

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.08.2024

O.A. No.439 of 2024 with O.A. No.441 of 2024

M.V. Chaudhari

S.S. Nadaf

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule & Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to ascertain if any 'Orders' have been issued for transfer of Applicants to any post of 'P.Is' in earmarked 'Police Establishments' of 'Konkan -2 Division' which were mentioned in observations recorded in 'Para 4' of 'Order' dated 24.04.2024.

3. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' has subsequently issued 'Orders' on 30.06.2024 regarding transfers of several P.I's. Therefore; it was expected that 'Orders' for transfers of Applicants who are serving as 'P.I's' in 'Konkan-2 Division' would also be issued against backdrop of observations recorded by 'Para 4' of 'Order dated 24.04.2024'. Hence, it is necessary to now seek presence of concerned 'Senior Officer' from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' to provide information as to by when 'Orders' for transfers of Applicants to any post of 'P.I's' which were directed to be kept available in earmarked 'Police Establishments' of 'Konkan - 2 Division' can be expected to be issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.

4. S.O. to 04.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">OA Nos.1035 to 1041/2024 with OA No.1047/2024 & OA No.1055/2024</p> <p>V.S. Jagate & 6 Ors. S.G. Korabu R.S. Jadhawar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that office will take some time to collect the information about not considering the preferences, sequences and the choices of all the Applicants who are transferred and hence seeks time of two weeks. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. In between, the Respondents are free to take remedial steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11th September, 2024. 'Status Quo' to be maintained.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mrudula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 7/2024 in O.A 149/2019</u></p> <p>S.S Suryawanshi & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the proposal for refixation of pension after grant of ACPS benefits is submitted on 9.8.2024 and 12.8.2024 to the office of the Accountant General, Mumbai and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1007/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Kolod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized that Applicant has not been called for 'Interview' for appointment to post of 'Director of Health Services, Maharashtra Medical & Health Services, Group-A'. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant mentioned that name of Applicant figures at 'Serial No.42' in the list of 'Non-eligible Candidates' because 'Preferential Criteria' has not been considered in respect of Applicant as per 'Para 9.3' of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then stated that Applicant possesses 'Masters' Degree in Public Health (Nutrition) from 'MUHS' Nashik and therefore he fulfills the 'Preferential Criteria' as per 'Para 9.3' of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023. Hence, Applicant was required to be called for 'Interview' for appointment to post of 'Director of Health Services, Maharashtra Medical & Health Services, Group-A' which is scheduled to be held on 23.08.2024 based on 'Preferential Criteria'. 5. Learned Advocate for Applicant submitted the 'Judgment' dated 16.11.2007 of Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2008) 1 SCC 362 [B. Ramakichenin @ Balagandhi vs. Union of India & Ors.]. 6. Learned CPO per contra mentioned that Applicant was not found eligible to be called for 'Interview' and placed at 'Serial No.42' in the list of 'Non-eligible Candidates'; as he not only does not possess 'Experience' as per 'Para 9.2' of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023 as modified later on 09.08.2024 in exercise of powers vested under 'MPSC Rules of Procedures' dated 03.09.2014, but also because Applicant

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>was not found to fulfill the 'Preferential Criteria' as per 'Para 9.3' of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023.</p> <p>7. The Affidavit-in-Reply filed on 23.08.2024 on behalf of Secretary, MPSC reiterates the Clauses 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3 of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023 for post of 'Director of Health Services, Maharashtra Medical & Health Services, Group-A' which are as follows:-</p> <p>9.1 शैक्षणिक अर्हता- (i) Possess the M.B.B.S. Degree of a Statutory University or any other qualification specified in the First or Second Schedule of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956; Preventive and Social Medicine as</p> <p>(ii) Possess a Post-graduate degree in any of the Clinical subjects or in specified in the First or Second Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956, or any other qualification recognized as equivalent by the Medical Council of India.</p> <p>9.2 अनुभव- Possess experience of Health Administration, Medical Relief or Family Planning in Government, Zilla Parishad or a Local Body of not less than ten years after acquiring Post-graduate qualifications mentioned in 9.1(ii)</p> <p>9.3 प्राधान्यशील अर्हता:- Provided that in the case of candidates possessing a Post-graduate degree in any clinical subjects, those possessing a Diploma in Public Health or equivalent in addition, will be given preference."</p> <p>8. The learned CPO has referred the case of <i>Shifana P.S. Vs. State of Kerala (SC) Civil Appeal No.4468/2013 decided on 04.03.2024</i> in support of their case.</p> <p>9. The Applicant admittedly fulfills the requirement of Para 9.1. As he has completed MD (Pediatrics) on 03.06.2011 and as on the date of computation of experience as per MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023 i.e. 03.10.2023 is 12 years, 7 months and 16 days. However, MPSC has raised minimum criteria to 20 years' experience for S-25 pay scale and 25 years' experience for S-23 pay scale.</p> <p>10. The Affidavit-in-Reply filed by MPSC mentions that Applicant therefore does not now possess the above enhanced Minimum Criteria of 'Experience' in 'Clause 9.2'. Further, Applicant does not fulfill the requirement of Preference under 'Clause 9.3', as 'Expert Committee' has given remarks that the 'Additional Qualification'</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>was not acceptable due to Applicant having degree in 'Master of Public Health in Nutrition' and not 'Master of Public Health'.</p> <p>11. The 'Master of Public Health in Nutrition' is offered by MUHS, Nashik but it is distinct from 'Master of Public Health' which is separately offered by 'MUHS, Nashik'. Although it is for MUHS, Nashik to decide about their equivalence based on 'Judgment' of Hon'ble Supreme of India in Unnikrishan CV and Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors. [2023 SCC Online SC 343] which is referred in case of Shifana P.S. Vs. State of Kerala (Cited supra) by decision of the 'Academic Body of MUHS, Nashik' through 'Specific Order' or 'Resolution'.</p> <p>12. The equivalence of these two distinct Post Graduate Degrees of (a) 'Master of Public Health (Nutrition)' and (b) 'Master of Public Health' both offered by MUHS, Nashik cannot be gone into in 'Judicial Review' as per principles laid down in Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Shifana P.S. Vs. State of Kerala (Cited supra).</p> <p>13. Even if it is accepted for a moment that the Applicant who Possesses Master of Public Health in Nutrition is having equivalent degree of Master of Public Health, still he cannot be said to be eligible for 'Interview' by MPSC for the post of 'Director of Health Services, Maharashtra Medical & Health Services, Group-A' scheduled on 30th August, 2024 because Applicant now gets barred by the requirement of enhanced Minimum Experience of 20 years' experience for S-25 pay scale and 25 years' experience for S-23 pay scale.</p> <p>14. The opinion of 'Expert Committee' of MPSC cannot be gone into for judicial review as per Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment in Bihar Public Service Commission Vs. Kamini (2007) 5 SCC 519.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

15. The Applicant's case therefore does not merit consideration also as it seems that the MPSC has diligently followed due procedure as laid down in Para 11.1 and 11.2 of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023 and also provisions of Rule 9(1)(5) and Sub-rule 5(a) and 5(d). The contents of Para 11.1 and 11.2 of MPSC Advertisement No.053/2023 dated 13.09.2023 are as follows :-

“११.१ प्रस्तुत जाहिरातीमध्ये नमूद केलेली शैक्षणिक अर्हता, अनुभव इत्यादी अर्हता किमान असून, किमान अर्हता धारण केली म्हणून उमेदवार मुलाखतीस बोलाविण्याकरिता पात्र असणार नाही.

११.२ जाहिरातीस अनुसरून प्राप्त अर्जाची संख्या आयोगाच्या कार्यनियमावलीतील तरतुदीनुसार वाजवी प्रमाणापेक्षा जास्त असेल आणि अर्ज सादर केलेल्या सर्व पात्र उमेदवारांच्या मुलाखती घेणे सोयीस्कर नसल्यास मुलाखतीसाठी उमेदवारांची संख्या मर्यादित करण्याच्या दृष्टीने जाहिरातीमध्ये नमूद शैक्षणिक अर्हता आणि अथवा अनुभव यापेक्षा अधिक शैक्षणिक अर्हता, अनुभव किंवा अन्य योग्य निकष यांच्या आधारे अथवा चाळणी परीक्षेद्वारे मुलाखतीस पात्र उमेदवारांची संख्या मर्यादित करण्यात येईल.”

16. The 'Judgment' in case of **B. Ramakichenin @ Balagandhi vs. Union of India & Ors.** (cited supra) is not helpful to the Applicant.

17. The Applicant therefore cannot be granted 'Interim Relief' to allow him to appear for 'Interview' for the post of 'Director of Health Services, Maharashtra Medical & Health Services, Group-A' which is scheduled to be held by MPSC on 30.08.2024. The 'Prayers' to grant 'Interim Relief' in 'Clause VIII' of this OA No.1007/2024 is rejected.

18. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
28.08.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
28.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1060/2024</u></p> <p>G.U. Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents are present.2. Perused the CSB Minutes dated 14.08.2024. No explanation is given in this Minutes about preferences of choice posting of the Applicant and hence, they are of no use to throw light why preferential of choice posting of the Applicant dated 03.08.2024 are not considered.3. At the request of learned PO, two weeks' time is granted.4. All the Respondents are directed to file their Reply and if they failed to file Reply, the matter will proceed without their Reply, as the transfer matters are to be heard immediately and decided to avoid inconvenience to the State.5. It is made clear that if posting is given to Respondent No.2, it will be subject to outcome of this OA.6. S.O. to 20th September, 2024. 'Status Quo' to continue until further orders. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mrudula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1046/2024</u></p> <p>A.B. Gholap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents are present.2. Respondents to consider the Representation dated 08.08.2024 made by Applicant to Director General of Police, Mumbai.3. The Applicant to continue at his post at Pune.4. S.O. to 5th September, 2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mrudula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1063/2024</u></p> <p>S.P. Dhande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents are present. 2. The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is directed to send a person well conversant with the facts on the point whether Non-executive post is available in Thane District. 3. The learned PO submits that for any change of transfer, the Department needs to take the permission of Election Commission. 4. The Tribunal is of impression that after the Code of Conduct is declared, the permission of Election Commission is required. 5. The learned PO is called upon to show the Rules in support of her submissions. 6. The Applicant is not relieved till today and not to be relieved till next date. 7. S.O. to 30th August, 2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.08.2024</p>

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1031/2024

D.B. AhireApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan and learned P.O. Mr. Chougule seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 11.09.2024.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1020/2024

R.R. SuryawanshiApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan holding for Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5.
2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar requested for short adjournment as Respondent No.4 is out of service.
3. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has requested to keep back the matter as he is in the High Court.
4. Adjourned to 29.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1017/2024

K.N. GaikwadApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time granted by way of last chance.
4. Adjourned to 04.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.928/2024

D.A. NevrekarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 10.09.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

**M.A.482/2024 (Mum) in O.A.527/2024 (A'bad)
With**

**M.A.483/2024 (Mum) in O.A.576/2024 (A'bad)
With**

**M.A.484/2024 (Mum) in O.A.995/2023 (N'pur)
With**

**M.A.485/2024 (Mum) in O.A.996/2023 (N'pur)
With**

M.A.486/2024 (Mum) in O.A.1024/2023 (N'pur)

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Appli (Org. Respds)

V/s.

S.M. Shelke

S.S. Bhalshankar

P.S. Ahire

D.D. Pimple

M.B. Chavhan

....:Respds (Org. Appli).

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. Sanjay Pagare, learned Counsel for Respondents (Org. Applicants) in O.A.No.576/2024 and for Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.995/2023. None appears for other Respondents (Org. Applicants).

2. M.A.No.482/2024, M.A.No.483/2024 is filed seeking transfer of O.A.No.527/2024 and O.A.No.576/2024 filed at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad & M.A.No.484/2024, M.A.No.485/2024 and M.A.No.486/2024 is filed seeking transfer of O.A.No.995/2023, O.A.No.996/2023 and O.A.No.1024/2023 filed at M.A.T. Bench Nagpur, Bench to M.A.T. Mumbai under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Pagare has submitted that interim relief is already granted in his matters.

4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit of service on the next date.

5. Adjourned to 05.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

**M.A.No.471/2024 (Mumbai) in
M.A.Stamp No.1329/2024 (A'bad)
O.A.Stamp No.1330/2024 (A'bad)**

S.S. Ganjale & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the Applicants (through video conference) and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.471/2024 is filed seeking transfer of M.A. Stamp No.1329/2024 (A'bad) in O.A.Stamp No.1330/2024 (A'bad) filed at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad Bench to M.A.T. Mumbai under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Wagh has submitted that out of 25 Applicants, 7 Applicants belong to Vidharbha Region which does not fall in the territorial jurisdiction of M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad. He prays that the all the 25 Applicants be heard at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.

4. I have gone through the order dated 02.07.2024 passed in M.A.No.230/2024 in O.A.no.626/2024 & M.A.St. No.1329/2024 in O.A. St. No.1330/2024 by the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad wherein the D.B. expressed the view for not allowing sue jointly application insofar as the applicants residing at the places not within the jurisdiction of Aurangabad Bench of the Tribunal.

5. In view of above, M.A.No.471/2024 stands dismissed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1081/2024</p> <p>A.S. DaradeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred from the post of Circle Officer/ Supply Inspector, Tashil, Sangaola, Dist. Solapur to the post of Awal Karkun, Indira Gandhi Yojana, Tashil Sangola, District Solapur. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to continue the Applicant for discharging duties on the post of Circle Officer/ Supply Inspector Officer, Tashil Sangola, District Solapur until final disposal of the present O.A. and keep the post at Hotgi / Vatsang, South Solapur vacant. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to reconsider the applicant's transfer in accordance with the seniority, transfer policy, and preferences indicated by the Applicant in his option form with a specific direction to consider posting the Applicant within the same Taluka any of the higher preference posts.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant has completed the requisite period of three years. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant has submitted options on 12.08.2024 and none of the options were considered though the posts are vacant. Learned Counsel has pointed out G.R. dated 29.10.2020 by which the post of Awal Karkun Cadre and Circle Officer post is to be filled on rotation basis upon completion of two years tenure. However, the tenure of Circle Officer/ Awal Karkun is extended for three years and to be filled on rotation basis as per the decision of the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad dated 04.04.2018 passed in O.A.No.300/2017.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that out of the preferential / options, the options at Serial No.2, Hotgi (South), Solapur and Serial No.3, Valsang (South), Solapur are vacant till today. She prays that any of the said post at Serial Nos.2 or 3 be kept vacant.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to place on record order dated 04.04.2018 passed in O.A.No.300/2017. Respondents are directed to keep any of the one post vacant, out of the options, at Serial No.2, Hotgi (South), Solapur or Serial No.3, Valsang (South), Solapur, till next date.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2024.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. Adjourned to 04.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.866 of 2024

P.L. Gurav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Ghosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
28.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
28.8.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.965 of 2024

P.M. Abhivant ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Panchpor, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
28.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
28.8.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1022 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.B. Dhakane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.532 of 2024 in O.A. No.1073 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.R. Karande & Ant. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1073 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.R. Karande & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.58/2023 in OA No.834/2022</u> with <u>CA No.59/2023 in OA No.878/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. V.N. Waghmare & Ors. Dr. R.R. Rajput ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Karan R. Gajra, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that Writ Petition No.9707 of 2023 & Ors. are pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgi)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.5 of 2024 in O.A. No.1016 of 2018</u></p> <p>C.S. Atkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Ranjane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the State has filed W.P. No.12013 of 2024 in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and the same has been adjourned to 24.9.2024 by order dated 27.8.2024. The Ld. Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant in W.P. has submitted that the contempt application filed before the Tribunal would be adjourned beyond the returnable date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 28.8.2024 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.50 of 2024 in O.A. No.162 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Radye ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO on instructions states that new training will commence from 23.12.2024. In between the State is waiting for the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.10185/2024 D.J. Ghadge & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors., which is now adjourned to 23.9.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1476 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.H. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Nitin Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Shradha K. Nakadi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 have appeared.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.475 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.J. Kale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2024 for filing reply. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.593 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.606 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.R. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 28.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.447 of 2024</p> <p>R.D. AkrupeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of letter dated 16.04.2024 by which (a) 'Additional Chief Secretary (Services) GAD' (b) 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education Department' have been informed about directions given in 'Order' dated 01.04.2024 and forwarded copy of 'Judgment' dated 15.12.2023 in O.A. No.868/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1090 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.S. Khutwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Sub Divisional Officer, Roha, District Raigad is challenging the transfer order dated 26.8.2024 issued by respondent no.2 on the ground that applicant has not completed his normal tenure at Roha. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant was transferred to Roha on 12.12.2022 in the cadre of Dy. Collector and he has not completed two years. He submits that the applicant is not yet relieved. The applicant should not have been transferred without following due process of law. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in his averments in para 6.14 of the OA he has demonstrated other vacant posts of SDO which is equivalent to Dy. Collector and which are at Mumbai Suburban, Thane, Ratnagiri & Sindhudurg and Trainee Probationer can be posted.</p> <p>3. Applicant is not to be relieved until further orders.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.8.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1056/2024</p> <p>M.B. Sakhakar .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 17.08.2024 qua the Applicant as the Applicant has not completed her normal tenure of three years in one headquarter, i.e. at Mumbai Headquarter which is violation of Sections 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity). Further Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to post the Applicant anywhere in Mumbai City i.e. under Mumbai Headquarters.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel challenges the transfer order dated 17.08.2024 wherein the Applicant working as Sub Inspector State Excise is transferred to Alibag flying squad, District Raigad on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) Applicant was transferred to Mumbai City by order dated 04.05.2023. Hence applicant has not completed the requisite period three years as per Sections 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the ROTA 2005. Thus it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer.</p> <p>(b) G.R. dated 18.06.2024 was issued by the Respondent revising existing staffing pattern. In view of the said G.R. the post on which the applicant was working is abolished.</p> <p>(c) Applicant's has daughter of 11 years old and her husband is working in Bangalore, so she is the only person looking after her daughter.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned P.O. has placed reliance on order dated 17.08.2024, Exhibit-A. She seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.08.2024.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
11. Adjourned to 30.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1055/2024</p> <p>R.S. JadhawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred from the post of Revenue Assistant Office of Tahsil Karmala, District Solapur to the post of Revenue Assistant Tahsil Karmala District Solapur. Applicant further prays that Respondents No.1 and 2 be directed to issue the transfer order of the Applicant at Option Nos.1, 3 or 4 which are with all consequential service benefits within a period of one month from the date of this order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has challenged the transfer order on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) Applicant's transfer order dated 14.08.2024 is passed in contravention of the G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>(b) Out of the 10 preferential /options given by the Applicant, the post at options at Sr. No.1, Tahsil office Barshi Sr. No.3, Tahsil Barshi Sr. No.4, Tahsil Madha are vacant till date.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Respondents are directed to keep any of the one post vacant, out of the three vacant posts from 10 preferential/ options given by the Applicant at Serial Nos.1, 3 and 4, if not filled.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on ~~30.08.2024~~ 11.9.2024.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Adjourned to ~~30.08.2024~~ 11.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1077/2024 (Caveat No.32/2024)</p> <p>H.D. SonawaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Caveator and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 16.08.2024 qua the Applicant by which the Applicant is transferred from office the Deputy Regional Transport Office, Kalyan to the office the Commissioner of Transport, Mumbai. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to allow the Applicant to continue to work as Clerk-Typist at Deputy Regional Transport Office, Kalyan or in Thane Division with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has challenged the transfer order on the ground that the applicant has completed his requisite period of three years. Issue involved in this matter is that the Respondents have asked the options to the applicant and he has given option of Thane. Though the post is vacant at Thane as per Clause 19 of order dated 02.09.2014 Respondent did not consider the same.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that though the Caveat No.32/2024 is filed, Applicant has not been served the copy of the same.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. has placed reliance on the representation made by the Applicant dated 15.12.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned C.P.O. for the Caveator is directed to serve the copy of Caveat to the learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan today itself.
7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2024.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
13. Adjourned to 29.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1076/2024</p> <p>B.B. Hulge .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 17.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred from the post of Inspector State Excise Ms. Lokranjan Brewries, Hadapsar, Pune to Bhum Division, Dharashiv. Further Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to post the Applicant on the vacant post of flying squad No.3 in Pune forthwith with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant challenges the transfer order on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) By order dated 29.01.2024 Applicant was transferred from the post of Shirampur, Dist. Ahmednagar to Ms. Lokranjan Brewries, Hadapsar, Pune in connection with the General Elections to the Lok Sabha - 2024.</p> <p>(b) Applicant made representations dated 06.07.2024 and 05.08.2024 to the Principal Secretary, State Excise Department wherein he stated that he is suffering from Cancer and under treatment regularly in Ruby Hall Clinic, Pune.</p> <p>(c) Applicant is retiring on superannuation on 31.05.2025. Transfer order is issued in violation of sub clause (6) of Clause (4) of the G.R. dated 29.01.2024, (Annexure A-2).</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned Counsel has submitted that out of the options given by the Applicant, the option at Serial No.3, Flying Squad No.3, Pune is vacant. Learned Counsel prays that the said post be kept vacant.

5. Learned P.O. has placed reliance on order dated 17.08.2024, Exhibit-A. She seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

6. Time granted. Respondents are directed to keep one post at Flying Squad No.3, Pune vacant, if not filled, till next date.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.08.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 30.08.2024.

Sd/-

**/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1078/2024</p> <p>S.D. Kalel .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred from the post of Talathi, Vitthalvadi, Shirur Tahasil, Office Dist. Pune to the post of Talathi, Aaptale, Taluka - Junnar, Dist. Pune. Further Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to keep one post of Talathi vacant at Baner/ Talathi Hinjewadi, Tal. Haveli, Dist. Pune. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to reconsider the Applicant's transfer in accordance with the seniority, transfer policy, and preferences indicated by the applicant in his option form, with a specific direction to consider posting the Applicant within the same Taluka on any of the higher preference posts or as per the representation submitted on 16.08.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has challenged the said transfer order on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) Respondent has not considered the preferences / options given by the Applicant while issuing transfer order dated 14.08.2024. Hence there is violation of G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>(b) Applicant was not called for counseling while issuing the transfer order which is a clear violation of the guidelines outlined in the G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that out of the 10 preferences/ options given by the Applicant the option at Serial No.1 Baner, Taluka Haveli, Dist. Pune is vacant till date. She prays that the said post be kept vacant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Respondents are directed to keep one post vacant at the option at Serial No.1 Baner, Taluka Haveli, Dist, Pune, if not filled.
6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2024.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
12. Adjourned to 03.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.964/2024

V.N. Bhabad

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Suo moto speaking to minutes in the order dated 27.08.2024 in paragraph No.4, 5th line the word 'Dhule' is wrongly typed. It be read as 'Kalvan, Nashik'.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Adjourned to 30.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

**O.A.No.1050/2024 with O.A.No.1051/2024 with
O.A.No.1057/2024**

A.G. Jagtap
C.M. Godse
A.D. Padwal

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.1050/2024 with O.A.No.1051/2024, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.1057/2024.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that learned P.O. is required to seek instructions from the Commissioner of Police, Thane City whether the C.P. can accommodate the applicants on non-executive posts.

3. Learned P.O. to seek the said instructions and inform on the next date.

4. Adjourned to 30.08.2024.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1080/2024 (Caveat No.32/2024)

V.S. ChavhanApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Caveator and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 16.08.2024 qua the Applicant by which the Applicant is transferred from office the Deputy Regional Transport Office, Kalyan to the office the Commissioner of Transport, Mumbai. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to allow the Applicant to continue to work as Clerk-Typist at Deputy Regional Transport Office, Kalyan or in Thane Division with all consequential service benefits.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2024.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 29.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1079/2024 (Caveat No.32/2024)

S.A. JadhavApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Caveator and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 16.08.2024 qua the Applicant by which the Applicant is transferred from office the Deputy Regional Transport Office, Kalyan to the office the Commissioner of Transport, Mumbai. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to allow the Applicant to continue to work as Clerk-Typist at Deputy Regional Transport Office, Kalyan or in Thane Division with all consequential service benefits.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2024.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 29.08.2024.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O. A. No. 660 of 2024</p> <p>T. M. GawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri V. S. Kokitkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.643 of 2024</p> <p>H. T. Pansare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to take information and file Reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

Vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.435 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. ChandorkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Shri Rajendra Sarvode the responsible officer from the office of Respondent No.2 is present before the Court. He has produced documents for perusal about grant of Provisional Pension to the Applicant. He has also submitted that instructions are given to Applicant for correction in name on Pan Card and the issue regarding payment of Gratuity and GPF are in process.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.238 of 2024</p> <p>P. S. JoshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply positively on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.31 of 2024</p> <p>R. H. TamboliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned PO has submitted about difficulties to get information from concerned department.</p> <p>3. The matter is filed in January, 2024. In order to give opportunity, time granted to file reply. On failure of filing reply on next date, necessary order will be passed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.733 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. Prakash S. UjgareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that he will file Reply during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12 Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J) </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.633 of 2024</p> <p>M. J. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.728 of 2024 with O.A.No.745 of 2024 with
O.A.No.749 of 2024

S. N. Thakur
R. V. Giri
M. V. MundeApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule with Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.728/2024. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 04.09.2024.

Sd/-
(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.632 of 2024</p> <p>B. T. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate does not wish to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.384 of 2024</p> <p>S. G. DhumalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.169 of 2024</p> <p>D. V. GhagApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 28.08.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.308 of 2024 in O.A.No.647 of 2023</p> <p>G. D. KuraneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S. O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1043 of 2024

C. S. Shirsath

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 25.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.872 of 2024

S. V. Mavchi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. U. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has prayed for 'Interim Relief' to stop deduction of Rs. 15,000/- from monthly salary. It is submitted that deduction of Rs.15,000/- has been started since last nine months. It seems that there is no extra ordinary urgency in the matter.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 25.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.08.2024

O.A.No.1042 of 2024

C. G. MantriApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 25.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)