

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.244/2023</b></p> <p>R.B. Dahiphale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. files reply dated 07.06.2023 through Assistant Inspector General of Registration working in the office of Registration of Stamp Department.</li> <li>3. Applicant challenges impugned order dated 14.02.2023 issued by the Respondent No.2, Inspector General of Registration and Stamps.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar has submitted that though Private Respondents are served none have appeared. Learned Advocate has submitted that affidavit of service of all Private Respondents is filed.</li> <li>5. Learned Advocate has submitted that Applicant who was working earlier as Junior Clerk at Registration of Stamp Department, by order dated 14.02.2023 was given promotion to the post of Senior Clerk and so posted as Senior Clerk in the same office of Registration and Stamps. Learned Advocate has submitted that options were called by the office and he has exercised his options on 06.02.2023 and gave 10 options. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant is the senior most of all the Private Respondents, but however none of his choices were considered.</li> <li>6. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</li> <li>7. Learned P.O. is directed to provide the minutes of Civil Services Board.</li> <li>8. Adjourned to 06.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1249 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Lohar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.7.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgāī) Member (A) 28.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 324/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.B Barade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**28.06.2023**

**O.A 623, 626, 598, 599 & 658/2023**

Dr V.N Dekate & Ors  
Dr A.H Dhanawade & Ors  
Dr C.S Lone  
Dr D.D Patil  
Dr M. Chincholikar ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 623, 626 & 658/2023, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri D.V Sutar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 (Z.P, Nasik) in O.A nos 598 & 599/2023.

2. We direct the Respondents to file affidavit in reply without fail as the matter is fixed for hearing on 4.7.2023.

3. S.O 4.7.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 565/2023</u></b></p> <p>P.B Sakate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms A Chavare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil) ✓</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.743 &amp; 744 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. K.S. Madar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 6.7.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.587 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.A. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.7.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.3 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.B. Zende ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.7.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.635 &amp; 644 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Rathod S.S. Patil ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.736, 737 &amp; 738 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.B. Patil R.P. Pawar M.R. Vavhal ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO raised the preliminary objection of delay in filing these OAs. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that there is continuous cause of action and hence there is no delay.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.403 of 2023 in O.As. No.55 & 56 of 2018  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
Shri Anil T. Mane  
Nitinkumar B. Kamble ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.7.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
28.6.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A. No.03 of 2023 in O.A. No.950 of 2019 with M.A. No.516 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.K. Inamke</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit of Contemnor along with order dated 20.06.2023 granting increment due on 01.07.2014. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Though the increment has been granted further action for revision of pension is not taken, as such there is no full compliance of the order of the Tribunal.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. submits that now further action for revision of Pension will be taken and sought time. She requested to keep this O.A. with connected matter on 20.07.2023.</li> <li>5. This C.A. to be kept on 20.07.2023 with direction to the contemnor to appraise the further progress about the revision of Pension and proposal to the Accountant General.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 20.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.81 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>R.V. Koli</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed short Affidavit along with minutes of State Selection Committee dated 21.07.2017 in which 9 post of Junior Engineer from Open Sports Category are shown still vacant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Whereas, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 &amp; 3 in reference to letter he received from Department stated that the post of Junior Engineer in the recruitment process of 2016 in Open Sports Category are filled-in. In letter itself further stated that after 2016 recruitment no further recruitment process was initiated.</p> <p>4. Thus the information submitted by the learned Advocate for the Applicant needs to be confirmed from Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>5. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3 sought time to verify about the correctness of the information of vacancies today tendered by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.06.2023

O.A. No.892 of 2022

S.M. Jadhav, .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.
2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 submits that the Applicant died on 22.06.2023, and therefore cause of action does not survives it being claim of seniority and promotion.
3. Respondent No.3 – Shri Udayraj K Chavan is also present before the Tribunal and stated that the Applicant unfortunately died on 22.06.2023 and he had attended his funeral.
4. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant does not dispute the factum of death of the Applicant.
5. O.A. has been filed challenging Seniority and Promotion of Respondent No.3 and claimed promotion in his place. This being so, cause of action does not survives.
6. Status-qua order dated 06.09.2022 stands vacated.
7. O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 28.06.2023**

**O.A. No.1205 of 2022**

**A.M. Sapkal, .....Applicant**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Jawala Jose, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted for Final Hearing with specific direction that no further time will be given since Interim Relief is granted by order dated 26.04.2023.

3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.

4. S.O. to 13.07.2023.

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

Sd/-  
 (A.P. Kurhekar)  
 Member (J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.815 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri V. Berde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A. No.32 of 2023 in O.A. No.496 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.B. Baviskar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 22.06.2022, one week time was granted to implement the order passed by the Tribunal since Writ Petition has been already dismissed on 20.06.2023.</p> <p>3. Indeed, there was no stay to the order passed by the Tribunal, in O.A. No.496/2020 on 17.06.2021, directions were issued to absorb the Applicants in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012 and shall provide consequential service benefits within three months. Now, period of more than two years is already over but the order is not complied, thus <i>prima-facie</i> there is contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to implement the order failing to which contemnor will have to remain present to face proceeding under contempt of Court Act.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.746/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri K.S. Karale</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Aditya Katarnaware, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is present. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 has sent Leave Note.</p> <p>2. Shri Katarnaware, learned Advocate sought adjournment stating that his senior is not feeling well.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> July, 2023.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <span>Sd/- <b>(Debashhish Chakrabarty)</b> Member-A 28.06.2023 (skw)</span> <span>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 28.06.2023</span> </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.82/2017

**Smt. D.K. Khairnar**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 to 14

2. The Applicant has challenged the selection process on the ground that her candidature from Open Female Category ought to have been considered, since she secured more marks than some of the candidates from Open Female Category. Though Applicant belongs to OBC, she paid full fees while applying for the post and also made declaration that she be considered from Open Female Category. This being so, the Applicant though belongs to OBC Female Category, she ought to have been considered from Open Female Category on merit, but it is not done.

3. Learned CPO submits that Respondent Nos. 1 and 14 (Open Female Category) did not join, and therefore, some other candidates from waiting list has been appointed. He, therefore, submits that as of now, there is no vacant post from Open Female Category.

4. Indeed, the Tribunal by order dated 22.06.2017 granted relief that Appointments, if any made shall be subject to outcome of this O.A. and appointees shall be informed accordingly. This being so, merely because some candidates were appointed from waiting list, the Applicant's right cannot be taken away if she found entitled to the selection on merit.

5. The learned CPO, therefore, directed to file Affidavit giving details and addresses of the candidates subsequently appointed from waiting list so that they can be impleaded in this O.A. as Respondents.

6. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2023.

Sd/-

**(Debashhish Chakrabarty)**

**Member-A  
28.06.2023**

(skw)

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**

**Member-J  
28.06.2023**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A. No.46 of 2022 in O.A. No.72 of 2022 with C.A. No.47 of 2022 in O.A. No.73 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>V.H. Patil</b> <b>R.H. Patil</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. tried to contend that the decision is already taken by communication dated 30.01.2023 and order of the Tribunal is complied with.</li> <li>3. The perusal of communication dated 30.01.2023 reveals that in respect of certain Van Major proposal is sought from Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Administrative) Nagpur to process the matter and further to see whether they are entitled to retiral benefits. As such, the said communication cannot be termed final decision which contemnor were obliged to take in terms of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.</li> <li>4. The perusal of order dated 01.03.2022 passed in O.A. No.72/2022 &amp; 73/2022 reveals that this O.A. were filed by Van Major for retirement benefits, It appears that there was issue of qualifying services . They made representation which were pending, it was further found that Respondent No.3 Chief Conservator of Forest had forwarded proposal dated 23.03.2021 to the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur recommending grant of retiral benefits but no decision was taken, therefore O.A. was disposed of with direction to the Respondents to ensure that the decision on the proposal dated 23.03.2021 is taken within two months.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

5. Now, period of near about 1 ½ years is over but no final decision is taken. It appears that matter is not still processed and is being pushed from one Department to another Department.

6. Learned P.O. sought two weeks time to take instructions about the final decision.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also sought time to take instruction from the Applicant about the communication dated 30.01.2023.

8. *Prima-facie*, since no final decision in terms of the order passed by the Tribunal about eligibility of the Applicants for pension <sup>of</sup> *prima-facie* there is disobedience of the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, issue notices to the contemnor also to Show Cause as to why proceeding for contempt for the order of the Tribunal should not be initiated against them.

9. Issue notice returnable on 12.07.2023.

10. S.O. to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

N. J. K.  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.22 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>P.D. Sable</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>The perusal of record reveals that after long gap matter is listed for Final Hearing for the first time before the Bench.</li> <li>Hence, in the interest of justice adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant and his Advocate.</li> <li>S.O. to 06.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>28.06.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 414/2023 in O.A 484/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.J Deokar &amp; Ors ... Applicants/ Intervenors</p> <p style="text-align: center;">And</p> <p>Shri U.G. Komwad &amp; Ors ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants/Intervenors, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the Ori Respondents and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar submits that the applicants be directed to implead the present applicants/intervenors as Respondents no 4, 5 &amp; 6 to the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Misc Application allowed in terms of prayer clause 9(a). Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to amend the Original Application by adding applicants as Respondents no 4, 5 &amp; 6 to the Original Application and serve the amended copy on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Original Application No. 484/2023 to be placed on Board on 12.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.06.2023**

**O.A.No.235/2023**

N.B. Bagul .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.

2. Adjourned to 12.07.2023. Interim relief, if any, is to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.06.2023**

**O.A.No.596/2023**

A.S. Gaikwad .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Respondents are directed to decide the representation of the Applicant within one week. Learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Adjourned to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 10/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Bhusal &amp; Anr ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.7.2023.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p> Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>28.06.2023</u>  Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 680/2023</u>
	<p>Shri S.J Chavan ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt Punam Mahajan, has filed leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 26.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 336/2023 in R.A 7/2023 in O.A 614/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.B Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.V Sutar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>28.06.2023</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 335/2023 in O.A 379/2023</u></b></p> <p>Smt Madhuri N. Gujar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.V Sutar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><b>28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders <b><u>O.A 729/2021</u></b></p>
---	--

Shri Abhaykumar N. Upadhye ... Applicant  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.7.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

8. S.O to 21.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.94/2022 with O.A.No.249/2022</b></p> <p>S.S. Zade .....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Suryawanshi seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 07.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.117 of 2016</u></p> <p>D.B. Jadhav <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that notice dated 7.6.2014 was issued to the applicant pursuant to order dated 26.11.2010 passed by this Tribunal in RA No.58 of 2010 in OA No.280 of 2010 wherein the earlier order dated 11.10.2010 passed in OA No.280 of 2010 was reviewed and the OA was partly allowed on the ground that the disciplinary authority did not communicate the applicant the tentative reasons for disagreement with the findings of the enquiry officer. Leave was for the period from 25.10.2003 to 2.2.2005 i.e. 467 days.</p> <p>3. For want of earlier notice issued by the disciplinary authority, adjourned to 6.7.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>28.6.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>28.6.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.06.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.517/2023</b></p> <p>R.S. Chendke .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has submitted that his place of residence at present is Satara and present posting given to him is at Village Dehare, Tal. Javhar, District Palghar which is far by distance of 400 kms.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that Applicant is 19% disabled.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to find out whether there is any other place where the applicant can be transferred outside Satara District such as Taluka Pen at Village Sai, Sanegaon and Dolivali which can be considered.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 05.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 692/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.A Jankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the Respondents be directed to grant deemed date ad hoc promotion on the post of M.F.A &amp; S, Grade-A (Junior) with reference to revised seniority list in NT (C) category.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 26.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.06.2023**

**O.A.No.728/2023**

P.S. Patane .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays for deemed date of promotion w.e.f 01.01.2002 to the post of Police Head Constable as proposed by Respondent No.2 in its communication dated 24.04.2019 and also for directions to Respondents to issue order as per law, along with consequential monetary benefits in the form of revision of pension, arrears of pay and allowances.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 26.07.2023.

Sd/-

/  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 401/2023 in O.A 696/2023</u></b></p> <p>Umarl S. Fakir &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Govind B. Solanke, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 26.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 401/2023 in O.A 696/2023</u></b></p> <p>Umarl S. Fakir &amp; Ors ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Govind B. Solanke, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  ) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 531/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.D Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned counsel for Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 28.6.2023 by Shri Milind J. Mhaiskar, Addl. Chief Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, wherein he has not mentioned any reason why the applicant was kept without posting. He submitted that he took charge as Addl. Chief Secretary, Public Health Department on 3.5.2023. He further mentioned that the transfer order of the applicant as Civil Surgeon, Beed was modified and in his place Respondent no. 2 was posted. He further mentioned that he has moved the file before the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 4.5.2023 and the Hon'ble Chief Minister gave approval and the file was sent to his office on 26.6.2023 and on the same day the order of applicant was issued. The explanation given by Shri Milind Mhaiskar, Addl. Chief Secretary is taken on record and it appears that before 3.5.2023 he was not working as Addl. Chief Secretary, Public Health Department.</p> <p>3. Hence, query is made that who was holding this post who can be held responsible for not giving the applicant posting for 10 ½ months. I am informed that Mr Sanjay Khandare, was holding the post of Principal Secretary, Public Health Department and he is without posting since 3.5.2023.</p> <p>4. Today Mr Deepak Kendre, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department and Mr Manoj Salvi, Law Officer who are present informs that Mr Chandrakant Wade, Under Secretary and Mr Shivdas Dhule, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department did not submit the proposal or moved the file further for giving posting to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Mr Chandrakant Wade, Under Secretary and Mr Shivdas Dhule, Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department, are directed to file affidavit in reply giving reasons as to why the file was not moved for giving posting to the applicant.</p> <p>6. S.O to 30.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.06.2023**

**O.A.No.727/2023**

A.D. Mehetre .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant challenges order dated 05.04.2023 issued by the Respondent No.4, Additional Commissioner of Police by which his pay is incorrectly revised and further factual aspect of actual promotion effected in favour of the applicant to the rank of Assistant Police Inspector dated 30.05.2021 is not at all considered.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
9. Adjourned to 26.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>28.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A Nos 664, 665 &amp; 666/2023</u></b></p> <p>B.N Rangdal B.N Rangal Y.B Yadav</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.B Rajkar, holding for Shri K.B Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O challenges the maintainability in O.A Nos 664 &amp; 665/2023.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.7.2023.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</li> <li>8. S.O to 26.7.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.653 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>G.P. Bagade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that Affidavit-in-Reply as well as Affidavit-in-Rejoinder are already filed. Matter is listed after long gap for the hearing.</p> <p>4. Since pleading is complete, admit for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date: 28.06.2023

O.A. No.772 of 2022

V.R. Ghumbare .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. Learned C.P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and sought one week time to file the same.
4. S.O. to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-  
  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 28.06.2023**

**O.A. No.992 of 2022**

**J.P. More** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. The perusal of record reveals that Reply is already filed and matter was adjourned for filing Rejoinder. However, no Rejoinder is filed.
4. Admit for Final Hearing.
5. S.O. to 26.07.2023.

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

Sd/-  
 (A.P. Kurhekar)  
 Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1214 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>V.R. Sawant &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1,2,3 &amp; 5. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.4.</li> <li>Since pleading is complete adjourned for Final Hearing.</li> <li>S.O. to 27.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date: 28.06.2023

O.A. No.1254 of 2022

P.R. Ughade .....Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
4. S.O. to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

  
 Sd/-  
 (A.P. Kurhekar)  
 Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 28.06.2023**

**C.A. No.57 of 2023 in O.A. No.639 of 2022**

**R.C. Jadhav** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken by for hearing it reveals that the Applicant has not issued notices of the Contempt before filing C.A.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the C.A. with liberty to file fresh C.A.

4. Allowed to withdraw C.A.

5. C.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

Sd/-  
 (A.P. Kurhekar)  
 Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.59 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>Y.A. Desai</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Additional Affidavit as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 20.06.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p>
	<p align="center">NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 28.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.232 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>L.V. Paskanti</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has no instruction from the Applicant and he is not coming forward to take necessary steps in the matter.</p> <p>3. Thus the Applicant appears not interested in the matter. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

