

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.414 of 2024 with O.A. No. 522 of 2024 with O.A. No. 527 of 2024</p> <p>A.V. Malwad & Ors. A.Z. MominApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' dated 21.06.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant specifically draws attention to contents of 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 16.04.2024 and 'Para 3' and 'Para 4' of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 21.06.2024.</p> <p>4. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' it appears; has taken action in undue haste to attach services of Applicants on 28.01.2024 to Central Jails Kolhapur; Amravati & Nagpur about which detailed observations have been made in 'Para 7' of Order dated 21.03.2024. However, in 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 16.04.2024 and 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 21.06.2024 no averments whatever have been made about how</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

attachment of services of 'Jailer Group-1' & Jailer Group -2' are permissible under provision of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005, or are based on instructions in 'Maharashtra Prison Manual 1979'.

5. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' has attempted to put the entire burden of accountability for untoward incidents which happened in 'Nashik Road Central Jail' only on Applicants and even passed their 'Suspension Orders' on 27.03.2024.

6. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' may have taken action to pass 'Suspension Orders' of Applicant on 27.03.2024 based on 'Enquiry Report' of 'Dy SP & Vigilance Officer' dated 24.01.2024. However, no action appears to have been taken against the (a) Jail Superintendent, (b) Deputy Jail Superintendent & (c) Senior Prison Officer serving in 'Nashik Road Central Jail' who were duty bound to ensure observance of strict discipline & maintenance of order within 'Nashik Road Central Jail'.

7. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' has chosen to maintain stoic silence in 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 16.04.2024 and 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 21.06.2024 about action initiated against (a) Jail Superintendent (b) Deputy Jail Superintendent (c) Senior Prison Officer serving in 'Nashik Road Central Jail Officers' who are even named in Enquiry Report of 'Deputy SP & Vigilance Officer' dated 24.02.2024; Thus the case of Applicants is glaring instance of tolerance of indiscipline at higher echelons of 'Uniformed Force' while holding accountable only those who serve at lower levels like Applicants.

8. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' was expected to act with stern hand while dealing with indiscipline at all levels of 'Uniformed Force'. Hence actions taken in undue haste to attach services of

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Applicants initially to Central Prisons at Kolhapur; Amravati and Nagpur on 29.01.2024 and then to even to issue 'Suspension Order' on 27.03.2024 of Applicants who are Jailer-1 and Jailer -2 in exclusion of any punitive action initiated against (a) Jail Superintendent (b) Deputy Jail Superintendent (c) Senior Prison Officer serving in 'Nashik Road Central Jail Officers' makes this another classic case of misjudgment made by 'Disciplinary Authority' when measured on 'Scale of Proportionality'.

9. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' needs to deeply introspect about the 'State of Affairs' in 'Nashik Road Central Prison' as appreciably brought out in 'Enquiry Report' submitted by 'Dy SP & Vigilance Officer' on 24.01.2024.

10. The 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State Pune' would thus be expected to act forthwith against (a) Jail Superintendent b) Deputy Jail Superintendent (c) Senior Prison Officer who are named in 'Enquiry Report' submitted by Deputy SP & Vigilance Officer on 24.01.2024.

11. The 'Suspension Orders' dated 24.03.2024 of Applicants evidently passed only to make Applicants the scapegoats for untoward incidents which happened in 'Nashik Road Central Prison' should therefore be reviewed by 'Additional Director General Prison & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' within 'Two Weeks' under 'Rule 4(5)(e)' of 'MCS (D & A) Rules 1979'.

12. S.O. to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 28.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.317 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. TengaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO reiterates that Applicant was transferred 'Mid Term' and 'Mid Tenure' from post of Chief Officer; Municipal Council, Daund, District Pune' by 'Transfer Orders' dated 02.02.2024 of Urban Development Department.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized that when 'Transfer Orders' dated 02.02.2024 of Urban Development Department were issued the directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 were applicable even to officers designated on designated posts of 'ERO/AERO'; However, officers serving on of designated posts of 'ERO/AERO'; were subsequently exempted from purview of transfers by revised directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 27.02.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned PO based on information states that representation which Applicant has submitted to Urban Development Department after being informed to do so during hearing on 03.05.2024 will be placed for due consideration in next meeting of 'CSB', which is expected to be held soon for 'General Transfer 2024'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The 'CSB' thus informed to consider the representation made by Applicant while keeping in mind the fact that Applicant subsequently stood exempted from transfer as per revised directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 27.02.2024 and had served on post of 'Chief Officer, Daund, Municipal Council, District Pune' for only about 8 months after joining there as per 'Transfer Order' dated 05.04.2023 of Urban Development Department.

6. S.O. to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.151 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1182 of 2023</p> <p>H.C. Dasade & Ors., N.D. KedariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.M. Kakade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of letter dated 03.04.2024 written by 'Additional D.G.P., Training & Special Squads, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' in office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' and endorsed to 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' wherein it is specifically mentioned that for 'Inter District Transfer' of Police Constables for year 2022-2023 total earmarked posts are 996 out of which 44 posts are in establishment of SP, Raigad. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant relying on letter dated 03.04.2024 written by 'Additional D.G.P., Training & Special Squads, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' in office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' mentions that for year 2022-2023 in establishment 'SP, Raigad' out of 44 posts there are 8 posts which were available in establishment of 'SP, Raigad' even during 2020-21 but now show included in 44 post for 2022-2023 for 'Inter District Transfer' to establishment of 'SP, Raigad'. 4. Learned PO files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on 11.06.2024 which places on record 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' letter dated 03.04.2024. 5. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' within 'Two Weeks'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate. 6. The attention of 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is again drawn to contents of 'Para 8' of Order dated 16.02.2024 by which directions were given that 'Supplementary Order' was to have been issued for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' of Applicants to establishment of 'SP, Raigad' upon additional vacancies of 8 posts being confirmed by SP,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Raigad' on 14.07.2023 so as to comply with earlier directions given in 'Para 7' of Order dated 07.08.2023. The directions given in 'Para 7' of Order dated 07.08.2023 were to be complied with in 'Two Weeks' as an appropriate decision was required to be taken by 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>7. The 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' with reference to contents of 'Additional D.G.P., Training & Special Squads, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' letter dated 03.04.2024 which mentions that there are 44 posts available for 'Inter District Transfer' for year 2022-2023 in establishment of 'SP, Raigad' including 8 post which were available from year 2020-21 and now carried forward for year 2022-2023.</p> <p>8. The 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' therefore to confirm based on 'Seniority No.' of Applicants and Others for year 2020-21 how many 'Police Constables' are placed above Applicants and how many are shown below Applicants and accordingly how would all them be considered based on their 'Seniority No's and (a) their 1st Choice, (b) 2nd Choice, and (c) 3rd Choice against 44 posts earmarked of 'Inter District Transfer' in establishment of 'SP, Raigad' for year 2022-23.</p> <p>9. The 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' notwithstanding the above observations would still be accountable to answer why he did not decide about the pending Applications filed by Applicants as per directions in 'Para 7' of Order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <p>10. The 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 11.06.2024 does not have whisper about what had held back the hands of 'Additional DGP, SRPF, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' from issuing the 'Supplementary Order' and how it is now proposed to redress the grievance of Applicants pending for year 2020-21.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.06.2024

O.A. No.613 of 2023

M.M. Salunke

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has been able to finally join on post of 'Forest Guard' in 'Social Forestry – Baglan No. 1' due to prompt intervention made by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Nashik' for implementation of Transfer Order dated 15.03.2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that as Applicant did not hold any post of 'Forest Guard' during the intervening period from 23.05.2023 to 19.03.2024; it be now regularized by 'DFO, Social Forestry, Nashik' as per applicable rules, in due consideration of representation submitted by Applicant on 28.03.2024.

4. The grievances of Applicant now stand fully redressed. Hence O.A. No. 613/2023 is disposed off. No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1533 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. BagulApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per Circular dated 11.11.2023 Applicant had submitted representation on 16.11.2023 to 'Joint Director Vocational Education & Training Nashik' requesting transfer to post of 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I. Dindori, District Nashik' which could not be given to Applicant earlier because it was decided not to fill-up this post of 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I. Dindori, District Nashik' during General Transfer : 2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that 'CSB' meeting was conducted on 18.12.2023 to consider all request transfer based on Circular dated 11.11.2023. The Applicant had requested for transfer to post of 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I. Dindori District Nashik'. However request of Applicant was not considered by 'CSB' in its meeting held on 18.12.2023 on the grounds that this post was not vacant; even though request of Applicant was ever recommended by 'Principal I/C' of 'I.T.I. Malegaon Nashik'. Hence while the request of applicant was over looked the post of 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I, Dindori, District Nashik' was given to Respondent No.4 even without any recommendation of 'CSB'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The request of Applicant was over looked and Smt. Gayatri S. Gavare was posted of post of 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I. Dindori, District Nashik although she had earlier not even joined in 'I.T.I., Rahata District Ahamadnagar' since 06.06.2023. The Applicant on the other hand obeyed 'Transfer Order' dated 09.08.2021 of 'Joint Director Vocational Education & Training Nashik' and has been serving for post of 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I, Malegaon, District Nashik'.</p> <p>5. The request Smt. Gayatri S. Gavare came to be considered for posting to 'Craft Instructor (COPA)' at 'I.T.I., Dindori, District Nashik' although she had even not applied for request transfer as per Circular dated 11.11.2023 as it evident from 'Annexure' to 'Minutes of Meeting' of CSB held on 08.12.2023.</p> <p>6. The Transfer Orders issued by 'Joint Director Vocational Education & Training Nashik' based on request as per Circular dated 11.11.2023 required to prior approval of next 'Superior Transferring Authority' which was not done as per provision of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 05.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1562 of 2023</p> <p>D.B. Tamhane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that she is yet to receive specific instructions from office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' with regard to action taken about observations in 'Para 2' of Order dated 02.02.2024 regarding re-deployment of 'Assistant Inspectors of Motor Vehicles' and whether cases of Applicants would now be considered during 'General Transfers: 2024'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO further states that 'General Transfer 2024' of 'Assistant Inspectors of Motor Vehicles' are yet to happen and thus seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
objections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.06.2024

O.A. No.959 of 2023

M.U. WaghmareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. Shelke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. informs that so far no specific information has been received regarding 'Para 4' & 'Para 5' of Order dated 22.01.2024 and thus seeks time of 'One Week' to ascertain specific information on these important 'Policy Observations' from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant, however insists that Applicant who is 'Senior Clerk' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' had submitted request on 17.02.2023 to seek 'Inter Establishment Transfer' on grounds of 'Medical Condition' of his 'Father' to establishment of either (i) Superintendent of Police, Wardha District or (ii) Superintendent of Police, & Amravati District or (iii) Commissioner of Police, Nagpur.

4. The batch of O.A Nos.423/2024 & Ors. are kept on 09.07.2024. Therefore O.A. No.959/2023 be tagged with batch of O.A Nos.423/2024 & Ors. kept on 09.07.2024.

5. S.O. to 09.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.139/2024 in O.A.274/2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Margaje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri R.V. Bansode, learned Advocate for Applicant is absent. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19th July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>skw</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>skw</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.377/2024 in O.A.776/2024</u></p> <p>D.R. Dawane & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and s. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SKW</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SBK</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.760/2024</u></p> <p>N.P. Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 5th July, 2024. 'Interim Relief' if any to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SH</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.619/2024 with O.A.620/2024

S.K. Gutte

S.S.Bhat

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Omkar Wable along with Shri Abhijeet Khade, learned Advocates for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO with Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. We direct Respondents to consider afresh the case of Applicants by re-verifying their Sports Certificate and after giving them hearing within 'Three Weeks'.

3. Thus, in view of 'Interim Stay' granted by Order dated 10.05.2024 to continue qua further action dated 20.06.2024.

4. It is made clear that Respondents to keep one post of 'NT(D) Sports Category' and one post of 'OBC Sports Category' vacant in the list published on 20.06.2024.

5. S.O. to 19th July, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
28.06.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
28.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.561/2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Singal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Khedekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12th July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SP/</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SD/</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.343/2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Polsane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that she is filing Affidavit-in-Reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th July, 2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024 </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.320/2024

C.D. Panem ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted as last chance.
3. S.O. to 19th July, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
28.06.2024

SKW
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
28.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.293/2024</u>
	<p>P.D. Kokare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri P.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.9.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12th July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024 </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024 </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.251/2024</u></p> <p>S.A. Ughade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.192/2024</u></p> <p>V.M. Bhedurkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.C. Rawate, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that she has received instructions and seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12th July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SKW</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>MB</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.187/2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents. 2. Learned PO submits that she is filing Affidavit-in-Reply today. The copy of Affidavit-in-Reply is served to the Applicant. 3. Admit. 4. S.O. 26th July, 2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.141/2024</u></p> <p>K.R. Kendre & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Mahendra Narlekar, Sr. Police Inspector is present. He informs that Shri Santosh S. Nivangune through e-mail informed that he had resigned on or before 24th June, 2024. Learned CPO wants to verify whether he has really resigned or it is e-mail sent by somebody else.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4th July, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.76/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. K.B. Batte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 3rd July, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SP1</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 28.06.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>SP1</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 28.06.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.764/2024

A.N. Lohar


... Applicant


Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 19.07.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 19th July, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
28.06.2024


(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
28.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.773/2024

J.B. Suryawanshi

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The Applicant who was working as Police Sub-Inspector is challenging Charge Sheet dated 12.12.2022.
3. Issue notice returnable on 19.07.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 19th July, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
28.06.2024

SKW
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
28.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.775/2017 with M.A.No.253/2024

S.N. Raktate

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Raktate holding for Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that today she has filed three affidavit-in-replies and copy of the same is also served to learned Counsel Mr. Raktate.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Raktate seeks time to go through the said replies.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.577 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.N. Khamitkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 27.6.2024 of respondents no.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.573 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.B. Pagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 18.6.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.7.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.456 of 2024</u></p> <p>H.Y. Kasbe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.6.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.8.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.453 of 2024</u>
	<p>A.D. Patel ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant retired as Motor Vehicles Inspector on 31.12.2014.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to verify the position.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.7.2024.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p>
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.438 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.V. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle h/f Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files replies dated 27.6.2024 of respondents no.3 & 4.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.7.2024 for filing rejoinder,</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Médha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.401 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.P. Ranalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. PO files reply dated 27.6.2024 of respondents no.2 & 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>Os.A. No.86 & 87 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.L. Dadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 28.6.2024 of respondent in OA No.86/2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1400 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.V. Thombre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 2.5.2024 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As.664, 665 & 666 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.N. Rangdal B.N. Rangdal Y.B. Yadav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that OA No.666/2023 may be detagged from the remaining two OAs.</p> <p>3. OA No.666/2023 is detagged.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.679 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.B. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 21.6.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2024 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgā) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.565 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.K. Dalvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.358 of 2024 in O.A. No.565 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.K. Dalvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of 4 months. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that delay is unintentional and the same may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts the delay is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.326 of 2024 in O.As. 1594 & 1595 of 2023</u></p> <p>The Executive Engineer, Kukadi Irrigation Deptt. No.1 Narayangaon, Pune ..Applicant Vs. E.S. Kharmale R.K. Ganjave ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondents and Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has filed this MA for extention of time by six weeks for complying with the order dated 30.1.2024 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the respondents-original applicants submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Time is extended by six weeks to comply with the order. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.118 of 2024 in O.A. No.235 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.S. Satav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of one year and 25 days. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that similar matter i.e. OA No.894/2021 was decided on 22.4.2022 and therefore she states that delay may be condoned.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.235 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.S. Satav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.64/2024 in MA.65/2024 in OA.815/2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Adate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above OA was dismissed for default on 25.1.2022. The applicant came to know about this in January, 2024. MA No.65/2024 is filed for restoration of OA and MA No.64/2024 is filed for condoning the delay in filing MA for restoration. Ld. Advocate submits that the delay is unintentional and same may kindly be condoned and OA be restored to file.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes both the MAs.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts the delay is condoned and OA is restored to file. Both the MAs are disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.815/2021

S.G. Adate ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue fresh notice before admission returnable on 2.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
28.6.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.514/2023 in O.A. No.736 of 2022 with M.A. No.513/2023 in O.A. No.737 of 2022 with M.A. No.515/2023 in O.A. No.738 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.B. Patil R.P. Pawar M.R. Vavhal ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants pray for condoning the delay of 5 years. The applicants who are working as Craft Instructors are praying for counting their temporary service for qualifying service. They also seek grant of Time Bound Promotion/ ACPS on completion of 24 years. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants came to know about passing of the judgment of November, 2021 by this Tribunal in OA No.550/2019. Ld. Advocate submits that there is continuous cause of action.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the submissions made by Ld. Advocate for the applicant. She pointed out that there is delay from 1999 which is over 20 years. She states that no specific reason is given for the delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the statement made by the Ld. Advocate for the applicants the delay is condoned. The applicants are directed to deposit cost of Rs.1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) per OA. The cost be paid to PETA. MAs disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA adjourned to 5.8.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.376 of 2024 in O.A. No.767 of 2024

G.A. Shinde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA & O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
28.6.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.758 of 2024

S.D. Mali ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Leave to correct the address is granted.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

28.6.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.660 of 2024

T.M. Gawade ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.S. Kokitkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
28.6.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.1532/2023

K.S. Binnar .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Reply is filed. Admit. Place for Final hearing.
4. Adjourned to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.1502/2023

V.S. More .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for
the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

4. Adjourned to 19.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.1422/2023

H.A. Divate

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. S.P. Dhole, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Reply is filed. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.
4. Adjourned to 19.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.772/2023

K.R. Kamble .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Counsel for the
Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. Adjourned to
19.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.1519/2023

D.S. Kamtekar & Ors. Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has produced G.R. dated 16.03.2024. It be taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A. Copy is served upon learned C.P.O. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that his submissions on 16.04.2024 were based on G.R. dated 16.03.2024 and he had furnished the copy of said G.R.. However after going through the order dated 16.04.2024 we find there is no mention of G.R. dated 16.03.2024. Learned Counsel has submitted that on the basis of G.R. dated 16.03.2024 Anomaly Committee is constituted to clear anomalies as referred to them by various Departments and therefore the parent Department of the Applicants i.e. Industries, Energy and Labour Department are to make reference to the Anomaly Committee and if such reference is made and Anomaly Committee to decide the matter of the Applicants about their anomaly

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today she is filing affidavit-in-reply wherein she has stated that the Anomaly Committee is already constituted in February 2023 as per G.R. dated 13.02.2023 in respect of 7th Pay Commission. She has further submitted that in the said meeting of Anomaly Committee the request of the applicant was considered and rejected. She has further submitted that the G.R. which is given by learned Counsel today i.e. G.R. dated 16.03.2024 is not applicable to the present applicants and she seeks time to file reply for the same.

4. Adjourned to 19.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.06.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.375/2024 in O.A.No.1309/2023</p> <p>S.S. Raskar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. M.A.No.375/2024 is filed seeking expedite hearing of O.A.No.1309/2023.3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit-in-reply.4. In view that we are dealing with the matters of the year 2017, present O.A. cannot be taken up for hearing.5. Learned Counsel has submitted that matter will become infructuous as the applicant is entitled to be given additional promotion on the basis of priority and equality.6. Adjourned to 12.07.2024. <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

M.A.No.356/2024 in O.A.No.877/2017

The Resident Commissioner ..Appli (Org. Resp)
Vs.

Mr. S.P. SinghRespod. (Org. Appli)

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Org. Applicant.)

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that M.A.No.356/2024 is filed seeking extension of time to file Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order dated 21.03.2024 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.877/2017.

3. Time extended by two weeks. M.A.No.356/2024 for extension stands disposed of as allowed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.06.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.223/2024 in O.A.No.1364/2023</p> <p>S.R. Rathod .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.223/2024 is filed seeking early hearing of O.A.No.1364/2023. O.A. is filed challenging the order of rejection of voluntary retirement. We direct learned P.O. to take decision pursuant to order dated 18.04.2024.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

M.A.No.214/2024 in O.A.No.1548/2023

M.A. Ambadekar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 02.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

C.A.No.51/2024 in O.A.No.249/2021

M.S. Wagh

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. A. Naik, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Naik has submitted by order dated 06.10.2022 passed in O.A.Nos.249 & 250/2021, Respondents were directed to appoint Applicant No.1, Ms. Megha Sunil Wagh and Applicant No.2, Mr. Rahul Y. Chandramore on compassionate ground. However, the Government has appointment Mr. Rahul Chandramore on the post of Police Constable on compassionate ground. On 03.06.2022, Ms. M.S. Wagh gave application requesting office of Commissioner of Police, thereby mentioning the order of this Tribunal, as she is B.E. and ENTC and she be given on the post of Clerk.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that for Clerical post there is waiting list and Applicant's name Ms. M.S. Wagh is at Serial No.6 in the wait list.

4. Respondent to note that the name of Applicant Ms. M.S. Wagh in the said wait list will be as per seniority from date of the order passed by this Tribunal i.e. as on 06.10.2022.

5. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

C.A.No.17/2024 in O.A.No.641/2023

S.S. Rawle .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time.
4. Time granted to learned P.O. by way of last chance to comply the order, otherwise matter will proceed without hearing the arguments and Notice for Contempt will be issued on Respondents No.2 and 3.
5. Adjourned to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.06.2024

O.A. No.761 of 2024

M.B. JoganApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 26.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.06.2024

O.A. No.762 of 2024

R.S. Jagtap

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 26.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.06.2024

O.A. No.775 of 2024

K.A. Kamble

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.07.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 01.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

A/O.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Petitions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.06.2024

O.A. No.428 of 2024

Dr. N.P. MokashiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.
4. S.O. to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.943/2023

H.B. Shinde

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.

2. Applicant is challenging the order of wherein the Additional charge of Joint Director of Higher and Technical Education has not been given to him. Applicant who was working as Chief Administrative Officer, Higher and Technical Education Directorate, Pune challenges the order dated 13.01.2023 wherein the Respondent No.1, Government of giving charge of Deputy Director Higher and Technical Education, Directorate Pune to Dr. Prakash D. Bacchao (Respondent No.3) who was working as Administrative Officer at Solapur. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that Respondent No.3, Mr. Bacchao is junior to the Applicant. She has pointed out provisional seniority list dated 01.01.2021 wherein name of the Applicant Mr. H.B. Shinde is at Serial No.1 and Respondent No.3, Mr. Bacchao does not appear in the said list as he does not falls in the said cadre.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has pointed out that in the common seniority list of 01.01.2022 to 31.12.2022 the name of Respondent No.3 is shown at Serial No.1, name of one Ms. Archana Boharde's name is at Serial No.2 and the name of Applicant is shown at Serial No.3 and thus on merit Respondent No.3 is shown as senior.

4. At the request of learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan. Adjourned to 01.07.2024 P.H.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.799/2021

U.R. Shinde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S. Raktate, learned Counsel for Respondents No.3 to 8.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar adjourned to 06.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.1536/2023

R.P. Chavan .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. has orally requested for speaking to minutes of order dated 25.06.2024. In order dated 25.06.2024 in paragraph 2, the date has been wrongly typed as '31.03.2021'. It should be read as '21.06.2021'.

3. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.281/2018

R.J. Pandharpur .Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that in similar matter in order dated 4.7.2014 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.Nos. 1215, 1128 & 1227 of 2013 and order dated 1.9.2014 passed by the Tribunal in Review Application No. 23 of 2014 stayed has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 24.04.2018 passed in W.P.No.8926/2015 & Ors., The Secretary to the Government, Home Department & Ors. Vs Jaganath Tukaram Andhale & Ors.

3. Adjourned to 23.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.828/2022

N.G. Kabadi

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. O.A. though adjourned to 11.07.2024, taken on board at the request of Applicant in person.
3. Applicant states that he has filed M.A.No.365/2024 and the same is not appearing on the board.
4. Registry is directed to tag M.A.No.365/2024 along with O.A.No.828/2022.
5. Adjourned to 11.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.828/2022

N.G. Kabadi

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. though adjourned to 11.07.2024, taken on board at the request of Applicant in person.

3. Applicant states that he has filed M.A.No.365/2024 and the same is not appearing on the board.

4. Registry is directed to tag M.A.No.365/2024 along with O.A.No.828/2022.

5. Adjourned to 11.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 28.06.2024

O.A.No.377/2020 with O.A.No.48/2021

A.K. Bhusare & Ors.

S.M. Joshi & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicants through video conference and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In O.A.No.48/2021 out of 18 Applicants, Applicant Ms. Prachi Narkar and Ms. Asmita Sarang are present today. On behalf of all the Applicants they have stated that all the Applicants want to change the Counsel. Learned Counsel Mr. Sadavarte has submitted that he has no objection. He has submitted that no vakalatnama is filed by him. However, on video conference he informed the Tribunal that he has on objection.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Sadavarte has submitted that in O.A.No.377/2020 all the Applicants are with him as they have not approached him for NOC, so he will continue to be learned Counsel in O.A.No.377/2020.

4. We direct Applicants in O.A.No.48/2021 to engage Counsel of their choice and the Counsel should be ready with the matter on next date as sufficient time for preparation is given.

5. Adjourned to 21.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 956/2017</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.D Jadhav & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the vacancy position from 2013 to 2017 are not available with the Respondents.</p> <p>3. We direct Deepak Kumar, Additional Tribal Commissioner to get the record disclosing how many posts of promotees fall vacant from the quota of promotees during the period 2013 to 2017 to the post of Warden, Group-III in the Tribal Development Department, Thane Division.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.7.2024. Part Heard.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>