

**C.P. No. 10/2020 IN O.A. No. 913/2017**  
**(Dilipkumar R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**and**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in sur-rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 09.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 761 OF 2019**  
**(Vitthal G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Siddarth Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant sought time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 09.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2021  
(Sandip P. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 09.03.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2021**  
**(Aziz Immam Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Gaurav L. Deshapnde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.03.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 455 OF 2021**  
**(Anil T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Kotkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 748 OF 2021**  
**(Prakash B. Potekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 31.01.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807 OF 2021  
(Sahebrao J. Ghadge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.T. Devane, learned Counsel holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 10.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 809 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Imran Nizam Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.02.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810 OF 2021  
(Ganesh B. Agale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 814 OF 2021**  
**(Ramkishan N. Todkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 28.01.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 79/2021 in O.A. St. No. 288/2021  
(Pralhad V. Fiske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.D. More, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant sought time for filing affidavit in rejoinder in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. St. No. 1599/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1553/2021  
(Sham G. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
and  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.03.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.31/2022 IN O.A.NO.136/2022  
(Rameshwar Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.R.Tapse, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 28.01.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.342/2021**  
**(Kalpana Shelke & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments of both sides are heard at length.
3. For further consideration, S.O. to 01-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.33/2019 IN O.A.NO.678/2017  
(Makrand Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. A.N.Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 28.01.2022

**C.P.NO.40/2019 IN O.A.NO.798/2018**  
**(Rajendrakumar Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Megha Mali, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**C.P.NO.03/2020            IN            C.P.NO.47/2018            IN**  
**O.A.NO.138/2016**  
**(Dr. Shaikh Faiz Mohd. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant,  
Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri D.T.Devane learned  
Advocate for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 28.01.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.67/2018**  
**(Dr. Mohd. Feroz Iqbal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 10-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.453/2018 & 454/2018  
(Balasaheb Mendhekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Advocate for respondent nos.5, 6, 8, 9, 11 & 12 (in O.A.No.453/2018), are present.

2. S.O. to 10-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.103/2020**  
**(Vishwanath Nampalle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Omprakash Y. Kashi, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 18-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.232/2020  
(Rohini Charole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.K.Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3, Shri B.S.Chondhekar learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and Shri N.U.Gaware learned Advocate for respondent no.5, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.485/2020  
(Vijay Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 03-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.501/2020  
(Dr. Prashant Shamkuwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 01-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.562/2020  
(Amol Bari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.09/2021  
(Ulhas Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.U.Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 11-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.313/2020 IN O.A.NO.246/2020  
M.A.NO.314/2020 IN O.A.NO.238/2020  
M.A.NO.315/2020 IN O.A.NO.239/2020  
O.A.NO.240/2020, 241/2020, 242/2020, 243/2020,  
244/2020, 245/2020, 248/2020 AND 249/2020  
(Vinod Jaware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.Y.Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A.No.313/20, 214/20, 315/20 and for respondent nos.4 to 7 in the O.As., is **absent**.

Shri S.R.Sapkal learned Advocate for the applicants in all the O.As. and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.175/2021 IN O.A.NO.904/2018**  
**(Shaikh Ayyub Shaikh Jaleel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Quadri Taher Ali, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 28.01.2022

**M.A.NO.39/2022 IN O.A.NO.133/2016**  
**(Smt. Laxmibai Atkulwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.L.Paithane learned Advocate holding for  
Shri M.A.Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant  
and Smt. Sanjivani Ghte, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.517/2015  
(Pathan Yunuskhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R.Madhekar, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 01-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.641/2015  
(Bhagatsingh Patil (Pawar) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Milind Patil/S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 01-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.758/2015**  
**(Dr. Tanuja Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Anagha Pandit learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 01-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.525/2016  
(Manohar Borse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 25-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.160/2018 & 844/2018  
(Navnath Shendekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S.Dambe learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.No.160/2018, Shri A.N.Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.No.844/2018 and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 25-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.374/2018  
(Girish Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kaksahab B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.569/2018  
(Prashant Bonge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S.Ware, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.638/2018  
(Rajkumar Barwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.V.Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 03-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.82/2019  
(Devendra Dandgavhal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shankar Borkute, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 03-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.248/2019  
(Vikram Mate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.3 and 4, are present.

2. S.O. to 01-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.187/2020  
(Basant Padavi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.01.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A.Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-02-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 45/2022 IN O.A. ST. 32/2022**  
**(Anil M. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 1.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 664/2021**  
**(Sanjay D. Gangawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11.2.2022. The matter be placed **High on Board**.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311/2019**  
**(Rekha I. Manikhedkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Mirajgaonkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 22.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 104/20209 IN O.A. ST. 2118/2019  
(Bhaurao M. Ghane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay T. Kanawade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.1.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**REV. ST. 211/2022 IN O.A. 490/2021**  
**(Ninad A. Lande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Padalkar, learned Counsel for the applicant in Review Application, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in present Review Application and Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Counsel for the respondent nos. 4 & 5 in Review Application / applicant in O.A.

2. Review is sought of the order dated 25.11.2021 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. no. 490/2021. It is the case of the applicant in Review Application that the applicants in O.A. did not produce on record the relevant Circulars & Government Resolutions, which prescribe that the posts to be filled in by way of promotion through the employees working in the parent Department i.e. the Food Civil Supply & Consumer Protection Department. It is the contention of the applicant in Review Application that he being working in the Food Civil Supply & Consumer

Protection Department is also aspiring for promotion on the same post on which the applicants in O.A. were aspiring and since he was not party to the O.A. and the relevant Circulars & G.Rs. were not brought to the notice of the Tribunal when the impugned order dated 25.11.2021 was passed by the Tribunal, he has locus to seek the review of the said order. Though the impugned order outwardly appears innocuous order directing the authorities to decide the representations of the applicants in O.A., it is causing prejudice to the applicant in Review Application since he may not have any opportunity to represent his case before the Tribunal and as per the directions of the Tribunal, the authorities are going to consider the cases of only 02 applicants in O.A.

3. The learned C.P.O. submits that while passing the impugned order in O.A., the Tribunal has considered all the aspects involved in the matter and has passed the order directing the authorities to consider the claim of the applicants in O.A. on merit and in such circumstances, no prejudice is likely to be caused to the applicant in Review Application. There is no apparent error on the face of record to review the impugned order.

4. The learned Counsel for the applicants in O.A. has strongly opposed for admitting the Review Application on the following 02 grounds :

(i) the applicant in Review Application has no locus to seek the review of the order dated 25.11.2021 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.; and

(ii) secondly, applicant in Review Application is not working within the territorial jurisdiction of this Bench of the Tribunal to challenge the impugned order since he is posted at Amravati.

5. Insofar as locus of the applicant in Review Application to seek review of the order of the Tribunal is concerned, he may get such locus to seek the review of the order if he is affected by the said order. It is the contention of the applicant in Review Application that his chance of promotion are likely to be prejudicially affected by the said order. Since the order is passed by this Bench of the Tribunal, its review can be sought only before the same Bench. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel for the applicant in Review Application, prima-facie, we are

satisfied that the applicant has locus to seek review of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.

6. After going through the Circulars & the G.Rs. filed on record by the applicant in Review Application, there is reason to believe that the entire material was not before us while passing the order dated 25.11.2021 in O.A. In the circumstances, we are of the opinion that, the case is made out by the applicant in Review Application for seeking review of the order passed in O.A. However, learned C.P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and the learned Counsel for the respondent nos. 4 & 5 in Review Application / applicants in O.A. prayed for some time to file affidavit in reply, we grant time for 2 weeks.

7. In the meantime, even if the authorities consider the cases of the applicants in O.A. for promotion, shall restrain from issuing the order of promotion on the promotional post, till next date.

8. S.O. to 15.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66/2018**  
**(Dnyeshwar P. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel along with Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.2.2022 for further consideration. The matter be placed **High on Board**.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 108/2019**  
**(Krushna R. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vinod N. Rathod, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.2.2022. The matter be placed **High on Board**.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 37/2022 IN O.A. ST. 1710/2021**  
**(Ravi H. Kondar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay T. Kanawade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. M.A. No. 37/2022 has been filed by the applicant for condonation of 1 year, 3 months & 7 days delay occurred in filing O.A.

3. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the applicant that the applicant was bona-fide believing that his grievance will be redressed by the respondents and therefore he was making representations with the authorities, however, his representations have been rejected by them without application of mind. Thereafter COVID-19 situation was started and the applicant was prevented from taking the appropriate steps. The applicant is having very good case on merit and if the delay occurred in filing O.A. is not

::-2-:: **M.A. 37/2022 IN O.A. ST. 1710/2021**

condoned, the applicant may lose the chance to ventilate his grievance.

4. The learned P.O. prays for passing appropriate order.

5. After having considered the M.A. and the reasons assigned for condonation of delay, we are inclined to condone the delay occurred in filing O.A. and to give an opportunity to the applicant to prosecute the O.A. on merit. Hence we pass the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) The M.A. stands allowed with no order as to costs.
- (ii) The delay occurred in filing the O.A. is condoned.
- (iii) Registry to register the accompanying O.A. according to law.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. ST. 1710/2021**  
**(Ravi H. Kondar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay T. Kanawade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 14.3.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The learned P.O. has already appeared in the matter, he shall file affidavit in reply on the next date.
8. S.O. to 14.3.2022.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 3/2022 IN O.A. 80/2021**  
**(Bhimrao N. Kokate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.2.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 530/2021  
(Priya R. Awhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 28.1.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.2.2022. The matter be placed **High on Board**.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**Date :28.01.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.78 OF 2022**

**(Vaishali Balasaheb Tote V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, ld. C.P.O. for the respondents, are present
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **16.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**Date :28.01.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.79 OF 2022**

**(Datta Gangaram Jadhav V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, ld. C.P.O. for the respondents, are present
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **16.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**