

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.501 of 2019 in O.A. No.836 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Mane & 42 Ors. ..Applicants And Smt. Uma Kamlakar Survade & 6 Ors. ..Ori. Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA No.501 of 2019 is filed by 43 persons and they are desirous of joining as applicants in OA No.836/2018. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he is also appearing for original applicants in OA No.836/2018 and they have no objection.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO has no objection.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. 43 Applicants be added in the array of original applicants in OA. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 28.7.2022 28.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.502 of 2019 in O.A. No.836 of 2018</u></p> <p>Smt. Uma Kamlakar Survade & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants have filed the above OA praying for 'Equal Pay for Equal Work'.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has filed this MA seeking leave to amend the OA as per schedule annexed to the MA.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO has no objection.</p> <p>5. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. Thereafter respondents to file their reply within four weeks.</p> <p>6. OA adjourned to 15.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.675 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.B. Deore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.8.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1033 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.J. Kamane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicants.</p> <p>2. The matter is pending on the file though it appeared on 21.11.2019 and thereafter on 18.12.2019. Lastly it appeared on 26.11.2020 and it was noted that various objections were raised by the office but the same were not complied with.</p> <p>3. In OA order dated 31.10.2018 is challenged whereby punishment of permanent deduction of 25% amount from the pension is imposed.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO states that copy of OA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>5. Under such circumstances it is necessary for the Advocate for the applicant to take steps firstly to remove the office objections and then to take circulation. However, no steps are taken. Therefore the matter is to be placed tomorrow for Dismissal.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 29.7.2022 for dismissal.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1120 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. K.V. Shegaonkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This OA No.1120 of 2015 was tagged with OA No.1091/2015 & other group matters, which were decided by this Tribunal by judgment and order dated 18.6.2019. Therefore, this matter is already decided. Hence, this matter is removed from Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.592 of 2015</u></p> <p>J.S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.K. Joshi, OSD (Legal) of this Tribunal is directed to inform the Registrar (Judicial) of Hon'ble High Court that W.P. No.8926/2015 is pending in which by order dated 24.4.2018 the operation of the order passed by this Tribunal is stayed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.542 of 2015</u></p> <p>R.H. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges initiation of departmental proceedings by order dated 2.7.2014 and so also seeks declaration that suspension period from 2.1.1995 to 31.3.2001 be treated as period spent on duty and also seeks pecuniary benefits as per 5th and 6th Pay Commission. However, during the pendency of the OA, the DE was finally decided with punishment of deduction of Rs.100/- p.m. from pension and period of suspension was treated as period spent on duty. The punishment order was passed on 29.2.2016. The applicant preferred appeal on 4.4.2016 and the same was dismissed by order dated 29.10.2016 by non-speaking order. The applicant prays that he is not granted pensionary benefits till the date of amendment i.e. 10.1.2017.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.7.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.53 of 2015</u></p> <p>D.G. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant working as Executive Engineer (Civil) challenges the seniority list dated 29.1.2015 and prays that respondents be directed to prepare seniority list as per judgment and order dated 10.5.2013 passed by this Tribunal in OAs. No.246/12, 258/12, 275/12 & 292/12.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 28.7.2022 28.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.548 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant challenges the Departmental Enquiry and also show cause notice dated 17.03.2018. He further prays that Respondents No.2 and 3 be directed to fix the regular pay of the applicant as per 7th Pay Commission from 01.01.2016 and pay the arrears of pay to him. So also Respondents be directed to fix pension as per 7th Pay Commission from 01.04.2016 as the Applicant retired on 31.06.2016. Further he prays for 12% of interest on delay payment of pension dues.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that as per punishment 10% of the pension amount for three years is to be deducted. Learned Advocate submits that though the notice was issued to him on 17.03.2018 and to that notice applicant has filed reply on 18.05.2018. However the final punishment has not yet been imposed on the Applicant.</p> <p>4. We therefore direct the Government to pass the order in the D.E. within the period of one month from today i.e. on or before 29.08.2022 and all his retirement dues including gratuity and regular pension is to be disbursed to him within a period of three months thereafter. If the order is not completed within this stipulated period the interest will be saddled on the Government, in case the applicant files Contempt.</p> <p>6. In view of above order, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.35 of 2021 in O.A.No.229 of 2019 with O.A.No.408 of 2022</p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 11.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.429 of 2022 in O.A.No.287 of 2022</p> <p>D.N. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is moved requesting for transfer of O.A.No.287/2022 under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act.</p> <p>3. In view that identical issue is pending at M.A.T Bench Aurangabad Bench in O.A.No. 243/2022 learned Advocate prays that this matter be transferred to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders to the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions made by learned Advocate M.A. is allowed. M.A. stands disposed of as allowed.</p> <p>6. Record and proceedings pertaining to O.A.No.287/2022 be transferred to Aurangabad Bench forthwith.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.883 of 2018</p> <p>M.N. PatelApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Sk. Atique-UR-Rehman, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M.M. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Sk. Atique-UR-Rehman is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to consider the position as the Applicant is retired on 30.06.2020.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.08.2022 for Final Hearing. H.O.B.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.210 of 2022</p> <p>D.T. Devkar.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. H.D. Mulla, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant aspiring to become Police Sepoy (Constable), Class-C seeks directions to be given to the Respondents to appoint him to the post and the Applicant is to be declared that he is entitled to the post of Police Sepoy (Constable).</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that the Respondents issued Advertisement dated 03.09.2019 for the post of Police Constable and Police Sepoy (Constable), Class-III for the filling up post of the Police Sepoy (Constable) in various Districts in the State of Maharashtra. Pursuant to the said Advertisement dated 03.09.2019 applicant has submitted application on 07.09.2021 Applicant has applied in the category of ex-servicemen. The Applicant appeared for the written examination and he cleared the same. Thereafter he was called for the document verification on 29.10.2021 and on the same day Respondent No.2 rejected the candidature of the Applicant on the ground that he has not completed military service of 5 years.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate relies on the Clause No.16.2.4 of the advertisement dated 03.09.2019 which states about the eligibility for the reserved posts of ex-servicemen. He submits that as per this Rule the ex-military who have completed service of 6 months or more than six months in military service are eligible to apply for the post of Police Sepoy. Learned Advocate further submits that the applicant on rejection moved representation dated 20.12.2021. Pursuant to the order dated 11.03.2022 passed by the Tribunal the representation was decided by the Respondent No.2, Assistant Police Commissioner, Solapur</p> <p>5. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 03.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K. S. Birajdar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 is already filed. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

**MISC APPLICATION NO.123 OF 2022
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.816 OF 2021**

**DISTRICT: Solapur
SUB : Extra Ordinary Leave**

Shri Kashinath S. Birajdar)
Age – 54 years, Occ. Mess Servant, SRPF,)
Group 10, Solapur.)
R/at S.R.P.F. Camp, Group 10, Block 58/2,)
Solapur.).... **Applicant**

Versus

1. The Additional Director General of Police,)
S.R.P.F. Mumbai, O/at near IPS Guest)
House, Group NO.8, Goregaon Camp,)
Mumbai 65.)
2. The Commandant, S.R.P.F. Group -10,)
Solapur.) ...**Respondents**

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent

CORAM : A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

DATE : 28.07.2022.

JUDGMENT

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. is filed in pending O.A. stating that there is no delay in filing O.A. and in alternative the Applicant prayed that delay could be of nine months and fifteen days and prayed to condone the delay.

W.S.

3. The Applicant was initially appointed as Bhojan Sevak (Class – IV employee) on 18.12.1993 from S. C. category. However, his caste certificate was found invalid, and therefore, he came to be terminated from the service w.e.f. 15.03.1994. Later, the Respondent No.2 – Commandant, SRPF, Solapur by order dated 27.10.2014 again appointed he Applicant as Bhojan Sevak and while appointing, he referred to G.R. dated 08.12.1994 and 14.06.1995.

4. The Applicant has filed this O.A. challenging the order dated 28.11.2014 whereby the period out of service was treated as break in service not to be considered for retiral or any other monetary benefits.

5. The Applicant contends that the order dated 28.11.2014 was never served upon him. According to him for the first time, the order dated 28.11.2014 has been served upon him along with letter dated 15.07.2021. On this premise, he contends that O.A. being filed on 18.10.2021 is well within limitation.

6. In alternative, the Applicant contends that since there is reference in communication dated 15.07.2021 about service of impugned order to the Applicant on 01.06.2018 in that event considering Covid-19 Pandemic situation, the delay comes to nine months, and therefore, requested to condone the delay.

7. Learned P.O. sought to contend that the Applicant had knowledge of the order dated 28.11.2014 in view of communication dated 17.06.2015 whereby he was reappointed, and therefore, O.A. ought to have been filed within one year from 17.06.2015.

8. Insofar as order dated 17.06.2015 is concerned, it is revised order of reappointment. Indeed, the Applicant was reappointed by order dated 27.10.2014 and order dated 17.06.2015 is revised order of appointment.

9. True, in order dated 17.06.2015, there is reference that the out of service period was already treated as break in service.
10. However, material question is whether the Applicant was served with impugned order dated 28.11.2014 whereby the decision was taken to treat out of service period as a break in service. The Respondents have produced the record of services of order dated 17.06.2015 but no record of service of order dated 28.11.2014 is forthcoming. As such, even if there is no recital in order dated 17.06.2015, the fact remains that there is no service of order dated 28.11.2014. It was adverse order which ought to have been served on the Applicant so that he could have availed legal remedy. Mere reference of it in subsequent communication is not enough. It is for this reason, the copy of the said order was again given to the Applicant on 31.06.2018 as seen from communication dated 15.07.2021 when the Applicant raised grievance for pay and allowances of out of service period.
11. Here is may be noted that as per order dated 17.06.2015, the Applicant appears entitled for protection of G.R. dated 15.06.1995 and indeed, his seniority is also fixed from 15.06.1995. Thus, it appears that though the Applicant was entitled to protection of G.R. dated 15.06.1995, he came to be kept out of service for about 20 years.
12. Thus, the service of order dated 28.11.2014 was actually made upon the Applicant on 01.06.2018. The Applicant was, therefore, required to file O.A. within one year upto 01.06.2019. Later, in 2020, there was Covid-19 Pandemic situation and consequent lockdown and because of difficulties faced by litigants in Covid-19 situation, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Suo-Motu* W.P.No.03/2020 dated 10.01.2022 ordered that in cases where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.

13. Thus, resultantly there could be delay of nine months i.e. from 01.06.2019 to 15.03.2020.

14. Needless to mention while deciding application for condonation of delay, the court needs to adopt liberal approach so as to advance substantial justice and where the delay is reasonably explained, it needs to be condoned.

15. In this view of the matter, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing O.A. so as to decide the matter on merit.

16. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Place: Mumbai
Date: 28.07.2022
Dictation taken by: V.S. Mane

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.282 of 2021 in O.A.No.552 of 2021 with M.A.No.283 of 2021 in O.A.No.553 of 2021</p> <p>K. T. More P. G KulkarniApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there is mistake in calculating the period of delay as mentioned in prayer clause and requested for permission to correct the prayers of M.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to correct the prayer. It be done forthwith.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.168 of 2022</p> <p>R V. BhandareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit is ready but only affirmation remains to be done and requested for two days time to file the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.07.2022

M. A. No.143 of 2022 in O.A.No.247 of 2022

J. G. Gawari

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The Applicant in person is also present.

2. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged order dated 21.10.2013 whereby recovery of Rs.2,24,080/- was sought on account of retention of Quarter beyond permissible limit. Since there is delay in challenging the order, the Applicant has also filed Misc. Application for condonation of delay.

3. When today, the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that during the pendency of matter, the Respondent has modified the initial order dated 21.10.2013 and passed fresh order on 14.04.2022 thereby seeking recovery of Rs.6,50,380/-.

4. It appears from perusal of order dated 14.04.2022 that earlier the penal charges were charged by lesser rate against the rate specified in this behalf, and therefore, the Respondent's recalculated the penal charges and calculated the amount due as Rs.6,50,380/-.

5. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has to challenge the order dated 14.04.2022 and sought permission to withdraw the M.A. and O.A. with liberty to challenge the order dated 14.04.2022 by filing sperate O.A.

6. Allowed to withdraw M.A. and O.A. with liberty to take further recourse of law as may be permissible.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.652 of 2022</p> <p>D. K. MayekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. S. Dhannawat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2021 but his Gratuity, Leave Encashment is withheld. The Provisional Pension is however being paid. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there was absolutely no legal hurdle to withhold Gratuity and Leave Encashment. He, therefore claims interest on amount due. 4. On instructions, learned P.O. submits that there were certain objections from Pay Fixation Unit, and therefore, the delay is caused in payment of Gratuity and Leave Encashment. 5. Since, learned P.O. appears on behalf of Respondents, issuance of notice is dispensed with. 6. One week time is granted to file reply so that O.A. can be disposed of on next date. 7. S.O. to 04.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As.705 & 706/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.D. Kamane & Ors. Shri P.S. Parab & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that both the matters were undated in the Office for a long time and today for the first time, it is listed before this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Indeed, as per Office Order dated 28.05.2021, subject of Grant/Denial of Time Bound Promotion pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Registrar is therefore directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18th August, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 28.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.726/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.C. Chincholkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed simpliciter for direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the proposal pending with it, which was sent by Respondent No.2 – Additional Sales Tax Commissioner, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur in the matter of condonation of break in service of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. When specific query was raised to learned P.O. about the decision of Government, on instructions he informed that the proposal was received on 19.01.2019 and it still in process, since there were certain queries in the matter.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>6. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the proposal referred to above within two months from today and the decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 28.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.647 of 2022</p> <p>A. G. SangarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A is listed under the caption of 'Dismissal' with the endorsement of the office that Affidavit of Service as directed by the Tribunal by order dated 07.07.2022 is not tendered.</p> <p>3. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has already filed Affidavit of Service in the office on 12.07.2022 and has shown acknowledgment given by the office.</p> <p>4. Thus, Affidavit of Service seems to have been already filed in the office on 12.07.2022.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Registrar is directed to look into the matter and it be placed before the bench at 2.30 P.M. for further orders.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

Perused the Office Note of O.S.D. (Legal) wherein it is stated that Affidavit-of-service was really filed in the Office on 12.07.2022, but Inward Clerk inadvertently kept it at other place ^(S-1047) in spite of giving it to the Board Section.

Board Section shall ensure that such mistake should not occur again.

On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.

Registrar is directed to bring this aspect to the notice of all concerned.

S.O. to 11th August, 2022.

^
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
28.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.292 of 2017</u></p> <p>Irfan A. Shaikh & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Twinkle Khemka i/b. Khemka & Associates learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files Praeipce dated 28.7.2022 and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA on instructions from the applicants. Praeipce is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.902 of 2015</p> <p>N.G. KondhalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the office order dated 28.05.2021 which is regarding assignment of matters to Division Bench and Single Bench, O.A. is to be placed before Single Bench on 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.129 of 2015 in OAs. No.142, 143 & 144 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. In this matter my Sister Judge Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A) has recused herself from this matter by order dated 24.8.2021.</p> <p>3. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant is supposed to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.8.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.304 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.699 of 2017</p> <p>A.B. KalyankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In this matter none present. Hence, dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>3. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.514 of 2022</p> <p>R.A. KhatkaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.75 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. D.R. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the matter has become infructuous since his prayer was to increase his retirement age from 58 to 60. However, he stands retired on 31.01.2020. Cause of action no longer survives.</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.428 of 2022 in O.A. No.633 of 2022</p> <p>N.U. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that matter to be fixed for tomorrow.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. informs that the decision is to be taken by the Home Department i.e. Hon'ble Minister of the Home Department. However, post of the Home Minister is vacant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.634 of 2022 with M.A. No.387 of 2022</p> <p>R.J. LavteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-service is filed. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2022

C.A. No.25 of 2020 in O.A. No.28 of 2018
with O.A. No.938 of 2018

D.L. AwateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. submits that order of the Tribunal has been set aside by Hon'ble Bombay High Court in which Contempt Application was filed and the matter has been remanded back to this Tribunal for fresh proceeding.
3. In view of this, C.A. deserves to be disposed of.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.569 of 2022 with O.A. No.570 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. Khapale R.R. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-service is filed. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This matter be tagged along with connected matters O.A. No.108/2020, O.A. No.109/2020, O.A. No.468/2020, O.A. No.469/2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.355 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. KoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.581 of 2019</p> <p>A.B. Shinde & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that they should be eligible for physical examination in the absence of reservation.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that in Limited Departmental Competitive Examination of 2016 whole process is already over and candidates are selected and occupied their position. Limited Departmental Competitive Examination of 2017 is also over and advertisement for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination of 2021 has been issued and the examination for the same is already conducted.</p> <p>3. As such, at this stage the whole process of the examination is over. In view of this, nothing remains and the matter has become infructuous. Hence, dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.460 of 2022</p> <p>A.U. KhairavkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.593 of 2022</p> <p>R. V. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.08.2022</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.613 of 2022</p> <p>S. B. BamneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S. Y. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. B. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.387 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Kanade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.493 of 2022</p> <p>U. M. SasaveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Member(J)

Original Application No. _____

vsm
of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.518 of 2022</p> <p>H. R. MaskeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gugale holding for Shri G. Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.536 of 2022</p> <p>S. M. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to take necessary steps so as to reconsider the impugned order and to pass further order in term of Section 20 of Disabilities Act, 2016,</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.556 of 2022</p> <p>K. S. ShengaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since the Applicant is retiring soon, on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, O.A. be kept in next week.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M. N. KatteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to amend the O.A. in view of certain development and requested for two weeks time.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for taking necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.29 of 2021 in O.A. No.250 of 2021</p> <p>R. Y. ChandramoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik holding for Shri I. M. Koparkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. This M.A. be kept with connected matter i.e. M.A. No.138/2021 in O.A.No.249/2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.728 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. Jagdale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicants have challenged the order of punishment dated 27.07.2020 imposed by the Respondent No.1 – Additional Commissioner of Police (Administration) thereby withholding increments for two years without cumulative effect which is confirmed in appeal by the Respondent No.2 on 04.03.2022. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 25.08.2022. Sd/- <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. 439 of 2022 in O.A.No.728 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. Jagdale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.439 /2022 is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.56 of 2022</p> <p>V. S. VanjariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. B. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.711 of 2019 With O.A.No.741 of 2019</p> <p>M. N. Gaikwad C. P. YelveApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. These Original Applications were initially taken up for hearing but adjourned, awaiting the decision of the Division Bench on the issue as to whether person carrying pay scale of Rs.5600-9000 falls in Group 'B' or 'C'. 3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants as well as learned P.O. submit that Original Applications pending before the Division Bench is not yet decided. 4. In view of above, these two Original Applications are, therefore, required to be decided after the decision of Division Bench. 5. Hence, adjourned for four weeks. 6. S.O. to 25.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
(skw)	<p><u>O.A.979/2017</u></p> <p>Shri G.S. Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, last chance is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 28.07.2022</p>