

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.511/2017**  
**(Suryakant Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**SPEAKING TO MINUTES**

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Though the case is not on today's board, the applicant has moved motion for speaking to minutes for correction in the order dated 11-11-2019.

3. Case is heard by consent of the parties.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that claim of the applicant has been satisfied except the relief of unpaid salary of 6 months from the period of February, 2007 to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2007 and accordingly he had submitted before this Tribunal on 11-11-2019. He has submitted that while passing the order dated 11-11-2019, it has been inadvertently mentioned that the relief sought by the applicant is satisfied except the relief regarding one step promotion in S.I.D. & C.I.D. In fact relief regarding the unpaid salary of 6 months from the period of February,

2007 to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2007 is not granted. Therefore, he has prayed to correct the order dated 11-11-2019 suitably.

5. Learned CPO submits that he has no objection to the prayer of learned Advocate for the applicant.

6. In view of the submission of learned Advocate for the applicant, it is just to make correction in paragraph 2 of order dated 11-11-2019 in second and third line by deleting words “except the relief regarding one step promotion in S.I.D. & C.I.D.” and by adding words “except relief regarding unpaid salary of 6 months from February, 2007 to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2007.” Accordingly, the said correction is made in the order dated 11-11-2019 and prayer for speaking to minutes is granted.

7. Registry is directed to add sentence “except relief regarding unpaid salary of 6 months from February, 2007 to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2007” in second and third line of paragraph 2 of the order dated 11-11-2019 in O.A.No.511/2017 and issue certified copy of the order to the parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.580/2018  
(Amol Sakruksar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate holding for Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By order dated 07-08-2018 applicant was allowed to amend the O.A. but the applicant has not carried out the amendment since 07-08-2018. Applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1026/2019**  
**(Keshav Soundarmal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17-01-2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 17-01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1027/2019**  
**(Arjun Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 16-01-2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 16-01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.491/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1872/2019  
(Radhakrishan Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G.Vasmatkar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Heard learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. The applicant is claiming benefit of G.R. dated 14-12-2006 and challenging the order passed in view of G.R. dated 03-07-2009 by which advance increments granted have been withdrawn. Cause of action arose to the applicant in the year 2009. The applicant has moved the present O.A. on 16-09-2009. Inordinate delay of more than 10 years has occurred in filing the O.A. Said delay is deliberate and intentional.

4. The delay caused for filing the O.A. has not been satisfactorily explained by the applicant. Inordinate delay, which is deliberate and intentional cannot be



=2=

M.A.NO.491/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1872/2019

condoned. O.A. is barred by limitation. Hence, the M.A. deserves to be dismissed. Accordingly, the M.A. stands dismissed. Registration of O.A. is refused. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.540/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1933/2019  
(Dr. Haridas Chimne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Fajj Khan learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Heard learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. By filing the present O.A., the applicant is claiming pension and pensionary benefits. Cause of action arose to the applicant in the year 2000. The applicant has moved the present O.A. on 14-09-2019. Applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Inordinate delay of more than 19 years has occurred in filing the O.A. Said delay is deliberate and intentional.

4. The delay caused for filing the O.A. has not been satisfactorily explained by the applicant. Inordinate delay, which is deliberate and intentional cannot be condoned. O.A. is hopelessly barred by limitation.

=2=

M.A.NO.540/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1933/2019

Hence, the M.A. deserves to be dismissed. Accordingly, the M.A. stands dismissed. Registration of O.A. is refused. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.563/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1421/2019  
(Manoj Dusane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.T.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 17-01-2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

M.A.NO.563/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1421/2019

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 17-01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.565/2019 IN M.A.ST.NO.2273/2019 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.2261/2019  
(Jayashri Bhokare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. M.A.No.565/2019 has been filed for leave to sue jointly. Relief sought by the applicants is similar and orders challenged by them are also identical.

3. In view thereof, as the relief sought by the applicants is similar and to avoid multiplicity of litigation, M.A.No.565/2019 for sue jointly is allowed with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.ST.NO.2273/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2261/2019**  
**(Jayashri Bhokare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 09-01-2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

M.A.NO.563/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1421/2019

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 09-01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810/2018  
(Somnath Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Yogesh Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. By order dated 07-11-2019 time was granted to the respondents to file sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/-.

3. Today also learned P.O. sought time to file sur-rejoinder. No just cause is shown for granting time still in the interest of justice time is granted to file sur-rejoinder subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- in addition to earlier costs of Rs.5000/-. Hence, time is granted to file sur-rejoinder subject to payment of total costs of Rs.10000/-, which shall be deposited with the Registry on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 08-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.931/2018**  
**(Manik Darade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.20/2019  
(Nita Magare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol R. Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10-01-2020 for producing relevant documents on record.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.21/2019**  
**(Nandini Holkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol R. Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10-01-2020 for producing relevant documents on record.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.185/2019  
(Dr. Sanjay Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri V.M.Vibhute learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5, S.O. to 12-12-2019 for producing documents regarding payment of amount of DCRG and GIS to the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.186/2019  
(Dr. Subhash Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri V.M.Vibhute learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S.Taur learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5, S.O. to 12-12-2019 for producing documents regarding payment of amount of DCRG and GIS to the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.190/2019**  
**(Dr. Chandrakant Lamture V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Case be admitted and it be kept for final hearing.
3. S.O. to 20-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.204/2019, 238/2019 and 239/2019  
(Dr. Bhagwat Khadke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B.Kolpe learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and S/Shri N.U.Yadav, I.S.Thorat and S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. has prayed for time for depositing costs. Time is granted as a last chance till next date.

4. S.O. to 12-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.351/2019  
(Sangita Kalbande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 14-01-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.362/2019  
(Neelabai Done & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 07-01-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.606/2019  
(Sayanna Aadpod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Asif Ali learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N.Ansari learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, Shri R.K.Ingole learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3 and Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned Advocate for the respondent no.4 states that he does not want to file reply.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 02-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.688/2019  
(Sujit Gorde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B.Jagtap learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 13-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.560/2019  
(Ashok Amrutkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri S. S. Chillarge learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the purpose of filing the O.A. is served, therefore, applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, he has prayed for leave to withdraw the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. As the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.563/2019  
(Ravindra Kakde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the purpose of filing the O.A. is served, therefore, applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, she has prayed for leave to withdraw the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. As the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.614/2019  
(Dnyaneshwar Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the purpose of filing the O.A. is served, therefore, applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, she has prayed for leave to withdraw the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. As the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.949/2018  
(Chandidas Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the purpose of filing the O.A. is served, therefore, applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, she has prayed for leave to withdraw the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. As the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.505/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2039/2019  
(E. Y. Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 16-01-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.506/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2041/2019  
(Mirza Jamil Baig V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 16-01-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.40/2019  
(Dr. Manisha Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.711/2018  
(Sanjay Nade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for adjournment. Adjournment is granted.

3. S.O. to 14-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.962/2017  
(Bhausahab Ratyate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. S.R.Awad learned Advocate holding for  
Shri A.S.Bayas learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 07-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.59/2018  
(Umesh Parate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292 OF 2019**  
**(Jagdish B. Dabhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other sides.
3. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.366 OF 2019**  
**(Sagarsing K. Gusinge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant has made representation with the Respondent No.2 i.e. Charity Commissioner, Mumbai on 06.03.2019 for revocation of suspension but the Charity Commissioner has not decided the same. Therefore, he has prayed to direct the Respondent No.2 to decide his representation at the earliest and with that to dispose of the Original Application.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the reasonable time may be granted to the Respondent No.2 to decide the representation while disposing the Original Application.

4. On perusal of record it reveals that the Applicant has challenged the suspension order by filing the Original Application. He has filed the representation on 06.03.2019 with the Respondent No.2 i.e. Charity Commissioner, Mumbai for revocation of suspension.



But the Charity Commissioner has not decided the same till today. The representation is still pending. Therefore, in the circumstances, in my view, it is just to direct the Respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 06.03.2019 filed by the Applicant within one month from today as per rules on merit and communicate its decision to the Applicant in writing.

5. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with the direction to the Respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 06.03.2019 filed by the Applicant within one month from today as per rules on merit and to communicate the decision to the Applicant in writing. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.567 OF 2019  
(Vinod K. Dhandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohit R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.698 OF 2019  
(Shaila D. Adhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.841 OF 2019  
(Dr. Sandip J. Ghugare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other sides.

3. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.576 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.897 OF 2019  
(Dr. Uttam K. Ambre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.V. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application filed by the Applicant for restoration of O.A.No.897 of 2019.

3. For the reason mentioned in the paragraph no.2, the present M.A.No.576 of 2019 deserves to be allowed.

4. In view of the above, the Misc. Application No.576/2019 is allowed. The order of dismissal passed in O.A.No.897/2019 on 25.11.2019 is hereby quashed and set aside. The Original Application is restored to its original number.

5. Accordingly, the Misc. Application No.576 of 2019 is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.897 OF 2019  
(Dr. Uttam K. Ambre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.V. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the order passed in M.A. 576/2019 on 28.11.2019, the O.A.No.897/19 is restored to its original number.

3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 13.01.2020.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 13.01.2020.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89 OF 2018  
(Sayeda Khalida wd/ Md. Naeem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri S.R. Pande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 **(absent)**.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 06.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.743 OF 2018  
(Bhimrao N. Kokate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that he has to take instruction regarding status of the Writ Petition. Therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.926 OF 2018  
(Kishan P. Solunke @ Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent and at the request of the both the parties, S.O. to 10.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.894 OF 2019  
(Suman B. Wavdhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 3.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1012 OF 2019  
(Satish N. Badade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.01.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. S.O. to 10.01.2020.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1024 OF 2019  
(Lata S. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Ware, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 7.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1028 OF 2019  
(Sarjerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.V. Khillare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is challenging the impugned termination order dated 06.06.2018 by filing the present Original Application.

3. In view of the provision of section 21 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, the Applicant has to approach this Tribunal within one year from the date of issuance of impugned order.

4. The Applicant has not filed the present Original Application within prescribed period of limitation. The Original Application is barred by limitation. Hence, the Original Application stands rejected. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1032 OF 2019**  
**(Sadashiv V. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.01.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 10.01.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.553 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1761 OF 2019**  
**(Renukadas N. Dadafe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is challenging the result of the Post Recruitment Examination for Clerks in the Police Department, conducted in the year 2010 by filing the present Original Application.

3. It is contention of the Applicant that the result of the said examination has been declared in violation of the provision of the Rules for Post Recruitment Examination for Clerk in Police Department which were published on 15.4.1971.

4. It is his further contention that he learned about the said Rule in the year 2019 when he applied for copy of the result and therefore, he made representation which has been rejected in the year 2019 and therefore, the Original Application is in time.

5. He has submitted that because of the above said reason, the delay has been caused. The delay of 7 years, 9 months and 14 days has been caused for filing the Original Application and therefore, he has prayed to condone the delay and allow the M.A.

6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Rules for Post Recruitment Examination for Clerk in the Police Department has already been published on 15.4.1971. The examination has been conducted in the year 2010 and the result has been declared accordingly. The Applicant has not challenged the said result in time. Not only this, but he appeared for examination in the year 2015 and thereafter again in the year 2019. But he never challenged the result of the examination conducted in the year 2010. He has filed representation in the year 2019 and thereafter, he filed present Original Application which is barred by limitation.

7. Learned P.O. further submits that the Applicant has not given justifiable and satisfactory reason for condoning the delay caused for filing the Original Application.

8. On perusal of record it reveals that the Applicant has challenged the result of the Post Recruitment Examination for Clerk in Police Department conducted in the year 2010. The delay of 7 years 9 months of 14 days has been caused in filing the Original Application.

//3//

M.A.553/19 in O.A.St.1761/19

No just cause has been shown by the Applicant to condone the delay caused for filing the Original Application. The delay is deliberate and inordinate. Therefore, the same cannot be condoned. Hence, the Misc. Application is rejected. Consequently the registration of the Original Application is refused. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.2272 OF 2019  
(Jivan B. Thosar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is directed to remove the office objection first and thereafter ask for circulation.
3. The case is removed from board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SBA ORAL ORDER 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 825, 864, 865, 866 & 867 ALL OF 2016  
(Renukadas N. Dadafe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vijay B. Jodgane Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants in all the cases, Shri H.A. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.7 to 9 in O.A.No.825/2016 and Shri Satyajit Bora & P.P. Kothari, learned Advocates for the Respondent No.5 in O.A.Nos.865, 866 & 867 all of 2016 (**Absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respective respondents in all the cases (**present**). Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate has filed VAKALATNAMA on behalf of Shri Pradip Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 in O.A.No.864 of 2016. The same is taken on record.

2. The Applicants have not carried out the amendment in view of the directions given by this Tribunal on 18.10.2019 in specific order.

3. As none present for the applicants, S.O. to 12.12.2019 for passing dismissal order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 525/2019 IN O.A. 694/2018  
(Shri Sunil T. Suryawanshi & Anr. V/s. Somnath S. Reddy and State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Sonawane, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 2 to 4 in M.A. and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 in M.A. / applicant in O.A.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 13.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 560/2019 IN O.A. 583/2019  
(Shri Shriram C. Pachpute & Anr. V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application has been filed by the applicants for restoration of O.A. no. 853/2019 which was dismissed for want of compliance of the observations of the Tribunal in the order dtd. 18.9.2019.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the M.A, it is allowed and disposed of. Order of dismissal passed in O.A. No. 853/2019 is set aside. O.A. is restored to its original position. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 583/2019**

**(Shri Shriram C. Pachpute & Anr. V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of order passed by the Tribunal today in M.A. no. 560/2019, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.1.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 10.1.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 566/2019 IN T.A. 7/2016 (W.P. 1533/2015)  
(Smt. Chandrakala Kacharu V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Firdose Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Naseem R. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application has been filed by the applicant for restoration of T.A. no. 7/2016 (W.P. no. 1533/2015) which was dismissed by the Tribunal for want of prosecution vide the order dtd. 15.11.2019.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the M.A, it is allowed and disposed of. Order of dismissal passed in T.A. No. 7/2016 (W.P. No. 1533/2016) is set aside. T.A. / W.P. is restored to its original position. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A. 7/2016 (W.P. 1533/2015)**  
**(Smt. Chandrakala Kacharu V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Firdose Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Naseem R. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today by passing separate order in M.A. no. 566/2019 the present T.A. / W.P. is restored to its original position.

3. Learned Presenting Officer waives service of notice of restoration for the respondents.

4. Since the Division Bench is not available, the present T.A. / W.P. be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing as and when it would be available.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 33/2019 IN O.A.NO. 678/2017  
(Shri Markand S. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 02.01.2020 for making submissions on the line of the order passed by this Tribunal on 14.11.2019 .

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 120 OF 2019  
(Shri Yogesh S. Shingnare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.01.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 577 OF 2017  
(Shri Ramesh G. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 16.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2019  
(Dr. Devidas L. Lavhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.01.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2019  
(Shri Sukracharya B. Tekale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 06.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 356/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1530/2019  
(Smt. Ranjana D. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 10.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply in M.A. No. 356/2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 390/2019 IN O.A.NO. 726/2019  
(Smt. Sonal Vinod Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 10.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply in M.A. No. 390/2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107 OF 2019  
(Shri Manjusha E. Kute (Khade) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 30 OF 2018  
(Smt. Rohini S. Deokar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**1) O.A. NO. 107/2019**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

**2) O.A. NO. 30/2018**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the respondent No. 5 has filed affidavit in reply on his behalf in O.A. No. 107/2019 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 19.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 384 OF 2019  
(Shri Vinayak B. Kapse & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that on the last date i.e. on 14.11.2019 at the request of learned Presenting Officer the present case was adjourned and kept today for filing affidavit in reply. It has been observed by this Tribunal on the said date that, if the affidavit in reply is not filed on the next date, the present case may be taken up for final hearing without affidavit in reply of the respondents. In spite of that today also learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time till 07.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

4. On query, learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that he has no objection to grant time to the respondents till 07.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

5. Hence, S.O. to 07.12.2019 for filing affidavit in reply for the respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 579/2019 WITH O.A.NO. 871/2018  
(Dr. Chandrakant S. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN  
(This matter is kept before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 28.11.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. (respondents in O.A.) and Shri Sandeep Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate the applicant in O.A.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A., S.O. to 03.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 28.11.2019-HDD