

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 28.03.2024

Tribunal No. 1275/2023

K.K. Sangh .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Y.S. Gharal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that due to inadvertence he has remain to add the parties in the present O.A. which were before the Hon'ble High Court. Hence, he seeks oral permission for amendment of title clause in O.A.

3. Allowed to amend. Amendment to be carried out within three weeks. Amended copy to be served upon the concern.

4. Upon amendment issue notice to added Respondents.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2024.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**MISC APPLICATION NO.154 OF 2024  
IN  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.282 OF 2024**

**District : Thane**

Dr. Karuna Amit Juikar, )  
Additional Chief Executive Officer, )  
Z. P. Thane, R/at Nebulla Darshan Bldg. 19, )  
Block No.602, Wayle Nagar, Kalyan (West). )... **Applicant-Intervenor**

**AND**

Dr. (Smt) Rupali Shankar Satpute )  
Aged : 46 years, working as Additional Chief )  
Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Thane )  
R/o. 1101, A-Wing, Atelier Rustomjee )  
Urbania Saket, Thane (W). )  
C/o Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, O/at 9, 'Ram- )  
Kripa', Lt. Dilip Gupte Marg, Mahim, )  
Mumbai 400 016. )..... **Applicant**

**Versus**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra, )  
Through Principal Secretary, )  
Rural Development Department, )  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032. )
- 2) The District Collector and District )  
Returning Officer, Thane. )
- 3) The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad )  
Thane, having office at Thane. )
- 4) The Chief Electoral Officer, (M.S.), Mumbai )  
Mantralaya, Mumbai 32. )... **Respondents**

**Shri M. D. Lonkar , learned Advocate for the 'Applicant-Intervenor'**  
**Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the 'Applicant'**  
**Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the 'Respondents'**

**CORAM : DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 28.03.2024**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for 'Applicant-Intervenor', Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for 'Applicant' and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for 'Respondents'.

2. The learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' seeks dismissal of O.A.No.282/2024 filed by 'Applicant' on grounds of 'Suppression of Material Facts' and further seeks directions to implead 'Applicant -Intervenor' as 'Respondent No.5'.

3. The learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' contended that 'Applicant' has deliberately and intentionally not impleaded 'Applicant-Intervenor' as 'Respondent No.5' in order to misuse the process of law to obtain 'Interim Relief' behind the back of 'Applicant-Intervenor'.

4. The learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' contended that O.A.No.282/2024 suffers from 'suppressio veri' and 'suggestio falsi' as there was intentional 'Suppression of Material Facts' viz (i) Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' as it was known to Applicant (ii) Applicant had made request application on 26.02.2024 for modification of Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 to seek transfer to post of ' PD-DRDA, Palghar'.

5. The learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' was heard at length on issues relating to 'Suppression of Material Facts' by Applicant as also to implead 'Applicant-Intervenor' as 'Respondent No.5' and to vacate 'Interim Order' dated 29.02.2024.

6. The learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' emphatically stressed that Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 had been uploaded on 'Website' on 23.02.2024; as Applicant had already been transferred from post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane'. The 'Applicant-Intervenor' had also taken charge of post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' on 26.02.2024 and before doing so, she had made 'Telephone Calls' and sent 'WhatsApp Messages' to Applicant

7. The learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' insisted on dismissal of O.A.No.282/2024 on grounds of 'Suppression of Material Facts' and presented some judgments in this regard of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

8. The contention of learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' made it imperative to look for clinching evidence relating to 'Suppression of Material Facts' by Applicant in O.A.No.282/2024 especially about the actual 'Date and Time' of uploading on 'Website' of Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.204 by which 'Applicant- Intervenor' has been transferred to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane'.

9. The learned PO as per directions given during hearing of MA No.154/2024 submitted copy of 'Under Secretary (Technical), Directorate of Information Technology' letter dated 18.03.2024 addressed to 'Under Secretary, Rural Development Department' with which is attached copy of 'email' sent on 23.02.2024 by 'Shri Ajinkar Narkar' serving in 'Rural Development Department' to 'Shri Vipul Kothawade' working in 'Directorate of Information Technology' for uploading on 'Website' of Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant- Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane'.

10. The 'e-mail' sent on 23.02.2024 by 'Shri Ajinkar Narkar' serving in 'Rural Development Department' was to convey that Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant -Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' be uploaded on 'Website' to place it in 'Public Domain'. The reply 'email' sent by "Shri Vipul Kothawade" working in 'Directorate of Information Technology' on 23.02.2024 contains brief cryptic words "It's Done". So, question naturally arises whether this reply 'e-mail' sent by 'Shri Vipul Kothawade' working in 'Directorate of Information Technology' on 23.02.2024 could be admissible as necessary and sufficient evidence to conclusively establish that Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant- Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' was indeed uploaded on 'Website' on 23.02.2024 as has been claimed by 'Applicant- Intervenor'.

11. The contentious issue relating to 'Suppression of Material Facts' is primarily relating to actual 'Time and Date' of uploading on 'Website' of 'Rural Development Department Government Order' dated 23.02.2024 regarding transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane'. The learned Advocate for Applicant emphatically denied that Rural Development Department Government Order dated 23.02.2024 had indeed been uploaded on 'Website' on 23.02.2024. Per Contra, learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' persistently affirmed on the basis of the reply 'e-mail' that it was definitely done on 23.02.2024.

12. The arguments of learned Advocate for 'Applicant-Intervenor' was centered around 'Suppression of Material Facts'. Hence, it became necessary to delve deeper to examine 'Electronic Evidence' relating to uploading on 'Website' of Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane'.

13. The 'Section 65A' of 'Indian Evidence Act, 1872' provides special provision for electronic records to be considered as evidence, while 'Section 65B' governs their admissibility under 'Indian Evidence Act 1872'. Accordingly, when electronic records are submitted as evidence, they are to be treated as "Documents" under the 'Indian Evidence Act, 1872'. The purpose of 'Section 65A' and 'Section 65B' of 'Indian Evidence Act 1872' is to facilitate use of 'Electronic Evidence' in 'Legal Proceedings'. Accordingly, original information stored within computers are to be considered as 'Primary Evidence'. Copies derived from it on the other hand; are to be treated as

'Secondary Evidence'. Further; 'Certificate' under 'Section 65B' is necessary only when presenting 'Electronic Evidence' as 'Secondary Evidence'. If original electronic record, which serves as 'Primary Evidence' is produced, then submission of 'Certificate' is not required. The owner of the computer can directly introduce the original electronic record as 'Primary Evidence' by testifying that they own or operate the electronic devices where the information is initially stored.

14. The "Documents" under 'Section 65A' and 'Section 65B' of 'Indian Evidence Act 1872' though of greater evidential value with regard to contention of 'Suppression of Material Facts' are nestled in 'High End Servers' owned by 'National Informatics Centre (NIC)'. Thus, against this backdrop; the powers vested by 'Section 22(3)(b) of 'Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985' came to be exercised for discovery and production of such "Documents".

15. The 'Director of Information Technology, GOM' was accordingly informed to depute 'Competent Technical Officer' to submit 'Electronic Evidence' about uploading of information on various 'Websites' in observance of provisions of 'Section 4' of 'The Right to Information Act 2005'. The information so embedded in 'High End Servers' owned by 'National Informatics Centre (NIC)' thereupon forms valuable evidence as "Documents" admissible under 'Section 65A' and 'Section 65B' of 'Indian Evidence Act 1872'.

16. The 'Competent Technical Officer' deputed by 'Director of Information Technology, GOM' submitted printouts of 'Electronics Evidence' stored in 'High End Servers' of 'National Informatics Centre (NIC)' which are marked as 'Court Exhibit 'A' and 'Court Exhibit 'B' and now placed with 'M.A.No.154/2024'. The 'Competent Technical Officer' on query explained the meaning of words used in 'Header Captions' of columns of 'Court Exhibit A' and 'Court Exhibit B' which are (a) Title (b) File Name (c) File Size (d) Issue Date (e) Created Date (f) Last Updated (g) IP Address (h) Description. On query, he said that 'Electronic Evidence' admissible under 'Section 65A' and 'Section 65B' of 'Indian Evidence Act 1872' when printed from 'High End Servers' of 'National Informatics Centre (NIC)' to become "Documents"; however does not show actual 'Date and Time' of 'First Updation' but only shows actual 'Date and Time' of 'Last Updation' in receipt of all files including those relating to 'Applicant' in O.A.No.282/2024 and 'Applicant-Intervenor' in MA No.154/2024.

17. The perusal of 'Court Exhibit A' and 'Court Exhibit B' interestingly establishes that while all other files relating to many 'Government Resolutions' or 'Government Orders' which had been received from various 'Administrative Departments' on 23.02.2024 came to be immediately uploaded on 'Website' by 'National Informatics Centre (NIC)' including Rural Development Department Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of Applicant O.A. 282/2024 to post of 'PD-DRDA Jalna'; no 'Electronic Evidence' printed on "Documents" shows that 'Last Updation' happened on 23.02.2024 itself of



file regarding Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane'.

18. The claims of 'Applicant-Intervenor' that indeed there was 'Suppression of Material Facts' by Applicant in O.A.No.282/2024 with regard to knowledge about uploading on 'Website' on 23.02.2024 itself of Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' is thus not established beyond all reasonable doubts. The "Documents" under 'Section 65A' and 'Section 65B' of the 'Indian Evidence Act, 1872' shows that Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' was uploaded on 'Website' by way of 'Last Updation' at 11.46.29 hours on 01.03.2024 by 'National Informatics Centre (NIC)' as shown in 'Court Exhibit A' and 'Court Exhibit B' now placed with M.A.No.154/2024. Hence, claims of 'Applicant-Intervenor' in MA 154/2024 about 'Suppression of Material Facts' are not conclusively established so as to necessitate outright dismissal of O.A. No.282/2024 without giving any further opportunity to Applicant.

19. The fact however remains that Applicant in O.A.No.282/2024 did not disclose that she had submitted request application to Rural Development Department on 26.02.2024 to seek modification of her transfer from post of 'PD-DRDA, Jalna' to post of 'PD-DRDA, Palghar', as it now stands established by averment in 'Para 8' of 'Affidavit in Reply' dated 04.03.2024 filed by 'Under Secretary, Rural Development Department'. The request of Applicant made on 26.02.2024 for modification of transfer to post of 'PD-DRDA Palghar' had been

recommended by 'CSB' in meeting held on 26.02.2024 and affirmative proposal had been submitted thereafter to 'Competent Transferring Authority' for modification of transfer of Applicant to post of 'PD-DRDA Palghar'. However, act of Applicant in not specifically mentioning about the request application submitted on 26.02.2024 to Rural Development Department cannot be categorized as 'Suppression of Material Facts' as this communication was made at 'Personal Level' by Applicant and its outcome may not have been known to Applicant at the time of filing O.A.No.282/2024 on 29.02.2024. Further, in no case would the final outcome of request made by Applicant on 26.02.2024 be prejudicial to 'Applicant-Intervenor' as she has already been transferred to post of 'Additional CEO ZP, Thane' ostensibly while serving earlier on post of 'PD-DRDA, Palghar'.

20. The Applicant in O.A.No.282/2024 had on 26.02.2024 reported to Rural Development Department that 'Applicant-Intervenor' has come to take charge of post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' but copy of Rural Development Department Government Order dated 25.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' was not available on 'Website'. The Rural Development must have thereafter ascertained the factual position because Rural Development Department had again sent 'e-mail' to Shri Vipul Kothawade working in 'Directorate of Information Technology' at 11.32 hours on 01.03.2024 informing "कृपया सोबत जोडलेला शासन आदेश शासकीय संकेतस्थळावर अपलोड करावा, हि विनंती". Thereupon, uploading of Rural Development Government Order dated 23.02.2024 about transfer of 'Applicant-Intervenor' to post of 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' happened on

'Website' at 11.46.29 hrs on 01.03.2024 as reflected in 'Court Exhibit A' and 'Court Exhibit B' now placed with MA No.154/2024.

21. The claims of 'Applicant-Intervenor' in M.A.No.154/2024 regarding 'Suppression of Material Facts' by Applicant in O.A. No.282/2024 is therefore not proven by "Documents" admissible under provisions of 'Section 65A' and 'Section 65B' of 'Indian Evidence Act 1872'. Nonetheless, the 'Applicant-Intervenor' in MA No.154/2024 having been posted in place of Applicant in OA No.282/2024 as 'Additional CEO ZP Thane' must be impleaded as 'Respondent No.5' for observance of the "Principles of Natural Justice".

22. The M.A.No.154/2024 is thus partly Allowed. The Applicant is accordingly directed to implead 'Applicant-Intervenor' as 'Respondent No.5' in O.A.No.282/2024 within 'One Week'.

23. The Original Application No.282/2024 is adjourned to 26.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY)**  
**MEMBER(A)**

Place : Mumbai

Date : 28.03.2024

Dictation taken by : V.S. Mane

D:\VSM\VSO\2024\Judgment 2024\M.A. 154 of 2024 transfer M(A).docx

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.439 of 2024 with O.A. No.441 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>M.V. Chaudhari</b>  <b>S.S. Nadaf</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule &amp; Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicants who were working on the post of 'Assistant Police Inspector' were promoted to post of 'Police Inspector'. Thereupon; allotment of 'Revenue Divisions' were made to them as per 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021' by way of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 15.03.2024. The Applicants have been allotted to 'Konkan-2 Division'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that grievance of Applicant is that though they have been allotted to 'Revenue Division' of choice which is 'Konkan - 2 Division'; but their requests about choice of 'Police Establishments' were not considered in 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 15.03.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' letter dated 29.12.2023 choice of 'Police Establishment' had been were sought from officers promoted to post of 'Police Inspector'.</p>

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant then draws attention to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' letter dated 06.03.2024 in which it is mentioned that those 'Police Inspectors' who could not get 'Revenue Divisions' of their choice; have all been assigned to 'Konkan - 2 Division'.
6. Learned P.O. states that 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 15.03.2024 which was in respect of (i) 'Promotion' to 'Police Inspector' (ii) 'Allotment' of 'Revenue Divisions' and (ii) 'Assignment' of 'Police Establishments' has been done as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021' and provisions of 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act 1951'.
7. The implementation of 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021' is required to be done in both letter and spirit and thus it becomes pertinent to observe that as per provisions of 'Para 6(5)(b)' captioned as 'Revenue Division Allotment by Rotation' the entire procedure should have been completed in prescribed sequence of 'Nagpur, Amaravati, Aurangabad, Nashik, Konkan-1, Konkan-2 & Pune Divisions'. However, it appears that this was given go by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' because all 'Police Inspectors' who did not get 'Revenue Divisions' of their choice were bunched together and thereupon assigned only to 'Konkan - 2 Division'. The decision so taken by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' *prima-facie* contravenes provision of 'Rules 6(5)(b)' notwithstanding the fact that there were 426 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Inspectors' in 'Konkan - 2 Division' alone; as against 681 'Vacant Posts' in all 'Revenue Divisions' put together. The above skewedness in distribution of 'Vacant Posts' of 681 'Police Inspectors' primarily is on account of location of higher number of bigger 'Police Establishments' in 'Konkan - 2 Division' as compared to those in other 'Revenue Divisions'.
8. The availability of 426 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Inspectors' alone cannot be the reason for assignment of all those who did not get 'Revenue Divisions' of their choices to only 'Konkan - 2 Division'. This needs to be

examined by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' because contention made by Applicants is that those who were not entitled at all to be allotted to 'Konkan - 2 Division' have not only got it due to distorted outcomes from contravention of 'Rule 6(5)(b)', but even got their choices of 'Police Establishments' as per recommendations of 'P.E.B.' under 'Section 22N' of 'Mumbai Police Act, 1951, which had infact been sought by Applicants who were to get 'Konkan - 2 Division' based on implementation of 'Rule 6(5)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021'.

9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.04.2024.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

15. S.O. to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Date: 28.03.2024

O.A. No.1465 of 2023

S.S. Jathure .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.2.
2. Learned P.O. as per directions given on 05.03.2024 presents copy of 'Home Department' G.R. dated 24.07.2023 by which 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' has been permitted as temporary measure to engage take '3000 Security Personnel' on 'Contract Basis' from 'Maharashtra State Security Corporation (MSSC)'.
3. Learned P.O. further states that the 3000 'Security Personnel' who have been permitted to be engaged on 'Contract Basis' may not be adequately trained to work as 'Police Head Constable – Wireless Operators' in 'Major Control Room' and 'Regional Control Room' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.
4. The recruitment of 'Police Head Constable – Wireless Operators' have been unduly delayed because revised recruitment rules are yet to be finalized by the 'Home Department'. However, on account of delay in finalization of revised recruitment rules; large number of vacancies have arisen in posts of 'Police Head Constable – Wireless Operators' in most of the 'Police Establishments' including that of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai', as has also been fairly admitted in 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 24.11.2023 by 'D.G.P. Police Connection and Information Technology, South Zone, Mumbai.'
5. The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' was thereupon informed to explore some temporary options which can be considered for deployment of trained manpower to hold the vacant posts of 'Police Head Constable – Wireless Operators' in 'Major Control Room' and 'Regional Control Rooms'. Further it was also observed that the entire issue being an administrative matter can be best resolved through better coordination between (i) 'Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless

and Transport Maharashtra State, Pune' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.

6. The 'Additional Director General of Police.' & 'Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' who is heading the 'Specialized Agency' was thus expected to submit some 'Plan of Action' in this regard based on consultations with 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' and upon concurrence of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.

7. The facts and circumstance of the cases of Applicant thus makes its imperative to nudge the 'Additional Director General of Police.' & 'Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' to forthwith examine whether it would be viable and feasible in 'Interim Period' atleast till the revised recruitment rules of 'Police Head Constable – Wireless Operator' are finalized by 'Home Department' to seek 'Special Permission' to take on 'Contract Basis' from 'Maharashtra State Security Corporation (MSSC)' such 'Security Personnel' who may be registered from amongst 'Ex-Servicemen' having 'Basic Training' in 'Wireless Operations' while serving in 'Indian Army'. The temporary deployment on vacant posts of 'Police Head Constable – Wireless Operators' of such 'Security Personnel' if available with 'Maharashtra State Security Corporation (MSSC)' will enable implementation albeit rather belatedly of the 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.09.2022 and 20.10.2022. Needless to mention that such proposal if submitted by 'Additional Director General of Police.' & 'Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' through 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' will deserve prompt consideration of the 'Home Department'.

8. The learned Advocate for Applicant is thus directed to make the (i) 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' (ii) 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State' and (iii) 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' respectively as 'Respondent No.3'; 'Respondent No.4' & 'Respondent 5'; so as to facilitate expeditious redressal of grievances of Applicants in accordance with provisions of 'Section 22(2)' of the 'Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985'.

9. S.O. to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.03.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.427 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>Chetana Kerure</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant clarifies that Applicant was posted as 'Deputy Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Pune' not on 'Medical Grounds' but based on the policy guidelines of 'Husband &amp; Wife' to be posted together as per precedence at 'Serial No.5' of 'Statement No.2' of 'Annexure No. 1' of 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018 after her 'Husband' who is in cadre of 'Executive Engineer' in 'P.W.D.' was posted to Pune following 'Open Heart Surgery' which is also ground for precedence at 'Serial No.6' of 'Statement No.2' of 'Annexure No. 1' of 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate thereupon seeks to amend 'O.A. No.427/2023' to add (i) 'Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Pune' and (ii) 'District Collector &amp; D.E.O. Pune' as 'Respondent No.4' &amp; 'Respondent No.5'.</p> <p>4. The Applicant and her 'Husband' were posted together Pune on grounds of precedence at 'Serial No.5' and 'Serial No.6' of 'Statement No.2' 'Annexure No. 1' of 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018' accorded to 'Government Servants' regarding transfers; so to reduce their 'Personal Hardships'. However; as no feedback has been received from 'Urban Development Department' as per observations in 'Para 9' of 'Order dated 26.03.2024'; thus in the fact and circumstances of the case; Applicant is now permitted by way of 'Interim Relief' to join back on post of 'Deputy Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Pune'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.04.2024.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
11. S.O. to 15.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.440/2024****S.A. Patil****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for Applicant and **Ms. S.P. Manchekar**, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant is permanent employee of Tribal Development Department. Initially, on 07.08.2009, he was appointed as 'Research Assistant'. On 26.09.2018, Applicant was promoted to post of 'Research Officer'.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant has secured 2 point less marks from cut-off marks. The Applicant is from 'Open Category'. He submits that 7 posts are to be filled-in of 'Research Senior Officers'. He submits that out of 41 candidates, 30 candidates were found ineligible in documents verification and thus, the list of only 11 candidates is sent for 'Interview' for filling-up 7 posts of 'Research Senior Officers'. He further submits that ratio 1:5 is not maintained and therefore, list is required to be revised. He then submits that 'Wait List Candidates' are to be called and previous list is to be revised.

4. We are of the view that proper ratio as laid down in Rule 9 is not followed; because many candidates on the ground that they do not possess proper documentary proofs are eliminated before 'Interview' and hence the ratio is not maintained.

5. We would like to know from Secretary, MPSC why the procedure of verification of documents is followed after publishing the list of

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

'Eligible Candidates'. The Secretary, MPSC be present on next date i.e. on 05<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

6. Issue notice returnable on 05.04.2024.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 05<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*SD/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*SD/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.418/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>N.N. Mukhyadal</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is Transgender who wants to appear for Examination for post of 'Police Constable'. She prays that by way of age relaxation, she be allowed to appear for Examination conducted by 'Additional Director General (Training)' and last date of filling-up the Forms for recruitment is 15.04.2024.</p> <p>3. The learned CPO submits that the issue of granting granting reservation to Transgenders in recruitment process is pending before Hon'ble Bombay High Court, as the order of this Tribunal directing to grant reservation in recruitment to Transgenders in OA No.1121/2022 with OA No.401/2023 and OA No.928/2023 is challenged before Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant had approached this Tribunal time to time for seeking small orders even for acknowledging the identity of 3<sup>rd</sup> Gender while filling-up the Forms for Examination conducted by MPSC or Government.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that lot of time and energy of Applicant is consumed. He points out that the candidates who are in Horizontal Reservation especially 'Ex-Servicemen/Sportsmen' will be given relaxation even upto the age of 45, and therefore, Applicant prays that she be given age relaxation only for</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

want chance for recruitment which is going to be conducted pursuant to 'Recruitments of 2023'.

6. We confirmed from learned CPO about any stay granted by Hon'ble Bombay High Court to our order in abovementioned OAs by giving some age relaxation, fees, etc. to Transgenders appearing in public recruitment and we are informed that no such stay is granted as on today by Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

7. In view of submissions made by both parties and taking into consideration facts and circumstances of the case, we are of view that 'Interim Relief' be granted to Applicant, which is as follows :-

The Applicant is allowed to fill-up the Form as per Advertisement dated 05.03.2024 for Examination for the post of 'Police Constable' in recruitment process of 2023 conducted by 'Additional Director General (Training)'.

8. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> May, 2024.

*sd/*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*sd/*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.426/2024****L.A. Dandge****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant who aspires to be appointed to the post of Senior Research Officer, Group-A (Grade-II). He has applied in EWS General Category pursuant to Advertisement dated 11.08.2020. This pertains to eligibility of candidates insofar as experience is concerned.

3. As per Clause 4.5 of Advertisement is concerned, not less than 3 years experience in the field of training, Social Welfare or Tribal Research is required.

4. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant has produced the Certificate of Experience dated 03.01.2024. He relied on 'Exh.A-6' i.e. Certificate of Experience dated 05.01.2024 and also relied on 'Exh.A-2' i.e. Certificate of Experience dated 03.01.2024 in the field of Tribal, Social Welfare or Tribal Research.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicant relied on Order dated 26.03.2024 passed by MAT, Aurangabad Bench in MA No.128/2024 in OA St. No.733/2024 [Balasaheb M. Kothule & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.]. In this order, Single Bench Judge of MAT, Aurangabad Bench has addressed Clause No.4.5 on which the entire argument is based.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant also relied on Para 11, which reads as under :-

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

'11. Clause 5.4 in the advertisement does not specifically prescribe that the experience must be of the work done for tribals or in the tribal field. As stated in the said clause, the candidate must possess practical experience for the period of not less than three years either in any field of tribal, social welfare or tribal research. The experience of working in the Social Welfare will not necessarily mean or can be interpreted to mean the Social Welfare of the tribals. In the circumstances, the Applicants have certainly made out a prima-facie case."

4. The learned PO submits that MPSC have rejected the candidature of Applicant as found ineligible only on the ground that Applicant does not hold practical experience in respective fields. She submits that Applicant has appointed and worked as 'Cluster Coordinator' which is 'Supernumerary Post' and it is also mentioned in 'Certificate of Experience' issued to Applicant on 05.01.2024.

5. We keep this matter on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.444/2024**

**A.M. Warule** ... **Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant has produced the 'Certificate of Experience' dated 28.12.1019 which shows that he has experience of 2 Years and 8 Months i.e. from 20.04.2017 to 23.12.2019 as Maharashtra State Tribal Development Associate (MSTDA) and this experience is not taken into account by Respondents. The Applicant was on contract basis in Tribal Development Department.


3. The learned Advocate for Applicant points out Exb.'E' that is the order dated 21.05.2020 in which candidate T.L. Supadu has worked in Project Office, Taloda, District Nandurbar on the same post in MSTDA from 25.04.2017 till 24.04.2020. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that his experience is like the experience of Applicant and has been called for 'Interview'. Therefore, the argument of learned Advocate for Applicant is on the point of parity alone.

4. The learned PO submits that whether the 'Experience Certificate' dated 28.12.2019 of the post of MSTDA working in 'Tribal Development Department' is more than 2 years was affixed to 'Application Form' is to be verified.

5. Today is the last date of 'Interview'. However, it is necessary for MPSC to verify certain facts and we also want certain queries to be answered. Therefore, we keep this matter on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024. We direct Secretary, MPSC to remain present on 01.04.2024.

6. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2024 for 'Interim Relief'.

  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1352/2023**

A.A. Bhosle & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire holding for Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Mr. Ratan L. Adhe a/w. Mr. Avinash Khedkar for the Respondents No.7, 9, 10, 13, 21, 26, 32, 55, 71, 91, 95, 199 and 130, Mr. S.H. Kshirsagar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.114, Respondents No.118 and 130, Ms. Aruna Angarkhe and Ms. Usha B. Doltade appears in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that M.P.S.C. has filed reply.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 18.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders.

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.513/2017 with O.A.No.815/2017**

H.B. Sonawane & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. by way of last chance adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 28.03.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.335 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Patil</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her 'Leave Note'.</li> <li>2. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.4'.</li> <li>3. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Housing Department'; as per directions given 'Para 5' &amp; 'Para 6' of Order dated 11.03.2024. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.4' also files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No. 4'. It is taken on record.</li> <li>5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 04.04.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1226/2023**

**Dr. A.S. Chandanwale****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.  
Repertoire****... Respondents**

1. Heard Applicant in person, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. The Respondent No.1 is hereby directed to submit his say in respect of Report filed by 'Rapporteur'.

3. We make it clear that no adjournment will be given after 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

4. Mr. Patankar, Joint Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

5. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*skw*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*SKR*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)

**MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

n No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Memoranda of Coram,  
Tribunal's orders or  
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.787/2023**

**A.R. Deokar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 submits that he needs 15 days' time to file Affidavit-in-Reply disclosing the orders passed by MAT Bench at Nagpur in OA No.1119/2022. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 08<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*Sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*Sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)

# MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

n No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders or Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

### O.A.396/2024

**A.A. Shinde** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed today. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

*SD/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
 Member-A  
 28.03.2024

*SD/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
 Chairperson  
 28.03.2024

(skw)



# MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

n No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Memoranda of Coram,  
Tribunal's orders or  
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.383/2024**

**K.N. Tadvi**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.

2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

*sd/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*sd/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.348/2024**

**R.P. Zalte & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.

2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*SKW*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*SKW*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.306/2024**

**N.B. Patil** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw)





# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.139/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>C.A. Meshram</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2024.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>28.03.2024</b> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>28.03.2024</b> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">(skw)</p>







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.48/2024****Y.B. Gavade****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed.

3. Admit.

4. The incidence involved in this matter is of 2011. DE is initiated on 27.09.2021. FIR is dated 14.04.2011 and Enquiry Officer was appointed on 26.07.2023 that is after two years. The ACB has requested the Department to re-consider the case of Applicant because prima-facie, there is no evidence against Applicant.

5. In view of above, we direct Respondents that DE be stayed till decision of this OA.

6. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

*SD/*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

*SD/*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024

(skw).

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.443/2024**

**S.M. Bhujbal**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applidcant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 05.04.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.


5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 05<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
28.03.2024

  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson  
28.03.2024













**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1155 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Khandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks implementation of the order dated 20.5.2022 passed in appeal by the Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Pravin Sharadchandra Deshpande, Joint District Registrar, Pune (Rural) submits that similar order passed by the Hon'ble Minister has been implemented. Ld. PO is directed to produce a letter from respondent no.2 to that effect.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.72/2023**

M.D. Shende & Anr.  
Vs.

.Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 01.04.2024 for filing short affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1361/2023</b></p> <p>R.N. Gaikwad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks permission to file amendment application.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1571/2023**

S.R. Garud .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the O.A. can be disposed of today in view of the G.R. dated 02.02.2024 making applicable old pension scheme to all those employees who have been recruited prior to 01.11.2005. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant is recruited before 01.11.2005 so now old pension scheme is made applicable to him

3. In view that nothing survives in the O.A. the same stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1530/2023</b></p> <p>V.B. Kendre .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 12.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1449/2023**

S.B. Angule

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 18.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.40/2024 in M.A.No.697/2023 in  
O.A.No.200/2022**

D.H. Choudhari .Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Registry is directed to correct the name of Counsel in the Board. It is wrongly typed as 'S.N. Vaidya' instead of 'K.S. Jadhav'.

3. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 28.03.2024

Tribunal's orders  
O.A.No.1275/2023

K.K. Sangh .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Y.S. Gharal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that due to inadvertence he has remain to add the parties in the present O.A. which were before the Hon'ble High Court. Hence, he seeks oral permission for amendment of title clause in O.A.

3. Allowed to amend. Amendment to be carried out within three weeks. Amended copy to be served upon the concern.

4. Upon amendment issue notice to added Respondents.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2024.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1323/2023**

S.N. Patil .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 04.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1333/2023**

A.R. Surve .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 18.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1238/2023**

Dr. H.A. Nadaf .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana  
B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

3. Adjourned to 18.04.2024 HOB.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**M.A.No.210/2024 in O.A.No.644/2022**

V.B. Kashid .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Adjourned to 02.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.908/2019**

A.K. Gogavle & Ors. Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. Mr. M.M. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 12.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.575/2023 with O.A.No.538/2023 with  
O.A.No.539/2023 with O.A.No.559/2023 with  
O.A.No.694/2023 with O.A.No.695/2023**

K.R.Dighe & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.575/2023, Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.559/2023, Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.538/2023, O.A.No.539/2023, O.A.No.694/2023 & O.A.No.693/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 02.05.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.802/2023**

J.S. Jachak .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. A.R. Avachat a/w. Mr. S.H. Deshpande & Mr. S.M. Shaikh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that during the course of the day rejoinder will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

4. Adjourned to 25.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.1100/2023**

B.P. Chaudhari & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. D.S. Sawarkar & Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.4 to 9 are absent.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. Adjourned to 12.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**M.A.No.67/2024 in O.A.No.567/2019**

S.P. Aher & Ors. .Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Adjourned to 04.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.173/2024 in C.A.No.4/2024 in O.A.No.863/2022</b></p> <p>S.S. Patankar .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.173/2024, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in C.A.No.4/2024 and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar Adjourned to 08.04.2024</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.772/2023**

K.R. Kamble .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 10.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-=  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**R.A.No.13/2023 in O.A.No.512/2022**

H.B. Yelde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. Applicant present in person.
2. Mr. S.B. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 04.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.175 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Jain ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 27.3.2024 of respondent no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.163 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Manvar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.4.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1472 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Pandhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 26.3.2024 of respondent no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.5.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1447 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Chandratre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 9.2.2024 of respondent no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1410 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 27.3.2024 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1005 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Bhome, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 15.1.2024 of respondent no.2 and reply dated 20.3.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.903 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Kumawat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Nupur Pawar i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Kiran Upasani holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.1 &amp; 3 files reply dated 19.3.2024. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.394 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Patani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.5.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.179 of 2024 in O.A. No.326 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Renake &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kiran R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.158 of 2024 in O.A. No.326 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Renake &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kiran R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.3 of 2024 in O.A. No.1271 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.M. Alhat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 18.3.2024 of respondent no.s 2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.33/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022**

B.D. Kamble & Ors

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.

3. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.13/2024 in O.A.No.595/2022**

S.R. Misal .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today Dr. Vijay Suryawanshi, Commissioner, State Excise is present and seeks time to file fresh affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.5/2024 in O.A.No.1016/2018**

C.S. Atkar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.G. Ranjane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 05.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.109/2023 in O.A.No.834/2019**

S.B. Patil .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has informed that the order of the Tribunal has been complied with.

3. In view of above, as nothing remains, C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.775/2017 with M.A.No.211/2024**  
Tribunal's orders

S.N. Raktate .Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Shantanu Raktate holding for Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents

2. M.A.No.211/2014 is moved for amendment in O.A.No.775/2017. In O.A. the Applicant seeks deemed date of promotion with monetary benefits on the post of Selection Grade i.e. Additional Collector w.e.f. 12.12.2012 and also prayer that the applicant should be placed as per the seniority according to Selection Grade list dated 16.03.2016 (Annexure pg 59).

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that the O.A. is filed in the year 2017. During the pendency of O.A. in August, 2023 certain information was sought by the Applicant through RTI and pursuant to the information received under RTI in the year 2023 the Applicant found it necessary to carry out certain amendments to bring the facts during the pendency of O.A. and therefore there is no delay as such.

4. Learned P.O. opposes the amendment application (M.A.) mainly on the ground of delay.

5. Considered submissions of both the parties. Apparently there is delay, but however considering the explanation given by the learned Counsel that the RTI information was sought when the O.A. was pending and therefore the applicant filed this amendment application.

6. In view of above, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week from today. Amended copy to be served on the Respondents forthwith. Respondents may file reply to the amended portion within three weeks.

7. M.A. disposed of. O.A. adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Date : 28.03.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018 with  
O.A.No.915/2017 with  
O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 with  
M.A.No.198/2024  
O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018  
with  
O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018  
with M.A.No.199/2024  
with  
O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017**

P.V. Patil &amp; Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018, O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 with M.A.No.198/2024, O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with M.A.No.199/2024, Mr. G.D. Kurane, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.915/2017 with O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018 is absent.

3. Learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi has submitted that M.A.No.198/2024 and M.A.No.199/2024 are filed seeking amendment. She has submitted that the two applicants are promotion on 20.07.2023 to the post of PSI and hence they seek deemed date of promotion.

4. Learned C.P.O. opposes the amendment applications (M.As.).

5. Both the M.As seeking amendment are allowed. Amendment to be carried out within one week. Copy be served upon the concern on or before 08.04.2024. As all the matters are fixed peremptorily on 17.04.2024, concerned Counsel of both the sides to take note that no further adjournment will be granted.

6. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicants in other 3 O.As wants to file amendment application as well.

7. We make it clear that if amendment application is to be filed, the same be done on or before 03.04.2024, otherwise we will proceed with the prayers as it is today.

8. M.A.No.198/2024 and M.A.No.199/2024 are disposed of as allowed.

9. Adjourned to 17.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.30/2021 in O.A.No.970/2014**

V.D. Shinde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 10.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.850/2023 with O.A.No.851/2023 with  
O.A.No.876/2023**

J.J. Gaikwad & Ors

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 15.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**Date : 28.03.2024**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.No.716/2022 with O.A.No.717/2022 with  
O.A.No.718/2022 with O.A.No.719/2022 with  
O.A.No.720/2022 with O.A.No.730/2022**

H.G. Sapkal & Ors.  
Vs.

.Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2024.
5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.As. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. Adjourned to 02.05.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.45/2023 in O.A.No.614/2022**

N.D. Gangurde .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 04.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders.

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.513/2017 with O.A.No.815/2017**

H.B. Sonawane & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. by way of last chance adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.983/2017**

K.J. Shinde & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that M.P.S.C. will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day stating the correct facts in respect of cut-off marks. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the recruitment process of the year 2002 for the post of PSI Limited Departmental Examination of the year 2002 the cut-off is 287 marks, however the DG office has wrongly stated in the affidavit the cut-off as 284 marks therefore the applicants who have secured 286 marks are making claim.

4. Learned Counsel has pointed out Exhibit-A i.e. letter dated 12.07.2004 of Under Secretary/ Deputy Secretary informing the marks of the Applicant Mr. Shinde as 286 marks, wherein the cut-off as 284 marks.

5. Adjourned to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Date : 28.03.2024

Tri. O. A. No. 999/2017

P.S. Mahamuni & Ors. Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Entire submissions of the Applicant rests on the judgment dated 21.07.2008 passed in O.A.No.67/2008. All the Applicants have retired prior to the Circular dated 14.11.2002 and therefore the Applicants pay fixation is done as per G.R. dated 08.03.1999 (page 144, Exhibit-R 1). Learned Counsel has pointed out G.R. dated 19.07.2010 wherein G.R. dated 08.03.1999 is referred.

3. Learned C.P.O. produces chart. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the increment from 01.01.1986 two tier and three tier was introduced and the time bound promotion was issued as per G.R. of 1985 and was given effect from 1994. For Craft Instructor Selection Grade, the basic is Rs.7500-250, it reaches to Rs.12500 and if at all the Senior Craft Instructor is promoted to the post of Group Instructor, the pay scale of Group Instructor is Rs.7500-200-10500. If functional promotion is given to Senior Craft Instructor working in Selection Grade there is no pecuniary increase in pay except change of cadre. As per pay Rule 11(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pay) Rules, 1981

*"11(1)(a) if he is holding a post not higher than a Class II post, his initial pay in the time-scale of the higher post shall be fixed at the stage next above the pay notionally arrived at by increment his pay in respect of the lower post by one increment at the stage at which such pay has accrued and in the case of a Government servant drawing pay at the maximum of the pay-scale by an amount equivalent to the last increment; and"*

4. Adjourned to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.50/2017**

H.S. Koli .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that during the course of the day he will file sur-rejoinder. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.242/2017 with O.A.No.789/2017**

S.K. Bolbhat

S.K. Pawar

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that during the course of the day he will file additional affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.789/2017. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 15.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.400/2017**

V.B. Ghogare & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.5, 6, 7, 9 to 13, 15, 16, 17 & 18.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar adjourned to 16.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.413/2017</b></p> <p>S.B. Shinde .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. V. Londhe with D. Wadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.2 and 3 are absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.993 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Pujar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Adhe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 19.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            28.3.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            28.3.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.03.2024

O.A. No.422 of 2024

B.A. Pandhare .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.Y Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.04.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1599 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.A. Barse &amp; Ors., .....Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 10.04.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1538 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. More .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Transport, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 28.03.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.60 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Rathod &amp; Ors.,</b> .....Applicants <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 10.04.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1475 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.A. Patil .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned 'Special Counsel' for the Respondent No.1 and Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.03.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Khot .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate Shri A.S. Raktade both are absent.</p> <p>2. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the 'Respondent No.4'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097/2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.993 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Pujar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Adhe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 19.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            28.3.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            28.3.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.413/2017**

S.B. Shinde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents

2. Mr. V. Londhe with D. Wadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.2 and 3 are absent.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

4. Adjourned to 22.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.400/2017**

V.B. Ghogare & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.5, 6, 7, 9 to 13, 15, 16, 17 & 18.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar adjourned to 16.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.242/2017 with O.A.No.789/2017**

S.K. Bolbhat

S.K. Pawar

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that during the course of the day he will file additional affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.789/2017. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 15.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.50/2017**

H.S. Koli .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that during the course of the day he will file sur-rejoinder. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.72/2023**

M.D. Shende & Anr.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 01.04.2024 for filing short affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

~~Date : 28.03.2024~~

~~Tri O.A. No. 999/2017~~

P.S. Mahamuni & Ors. Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Entire submissions of the Applicant rests on the judgment dated 21.07.2008 passed in O.A.No.67/2008. All the Applicants have retired prior to the Circular dated 14.11.2002 and therefore the Applicants pay fixation is done as per G.R. dated 08.03.1999 (page 144, Exhibit-R 1). Learned Counsel has pointed out G.R. dated 19.07.2010 wherein G.R. dated 08.03.1999 is referred.

3. Learned C.P.O. produces chart. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the increment from 01.01.1986 two tier and three tier was introduced and the time bound promotion was issued as per G.R. of 1985 and was given effect from 1994. For Craft Instructor Selection Grade, the basic is Rs.7500-250, it reaches to Rs.12500 and if at all the Senior Craft Instructor is promoted to the post of Group Instructor, the pay scale of Group Instructor is Rs.7500-200-10500. If functional promotion is given to Senior Craft Instructor working in Selection Grade there is no pecuniary increase in pay except change of cadre. As per pay Rule 11(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pay) Rules, 1981

*"11(1)(a) if he is holding a post not higher than a Class II post, his initial pay in the time-scale of the higher post shall be fixed at the stage next above the pay notionally arrived at by increment his pay in respect of the lower post by one increment at the stage at which such pay has accrued and in the case of a Government servant drawing pay at the maximum of the pay-scale by an amount equivalent to the last increment; and"*

4. Adjourned to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.983/2017**

K.J. Shinde & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that M.P.S.C. will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day stating the correct facts in respect of cut-off marks. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the recruitment process of the year 2002 for the post of PSI Limited Departmental Examination of the year 2002 the cut-off is 287 marks, however the DG office has wrongly stated in the affidavit the cut-off as 284 marks therefore the applicants who have secured 286 marks are making claim.

4. Learned Counsel has pointed out Exhibit-A i.e. letter dated 12.07.2004 of Under Secretary/ Deputy Secretary informing the marks of the Applicant Mr. Shinde as 286 marks, wherein the cut-off as 284 marks.

5. Adjourned to 10.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.513/2017 with O.A.No.815/2017**

H.B. Sonawane & Ors.

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. by way of last chance adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.45/2023 in O.A.No.614/2022**

N.D. Gangurde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.
3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 04.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**Date : 28.03.2024**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.No.716/2022 with O.A.No.717/2022 with  
O.A.No.718/2022 with O.A.No.719/2022 with  
O.A.No.720/2022 with O.A.No.730/2022**

H.G. Sapkal & Ors.  
Vs.

.Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2024.
5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.As. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. Adjourned to 02.05.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.850/2023 with O.A.No.851/2023 with  
O.A.No.876/2023**

J.J. Gaikwad & Ors

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 15.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.30/2021 in O.A.No.970/2014**

V.D. Shinde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned  
to 10.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**O.A.No.775/2017 with M.A.No.211/2024**  
Tribunal's orders

S.N. Raktate .Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Shantanu Raktate holding for Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents

2. M.A.No.211/2014 is moved for amendment in O.A.No.775/2017. In O.A. the Applicant seeks deemed date of promotion with monetary benefits on the post of Selection Grade i.e. Additional Collector w.e.f. 12.12.2012 and also prayer that the applicant should be placed as per the seniority according to Selection Grade list dated 16.03.2016 (Annexure pg 59).

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that the O.A. is filed in the year 2017. During the pendency of O.A. in August, 2023 certain information was sought by the Applicant through RTI and pursuant to the information received under RTI in the year 2023 the Applicant found it necessary to carry out certain amendments to bring the facts during the pendency of O.A. and therefore there is no delay as such.

4. Learned P.O. opposes the amendment application (M.A.) mainly on the ground of delay.

5. Considered submissions of both the parties. Apparently there is delay, but however considering the explanation given by the learned Counsel that the RTI information was sought when the O.A. was pending and therefore the applicant filed this amendment application.

6. In view of above, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week from today. Amended copy to be served on the Respondents forthwith. Respondents may file reply to the amended portion within three weeks.

7. M.A. disposed of. O.A. adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Date : 28.03.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018 with  
Tribunal's orders  
O.A.No.915/2017 with  
O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 with  
M.A.No.198/2024  
O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018  
with  
O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018  
with M.A.No.199/2024  
with  
O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017**

P.V. Patil & Ors. .Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018, O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 with M.A.No.198/2024, O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with M.A.No.199/2024, Mr. G.D. Kurane, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.915/2017 with O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018 is absent.

3. Learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi has submitted that M.A.No.198/2024 and M.A.No.199/2024 are filed seeking amendment. She has submitted that the two applicants are promotion on 20.07.2023 to the post of PSI and hence they seek deemed date of promotion.

4. Learned C.P.O. opposes the amendment applications (M.As.).

5. Both the M.A.s seeking amendment are allowed. Amendment to be carried out within one week. Copy be served upon the concern on or before 08.04.2024. As all the matters are fixed peremptorily on 17.04.2024, concerned Counsel of both the sides to take note that no further adjournment will be granted.

6. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicants in other 3 O.As wants to file amendment application as well.

7. We make it clear that if amendment application is to be filed, the same be done on or before 03.04.2024, otherwise we will proceed with the prayers as it is today.

8. M.A.No.198/2024 and M.A.No.199/2024 are disposed of as allowed.

9. Adjourned to 17.04.2024.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.109/2023 in O.A.No.834/2019**

S.B. Patil .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel has informed that the order of the Tribunal has been complied with.
3. In view of above, as nothing remains, C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.5/2024 in O.A.No.1016/2018**

C.S. Atkar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.G. Ranjane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 05.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.13/2024 in O.A.No.595/2022**

S.R. Misal .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today Dr. Vijay Suryawanshi, Commissioner, State Excise is present and seeks time to file fresh affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.03.2024**

**C.A.No.33/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022**

B.D. Kamble & Ors

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.

3. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.176 of 2024 in O.A. No.1352 of 2023</u></p> <p>Anil B. Vidhate &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Adhe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Original applicants are required to be made party to this MA and notices are to be served to the original applicants. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to withdrawn the above MA with liberty to file fresh MA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. MA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such with liberty to file fresh MA.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.90 of 2024 in O.A. No.1691 of 2023 (Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>R.D. Panchal &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that the copy of MA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.116 of 2024 in O.A. St. No.1657 of 2023</u> <u>(Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>G.S. Rathod &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that the copy of MA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.03.2024

O.A. No.1488 of 2023

S.B. Kalewad .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO by way of last chance to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department' as per observations in 'Para 4' of Order dated 30.01.2024 as to why Applicant who is 'Physically Handicapped' to extent of 48% due to affliction of 'Polio' and thus entitled to 'Reasonable Accommodation' under 'Section 2 (y) & Section 20 (2) of 'The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016' was not given exemption under 'Rule 7' of the Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Divisions for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts in Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021.

3. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department' must also include information about the 'Number of Posts' of the cadre of 'Deputy Superintendent of Land & Records' which likely to fall vacant in Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar Division' in due course of 'General Transfers: 2024'.

4. S.O. to 04.04.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR