


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1296 of 2024 with M.A. No.655/2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Dange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Dange, Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 9.10.2024 this Tribunal passed interim order as follows:</p> <p align="center"><i>"7. Under such circumstances, the applicant, if at all relieved yesterday or today, is directed to continue working on the concern post considering the law and order of Mumbai City."</i></p> <p>3. On 21.10.2024 the respondents filed MA No.655/2024 in this OA for vacating the interim order dated 9.10.2024.</p> <p>4. On 25.10.2024 this Tribunal passed the following order:</p> <p align="center"><i>"7. He is directed to file affidavit in reply today itself as Misc. Application is moved for vacating the stay granted by this Tribunal and which is required to be taken up urgently."</i></p> <p align="center"><i>8. Hence the matter is fixed peremptorily on 28.10.2024 for hearing on vacating the interim order passed by this Tribunal."</i></p> <p>5.  This is a matter of record that as per order dated 25.10.2024 the applicant has not filed affidavit in reply to the MA.</p> <p>6. The applicant in person submitted that on 10.10.2024 the Joint Commissioner of Police (Administration), Greater Mumbai had made a request to the higher authority to cancel transfer order of the applicant.</p> <p>7. L1. CPO has invited my attention to reply of Special Inspector General (Estt.) dated 18.10.2024. This reply states that:</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

“तसेच मा.भारतीय निवडणूक आयोग, नवी दिल्ली यांच्या दि.३१/०७/२०२४ च्या पत्रातील परिच्छेद क्र.५.३ मध्ये "In case a Police Commissionerate consists of multiple districts, the concerned officer should be transferred out of the Commissionerate, instead of the revenue district" असे नमूद करण्यात आलेले आहे. त्यामुळे मुख्य निवडणूक अधिकारी, महाराष्ट्र राज्य यांच्या सहमतीने पोलीस निरीक्षक अनुप संभाजी डांगे यांची या कार्यालयाच्या दि.०४/१०/२०२४ च्या आदेशान्वये मुंबई शहर येथुन नागपूर शहर येथे बदली करण्यात आली असून त्यांची बदली रद्द करता येत नाही.”

8. On 23.10.2024 this Tribunal, in a group of OAs, passed the interim order. Clause (B) of said interim order reads as under:

“(B) All the Applicants who are granted interim stay are to be posted on the functional post within Mumbai Commissionerate on or before 25.10.2024 to enable them to join the post on 25th or 26th October, 2024 as the case is.”

9. It is submitted by Ld. CPO that if at all interim order is to be passed in favour of the applicant it be directed that he be posted at functional post within Mumbai Commissionerate on or before 29.10.2024 to enable him to join on the post by tomorrow. I have come to the conclusion that this interim order will sufficiently protect the applicant till next date. Hence, the order.

10. The applicant shall be posted at the functional post within Mumbai Commissionerate on or before 29.10.2024 to enable him to join the post by 29.10.2024. The concerned authority shall accordingly give him posting so that he can join the post by 29.10.2024.

11. In this OA the respondents shall file their reply well in advance before the returnable date and copy shall be supplied to the applicant. The applicant on being served with copy of reply of the respondents shall be at liberty to file rejoinder, if deemed necessary, before returnable date.

2. S.O. to 27.11.2024 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)
28.10.2024

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.595 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.878 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 with O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023 with O.A. No.1468 of 2023 with O.A. No.179 of 2024 with O.A. No.191 of 2024 with O.A. No.222 of 2024 with O.A. No.638 of 2024 with O.A. No.1464 of 2023 with O.A. No.1485 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for Applicants. Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for Applicant. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

2. The Group of O.A's mentioned above which relates to 'Inter District Transfer' of 'Police Constables' on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police Greater Mumbai' to establishment of other (i) 'Commissioner of Police' & (ii) 'Superintendent of Police' could not be taken up for further hearing due to paucity of time and thus kept after 'Diwali Vacations'; to ascertain about compliance of directions in 'Para 9' of 'Order' dated 14.10.2024 by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.

3. The 'Interim Relief' if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above is therefore to continue till 'Next Date'.

4. S.O. to 12.11.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1251 of 2024</p> <p>D.F. SanapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.11.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 18.11.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.10.2024

M.A. No.669 of 2024 in O.A. No.1422 of 2024

V.K. Teke

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice of M.A. for Condonation of Delay before admission returnable on 18.11.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.10.2024

O.A. No.1405 of 2024

S.B. Wakode

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks permission to withdraw this O.A. The Applicant is present in person.

3. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.10.2024

O.A. No.1399 of 2024

Dr. N.R. DeshmukhApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Smt. D.M. Punghera, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has relied on Interim Order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.14354 of 2024 dated 15.10.2024 Para 3 of which reads as under:-

"3. Considering the fact that during pendency of the Original Applications an order of interim protection had been passed coupled with the fact that a similar issue is pending in other writ petitions, there shall be ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clauses (d) and (e) of the petition. However, this continuation would be subject to the outcome of the writ petition. It is made clear that in case the writ petition fails, the respondents would be at liberty to pass appropriate orders with regard to recovery/adjustment of the amounts paid to the petitioner for the services rendered under the interim order."

3. It is submitted that by virtue of aforesaid order of the Hon'ble High Court, identical protection deserves to be given to the Applicant. It is further submitted that the Applicant has completed 58 years on 14.10.2024 and unless interim protection is granted he would stand retired on 31.10.2024. On the basis of aforesaid Interim Order of the Hon'ble High Court the following Interim Order will subsist till returnable date.

4. Till returnable date no coercive action shall be taken against the Applicant.

5. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

6. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.10.2024

O.A. No.1397 of 2024

C.R. KanaseApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.10.2024

O.A. No.1098 of 2024

S.R. ShindeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri M.U. Rajput, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Further time sought to carry out amendment as per order dated 09.09.2024 is granted. After the amendment is carried out notice shall be issued to the newly added Respondent.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice to newly added Respondent before admission returnable on 20.11.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.936 of 2024 with M.A. No.462 of 2024</p> <p>S.C. BhangeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Madhavi Ayyapan, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned Advocate for Applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1384 of 2024</p> <p>G.S. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. Respondent No.2 shall decide representation of the Applicant dated 24.05.2024 (Exhibit B collectively) on its own merit within four weeks from today and the decision shall be communicated to the Applicant forthwith. 9. S.O. to 25.11.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1423 of 2024</p> <p>V.K. TekeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant was served with the Charge-sheet dated 21.01.2021 (Exhibit A). It is his grievances that though period of more than 3 ½ years has elapsed since then even inquiry officer has not been appointed and in such circumstances considering the outer limit of one year for completion of D.E. set in the case of "Premnath Bali" & also "N Radhakishan" the Applicant would be entitled to Interim Relief in the form of staying the effect and implementation of Charge-sheet dated 21.01.2021. It would be necessary to hear the other side.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2024.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.832/2024</p> <p>V.B. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. On oral request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 18.11.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J) </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 28.10.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.812/2024</p>
	<p>M.A. GaikwadApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Guarav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned Counsel adjourned to 18.11.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.773/2024</p> <p>J.B. SuryawanshiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Grievance of the applicant is that his provisional pension is withheld unjustifiably from June, 2024 onwards till today.</p> <p>3. On this pointed learned P.O. shall make statement on 14.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>O.A.No.678/2024 with O.A.No.679/2024 with O.A.No.680/2024 with O.A.No.681/2024 with Tr.O.A.No.682/2024</p>
	<p>S.U. Maske & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The grievance of the Applicants in this group of matters is that in O.A.No.680/2024 reply is not filed whereas in rest of four connected O.As reply is filed. It is further submitted that there are seven more identical Original Applications in which also replies have been filed more than two months back.</p> <p>3. By next date learned P.O. shall either file reply in O.A.No.680/2024 or consider adopting reply filed in connected O.As. It is submitted that remaining seven O.As are kept for Final hearing on 13.11.2024. Thus, present group too be kept on 13.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Date : 28.10.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.1019/2024 Tribunal's orders
	<p>A.U. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel states that Applicant's name is recommended on 25.09.2024 for appointment.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. states that verification in respect of experience of the applicant shall be made and thereafter appointment order will be issued.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.926/2024</p> <p>G.B. Adatrao & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 18.11.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.899/2024 (O.A.No.530/2024 Nagpur)</p> <p>Dr. M.G. KhobragadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. N.D. Thombre, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. On oral request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 18.11.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1132/2024</p> <p>A.K. Bhagat & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent</p> <p>3. In this O.A. G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is challenged. It is submitted that by issuing G.R. dated 16.09.2024, G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is cancelled. Copy of G.R. dated 16.09.2024 is placed on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1240/2024</p> <p>V.K. BagareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has placed on record copy of order dated 09.10.2024 whereby order of promotion of Mr. Chakarnarayan is cancelled. This order is marked as 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Copy of order dated 14.10.2024 (marked as 'Y' for identification) is placed on record. As per this order Mr. Shyamgawde Ramgawde Patil and Mr. Rajendra Anantrao Jehurkar are promoted subject to the outcome of this O.A. is passed.</p> <p>4. On instructions learned Counsel states that Mr. Shyamgawde Ramgawde Patil is not willing to join on the promotional post and to this effect he has also issued letter to the concerned authority. It is submitted that Respondents may consider promoting the applicant on this post. Respondent shall consider the orders dated 09.10.2024, 14.10.2024 and request made by the Applicant as above on its own merits.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.659 of 2024 in O.A. No.1392 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. Mortale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.659 of 2024 in O.A. No.1392 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.659 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.659 of 2024 is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.10.2024

O.A. No.1392 of 2024

S.S. Mortale & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The procedure to be followed for the declaration of Mulita-Cadre Recruitment Process as given in the O.A. is as follows:-

- i. General Merit List (GML)
- ii. Post Preference form (to be filled within 7 days)
- iii. Provisional Selection List (PSL)
- iv. Opting Out
- v. Final Recommendation List

3. It is the submission of learned Advocate for the Applicant that this procedure has been followed upto the 3rd Stage.

4. It is further submitted that the provisional selection list was revised by the virtue of orders passed by this Tribunal. According to the Applicants on account of revision in provisional selection list opportunity of a fresh opting out should be given so that the posts don't remain vacant.

5. S.O. to 29.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1277/2024</p> <p>V.S. KedareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. The record shows that there are compelling grounds because of which the applicant is required to be posted on one of the vacant posts in Nashik District. The Applicant is a Single woman, her brother is bed ridden and her mother is stated to be suffering from several ailments.</p> <p>3. It is not disputed that there are several posts in Nashik District including 12 posts in and around Nashik City and another 10 posts in the office of Joint Director, Vocational Education and Training, Regional Office, Nashik.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. shall take instructions and make statement tomorrow on which post from out of the above referred posts the applicant can be accommodated.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="left">prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.5/2024 in O.A.No.1016/2018</p> <p>C.S. AtkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.G. Ranjane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. It is submitted that against the order of which the Contempt is alleged the Writ Petition is pending in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and it is kept on 13.11.2024</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.605/2024 in O.A.No.847/2021</p> <p>R.V. BhaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 14.11.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply. No further time to file reply shall be given.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.461/2024 in R.A.No.8/2024 in O.A.No.396/2017</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Appli (Org. Resp)</p> <p>Vs. R.S. PatilRespondents. (Org. Appl)</p> <p>1. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respd) and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Respd.) are present</p> <p>2. This proceeding is required to be heard by the Bench of which Hon'ble Chairperson will be part.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.447/2024 in O.A.No.894/2024</p> <p>C.M. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant retired on superannuation on 31.12.2018. His grievance is that the Departmental proceedings are still pending against him. It is conceded that by virtue of order passed by this Tribunal the Applicant has received his retiral benefits. It is the matter of record that the Respondents have filed their reply to the O.A. Thus, O.A. can be heard finally.</p> <p>3. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing. In view of this order M.A. seeking direction is required to be disposed of.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly disposed of. O.A. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.109/2024 in O.A.No.1210/2019</p> <p>A.K. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. D.V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed by Respondent-Department to the M.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.68/2024 in O.A.No.853/2023 & O.A.No.854/2023</p> <p>Dr. V.S. Patil Dr. V.A. WaghApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has placed on record the copy of order dated 23.10.2024 (marked as 'X' for identification) to contend that the order of which Contempt is alleged is fully complied with.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that against the orders of which Contempt is alleged the Writ Petition is filed by the Government and it is pending.</p> <p>4. Today no one is present for the Applicant.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 25.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.42/2021 in O.A.No.678/2020 with O.A.No.679/2020 with O.A.No.680/2020</p> <p>S.S. MujawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. It is submitted that against the order of which the Contempt is alleged the Writ Petition is pending in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.12.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.41/2021 in O.A.No.1052/2016 with M.A.No.700/2019 in R.A.No.23/2019 with O.A.No.1052/2016 with R.A.No.23/2019 in O.A.No.1052/2016</p> <p>V.S. Patil V.S. KalekarApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present</p> <p>2. Mr. Bhagyashree Upadhyay, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that against the order of which the Contempt is alleged the Writ Petition is filed in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and the same is pending.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 02.12.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.667/2024 in O.A.No.1528/2023</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Appli (Org. Resp)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>A.P. SinghRespondents.(Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respd) and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Respd.) are present</p> <p>2. M.A. is allowed in terms of Prayer (b). O.A. be kept for Final Hearing on 26.11.2024. Liberty to file rejoinder. Copy of rejoinder shall be served in advance to the other side. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. O.A. Adjourned to 26.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.26/2024</p> <p>K.D. KaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1527/2023</p> <p>D.D. Devle & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.11.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1361/2023</p> <p>R.M. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing expeditiously.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.48/2021</p> <p>S.M. Joshi & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.212/2024</p> <p>A.D. Sinkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take steps as per paragraph 4 of order dated 09.09.2024.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Date : 28.10.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.303/2024 Tribunal's orders S.M. Wagh & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.
	<p>1. Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks time of two weeks to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.11.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.664/2024 in O.A.No.737/2023</p> <p>B.B. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this M.A. prayer is made to quash and set aside the impugned order. This prayer is also made in O.A. In this M.A. it is submission of the applicant that principles of natural justice were not followed.</p> <p>3. Pleading to this effect is incorporated in paragraph 6.23 of the O.A. This pleading of the applicant is elaborately traversed by Respondent No.1 in paragraph 20 of its reply. O.A. is required to be heard full and finally. Hence, M.A. cannot be considered and thus M.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. O.A. is kept on 18.11.2024 HOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.436/2024</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Chavan & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is ready and today it will be filed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 25.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.549/2024</p> <p>M.B. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.554/2024</p> <p>Dr. S.C. Mormare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. On oral request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 18.11.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1389 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.M. Rane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The impugned order dated 10.10.2024 transferring the applicant, who is holding the post of Police Havaldar from Haveli Police Station, Pune to Head Quarter is at Exhibit A-12.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that in the beginning in this order it is specified that thereunder only Police Constables are transferred on administrative grounds/request and this cannot be reconciled with the fact that the applicant is Police Havaldar. The composite order of transfers contains 111 names. Some of them are ASI and some are Police Havaldar. Therefore, one cannot only proceed on the basis of nomenclature to conclude that in this list of transfer only case of Police Constables ought to have been included.</p> <p>4. The applicant is praying for interim order that he be allowed to continue to work as before. In reply it is submitted by the Ld. PO that the applicant is already relieved. According to Ld. Advocate for the applicant contents of the impugned order will indicate that in this case proper procedure of handing over and taking over of charge was not followed. So far as this aspect of the matter is concerned, it is submitted by the Ld. PO that this is a point to be considered finally and not at the stage of considering the issue of grant of interim relief. If find no merit in this submission.</p> <p>5. Further submission of the Ld. PO is that the applicant was transferred on the basis of default report dated 21.8.2024. So far as transfer on the basis of default report is</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

concerned, it is submitted on behalf of the applicant that there is circular issued by the DGP in 2016 as well as ⁱⁿ 2017 where under there cannot be automatic transfer on the basis of default report and such transfers can be effected only if on preliminary enquiry the concerned authority find ^{the} prima facie substance in the allegations included in such default report. In reply it is submitted by Ld. PO that this issue too is required to be considered at the time of final hearing and it cannot be considered at this stage because the respondents are yet to file their reply. I find merit in this submission as well.

6. It is submitted by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant if it is prima facie found that the transfer of the applicant on the basis of default report cannot be justified, an inference will have to be drawn that the applicant was transferred on request. It was pointed out that applicant had sought transfer on request either to Local Crime Branch, Pune Rural or Rajgad Police Station, Pune Rural and if it was not possible for the department to accommodate the applicant on either of these posts, ^{he} it could not have been transferred and posted to some other place i.e. to Head Quarter. There is no record to conclude that the applicant was ^{the} transferred on request. The stand of the department is that applicant was transferred on request as well as on the basis of default report and it will set out necessary details about these grounds in their reply.

7. Considering rival submissions, I have come to conclusion that no case is made out to pass interim order.

8. S.O. to 18.11.2024. /

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)
28.10.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1338 of 2024 with M.A. No.666 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.U. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Smt. Poonam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.4 has filed MA No.666/2024 to vacate the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 15.10.2024. By order dated 15.10.2024 status quo was directed to be maintained.</p> <p>3. Thereafter the matter was heard on 21.10.2024 and it was closed for orders. On 22.10.2024 Ld. Advocate for the applicant stated that he wanted to make some additional submissions. Thus submissions were heard at length. In reply, submissions of Ld. PO as well as Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 were also heard and by passing a detailed order, the order of status quo was directed to continue till 12.11.2024.</p> <p>4. It is a settled legal position that an order which is passed after hearing of the parties cannot be modified unless there is a change in the circumstances. Today it was argued by Ld. PO that on 22.10.2024 adequate submissions could not be made on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 for want of instructions and on that date only time was sought to file reply. It is a matter of record that in para 4 of the order dated 22.10.2024 it was stated that</p> <p align="center"><i>"4. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply. Time Granted."</i></p> <p>5. However, the fact remains that even on 22.10.2024 submissions were heard on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. It is submitted by respondent no.4 that merits of this case are required to be assessed in the light of the guidelines contained in GR dated 17.12.2016 which is issued for the purpose of regulating the procedure of deputation. In order dated 22.10.2024 rival submissions were set out elaborately and only thereafter order was passed that status quo shall continue till 12.11.2024.</p> <p>7. According to the learned Advocate for respondent no.4 as well as Ld. PO for Respondents No.1 to 3 the interim order is not beneficial to anyone and in fact it is causing administrative inconvenience. Keeping in view the fact that order dated 22.10.2024 was passed after giving adequate hearing to the parties and there is no change in circumstances in the meantime, said order cannot be vacated. In the result, MA is rejected.</p> <p>8. It is pointed out that pleadings in the matter are complete and the OA should be heard on 12.11.2024 finally <i>by</i> <i>keeping it</i> high on board. Leave to file rejoinder, if necessary. Rejoinder shall be placed on record well in advance and its copy shall be supplied to the other side well in advance so that matter can be heard finally on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>9. OA is adjourned to 12.11.2024. High on board.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1266/2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Bhalerao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents. 2. The impugned order of transfer of the Applicant dated 30.08.2024 is at Exb. 'A'. 3. It is the submission of the Applicant that Respondent No.3 was the Competent Authority to transfer him as per Notification dated 28.05.2007 (Exb. 'D' collectively), but instead the impugned order is issued by Respondent No.2. It is submitted that this defect vitiates the impugned order. 4. Learned PO has made a statement that the Applicant has joined on the transferred post and on the post vacated by him, his successor Mr. Mane has also joined. Considering these facts, 'Interim Relief' cannot be granted. 5. The grievance of the Applicant is that Mr. Mane might have joined after filing of this OA. 6. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks leave to add Mr. Mane as party Respondent. Leave as sought is granted. 7. Learned PO shall file Reply of Respondents expeditiously. 8. S.O. to 25th November, 2024.  <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 28.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1355/2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Bhusare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that the Representation dated 19.09.2024 (Exb. 'A-6') filed by the Applicant is under consideration by Respondent No.2 and decision about the same shall be taken within three weeks from today. This statement is made on the basis instructions from Mr. Arvind Andhere, O.S, DG Office.</p> <p>3. The said Representation dated 19.09.2024 shall be accordingly decided and the decision shall be communicated to the Applicant forthwith.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25th November, 2024. </p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 28.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1340/2024</u></p> <p>S.K. Sanap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.G. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply of Respondent Nos.1 to 5 filed by learned PO is taken on record. Copies served to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete. OA is admitted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14th November, 2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) ✓ Member-J 28.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1183/2024</u></p> <p>P.P. Sakhare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO has placed on record the copy of order dated 15.10.2024 (Exb. 'R-1'). By this order, the Applicant is transferred. It is pointed out that the order of transfer <i>inter-alia</i> states that "सदर आदेश तात्काळ अंमलात येतील. संबंधित अधिकार्यांनी अन्य आदेशाची वाट न पाहता बदलीच्या ठिकाणी तात्काळ रुजू होऊन त्याबाबतचा अहवाल आयुक्त, महिला व बाल विकास, पुणे, आयुक्त, एकात्मिक बाल विकास सेवा योजना, नवी मुंबई व शासनास desk7a.wcd&mh@mah.gov.in या ई-मेल वर सादर करावा".</p> <p>3. In view of afore quoted portion of order dated 15.10.2024, the Applicant shall be allowed to join on the transferred post on or before 31.10.2024 without waiting for her reliever.</p> <p>4. With these directions, OA is disposed of. 'Hamdast' allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 28.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1328/2024</u></p> <p>R.R. Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 25th November, 2024 for filing Reply. 'Interim Relief', if any, to continue till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 28.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1354/2024 (Caveat No.47/2024)</u></p> <p>P.M. Kolekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 on 'Video Conferencing'. 2. Reply of Respondent No.1 filed by learned PO is taken on record. 3. Time is sought by the Respondent to file Reply. Time Granted. 4. S.O. to 12th November, 2024 for filing Reply. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 28.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1396 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.T. Morale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 25.10.2024 submissions were heard in part to consider the question to grant of interim order.</p> <p>3. It is the submission of the applicant that he is still working on the post though charge of his post is shown to have been kept with respondent no.5.</p> <p>4. A statement is made by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant on instructions that after the matter had come up on board on 25.10.2024 respondent no.5 has changed the lock of the office.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO states that he has no instructions regarding current state of affairs. It is submitted that in spite of best efforts made by him he could not get instructions from the department.</p> <p>6. Having regard to rival submissions it would be proper to protect interest of the applicant till the next date.</p> <p>7. The order of status quo shall, at this stage, be adequate. Status quo shall be maintained till the next date.</p> <p>8. Ld. PO shall intimate the office of the respondents regarding submissions made by Ld. Advocate for the applicant that respondent no.5 has changed the lock of the office. In case this is found to have been in fact done the respondents shall take necessary remedial measures at once.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 18.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1135 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1111 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.M. Bhurkud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1122 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that para wise comments are received and reply will be filed on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2024. m n /</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1345 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.H. Dhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the respondent no.2 files affidavit in reply and the same is taken on record. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. Time is also sought by Ld. PO to file respondent <i>reply</i> on behalf of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Ld. PO makes a statement that on the next date they will positively file rejoinder and reply respectively whereupon OA should <i>shall</i> be admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.11.2024. Status quo to continue till next date.</p> <p align="right">/</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.775 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.R. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has placed on record copy of orders dated 10.10.2024 and 14.10.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1359 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Zute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 29.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 28.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1412 of 2024

S.M. Gawade ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.11.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)
28.10.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.607/2024 in O.A.No.177/2020</p> <p>M.S. NigewanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Copy of M.A. is supplied to learned P.O. today.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.10.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.523/2024 in O.A.No.750/2020</p> <p>D.R. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 29.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1352/2024</p> <p>S.P. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 29.10.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.546 of 2024 in O.A.No.112 of 2024</p> <p>R. M. DevkuleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant's father was working in the office of Respondent No.1 as a Class-IV employee on a temporary basis. However, the services of other colleagues were regularized by the Respondent No.1 vide Order dated 25.06.2014. But Applicant's father was died prior to it on 03.04.2012.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned Advocate for Applicant that claim of the Applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected on the ground that services of his father were not regularized.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant has invited my attention to copy of judgment in O.A.No.420/2003 dated 07.06.2005 wherein name of Applicant's father is appeared at Sr. No.26. There is direction of the Tribunal for regularization of services of Applicant's father and other Class-IV employees -</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

working on temporary basis. Learned Advocate has also invited my attention to order passed by Respondent No.1 on 30.12.2015 which is at page 31 of OA (Exh. 'E') to show that services of other employees working on temporary basis were regularized. According to him, this order appeared to be prior to filing of Original Application or subsequent to death of Applicant's father.

5. It appears that that the amendment is connected with prayer in Original Application.

6. Learned P.O. has submitted that there maybe objection regarding the delay. The respondents can raise objections including that of delay, ^Sso there may not be any harm to Respondents, ^Aif proposed amendment is allowed.

7. The proposed amendment is accordingly allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy be served to learned P.O.

8. In view of above, M.A.No.546/2024 is allowed with no order as to costs.

9. O.A.No.112/2024 is adjourned to 28.11.2024.

Sd/-

(**A. N. Karmarkar**)
Member (J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No. 647 of 2024 in O.A.No.308 of 2024 With O.A.No.308 of 2024</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Applicants (Ori. Respondents).</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>K. D. DhondgeRespondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant). 2. The learned P.O. for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) has prayed for allowing to take reply of Respondent No.1 & 2 on record. According to them, last chance was granted by Tribunal but no reply was filed on 04.10.2024. Subsequently, the concerned officer has sent parawise remarks to the office C.P.O. on 15.10.2024 and Affidavit of concerned officer was filed on 16.10.2024. According to them, the delay in filing reply is not deliberate. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant has already submitted that he has no objection for allowing M.A. 4. In order to decide the matter effectually on merit, the Misc Application No.647/2024 is allowed. 5. O.A. No.308/2024 is adjourned to 06.12.2024. <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto;"></div> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.547 of 2024 in O.A.No.68 of 2024</p> <p>V. S. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant's father was working in the office of Respondent No.1 as a Class-IV employee on a temporary basis. However, the services of other colleagues were regularized by the Respondent No.1 vide Order dated 25.06.2014.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further submitted that after forwarding proposal for regularization of Applicant's father and other employees to Government, the Applicant's father died on 26.05.2015.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant has invited my attention to the list of employees whose proposal was sent for regularization which is at Page No.12 wherein name of the Applicant's father is appeared at Sr. No.28. Learned Advocate states that the claim of Applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected on the ground that his father was not regularized in service. So, he wants to amend the Original Application to add this -</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

ground and prayer in connection with regularization of services of his father.

5. Learned P.O. submits that he has objection regarding delay for this prayer.

6. Learned Advocate for Applicant points out that services of 626 employees on temporary basis were regularized. According to him, considering the reason for rejection of claim of Applicant on compassionate ground, he needs to amend the prayer clause for regularization of services of his father. According to him, this fact was noticed during the course of hearing subsequent to filing of Original Application.

7. The proposed prayer appears to be in connection with prayer in Original Application. The respondents can raise objections including that of delay, so there may not be harm to Respondents.

8. The proposed amendment is accordingly allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy be served to learned P.O.

9. In view of above, M.A.No.547/2024 is allowed with no order as to costs.

10. O.A.No.68/2024 is adjourned to 28.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.10.2024

O.A.No.1410 of 2024

V. N. Mathpati

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 05.12.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.10.2024

O.A.No.1417 of 2024

B. S. ShindeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.12.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 06.12.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.10.2024

O.A.No.1420 of 2024

A. E. RokadeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.12.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 06.12.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.563 of 2024 in O.A.No.11152 of 2024</p> <p>S. G. KalshettyApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that he has received parawise remarks and seeks time to file the reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.458 of 2024 in O.A.No.893 of 2024</p> <p>S. KhalateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. submits that copy of M.A. is not served to the office of C.P.O. Learned Advocate for Applicant to serve the copy of M.A., if any to other side. S.O. to 28.11.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.259 of 2024</p> <p>A. Y. SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and seeks one week time to file the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.334 of 2024</p> <p>S. A. Shewale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.439 of 2024 with O.A.No.441 of 2024</p> <p>M. V. Chaudhar S. S. NadafApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in O.A.No.441/2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.439/2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.633 of 2024</p> <p>M. J. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard K. R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.673 of 2024</p> <p>V. V. PichadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.10.2024

O.A.No.702 of 2024

B. A. Shinde and Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that Respondent No.1 and 2 are not going to file reply.
3. No Rejoinder is filed by Applicant.
4. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.
5. S.O. to 05.02.2025.

Sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.758 of 2024</p> <p>S. D. MaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that parawise remarks are received and seeks time to file reply positively on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.385 of 2023</p> <p>A. M. BhoiteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Both the parties are ready to keep the matter before 'Lokadalat'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1000 of 2023</p> <p>D. M. PujariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Both the parties are ready to keep the matter before 'Lokadalat'. 3. S.O. to 09.12.024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.10.2024

O.A.No.835 of 2024

P. S. Salvi & OrsApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shr A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. P. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. The Original Application is filed in July, 2024.

3. Learned P.O. is seeking time on the ground that parawise remarks are not received.

4. The Respondent No.1 to file reply positively on next date failing to which necessary order will be passed.

5. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm