

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. Advocate for the Applicant - ori. Respondents. Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s - ori. Applicant. Adv. Adj. G.O. to <u>M.A. stands disposed of.</u></p>	<p><u>28.11.2017</u></p> <p><u>M.A 405/2017 in O.A No 363/2017</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p>Vs. Shri K.N. Patil ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Both sides agree as follows:- (a) Order passed on 18.8.2017 in O.A no 363/2017 be recalled and O.A No. 363/2017 be restored along with interim order as in operation on 18.8.2017 and O.A be heard on its own merits.</p> <p>3. M.A stands disposed of</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/11/17</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. S.O. to M.A. is disposed as infructuous.</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.11.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.288 of 2017 in O.A.No.417 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. P.S. AwateApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is disposed as infructuous.</p> <p>prk</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.11.2017**O.A No 935/2016**

Shri P.J Ukade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri T.V Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Though affidavit filed by Respondents says otherwise, record shows that applicant was absorbed on a vacancy meant for reserved category by Collector's order dated 12.10.2000. Reference to the order dated 12.10.2000 quoted in the impugned order at reference No. 3 and copy whereof is produced today. Learned P.O prays for withdrawal and direction to strike off the affidavit filed by the State. The affidavit in reply of the State at page 82 onwards be struck off, removed and be kept in second set.

3. In the background of the fact that the applicant was absorbed against a reserved vacancy, now it is not open for applicant to argue contrary to his absorption.

4. In view of law as it prevails, if certificate/claim of caste-tribe is invalidated, all claims are perished.

5. Hence applicant has no right and hence O.A is dismissed.

DATE : 28/11/17**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)


APPEARANCE:Shri/Smt. T.V. Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. Savita Suryavanshi

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to

O.A. is dismissed.


(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.11.2017

O.A No 718/2017

Shri S.G Palkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for applicant prays for leave to amend the Original Application. Leave as prayed is granted.

3. S.O to 6.12.2017.

DATE : 28/11/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 6/12/17.

[Signature]

Akn

0
[Signature]
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman