

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.588 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. GamreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Speaking to Minutes</p> <p>2. This matter has been disposed of by this Tribunal on 24.06.2022. Today, at the request of learned P.O. the matter is taken on Board for Speaking to Minutes.</p> <p>3. In paragraph 4 of order dated 24.06.2022 instead of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., North Mumbai Region, Andheri, Mumbai' be read as 'Executive Engineer Road Development Division No.IV, Andheri, Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has no objection for the same.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 82/2019</u></p> <p>Shri T.V Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits on instructions that the applicant wants to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>28.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 617/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Gore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel states that he is instructed by Respondent no. 2 to appear in the matter and that he will be filing Vakalatnama.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 22.6.2022 of Respondent no. 1 to the extent of the posting of applicant on promotion as Additional Director, Industries, Safety and Health, Nagpur instead of at Mumbai, and he further prays that Respondent no. 2 should not have been given posting at Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that by way of interim relief the impugned order dated 22.6.2022 be stayed and the applicant be allowed to work at Mumbai as Additional Director, Industries, Safety and Health.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar for the Respondent no. 2, states that Respondent no. 2 who was working as Joint Director, Industries, Safety and Health, Nasik is promoted as Additional Director, Industries, Safety and Health, at Mumbai and he is already relieved and has taken charge of the said post at Mumbai today, morning, i.e. on 28.6.2022.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to file a short affidavit before the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O to 1.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ / Sd/- → (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.606 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.B. Kardile & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.06.2022

O.A 594/2022

Shri Balasaheb B. Gawde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 26.7.2021 passed by Respondent no. 1 and so also order dated 5.4.2022 confirming the appeal passed by Respondent no. 2, imposing upon the applicant the punishment of stoppage of all increments affecting the future increments.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 26.7.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2022

O.A.No.488 of 2017

K.S. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana
B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. informs that 40 Desk Officers
working in Mantralaya have challenged the order
dated 02.05.2017 passed by the Respondent No.1,
G.A.D. about deciding the seniority of Desk Officers
for the period between 2007 to 2010. Learned P.O.
informs that the said seniority list was revised and
the Applicants are already promoted to the post of
Under Secretary.

3. Learned Advocate are directed to inform
whether the grievance of the Applicants is redressed
during this period and make statement within one
week.

4. Adjourned to 05.07.2022.


Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 604/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Nalawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 11.5.2022 and 3.6.2022 issued by Respondent no. 2, wherein the pay of the applicant has been revised and ordered to recover the excess amount since 20.5.2016 and further to quash and set aside the order dated 9.6.2022 passed by Respondent no. 3 thereby recovery of Rs. 2,26,447/- is directed to be deducted from the gratuity of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.7.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original /Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>10. S.O to 19.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2022

O.A.No.166 of 2018

S.P. JedheApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant was appointed on the post of Clerk, Group-C on 12.09.2016 and earlier she was working on temporary basis as Badli Kamgar in Group-D. She belongs to Valmiki community. Grandmother of the Applicant was Safaigar. She was appointed on the recommendations of Lad-Page Committee Report by virtue of the order 17.06.2016 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.785/2012, (Smt. S.P. Jedhe Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.). In 2015 she was given appointment on Group-D post.

3. The only issue involved in this matter is about deemed date of appointment whether to be given to the Applicant from 01.07.1990 when the grandmother of the applicant retired from the service as Group-D, Safaigar. Why she was not given appointment from 01.07.1990 as per the recommendations of Lad-Page Committee Report pursuant to G.R. 24.06.1979 in Group-D, when her grandmother retired.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply of the person who is conversant with the facts and the Rules as per the Lad-Page Committee Report. Learned P.O. has to find out whether there was any rider while giving appointment under the right of inheritance in service as recommended under Lad-Page Committee Report.

5. Adjourned to 30.06.2022. Part Heard.

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.421 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Jopale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Junior Advocate of Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2022. Interim relief granted on 5.5.2022 to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.245 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.S. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.7.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.200 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day. She submits that order has been partly complied with and compliance in respect of applicant no.2 is remaining.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 28.6.2022 28.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.275, 276 & 277 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Pawar M.S. Thorat V.K. Wagh Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day in OAs. No.275 & 276 of 2022 and she seeks one weeks time to file reply in OA No.277/2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2022

O.A.No.656 of 2017

R.V. KendreApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has applied for the post of Police Constable. However, on account of his previous conviction under Section 353 of Indian Penal Code, though the Applicant was released, the High Power Committee at Raigad had taken decision on 03.09.2016 not to appoint the Applicant.

3. On perusal of the record shown it is found that this matter was first taken on Board on 18.07.2017 and the notices were issued. Thereafter reply was filed and matter was admitted by the Bench on 01.03.2018. Thereafter no date was given in the matter. However now all undated matters are appearing on the board hence, this matter was fixed for hearing yesterday and one day time was given to learned Advocate for preparation and to contact the Applicant.

4. Today when the matter is taken up for hearing on query whether the applicant who was 28 years old in 2017 is employed anywhere and whether he is interested to go ahead with the matter We therefore call upon the learned Advocate to contact his client immediately and make statement accordingly. We also direct the Respondent office to also contact the Applicant at Beed, Raigad and inform this Tribunal accordingly.

5. By way of last chance matter is adjourned to 12.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.333 of 2017</p> <p>Col. P.N. Dhole & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The O.S.D (Legal), M.A.T. is directed to informed learned Advocate Mr. J.M. Puranik and also the Applicants that their matter is now fixed on 05.07.2022 hence they are required to remain present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.935 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.A. Lokhande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant and Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.7.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.736 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Wakhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.7.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.403 of 2022</u>
	<p>Y.B. Gangurde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that both the prayers of the applicant regarding treating suspension period as duty period and not to proceed with the DE has been granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2022.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.6.2022</p>
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>28.06.2022</u>
	<u>O.A 619/2022</u>
	Shri M.D Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant, who is working as Naib Tahsildar (Revenue) challenges the order dated 24.6.2022, passed by Respondent no. 1, to the extent of posting of Respondent no. 3, Shri A.P Mhatre, on promotion as Naib Tahsildar, (Revenue) in his place.
	3. Learned P.O submits that Respondent no. 3 is granted promotion as Naib Tahsildar (Revenue) and posted in place of the applicant and he has taken charge of the said post on 27.6.2022.
	4. It is very shocking to know that the applicant is relieved from the post at Bhiwandi, where he is working and he is without any posting. Ex-facie, it is a illegal order. ✓
	5. In view of the above, the order dated 27.2.2022 of relieving the applicant Shri M.D Chaudhari from the post of Naib Tahsildar, (Revenue), Bhiwandi is quashed and set aside. The applicant is directed to join the said post today itself and the Respondents are directed not to obstruct the applicant from taking charge.
	6. S.O to 26.7.2022. Hamdast allowed.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
Akn	