

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.431 of 2021</p> <p>N.B MoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Matter called out. However, learned Advocate Mr. S.S. Dere was absent. 3. Mr. Pravinkumar P. Jadhav, Law officer, from the office of Additional Commissioner of Police (Protection and Security) is present. However he seeks permission to leave the court. 4. Permission granted. Matter is to be treated as de-part heard. 5. Adjourned to 05.07.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.243 of 2022</p> <p>D.P. PathareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.P. Nahar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.06.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.325 of 2018 WITH O.A.No.13 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhav (O.A.325/2018) R.S. Pawar (O.A.13/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.325/2018, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No. 2 and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.13/2020.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.13/2020 is absent.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 05.05.2022 for filing of reply by learned C.P.O.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.315 of 2021</p> <p>V.V. Pote & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicants who are working at present in the Police Department on the post of Police Sub Inspector cleared Limited Departmental Competitive Exam of the year 2017 and their names are appearing in the select list. However they were held ineligible on the ground that they could not fulfill the criterion of completing the requisite period of four years in the feeder cadre. The advertisement was issued on 14.06.2017. However, by issuing corrigendum dated 01.01.2017 the Respondent-State fixed the date for the purpose of counting experience.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that if at all the eligibility is considered on the basis of the date of the advertisement, then the Applicants fulfill the eligibility criterion of stipulated period of four years. However, the yardstick of cut-off date is applied and therefore they are held ineligible.</p> <p>4. Today due to paucity of time, O.A. is adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.710 of 2016</p> <p>A.C. SonawaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate informs that he has communicated to the Applicant Ms. Archana Chandrakiran Sonwane by letter dated 05.04.2022, sent by speed post about his withdrawal from the matter and he has communicated to the Applicant that he has handed over the brief to Mr. Vishnu Jadhav on 11.01.2022. He has produced this letter along with the original copies of speed post and the report showing that it was received by the Applicant Ms. Sonwane. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I.</p> <p>3. In view of this the Registry is directed to immediately issue notice to the Applicant informing on the next date she herself will have to appear in the matter or through learned Advocate other than Mr. V.P. Potbhare.</p> <p>4. Application of withdrawal of the appearance made by learned Advocate Mr. Potbhare is accepted.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gargil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.602 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. ShelkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.602/2021 is admitted and kept for final hearing on 01.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.259 of 2021 in O.A.No.750 of 2021</p> <p>M.S. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.259/2021 is filed seeking permission to add 393 Applicants i.e. from Applicant No.2 to Applicant No.394.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Permission to add Applicants is granted subject to payment of court fees. Amendment copy is to be served upon the Respondents and to the office of learned P.O.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed. O.A. Adjourned to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.161 of 2022 in O.A.No.61 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. ChoudhariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the D.E. has been closed and the order to that effect has been issued on 12.04.2022. Copy whereof is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 16 /2022 in O.A 223/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.N Kamble & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 18.10.2021 of this Tribunal, learned P.O produces copy of letters dated 13.4.2022 and 22.4.2022, wherein order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants states that while the orders have been issued by the Respondent-State, the actual amount have not been refunded to the applicants. Further, he points out that the pension and retiral benefits have to be fixed consequent to the said order.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O prays for one month's time. The Respondents are directed to comply with the order of this Tribunal within one month.</p> <p>5. S.O to 15.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ > (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 370/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.A.R Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.S Chitale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.6.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.3 of 2022 in O.A.No.406 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. LabdheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mentioned by learned Advocate for the Applicant and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has requested for speaking to minutes of the order dated 27.04.2022 passed in the above C.A.No.3 of 2022 in O.A.No.406 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“In the title instead of O.A.No.406 of 2019, it be read as O.A.No.406 of 2021 and in paragraph 3, instead of ‘Commissioner of Police’ it be read as ‘Divisional Commissioner, Pune’</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.213 of 2018 with O.A.No.214 of 2018</p> <p>A.A. Walvekar (O.A.213/2018) V.S. Suryawanshi (O.A.214/2018)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for Final Hearing with liberty to learned P.O. to file short affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.568 of 2021</p> <p>D.R. MadaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for Final Hearing on 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 346/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.W Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.M Pujari, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 6.6 .2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 6.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1079/2019</u></p> <p>Ms Madhuri Chougule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the present matter is adjourned 7.7.2022. However, at the request of learned counsel for the applicant matter is taken on Board today.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant request that the matter be taken up at an early date.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter is kept on 2.5.2022 and will appear under the caption "Urgent Hearing".</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p style="text-align: center;">28/4/2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Held at the Court of the Registrar (C)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Public Hearing Room (1st Floor)</p> <p>Appearance of _____ Advocate for the Applicant Sd./Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> Sd./Smt. _____ Sd./Smt. _____ For the Respondents.</p> <p>adj to 5/5/2022 <u>SB1202</u></p> </div>	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.603 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. ShelkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.603/2021 to be tagged along with O.A.No.24/2022 at 2.30 p.m.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 61/2022 in O.A 96/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Sachin Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Nayak, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.290 of 2021</p> <p>R.J. BandekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant has already been promoted to the post of Police Inspector by order dated 02.02.2022 issued by the Director General of Police and the Applicant's name appears at serial No.8. Copy whereof is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.</p> <p>3. Admitted with liberty to learned P.O. to file short affidavit on the point of deemed date of promotion, if required.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.154 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate requests that communication relating to de-reservation of Ex-serviceman put up before GAD and also refusal by of NOC from the Director, Sainik Welfare Department, Pune and Zilla Sainik Welfare Officer, Thane may be put on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. directed to file affidavit-in-reply to that effect.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 30/20212 in O.A 970/2014</u></p> <p>Dr V.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks implementation of the order of this Tribunal dated 13.7.2016 in O.A 970/2014, by which the Tribunal has directed the Respondent-State to consider the case of the applicant for promotion to the post of D.H.O, if there are vacancies in the cadre of D.H.H and the Respondents may convene the meeting of the D.P.C within a period of 3 months from the date of the order.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant produces letter dated 21.4.2022 which is signed by the Under Secretary, Public Health Department, addressed to the Director, Public Health Department, about holding the D.P.C meeting on 26.4.2022. The said letter dated 21.4.2022 is taken on record and marked as Exh.1.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, learned C.P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 2.5.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 377/2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri M.B Bhalerao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that his revision application dated 23.8.2021 filed under Section 25 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 be decided by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondents to decide the Revision Application dated 23.8.2021 filed by the applicant within a period of 3 months and communicate the decision to the applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.960 of 2021</p> <p>S.S.A.N. AliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that in this matter review of continuation after the age of 55 years is required and therefore promotion order could not be issued.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 178/2022 in M.A 91/2022 in O.A 1355/2009</u></p> <p>Shri Prakash Agavane [M.A 178/2022] Shri D.V Thorat [M.A 91/2022]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Pawar i/b Shri D.B Khaire with Shri Vivek Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to our order dated 22.4.2022, learned P.O submits that the Typing Certificate of remaining six candidates have been verified and one Sports Certificate remains to be verified and the same shall be verified at the earliest.</p> <p>3. The Respondents should ensure that order of this Tribunal dated 16.2.2022, especially para 5 thereof should not be violated.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.6.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.530 of 2021 with O.A. No.183 of 2021 with O.A. No.184 of 2021</p> <p>K.B. Upase B.D. Potdar K.P. RamchandraApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) ✓ Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.254 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. GavitApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has placed on record copy of his driving license exhibit-J (page 34) in support of his contention that on the date of making an application for appointment on compassionate ground said driving license was valid. It is submitted by learned P.O. that this license was not produced before the authorized ^{person} during first round of litigation. It is further submitted that she will go through the original record and make a statement as to whether aforesaid contention of the Applicant regarding the validity of driving license on the date of which he had made an application.</p> <p>3. It is pointed out by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that in exhibit E (page 24) the Respondent – Department had specifically mentioned that the Applicant was holding a valid driving license for the period 31.03.2006 to 29.08.2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.220 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Reply with an understanding that in case no Reply is filed by next date matter shall be heard without Reply of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.49 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. RenusheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted as last chance till Wednesday 04.05.2022 to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.121 of 2022 in O.A. No.95 of 2021</p> <p>G.N. DongarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Perused contents of Application and record of the case. 2. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. It is submitted by the learned Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar that by order dated 20.06.2019, O.A. No.797/2014 was disposed of and liberty was given to the Applicant to file fresh O.A. pursuant to which instant O.A. is filed. 4. It is submitted that after March-2020 there was Pandemic because of which the Applicant could not approach this Tribunal promptly and the question of delay, if any, will have to be decided accordingly. 5. Learned P.O. has opposed the Applicant on the ground set out in the Reply. 6. On considering the rival submissions, contents of the application as well as the Reply, record of the case and the reasons furnish for extension why the Applicant could not file this Application promptly, the delay said to have been caused in filing this O.A. is required to be condoned. Hence, the order. <p style="text-align: center;">Order</p> <p>Misc. Application is allowed, delay is condoned.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. O.A. is adjourned to 23.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.04.2022

O.A. No.387 of 2022

S.S. Kanade & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.05.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 04.05.2022.

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.250 of 2022 in O.A. No.387 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Kanade & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Perused contents of Application. 2. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. Misc. Application is allowed. <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. BambaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted as last chance to file Reply for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.399 of 2022</p> <p>T.D. KumbhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 23.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.04.2022

O.A. No.394 of 2022

D.K. KokaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 23.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.393 of 2022</p> <p>S.V. BairagiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 23.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.156 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. ShirsathApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 09.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.04.2022

O.A. No.155 of 2022

T.D. BhoysApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 09.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.154 of 2022</p> <p>T.R. JadhavApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 09.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.397 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. GangurdeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 02.05.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.396 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. KajaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 02.05.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D. K. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that the issue pertains to claim of Time Bound Promotion. The Applicant was initially granted the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion by order dated 18.10.2011 w.e.f. 15.02.2005. the Applicant's grievance is that he was not at all paid the said monetary benefits till date.</p> <p>3. Apart, the Respondents later by order dated 15.03.2019 (impugned in present O.A.) changed the date of entitlement to Time Bound Promotion from 15.02.2005 to 24.08.2007. As such, the issue is about entitlement of Applicant to the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion and the date of entitlement to it.</p> <p>4. As per office order dated 28.05.2021, the subject of Time Bound Promotion/ACP pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>5. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter before appropriate bench for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>