

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.06.2016

O.A No 75/2015

Dr S.M Dhoke ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi holding
for Shri G.M Savagave, learned advocate for the
applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate for the Applicant files
affidavit in rejoinder. O.A is admitted.
Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if
need be.

Place for final hearing on 12.7.2016.

DATE: 28/6/16.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri. MALIK (member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri Prashant Suryawanshi
holding for G.M. Savagave
Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri Ms. N.G. Gohad~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Rejoinder filed by Appli.

Adj. to O.A is admitted.

S.O. to 12/7/16.

FH
@

Akn

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.06.2016

O.A No 1005/2015

Shri P.M. Mhatre ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.S Gadre, learned advocate for
the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer Ms Gohad files
affidavit in reply. Learned Advocate Shri Gadre
states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on
19.7.2016.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Gadre

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Reply filed by P.No. 2~~

~~Adj to O.A. is admitted.~~

~~S.O. to 19/7/16.~~

FH

Akn

R. Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

28.06.2016

O.A No 485/2016

Shri M.G Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned
advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S.
Gaikwad, learned advocate for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar
stated that the present Applicant has challenged
his transfer from the post of Tahsildar,
Encroachment, Bhandup by order dated
27.5.2016. In the aforesaid post one Shri Kiran
Surwase, who is Respondent no. 2 in the present
O.A has been posted. Respondent no. 2 in the
present O.A has also filed an O.A no 516/2016
challenging his transfer, which is kept for further
hearing on 12.7.2016.

This Original Application be tagged with
O.A no 516/2016 and be placed on 12.7.2016. for
hearing.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon. Mr. Rajiv Agarwal
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon. Mr. ... (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant


Shri/S. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents


Adj. To 12/7/16

with

(O.A 516/16)



Akn


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer,.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.136 of 2014 in O.A.No.275 of 2010</p> <p>Dr. B.B. Birajidar & Ors. ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Smt. Sujata Sounik, Principal Sec. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the Government is likely to file a Review Application in the O.A.No.275 of 2010 and prays for time for taking steps.</p> <p>3. Hearing of this Contempt Application is adjourned to 25.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

sb

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

28.06.2016

O.A No 505/2016

Shri R.B Badgujar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad; learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit in reply of Respondent no. 3 is placed on record. On instructions from Shri Suresh R. Nirmal, Dy. S.P in the office of Respondent no. 4, Learned P.O states that Respondent no. 4 also wants to file affidavit in reply. And the same will be filed on Thursday, i.e. 30.6.2016.

Any other Respondents wants to file affidavit or additional affidavit, the same should be filed by 30.6.2016 and the matter will be heard finally on 30.6.2016.

S.O to 30.6.2016, first on Board.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To: 30/6/16

F.O.B
[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.412/2016

Smt. S.S. Kamble ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri Chahel P.A. Singh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

In accordance with my order made on last occasion, the Deputy Director of Health Services (Nursing) Dr. D.N. Patil is present. He has submitted an internal communication indicating the vacancy at Dahanu, Police Hospital, Nagpada and Shahapur which are equivalent posts, indicating that the Applicant can choose one of those posts for her posting. It appears that the case of the Applicant is that on account of her health condition, she will be hard pressed were she to be posted out of Thane. The earlier orders may also be perused. It appears that at this stage at least, it is not possible for me to categorically hold that the case of the Applicant is absolutely unsustainable. There surely are triable issues. I need not elaborate on that any more. I have already made it clear that if this OA were to be heard on merit it is possible and I insist that there is a possibility only, that a third party might get affected, but it seems that the vacancy position submitted on behalf of the Respondents is such that they are probably not in a position to show any consideration to the Applicant, or so it appears to be so and therefore, the hearing of the OA is expedited making it clear that the normal indulgence sought for Affidavit, etc. shall not be shown in this matter and the Affidavit-in-reply must be filed on 8th July, 2016. It be shown as expedited OA. But taking into consideration the health issue of the applicant the respondents need not feel deterred by the pendency of this O.A. if they are in a position to accommodate the applicant at Thane on the post she was transferred from or any other equivalent post refer para 7 order dated 06.05.2016. Hamdast. Adjourned to 08.07.2016.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Member (Chairman) R.B. Malik

Member (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Chahel P.A. Singh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 8/7/16 Hamdast

MB

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) MB
Member (J)
28.06.2016

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.584/2016

Shri N.D. Deore & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 19.07.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 19th July, 2016. The Respondents, 1 & 2 do waive service.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant:

Shri / Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 19/7/16

BT

(skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

28.06.2016

28.6.16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R. B. Malik</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>J. H. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Admit.</u> Adj. To <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>F.H.</u> <u>B.K.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.143/2016</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Nashikkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri D.P. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>Admit. In view of the nature of the matter, a fixed date is given. It be placed before this Bench on 7th July, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 28.06.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.459/2016

Shri S.D. Dhule ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO is being instructed by Shri M.S. Nerlekar, Dy.S.P., ACB (HQ), Mumbai. My order of 17th June, 2016 needs to be perused along with other orders made either by me or by the Hon'ble Chairman. There is a reference to some enquiry, the report of which was to be submitted by 24th June, 2016. The Officer named above has submitted for my perusal the communication of 24th June, 2016 from Shri Sanjay Darade, Superintendent of Police (Anti Corruption) to Inspector General of Police (Anti Corruption), M.S, dated 24th June, 2016 along with annexures from Pages 35, 47, 39, 41, 42 (unnumbered), 43, 44 (unnumbered), 45 and 46 (unnumbered). The learned P.O. requests that the Applicant should have no access to these documents because they are confidential. It is not possible for me to accept the submission of the learned P.O. because I have not been able to comprehend as to how these documents can be considered to be so privileged as to have the privileges thereto annexed. The Applicant is most likely to be affected either favourably or unfavourably, and therefore, if he has to make the submission, then the documents will have to be made available to him. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to forthwith make copies of these documents available to the Applicant and the hearing of this OA is deferred and is appointed for final hearing to 30th June, 2016.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. B. Malik (Member) **1**

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 30/6/16

F.M.
He

(skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) **2**
Member (J)
28.06.2016

2
28/6

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders O.A.458/2016</p>
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A J</u> APPEARANCE: Shri <u>B. A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./F.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Admit.</u> Adj. To..... <u>29/7/16</u> <u>skw</u></p>	<p>Shri C.M. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Rejoinder taken on record. Admit. OA stands adjourned to 29th July, 2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 28.06.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders O.A.451/2016</p>
<p>DATE: <u>29/6/16</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) * APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.F.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To..... <u>1/8/16 with liberty to mention the matter with the advance notice to the other side is reserved.</u></p>	<p>Shri B.V. Mundhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Mr. Sutar, Clerk. I have perused the order of Hon'ble Chairman dated 7th June, 2016. With particular reference to Para 6 thereof, the compliance as therein indicated in so far as placing the matter before the Police Establishment Board is concerned, it is not appeared to have been made. The OA stands adjourned to 1st August, 2016 with liberty to mention the matter earlier with advance notice to the other side is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>06.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 28.06.2016 (skw)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Sri H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Sri <u>B.B. Malik</u> (Member) <i>A J</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Sri/Smt.: <u>Heard Appl. in Person.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sri/Smt.: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>5/7/16</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.554/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.N. Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 05.07.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 5th July, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <i>16</i> Member (J) 28.06.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice S. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice <u>R. B. Malik</u> (Member) A J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>For App. <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Atties. to her for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/ Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>27/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p><u>M.A.238/2016 in O.A.447/2016 (group matter)</u></p> <p>Shri M.V. Gaikwad & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned CPO is being instructed by Shri S.B. Suryawanshi, DCP (HQ), Pune.</p> <p>In this group of OAs, interim orders were made by me on 31st May, 2016. There are allegations made by the Applicants that despite that order, they were not allowed to resume on the post that they were transferred from and now they are being made to work in Palkhi and Ramzan related duties. It will be a matter of significance to know as to whether the order was complied with. It should be common knowledge that certain official documents come into existence when the Police Personnel report for duty post transfer either normal order in the circumstances like the present one. I direct the Respondents to make available those documents at the time of the next hearing and in case, they are going to make a request for return of those documents, then the copies of those documents duly verified by one of the highest Officers in Pune Commissionerate should be submitted.</p> <p>S.O. to 5th July, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 28.06.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

O.A.No.628 of 2016

B.D. Suryawanshi Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 28.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. It is hoped that affidavit be filed one week before due date.

8. S.O. to 28.07.2016.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Mr. Kamesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/7/16.

BTE

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI


Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Karvesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>24/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.255 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. V.K. PatneApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar has tendered affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to prepare and address.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.08.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, Chairman) </div> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ganeshkar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>18/7/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.239 of 2016</p> <p>Shri S.P. Khatavkar & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the affidavit-in-reply is ready and would be filed within one week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>COMAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice P. N. Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.S. Deshpande</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.E.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>20/7/16</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.1063 of 2015</p> <p>C.V. Alsatwar Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the statement contained in the affidavit-in-reply filed on 21.06.2016, let the case come up on board for reporting compliance as may be done till that date, and thereafter it can be adjourned with longer duration for reporting the entire compliance.</p> <p>3. Steps complied till 20.07.2016 be communicated to the applicant by a letter.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </div> <p><i>prk</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri S.M. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice M. Kamath (Member)A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>B.A. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>29/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.280 of 2016</p> <p>Shri M.M. Desale ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that the matter is examined, and it is considered necessary to contest the O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>5. Reply be filed on or before next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 29.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Date : 28.06.2016.	

O.A.No.360 of 2016


Shri P.S. Misale ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 4.08.2016.


 (A.H. Joshi J.)
 Chairman

sb

DATE: 28/6/16

COERAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Misale: None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Misale: N.G. Gohad

C.R.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/8/16

Handwritten initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Retired (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>4/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.361 of 2016</p> <p>Shri B.R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 4.08.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Attorney for the Applicants Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>13/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.246 of 2016</p> <p>J.V. Kadam Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad wants time to file the judgment as to how and in whatever minor penalty is implicated, how could the suspension be treated as such.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing, S.O. to 13.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Raneshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. H.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....12/7/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.50 of 2016</p> <p>A.N. Gagare & Ors. Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents prays for time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. JOSHI) (Chairman) </div> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

O.A.No.411 of 2016

B.N. Chavan

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 12.08.2016.


3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.08.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.F.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 12/8/16



Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>V.P. Potbhare</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.I.S./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>14/7/16</u></p> <p><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.53 of 2015 in O.A.No.697 of 2012</p> <p>D.M. Barmase Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The order passed by this Tribunal is carried before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>(b) However, the order is being complied with subject to the outcome of the Writ Petition.</p> <p>(c) Statement would be made on the next date as to the stage of compliance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/- Joshi</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.T.O.)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

C.A.No.133 of 2015 in O.A.No.1051 of 2012

M.C. Jadhav Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents states as follows :-


By order dated 11.04.2016, the benefit of the effect of the order is given.

3. Perusal of the order does not disclose the date from which the applicant is deemed to have been reemployed in view of the order passed by this Tribunal.

4. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise is directed to make a statement in this regard.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

6. S.O. to 14.07.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, 14/7/16)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 14/7/16



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 28.06.2016.
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashankar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>S.P. Lahane</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>H.S. Sarda</u> C.H.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>19/8/16</u></p> <p><i>HT</i></p>	<p>C.A.No.48 of 2016 in O.A.No.342 of 2011 with C.A.No.49 of 2016 in O.A.No.343 of 2011</p> <p>Shri J.D. Mehta (C.A.No.48/16 in O.A.No.342/11) Shri A.D. Mehta (C.A.No.49/16 in O.A.No.343/11) ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P. Lahane, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the order passed by this Tribunal is carried before the Hon'ble High Court and 2.08.2016 is the next date fixed for hearing.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants states that in view submission of learned P.O. for the Respondents let the C.A. shall come up for hearing in August.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.08.2016</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>λ</i> <i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi (J.)) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.06.2016.

O.A.No.257 of 2016

Shri S.B. Dube ...Applicant

Vs.

The Addl. Chief Secretary & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for week's time for filing reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 14.07.2016.

DATE : 28/6/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri H. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

CEO/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 14/7/16

[Handwritten initials]

[Signature]
- (A.H. JOSHI, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri. N. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. M. Ramchandrao (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>1/8/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No. 242 of 2016</p> <p>Shri A.D. Raste ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is seen that the Advocate has not collected the notices.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance returnable time ^{date} is extended to 1.08.2016.</p> <p>4. However, if the Applicant does not collect the notice within 15 days, the O.A. shall stand dismiss without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 1.08.2016.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>A.S. Deshpande</u></p> <p>Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>20/7/16</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.08 of 2015 in O.A.No.1038 of 2013</p> <p>Shri D.R. BhamreApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent states that the Review Application is filed before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents should inform next date, if any, in said application for review after taking circulation.</p> <p>4. For making statement, adjourned to 20.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer,.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.136 of 2014 in O.A.No.275 of 2010</p> <p>Dr. B.B. Birajidar & Ors. ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Smt. Sujata Sounik, Principal Sec. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the Government is likely to file a Review Application in the O.A.No.275 of 2010 and prays for time for taking steps.</p> <p>3. Hearing of this Contempt Application is adjourned to 25.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. JOSHI, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>A.P. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>28/7/16. steno copy</u> f Hamdast allowed.</p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.517 of 2016</p> <p>Shri B.S. Suryawanshi ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents on instructions received from Smt. Smita B. Dighe, Jr. Administrative Officer, Education Department states as follows:-</p> <p>The orders are passed for releasing provisional pension to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Further steps should be taken for continuing the provisional pension and Applicant would be informed about releasing regular pension.</p> <p>4. Statement would be made on the next date.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 28.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman [P.T.O.] </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>COMMISSIONER:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice. Smt. S. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice. Smt. S. H. Joshi (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>V.V. Joshi</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent's: <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>O.A. is disposed of accordingly.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.1037 of 2015</p> <p>Shri L.G. Nevase ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri V.V. Joshi states that this O.A. has become infructuous and can be disposed of.</p> <p>3. Original Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate


versus


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 28/6/16</p> <p>CO. No. _____</p> <p>Head of the Office (Chairman)</p> <p>Head of the Office (Registrar)</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant: _____</p> <p>Advocate for Respondent: _____</p> <p>Advocate for Respondent's: _____</p> <p>Adv. To: MA is disposed of.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 28.06.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.585 of 2015 in O.A.No.1037 of 2015</p> <p>Shri L.G. Nevase ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed in O.A.No.1037 of 2015, Misc. Application is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice K. B. Bhise (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Dia: <u>None for the app.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>K. B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>12/8/16</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.123 of 2016</p> <p>B.A. Popat Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A.M. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.08.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 12.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Sd/- Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p>ADVOCATE NAME: Shri/Smt. <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.124 of 2016</p> <p>M.W. Ghante Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri A.M. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.08.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 12.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>19/7/16</u></p> <p><i>ATE</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.175 of 2016</p> <p>Shri A.R. Parab ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The meeting of review committee was held on 11.05.2016. (b) Committee has decided to reinstate the Applicant. (c) Now the approval from the Government has allotted. (d) Next step as may be taken shall be communicated on the next date. (e) Two weeks time may be granted. <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </div> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.06.2016.

O.A.No.20 of 2016 with O.A.No.55 of 2016

Shri V.S. Baharwal & Ors. (O.A.No.20 of 2016)
Shri V.P. Kashid (O.A.No.55 of 2016)

...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This case is circulated before the bench for correcting the appearance shown in paragraph no.1 of the order dated 9.6.2016.

3. The appearance of Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, be shown by correcting the name of Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale.

4. This O.A. shall come up for hearing in due course date.

DATE: 28/6/16


CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A


APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt.: B.A. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: This OA shall come for hearing in due date.

sb


(A.H. JOSHI)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.G. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>20/7/16</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.256 of 2016 in O.A.No.193 of 2014 (Aurangabad)</p> <p>V.P. Kelkar Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra Respondent.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 20.07.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing..</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 20.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

M.A.No.253 of 2016 in O.A.No.827 of 2015 (Aurangabad)

M.S. Joshi & Ors. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.07.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, *+*)
Chairman

DATE : 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman),
Hon'ble Justice, Registrar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for Applicant

Shri / Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 20/7/16

BTK

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

M.A.No.252 of 2016 in O.A.No.661 of 2015 (Nagpur)

S.B. Korke & Ors. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.07.2016.

DATE: 28/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Hemeshikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.B. Kololgi

CEO/ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/7/16

HE

0
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J. W. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.06.2016.

M.A.No.251 of 2016 in O.A.No.660 of 2015 (Nagpur)

M.S. Joshi & Ors.

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.07.2016.

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/7/16.

BHE

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Smt A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Mr. Kameshwar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Smt. N.G. Gohad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>5/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTL</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 443 of 2016</p> <p>Shri N.V. KoliApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad prays for time for furnishing a schedule of steps be crossed and completed for deciding the Applicant's representation and week's time for that purpose.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.07.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Mr. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>V.V. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To <u>30/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.06.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.584 of 2015 in O.A.No.1036 of 2015 with M.A.No.626 of 2015 in O.A.No.1115 of 2015 with O.A.No.25 of 2016 with O.A.No.26 of 2016 with O.A.No.27 of 2016</p> <p>Shri S.K. Baravkar (M.A.584/2015 in O.A.1036/2015) Shri S.M. Khaire & Ors. (M.A.626/2015 in O.A.1115 /2015) Shri L.D. Randhir & Ors. (O.A.No.25 of 2016) Shri P.K. Jadhav (O.A.No.26 of 2016) Shri R.S. Paigude & Ors. (O.A.No.27 of 2016) ...Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for three months time in the background that the process of calculating the benefit to be given to various Applicants is in the process.</p> <p>3. In view of this statement of learned P.O. for the Respondents, for reporting the progress whatever would be done, adjourned to 30.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> A.H. Joshi, Chairman [P.T.O.]</p>

of 20

of 20

CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

ram,

Tribunal's orders

28.06.2016

O.A No 478/2016

Shri N.S Mane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent no 1, Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondent no 2. Mrs L.S Puntambekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 absent.

Affidavit in reply has been filed by Shri Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent no. 1. Also affidavit in reply to the amended Petition to Respondent no. 2 which is also taken on record.

To come up for final hearing on 30.6.2016.

R. S. Aggarwal
(Rajiv Aggarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION 580 OF 2016**

DISTRICT : PUNE

Smt V.S Choudhari)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra)...**Respondents**

Shri A.K Sakolkar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

DATE : 28.06.2016

ORDER


1. Heard Shri A.K Sakolkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. One of the prayers in the Original Application is challenging the show notice dated 3.6.2016 issued by Respondent no. 5, Medical Officer, Primary Health Service, Karla, Tal-Mawal, Dist-Punc. The Applicant's claim is that by order of the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Pune dated 30.4.2016 she has been transferred to P.H.C, Karla and the order itself says that she is hereby relieved from her earlier post. As such no separate relieving order was required to be issued by P.H.C, Rahu, where the Applicant was working before the aforesaid transfer. Notice was

issued to the Respondents to file reply. However, no reply is forthcoming. On top of it, the Medical Officer, Public Health Centre, Rahu has issued a show cause notice to the Applicant asking her to show cause why her absence from 1.6.2016 till date should not be treated as 'unauthorized absence'. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for interim relief that the communication dated 3.6.2016 from the Respondent no. 4 be stayed and Respondent no. 5 may be restrained from issuing show cause notice to the Applicant.

3. Learned P.O has no instructions in the matter. On perusal of the transfer order issued by the C.E.O, Z.P, Pune, on 30.4.2016, it is clearly mentioned that "शरदर आदेशातील कर्मचाऱ्यांने बदलीच्या ठिकाणी रुजू होणे करिता दि.३१/५/२०१६ राजी कार्यालयीन वेळेवंतर कार्यमुक्त करणेत घेत असून संबंधित कर्मचाऱ्यांने कार्यालय प्रमुखांच्या आदेशानुसार संबंधितांस पदभार देऊन बदलीच्या ठिकाणी तात्काळ रुजू व्हावे." which tends to show that the Applicant was required to join at the transferred post immediately and the Chief Executive Officer himself had relived her from the earlier post. As such, the communication dated 3.6.2016 from Respondent no. 4 and communication dated 6.6.2016 are required to be stayed. It is further directed that no further notice in this regard will be issued by the Respondent nos 4 & 5.

4. S.O to 12.7.2016. Hamdast.


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Place : Mumbai

Date : 28.06.2016

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.512 OF 2016

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

D.A. Gavade

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

....Respondents.

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 28.06.2016.

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This Tribunal recorded in its order dated 13.06.2016 as follows
"In the present case, option before the Government is to obey or to challenge the order passed in O.A.No.324 of 2013. Whiling away the time is on the very face of it contemptuous."
(Quoted paragraph 4 of the order dated 13.06.2016.)
3. Today learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents states as follows :-
 - (a) Instructions are received from the Home Department expressing regrets about the delay and lapse on their part and in securing and issuing order for closing the Departmental Enquiry (D.E.).
 - (b) Action would be concluded and compliance would be reported within four weeks.
4. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant expressed following apprehension :-
 - (a) Departmental Promotion Committee (D.P.C.) may be convened at any time.
 - (b) As the D.E. against the applicant is still alive on record of the Government, and therefore, the officer may not place the applicant's case before the D.P.C. for promotion to the post of Joint Secretary from the cadre of Deputy Secretary.

5. Applicant's apprehension is well founded hence Respondents shall either defer the D.P.C. or if they want to go ahead, place the applicant's case before the D.P.C. along with copy of order of the Tribunal referred in O.A.No.324 of 2013, and the fact that order passed in O.A. is being acted upon.

6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

7. For reporting compliance, S.O. to 27.07.2016.

Sd/-
H. Joshi, J.
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.198/2016 in O.A.147/2016

Dr. N.N. Ramraje

... Applicant

Vs,

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 4, Shri A.V. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.6,

This is an application seeking amendment to the OA whereby two additional Respondents are being sought to be impleaded as Respondents 5 & 6 and the rest of the proposed amendment is in the manner of speaking consequential and explanatory. The learned P.O. Ms. Gohad holding for Smt. Gaikwad urged that the MA be kept back because Mrs. Gaikwad may take some time to appear. However, for the reasons to be set out hereinbelow, we do not think it necessary to prolong this MA.

The existing Respondents are Chairman, MPSC, Secretary MPSC, Chief Secretary and Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department. Now, the Applicant seeks impleadment of two candidates whose names have been recommended and the rest of the amendment ~~has been~~ mentioned above is consequential and explanatory. The learned Advocate S/S Sakolkar and Khaire for the proposed Respondents are present.

The above discussion must have made it very clear that the existing Respondents may not have much say in the matter of impleadment of additional parties quite simply because they cannot claim that any accrued right is sought to

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/6/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt/Sri. Lata Pathe Advocate for the Applicant N. A. Gohad Smt/Sri. K. S. Gaikwad S.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 4. A. V. Sakolkar for A.No. 5. (V. P. Filed) Adv. D. B. Thakare for A.No. 6. M.A. is Allowed. O.A. Adj. to 22/7/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>be taken away and in the manner of speaking, the amendment occasions as a result of what can be called subsequent events. In any case, even the existing Respondents will get an opportunity to file Affidavit-in-reply, if so advised and the newly added Respondents will surely get an opportunity to file Affidavits-in-reply.</p> <p>The MA is, therefore, allowed. The amendment as per the Schedule annexed hereto be effected within a period of two weeks from today. The proposed Respondents 5 & 6 be added thereby. A consolidated copy of the OA after amendment be filed and the copy be furnished to the learned P.O. and the newly added Respondents be served as per the Rules. Mr. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 and Mr. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 do waive service on behalf of their respective clients, subject to the condition that the Applicant must furnish to them a full copy of the OA. MA is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.</p> <p>OA stands adjourned to 22nd July. 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 28.06.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 28.06.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.198/2015 & O.A.238/2015

Shri S.M. Bakre & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA 198/2015, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.238/2015 and Ms. Gohad holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 in OA 198/2015 and Respondents 1 & 2 in OA 238/2015, Shri S. Pawar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA 198/2015 and for Respondent No.3 in OA 238/2016 and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA 238/2015.

These OAs were heard and appointed for orders. However, they were mentioned by Shri Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in the 2nd OA. He wants permission to submit additional documents in the form of qualifications of his client and wants to supplement his submissions by written arguments. Naturally, the other side will also then seek time to counter the submissions of Mr. Khaire. In the interest of justice, ~~he~~ will permit this course of action. These two OAs are withdrawn from the Column of pronouncement of orders and be shown as part OAs.

S.O. to 30th June, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
28.06.2016

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
28.06.2016

(skw)

(P.T.O.)

DATE: 28/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. R.B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S^{rs} A.R. Joshi &
S.P. Manchekar
Advocate for the Applicant. N.C. Gohad
holding for Shri K.B. Bhise
Shri/S^{rs} S. Pawar for R.No. 4
OA 198/15 & R. 3
OA 238/15. D.B. Khaire
for R.No. 4.

S.O. to 30/6/16.

Part heard.

COA 238/15

PH
[Signature]