

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.11.2018

O.A.No.453 of 2018

P.S. WalkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered copy of letter issued by the Government dated 28.11.2018 informing to him that the Government has taken decision to reinstate the Applicant in service and the issue remains only about posting.

3. As per letter, the proposal of posting is submitted before the Competent Authority and order will be issued on 5.12.2018.

4. As such, the grievance of the Applicant is likely to be redressed shortly in view of revocation of suspension and posting to be issued by 5.12.2018.

5. S.O. to 6.12.2018.

Sd/-
v
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 28/11/18
CCRAM
Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE
Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 6/12/18

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> O.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>13/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018 O.A.No.936 of 2018</p> <p>V.K. ValviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present matter challenge is to the suspension order dated 30.7.2018 wherein it is stated that the Applicant is kept under suspension from 11.5.2018 in view of arrest and detention in police custody pertaining to crime registered against her.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered order of government dated 27.11.2018 which seems to be issued to correct the illegality crept in order dated 30.7.2018 whereby the Applicant was kept under suspension from the date of arrest.</p> <p>4. Factually, the Applicant was not at all in police custody for more than 48 hours. He was arrested on 11.5.2018 and released on bail on 12.5.2018. This position is admitted by the learned P.O. for the Respondents. Perhaps ^{releasing} releasing the said mistake in order dated 30.07.2018 fresh order dated 27.11.2018 has been issued.</p> <p>5. In view of the subsequent development, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought leave to make small amendment in the application pertaining to order dated 27.11.2018.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that in view of the order dated 27.11.2018, the Applicant is entitled for pay and allowance for the period from 11.5.2018 to 30.7.2018 and directions be given to the Respondents for the same. Insofar as this aspect is concerned, learned P.O. for the Respondents sought time to take instructions from the department.</p> <p>7. Needless to mention that in view of the revised suspension order dated 27.11.2018, the Applicant shall be entitled for pay and allowances from 11.5.2018 to 30.7.2018 as per rules.</p> <p>8. Respondents to take appropriate actions in this behalf.</p> <p>9. Two weeks time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply to the Respondents.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 13.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.11.2018

O.A.No.265 of 2018

A.D. Shaikh

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A. challenge is to the suspension order dated 2.12.2017 and 27.2.2018. However, subsequent to the filing of proceeding, the department at their own level revoked the suspension.

3. However, the Applicant has been posted at other place and not at the place from which he was suspended. The issue remains about the reinstatement at the same place.

4. Reply in affidavit is already filed and taken on record.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that he wants to take instructions and if necessary additional affidavit-in-reply would be filed.

6. As the point now remains to be decided is very short hearing is expedited.

7. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for hearing with liberty to the P.O. to file reply, if desire, on the next date and no further time will be granted.

8. S.O. to 13.12.2018.

DATE : 28/11/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 13/12/18

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>14/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018 O.A.No.769 of 2017</p> <p>S.R.S. MunirApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present Original Application, the challenge is to the order issued by disciplinary authority whereby the period of suspension has been treated as the period 'not on duty' and it was treated to consider only for pension purpose.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that in case the minor penalty imposed upon the Applicant in appeal, the suspension was not justified. Ultimately in this manner of such minor penalty, suspension not to be treated as not on duty and it should be treated as the period 'spent on duty' for all purposes.</p> <p>4. On this behalf learned Advocate for the Applicant cited the judgment relied upon by him passed by Hon'ble High Court <u>1999(3) Mh. L.J. in case of S.P. Naik Vs. Board of Trustees.</u></p> <p>5. In view of the above, as the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 28.11.2018. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.960 of 2018

Dr. A.L. KhanvilkarApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. A. Chaware, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend by way of substitution of entire paper-book of O.A.
3. Leave as prayed is granted.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.02.2019.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 04.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

28/11/18

Coram - Hon' Justice
A.H. Joshi (C)

Smt. A.C. Lawate Adv
for the Applicant
Miss. S.P. Manchekar
CPO for the Respondents

Heard
order passed in
Tribunal Coram

S.O. to 4/2/19



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 28.11.2018.
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>Amit Mishra, b/f</u> <u>M/S Khemka Associates</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/<u>1 to 15</u> Leave note of <u>Smt. Punam Mahajan</u> <u>Res A. No. 1 to 15.</u> S.O. to <u>19/12/18.</u> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>M.A.No.436 of 2017 in O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>V.D. JadhavApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Mishra, the learned Advocate holding for M/S. Khemka Associates, the learned Advocate holding for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.16 to 18. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Respondents No.1 to 15 is absent and leave note is on record.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that this Tribunal has already disposed of O.A.No.1019/2015 by order dated 22.08.2017 and O.A. came to be allowed.</p> <p>3. It is against this order Applicant in M.A.No.436 of 2017 has filed Review Petition along with application for condonation of delay which is registered as M.A.No.436 of 2017.</p> <p>4. During the hearing, it is also transpired that State has already filed Writ Petition against the order dated 22.08.2017 passed by this Tribunal and matter is sub judice for Hon'ble High Court. Even the present applicants have also filed intervention application in the said Writ Petition which is yet to be decided.</p> <p>5. Another aspect which transpired from the record is that Applicant's who have sought review in order dated 22.08.2017 are not parties to the O.A.No.1019/2015.</p> <p>6. This being the position, maintainability of application filed for review needs to be examined and decided. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is therefore directed to satisfy how review application as well as M.A. for condonation of delay is maintainable when they are not parties to the O.A.No.1019/2015. He has requested for grant of short time to take instructions from the client.</p> <p>7. For hearing on the maintainability of the M.A.No.436/2017 as well as Review Application which is yet to be registered, adjourned to 19.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.11.2018.

O.A.No.981 of 2018

S.S. Gargate

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate holding for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 02.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

DATE : 28/11/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri. ~~.....~~ A.P. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. ~~.....~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 2/1/19

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE <u>M.B. Kadam</u> <u>J.N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>8/11/19</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.1015 of 2018</p> <p>Mohd. H.H. KacchiApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 08.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>13/12/18.</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018 O.A.No.267 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M.K. BarveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In present matter challenge is to the suspension order which is stayed by this Tribunal on 27.3.2018. 3. As per order dated 30.11.2018 passed by this Tribunal, the Respondents were directed to release pay and allowances to the Applicant in view of the interim stay granted by this Tribunal on 27.3.2018. 4. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered letter dated 27.11.2018 informing that pay and allowances are processed and bill is submitted to the treasury pertaining to the period from 3.4.2018 to 10.7.2018 amongst other allowances. 5. Whereas, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the pay and allowances needs to be paid from 27.3.2018 and not from 3.4.2018. 6. In view of the stay to the suspension, needs to mention that pay and allowances are needs to be paid from 3.4.2018. 7. Learned P.O. for the Respondent is directed to instruct to do the needful and inform on the next date. 8. As per from this, learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on the next date without fail so as to decide the matter on merit. 9. S.O. to 13.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri. <u>Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Ad/S.O. to MA. 607/18 is disposed of.</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018 M.A.No.607 of 2018 in O.A.No.862 of 2017</p> <p>Shri S.N. MauleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc. Application has been filed for amendment in the O.A. in view of contention raised by Respondents in their reply affidavit.</p> <p>3. By proposed amendment, the Applicant sought to rebut the contention raised by the Respondents in affidavit-in-reply about alleged delay on the part of Applicant to take steps within ^{within} time pertaining to recovery.</p> <p>4. Infact, the Applicant can raise all this contention by filing rejoinder instead of filing of application for amendment.</p> <p>5. Liberty is granted to file rejoinder.</p> <p>6. Hence, Misc. Application No.607 of 2018 is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u></p> <p>GRAM Hon. Jc Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>19/12/18</u> O.A. is admitted.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.855 of 2018</p> <p>R.T. ZajariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered a copy of order dated 27.11.2018 passed by Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division, Pune whereby Head Quarter of the Applicant has been kept at Chandgad instead of Kolhapur in pursuance of directions given by this Tribunal on 30.10.2018.</p> <p>3. As such the directions given by this Tribunal by way of interim relief has been complied with, the matter is admitted for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.12.2018 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.11.2018

O.A.No.876 of 2017

J.R. SonkavadeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant as well as his Advocate both are absent.
2. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate are not attending the Tribunal regularly.
4. Today, the matter was called out in the morning session. Again it is taken on at 1 o'clock. However, Applicant and Advocate both are absent.
5. As such, the Applicant and his Advocate seems to be not interested in proceedings this application, the Original Application is dismissed in default.

DATE: 28/11/18

CORAM
Honble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE
~~Shri. J. R. Sonkavade~~ Applicant and
Advocate absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to O.A. is dismissed
in default.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.11.2018.

O.A.No.1019 of 2018 with M.A.No.601 of 2018

A.V. JoshiApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 08.01.2019.

DATE : 28/11/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri. M. B. Kadam
vs. J.N. Kamble.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. S. D. Dole
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adi/S.O. to 28/11/18.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 28/11/18 CORAM Hon. Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>ADVOCATE Shri. G. J. Patil</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule G.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents Reply filed off Pt. No. 3. Adj./S.O. to 20/12/18 O.A. cases admitted. <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018 O.A.No.925 of 2018</p> <p>V.M. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.J. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 and adopted the same on behalf of the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are completed the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.832 of 2017

R.L. Borase & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that reply has been filed.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
28.11.2018

(sgl)

DATE : 28/11/2018

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Ors. : None for the apps.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

CPO/PO. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to : Admit. To come up for
Final hearing in due course.

Handwritten initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.467 of 2018

P.B. Thakare & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that reply has been filed.
3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
28.11.2018

(sgl)

DATE : 29/11/2018

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (M)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. None for the apps.

Advocates for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Admit. To come up
for final hearing in due course

BTE

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>COMAR: <u>Shri P.H. Dixit (M.M)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Applicant: <u>None for the App.</u> Ad. for the Applicant <u>Archana B.K.</u> Ad. for the Respondent/s <u>At the request of</u> <u>Ld. Po. adjourned to</u> <u>4/12/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.390, 391 & 392 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.V. Mane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 4.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>shri P.N. Dixit C.M.J.</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>None for the appl.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O.R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./so to <u>At 4/12/2018 at the request of Ld. P.O.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.487 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 4.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (C.M.A.)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the Appls.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>4/12/2018 at the</u> <u>request of Ld. po.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.597 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.B. Panari & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 4.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.771 of 2018

S.D. Shirsathi & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
28.11.2018

(sgl)

DATE : 28/11/2018

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (C.M.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the appls.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 12/12/2018 at the
request of Ld. P.O.

BT

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (cmtn)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Dur: <u>None for the Appl.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: Smt/Smt: <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>17/12/2018</u> at the request of Ld. p.o.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.790 of 2018 with O.A. No.482 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.R. Thok R.J. Shelke ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 17.12.2018 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cocam, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (CMA)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.B. Kadam</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O/R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>By way of Last chance</u> <u>adjourned to 2/11/2019.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>J.K.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.833 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance adjourned to 2.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>None for the appl.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>At the request of</u> <u>Ld. P.O. adjourned to 7/1/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.943 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.I. Khot ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 7.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.H. Dixit (mb)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Cr. <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>At the request of</u> <u>Ld. P.O. adjourned to 12/12/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MLB</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.521 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.A. Thakare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she proposes to find out whether respondents no.1, 3 & 4 wishes to file reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p style="text-align: left;">(sgl)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.562 of 2014

V.S. Utikar ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged minor penalty imposed on him in the Departmental Enquiry by filing the present OA.

3. As per the office order dated 31.5.2018 issued by the Hon'ble Chairnan the subject-matter relating to minor punishments, post DE or without DE, has to be dealt with by Single Bench. Therefore, we are of the opinion that this matter has to be placed before the Single Bench.

4. Registry to take necessary steps for appropriate orders of the Hon'ble Chairman.

DATE: 28/11/2018

CORAM: Shri P.H. Dixit (CJ/1st)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam Ld. Adv.
holding for adv. Sandeep Dere
Ld. Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C/O P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to this matter has
to be placed before the
single bench.

BL

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
28.11.2018

(sgl)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)
Member (J)
28.11.2018


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

PLA./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Applicant and Advocate Advocate for the Applicant absent</p> <p>Shri S.D. Dole C.P. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>13/12/18</u></p> <p> prk</p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2018.</p> <p>M.A.No.444 of 2018 in O.A.No.795 of 2018</p> <p>V.N. GhatgeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. Applicant and his Counsel Shri A.S. Patil both are absent.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O. two week's time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE: 28/11/18

CIT

Hon'ble (Chairman)

Hon'ble

APPEAR

Shri/Smt. none for the Applicant

Advocate for Respondent

Shri/Smt. A. G. Gohad

Advocate for Respondent/s

Smt. P. Gawane for MPSC

Adj./S.O. to 30/11/19

Date : 28.11.2018.

C.A.No.109 of 2015 in O.A.No.353 of 2014

Dr. K.S. RamamurthyApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Smt. P. Gawane, the learned Advocate for M.P.S.C. is absent. None for the Applicant.
3. In view of the stay by Hon'ble High Court, adjourned to 30.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J),

Chairman

prk

Date : 28.11.2018.

C.A.No.59 of 2014 in O.A.No.145 of 2013

R.D. KshirsagarApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 28/11/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri F. H. Dixit Member (A)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Gmt : A. V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss S. Suryawanshi

Advocate for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Head

order passed in
Tribunal column.

CA is disposed
with liberty to
re-agitate, the cause
if it survive.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned
Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. In view that, the order passed in O.A. has been stayed
by Hon'ble High Court, present C.A.No.59/2014 is disposed
with liberty to re-agitate, the cause if it survives.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

Date : 28.11.2018.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

O.A.No.987/2018 with M.A.No.589 of 2018

T.S. Mulla & Ors.

.....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte/ Shri V. Khandare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.No.987/2018 and M.A.No.589/2018. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application and Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 07.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 28/11/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/MS. S. P. Manchekar c/o

Advocate for the Applicant (ori-fes)

Shri/Smt. D. N. Sadavarte / V. Khandare

S.O. for the Respondent's abs cell

Adj./S.O. to Head

order passed in
Tribunal Coram
som 21/11/18

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.11.2018.

O.A.No.1116 of 2016

DATE : 28/11/18

CORAM :

Honble Justice P.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Justice D. D. D. Member (A)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to Head

order passed in
Tribunal column
SO to 4/12/18.
Steno copy and
Hamdast is allowed
to learned P.O.

U.S. Salunke

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for adjournment.
3. Shri A.M. Jadhav, Joint Director is directed to remain personally present at 11.00 a.m. on 04.12.2018.
4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>22/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jochi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ME</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1110 of 2017</u></p> <p>C.B. Nerkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply on behalf of respondent no.4 has been filed. He proposed to file reply on behalf of respondent no.1 on 29.11.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 28.11.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>