment of C.P.Mumbai to Superintendent of Police, Yawatmal (R.4).</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Respondent No.4 has given NOC dated 19.09.2022 to accommodate Applicants. Despite, the said communication, the C.P. Mumbai kept it pending. Therefore, the Applicants have filed the present O.A. to relieve them.</p> <p>4. This O.A. be also kept with connected O.A.s of inter district transfer on 01.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.26 of 2022 with connected O.A.s</p> <p>A. K. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In connected matters which are also from today's board, learned P.O. wants to file composite affidavit in reply afresh for which time was already granted.</p> <p>3. However, she undertakes to file composite reply in all the matters by 01.12.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1182, 1183, 1184 & 1185 of 2022</p> <p>S. A. Mali M.A.Jadhav B. K. Bhamre P. L. GangodeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In all these Original Applications, the Applicants are serving in the cadre of Police Hawaldar and the Respondents are common. The Applicants are serving on the establishment of Respondent No. 4 – District Superintendent of Police, Nashik (R). The Applicants are appointed^{suspended} by order dated 30.01.2021, 12.07.2021, 01.06.2021 and 02.03.2021 respectively. 3. The Applicants have challenged suspension order on the ground of prolong suspension. 4. The period of more than one year under suspension is already over. 5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2022.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.647 of 2022</p> <p>A. G. SangarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadeakr, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel has filed additional affidavit of Petitioner. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought two days time to take instructions on the same to argue the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.220 of 2022</p> <p>S. G. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the issue is still pending before the Division Bench in view of conflicted decision of the Single Bench as to when judicial proceeding can be said instituted as contemplated under Rule 27(6) (b) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A.No.691 of 2022 with M.A. No.692 of 2022 in O.A.No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These two Misc. Applications are filed for amendment and condonation of delay so as to challenge one more order dated 29.12.2018 which was not initially challenged in the O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought two weeks time to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A.No.956 of 2021</p> <p>V. A. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since ^Q enough time is granted to file reply to R.A. but no reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time to file reply. R.A. be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.848 of 2022</p> <p>V. B. SaravadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt.Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, again learned P.O. sought time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Enough time is already granted. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. On previous date, last chance was granted to file reply but in vain.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.325 of 2022</p> <p>R.N. ChaudhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.898 of 2022</p> <p>N. S. Vaidya ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.953 of 2022</p> <p>A. A. K. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.1041, 1042, 1043, 1044 & 1048 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. Dhake & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.7/2022 with 8/2022 with 9/ 2022</p> <p>V. S. Hankare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, one week time is granted for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1190 OF 2022

N.A. Bankar **....Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.27

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : **28.11.2022**

PER : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

O R D E R

1. In present O.A. learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar prays that the orders dated 23.09.2022 and 28.09.2022 in O.A.No.557/2021 and O.A.No.676/2021 are to be recalled and reconsidered and also submits that those orders are illegal and bad in law.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar relies on paragraph 7 of order dated 25.11.2022. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar produces copy of order dated 25.11.2022 passed by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.14559 of 2022, Smt. Sonali R. Chavan & Anr. V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Anr. where the prayer of Intervenors in O.A.No.912/ 2015 which was rejected by this Tribunal dated 07.11.2022 is set aside.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that he has served private Respondents through email on 26.11.2022. He is filing affidavit of service

to that effect. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. He submits that other Respondents are served hard- copy on 24.11.2022. He submits that he seek interim relief that the time period of 30.11.2022 which is fixed by the Tribunal to the State for implementation of the order is to be stopped till the issue of interim relief is decided.

4. This issue has repercussions on Review Application No.24/2022 as the applicants in Review are the Direct Recruits like the present Applicants. The Respondent-State is called upon to inform what steps are taken by the Respondent-State till today.

5. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere submits that in the present O.A. the order passed in O.A.No.557/2021 and O.A.No.676/2021 is challenged. However all the applicants in those are not made Party- Respondents in this application. 84 applicants have applied. However in the present O.A. 52 Private Respondents are made party Respondents. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere submits that under such circumstances other applicants who are not made party are going to be effected.

6. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that those candidates are not made Party-Respondent because they are otherwise senior in the cadre of PSI to the present applicants.

7. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere submits that some Private Respondents from the cadre of PSI aggrieved by the order of this Tribunal dated 23.09.2022 and 28.09.2022 have filed Writ Petition No.28906/2022 and i.e. listed before the Hon'ble High Court today.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

~

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.11.2022.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
14. Adjourned to 29.11.2022.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.58/2022 in O.A.No.863/2017</p> <p>C.V. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.364 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. Kadam & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that none appeared for the Applicant, adjourned to 15.12.2022. P.H.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1191 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ShelkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed appeal before Hon'ble Minister of State (Home) on 22.04.2021 and 06.10.2022 before Respondent No.1 and prays that the appeal may be decided within a stipulated time.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the matter can be decided. She request for three months time to decide the said appeal.</p> <p>4. We therefore direct to Respondent No.1 - The State of Maharashtra, The Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department to decide appeal within a period of three months from today i.e. on or before 01.03.2023.</p> <p>5. O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.687/2022 in O.A.No.1190/2022</p> <p>N.A. BankarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.139 of 2017</p> <p>B.K. Ballane & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar seeks time for preparation.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.82 of 2016</p> <p>R.R. MistryApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that none appeared for the Applicant, adjourned to 19.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.147 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. N.N. RamrajeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is fixed on 14.12.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.139 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.D. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5, Shri A.J. Kandarkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 and Shri Jenish Jain, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7</p> <p>2. Reply is filed today by learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6.</p> <p>3. Matter to proceed without Reply to Respondent No.5 & 7 as last chances was given earlier.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.427 of 2022</p> <p>N.G. KhatakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Admit. Adjourned for Final Hearing. S.O. to 16.01.2023. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.11.2022

O.A. No.115 of 2022 with O.A. No.116 of 2022
with O.A. No.117 of 2022

R.B. Kalse & Ors.

G.K. Hadke

G.V. Patil

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Adjourned for Final Hearing.

4. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A. No.608 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. M.V. PandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that on 25.11.2022 a letter is written to the Commissioner, Public Health Department.</p> <p>3. Matter is fixed on 02.12.2022 to know whether the file is moved or not.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.40 of 2022 in O.A. No.733 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. KotteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As general transfer not to take place now and as per the submission of learned P.O. it may take place in April – May 2023. At the relevant time Government will consider the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. As per request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned by four weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. KapdneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Neither the Writ Petition is filed by the Government till date nor has the state obeyed to the order of this Tribunal. We give last chance of two weeks to the Government to take steps otherwise we will issue contempt notice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.818 of 2021</p> <p>V.S. HipparkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The copy of Medical report is to be furnished to the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Interim relief if any to be continued till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.442 of 2022 with O.A. No.443 of 2022 with O.A. No. 444 of 2022 with O.A. No.701 of 2022 with O.A. No.813 of 2022 with O.A. No.815 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. Bhutekar A.Y. Gaikwad P.M. Warale S.A. Karne & Ors., B.E. Karche P.U. Randive & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S. Kadam & Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri P.S. Anerao, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.442 & 443 & 444/2022 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Reply is filed on all other O.As except O.A. No.815/2022.</p> <p>4. She wants time to file Reply in O.A. No.815/2022.</p> <p>5. One week time is granted to file Reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 01.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.11.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1040 of 2021</p> <p>Maha. State Gazetted Medical officers federationApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since, enough time is granted to file Reply, matter to proceed without Reply.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>