

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.325 of 2018 & O.A. No.13 of 2020

Shri Anil M. Jadhav
Shri Ramkisan S. Pawar ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA.325/18 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO has filed application dated 28.1.2021 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 25.1.2021 passed in the above OAs.325/18 & 13/2020.

3. Ld. CPO states that below the title in appearance of OA No.13/2020 her name is not shown as Special Counsel for Respondent No.1-MPSC. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant concedes.

4. Below the title in the appearance of OA.13/2020, the name of Ms. S.P. Manchekar is to be added as Special Counsel for Respondent No.1-MPSC.

5. Ld. CPO further pointed out that in 5th line in para 47 (page 42) of the order the sentence, 'The Relief Clause 15(c)...' is to be substituted by 'The Relief Clause 10(c)'. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant concedes.

6. The said sentence 'The Relief Clause 15(c)...' be substituted by 'The Relief Clause 10(c)'.

7. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant points out that after pronouncement of the order, he has requested to stay the order for four weeks. However, it has appeared as 4 days in para 1 at page 43 of the order. It is to be corrected as 4 weeks.

8. Corrections be carried out in the original judgment and order. Application for speaking to minutes is disposed off.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
28.1.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
28.1.2021

(sgi)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.898 of 2019</p> <p>M. B. AradeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. which was dismissed in default earlier is restored to the file in view of the order passed in M.A. No.04/2021 and 05/2021 today.</p> <p>3. Pleadings in O.A. are complete.</p> <p>4. O.A. is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

M.A. No.04 of 2021 in O.A.No.05 of 2021 in
O.A.No.898 of 2019

M. B. AradeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. M.A.No.04/2021 is for condonation of delay and M.A.No.05/2021 is for restoration of O.A.No.898/2019 which was dismissed in default on 08.10.2020.
3. Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. U. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that brother and cousin of Advocate Shri N. Y. Chavan had expired in the month of October, 2020 due to Covid, and therefore, he could not remain present in the Tribunal. He, therefore, requested to restore O.A.No.898/2019 by condoning the delay.
4. Learned P.O. submits that M.A. be decided on its merit.
5. O.A.No.898/2019 was filed for appointment on compassionate ground and was lastly listed before the Tribunal prior to Covid-19 Pandemic situation on 25.02.2020. That day learned Counsel for the Applicant was not present and matter was adjourned for admission. However, thereafter due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation, the matter remained unlisted for long time and it was for the first time taken on board for hearing on 19.08.2020. However, the Applicant and his Counsel are absent, and therefore, the matter was adjourned on 17.09.2020 and again adjourned to 08.10.2020. Ultimately on 08.10.2020, it was dismissed in default.
6. In view of the reasons mentioned in M.A., I am inclined to allow both Misc. Applications and to restore O.A. so as to decide the same on merit.
7. M.A.No.04/2021 as well as 05/2021 are allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.
8. O.A.No.898/2019 is restored to file. ✓

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.353 of 2020</p> <p>P.D. KokareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

**M. A. No.43 of 2021 in M.A. No.44 of 2021 in
O.A.No.567 of 2020**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)**

Versus

Shri Shridhar P. Jadhav ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).
2. O.A.567/2020 was allowed on 07.01.2021 whereby impugned transfer order dated 09.10.2020 was quashed and set aside and direction were given to reinstate the Applicant within a week from the date of judgment. As such, the directions were to be complied with on or before 14.01.2021. However, till date directions are not complied with.
3. M.A.No.43/2021 is filed to condone the delay in filing M.A.44/2021 which is for grant of four weeks time to file W.P. before the Hon'ble High Court.
4. Indeed, the Respondents are always at liberty to file W.P. against the order passed by the Tribunal as per law, and therefore, the question of granting four weeks time to file W.P. does not survive. It is for the Respondents to file W.P. to avail the remedy in accordance to law.
5. Apart, these Misc. Applications were filed on 25.01.2021 and not within time granted by the Tribunal for compliance of directions. Time had expired on 14.01.2021. If the Respondents were sincere and wanted to challenge the decision rendered by this Tribunal, they would have taken the steps in right earnest which is not so.
6. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time. Both Misc. Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.30 of 2021 in O.A.No.109 of 2019</p> <p>V. V. Khandrae & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghatge holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.30/2021 is filed to bring legal heirs of the deceased applicant on record who died on 04.10.2020.</p> <p>3. O.A. has been filed challenging the order dated 30.10.2018 whereby the period of suspension has been treated as such. During the pendency of O.A. the Applicant died leaving behind the widow and two children. They want to continue O.A. so as to challenge the impugned order dated 30.10.2018 since the right to sue survives for monetary benefits, if any. The legal heirs needs to be brought on record in place of deceased Applicant.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.30/2021 is allowed. Names of legal heirs be substituted in place of deceased in O.A.No.109/2019 forthwith.</p> <p>5. O.A.No.109/2019 be listed for final hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 28.01.2021
---	---

**O.A.No.428/2020 with O.A.No.429/2020
with
O.A.No.430/2020 with O.A.No.431 of 2020**

N. R. Tekam& Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. (Dr.) Jayshri Patil holding for Shri (Dr.) Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O for the Respondents, one week time is lastly granted for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 03.02.20201.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 28.01.2021
---	--

O.A.No.688 of 2020

A.S. TondseApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file reply stating that instructions are awaited.

4. Perusal of order dated 26.11.2020 reveals that the directions were given to the Respondents to decide the representation dated 15.07.2020 made by the Applicant. It seems that no decision is taken.

5. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance .

6. In the meantime, the Respondents shall consider the representation dated 15.07.2020 and shall pass appropriate order without fail and to apprise the Tribunal on next date.

7. S.O. to 10.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

**O.A.No.677 of 2020 with O.A.No.678 of 2020 with
O.a.No.679 of 2020 with O.A.No.680 of 2020**

U.R. Mode & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the Applicants being similarly situated persons are entitled to the benefits of the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.352,387, 436 & 448/2020 decided by common judgment on 24.11.2020.
3. Indeed, the Tribunal in order dated 03.12.2020 has also observed this aspect and the matter was adjourned on request of learned P.O. to take instructions about applicability of the said judgment.
4. Today, learned P.O. sought to contend that the facts of present O.A.s are slightly different and O.A.s needs to be heard and decided on merit.
5. At this juncture, Private Respondent No.2 Shri Sagar Thorat in O.A.No.679/2020 appeared and filed an application for grant of time to file reply.
6. Likewise, Respondent No.2 Shri Hanmant Patil in O.A.No.680/2020 also appeared and also filed an application for grant of time to file reply.
7. In so far as O.A.No.678/2020 is concerned, Respondent No.2 is served but absent.
8. In O.A. No.677/2020 there is no private Respondent.
9. Learned Counsel for the Applicants is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to Respondent No.2 who are present today in the Tribunal so that they can file reply.
10. One week time is granted for filing reply.
11. S.O. to 03.02.2021.

Sd/-

v
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.167 of 2020 with O.A.No.168 of 2020 with O.A.No.169 of 2020 with O.A.No.170 of 2020 with O.A.No.171 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. N. N. Inamdar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that the Applicants are entitled to relief claimed in view of the decision rendered by the Tribunal Bench Aurangabad in O.A.No.678/2014 confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.772/2016 decided with connected W.P.s on 21.01.2016.</p> <p>3. Prima-facie, the Applicants seem to be similarly situated persons. However, learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Enough time is already granted.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.280 of 2017 with O.A.No.241 of 2019</p> <p>Shri V. V. PunathilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These are Part–Heard matters which were adjourned on 19.01.2021 with directions to P.O. to file affidavit about the status of charge sheet in Criminal Case, stage of Criminal Case and details of payment of G.P.F. etc.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit is under typing and will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed additional Affidavit in Rejoinder and raised some new grounds stating that in view of G.R. dated 16.04.1984, the post of Jr. Engineer became Class-II Gazetted post, and therefore, there was no requirement to pass language examination according to Rules, 1969 which were applicable to Group-C employees. This aspect was not raised in O.A. nor argued when the matter was partly heard.</p> <p>5. In view of above, it is desirable that learned P.O. should file composite Rejoinder in terms of order passed on 19.01.2021 as well as new grounds now raised by the learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>6. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 11.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No. 16 of 2021 in O.A.No.154/2020, 242/2020 & 243/2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus Shri Hanmant G. Holmukhe ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. ^{Bandiwadekar,} learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. O.A.Nos.154/2020, 242/2020 and 243/2020 were disposed of by common order dated 13.10.2020 whereby giving direction to the Respondent No.1 to complete the D.E. pending against the Applicant by passing final order therein, to pass appropriate order on leave applications and to update the service book of the Applicant within three months from the date of order. Since the directions were not complied within stipulated time, the Government has filed this M.A. for extension of time.</p> <p>3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2020. The D.E. was initiated against him on 09.03.2020. During the pendency of O.A., his service book was not updated nor appropriate orders were passed on leave applications. Resultantly his retirement benefits were withheld for long time, therefore, he had filed O.A.s in the Tribunal which were disposed of by common order as stated above.</p> <p>5. Indeed, by order dated 13.10.2020, the directions were given to Respondent No.1 State of Maharashtra through Additional Chief Secretary, Agriculture Department, and therefore, M.A. for extension ought to have been filed by the Respondent No.1. Whereas this M.A. is filed through Joint Director of Agriculture Department, Kokan Division and on that ground alone M.A. is required to be dismissed.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. However, to avoid further delay, I am inclined to pass appropriate order in this M.A. itself.

7. Learned C.P.O. tried to contend that in terms of directions given by the Tribunal necessary steps were taken and now D.E. is at the verge of completion and requested for extension of three months time to comply the directions given by the Tribunal.

8. Whereas, it is pointed out by the learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicant that no sincere efforts were made and after passing of order of the Tribunal it is only on 21.12.2020, some correspondence was made in D.E. and Ori. Respondents are not serious in the matter.

9. Indeed, as per directions given by this Tribunal, Inquiry Officer ought to have initiated further steps in right earnest but the period of more than two months was lapsed without any steps in D.E. which shows total casual approach of the Respondents.

10. Furthermore, no steps were taken to update the service book and to pass appropriate order on pending leave applications of the Applicant which again shows total disregard of the order passed by the Tribunal.

11. Be that as it may, since the D.E. is at the verge of completion, I am inclined to grant six weeks time from today for compliance of the directions given in O.A.s by order dated 13.10.2020 and there should be no further extension.

12. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>28.01.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 721/2020</u></p> <p>Shri J.M Bhosale & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Şwati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O produces copy of letter of Mr Khadse, Under Secretary, G.A.D dated 28.1.2021. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. We again point out that in the said letter G.A.D has taken into account the judgment and order passed by M.A.T, Nagpur Bench in O.A 953/2019 in the case of Shri V.V Kamble, dated 13.2.2020 and Principal Bench in O.A 807, 939 and 991/2019 by order dated 3.3.2020 and expressed view that the case of the applicants falls squarely within the ratio of the judgment.</p> <p>4. Thus, it is necessary for G.A.D to clarify its earlier letter dated 29.12.2017 to all the Departments as the other Departments are confused in respect of this issue. In the result, similarly situated persons are required to file applications and are compelled to come to the Court as the issues are not decided at the executive level, especially when there is a judicial decision already known to G.A.D.</p> <p>5. Thus, we direct G.A.D to issue clarification in respect of letter dated 29.12.2017 in the light of judgment & Order of this Tribunal in O.A 953/2019 and O.A 807, 939 & 991/2019 and accordingly issue further orders to all the Departments. G.A.D to communicate the steps taken to this Tribunal on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O to 11.2.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.570 of 2017</p> <p>B.G. Kingare & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Adv. K.R. Jagdale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 Shri K.R. Jagdale, has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record and copies are served to other side. Vakalatnama is filed.</p> <p>3. In this petition all the Applicants have challenged communication order dated 31.05.2017 that Bal Chitra Vani, Pune is closed down and decision was taken that new institution namely E-Bal Bharati is to be established where the Applicants who were working in Bal Chitra Vani were given the requisite advance notice of one month under Industrial Disputes Act and relieved from service on 31.05.2017.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.02.2021.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.544 of 2018 with O.A.513 of 2019</p> <p>V.B. Shende S.V. RaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.544/18, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.513/19, M.s. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No. 1&2 in O.A. No.544/18 and Respondent No.1to3 in O.A. No.513/19 and Adv. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in O.A. No.513/19.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri R.G. Panchal submits that Adv. Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte has withdrawn from matter and he has given No Objection Certificate (N.O.C.) to the Counsel to appear on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.4 in O.A.544/18 appearing in Person is present.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.02.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.01.2021

O. A. No.64 of 2018

L.G. EppewarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has been informed that the Applicant Shri Liganna G. Eppewar is no more.
3. Learned Advocate submits that he will try to contact the heir of the Applicant by R.P.A.D and also by sending S.M.S..
4. S.O. to 05.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.47 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant in O.A. No.47/2021 is similarly situated like O.A. No.682/2020 to O.A. No.685/2020, O.A.No.661/2020 & O.A. No.703/2020. Hence to be clubbed together.</p> <p>3. Applicant who may serve the Rejoinder on or before 26.02.2021.</p> <p>4. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26.02.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 28.01.2021

**O.A. No.682/2020 to O.A. No.685/2020 with
O.A.No.661/2020 with O.A. No.703/2020**

S.G. Koli

V.B. Koli

P.R. Arage

P.R. Ninave

P.R. Waikar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A. No. 682/2020 to O.A. No.685/2020 & 703/2020), Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.661/2020 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1&3 in O.A. No. 682/2020 to O.A. No.685/2020 dated 27.01.2021.

3. Learned P.O. has filed Short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A. No. 661/2020 dated 28.01.2021.

4. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1&2 in O.A. No. 703/2020 dated 28.01.2021.

5. Above copies to be furnished to the Applicant.

6. Matter is fixed on 26.02.2021 for Final Hearing along with O.A. No.85/2020 and O.A. No.47/2021.

7. Meanwhile the Applicants may serve the Rejoinder on the Respondents on or before 18.02.2021.

8. Interim Relief to continue till next date.

9. Admit.

10. S.O. to 26.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.407 of 2013</p> <p>M.R. Shaikh & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Shop Inspectors of Grade I, Grade I-A and Grade II in the office of Dy. Commissioner of labour, Nashik and Additional Commissioner of labour, Pune have challenged the Recruitment Rules dated 02.02.2013.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that though the Respondents have categorized Shop Inspector in hierarchy of Grade I, Grade I-A and Grade II the nature of work and duties performed by all Shop Inspectors is identical and therefore denying opportunity of promotion from their entry of Grade II is blocked and the consideration zone is Nil. This is violative of Article 14 and therefore the Recruitment Rules and the hierarchy are challenged.</p> <p>4. Pursuant to the order dated 15.01.2021 the office of the C.P.O. is suppose to inform the progress in this matter at the Government level, because in this Affidavit-in-Reply dated 24.09.2013 filed by Respondent No.1&2 through Suhas M. Kadam, Dy. Commissioner of labour, it is specifically stated that in para 12.1 "<i>Among other issues the delegates were told that their demand of fixation of Recruitment Rules is already sent to Government & the same is pending at their level</i>".</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. In Affidavit-in-Reply in para 16.2 Respondents have relied on Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the **State of UP V/s. JP Chaurasia, AIR 1989 SC page 19**, where in it was held that the clarification on the basis of experience is reasonable so also Principles of "equal pay for equal work" has to be construed in the first place and the principle has no mechanical application in every case of similar work.
6. The Reply discloses in para 16.3 that O.A. No.550/1992 was dismissed by the Tribunal and same issue was considered.
7. Reply also refers to the order of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai in O.A. 302/1992.
8. Above judgements are to be produced alongwith Judgement in O.A. No.888/2002 decided on 09.11.2003.
9. S.O. to 17.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.85 of 2020</p> <p>N.H. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel informs that since 26.11.2020 the Applicant is not getting salary though he is rendering service as Peon. 3. The Respondents are directed to consider this issue. 4. The Applicant rendering the service is granted interim relief earlier. 5. Interim Relief to continue till next date. 6. Admit. 7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant in O.A. No.85/2020 is similarly situated like O.A. No.682/2020 to O.A. No.685/2020, O.A.No.661/2020 & O.A. No.703/2020. Hence to be clubbed together. 8. S.O. to 26.02.2021. <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.62 of 2021</u></p> <p>Mrs. Rajashree S. Jogi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant a Naib Tahsildar challenges the enquiry proceedings i.e. charge sheet dated 31.8.2015 and prays for quashing and setting aside the same and further prays for consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. It is pointed out that by order dated 22.2.2018 the Regional Special officer, Departmental Enquiries, Konkan Division, Konkan was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The applicant is directed to cooperate with the enquiry and the respondents are directed to conclude the enquiry.</p> <p>4. We want that the concerned enquiry officer to report in writing to the Ld. CPO as to what steps he has taken and what is the stage of the enquiry before the next date.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.2.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. - This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
28.1.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
28.1.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.49 of 2020 in O.A.No.822 of 2019 with C.A.No.50 of 2020 in O.A.No.823 of 2019 with C.A.No.51 of 2020 in O.A.No.824 of 2019 with C.A.No.52 of 2020 in O.A.No.825 of 2019 with C.A.No.53 of 2020 in O.A.No.826 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G.P. Jangid (C.A.49/2020 in O.A.822/2019) Dr. S.K. Balvant (C.A.50/2020 in O.A.823/2019) Dr. D.A. Wani (C.A.51/2020 in O.A.824/2019) Dr. N.B. Swami (C.A.52/2020 in O.A.825/2019) Dr. M.M. Bagwan (C.A.53/2020 in O.A.826/2019) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. on instructions informs that the Law and Judiciary Department by communication dated 21.09.2020 has directed the Government Pleader Office of Bombay High Court to challenge the present order before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. We have perused the order dated 08.01.2020 in O.A.No.821/2019 to 826/2019 in which the Single Bench of this Tribunal has passed the following order :-</p> <p align="center"><i>6. The respondent no.1 is therefore, directed to take suitable policy decision in respect of all the applicants as well as similarly situated persons. All the above Original Applications No.821 to 826 of 2017 are therefore disposed off with the above direction. No orders as to cost.</i></p> <p>4. Till today no suitable policy decision is taken by the Respondents and therefore the applicant after waiting for a reasonable time for the decision has approached this Tribunal by filing the Contempt Application.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. This matter can be sorted out on the next date, if the information as follows is furnished by the learned C.P.O. :-</p> <p>(a) Whether the Government has taken suitable policy decision ?</p> <p>(b) If taken, what it is ?</p> <p>(c) If not taken, approximately, when the police decision is going to be taken ?</p> <p>6. The learned C.P.O. to contact the office of Law and Judiciary to clarify on the <u>basis</u> of the challenge of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 12.02.2021.</p>
	<p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p>
prk	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

C.A.No.21 of 2021 with C.A.No.54 of 2020 with M.A.No.138 of 2020
with M.A.No.304 of 2020 in O.A.No.953 of 2016

S.S. Kamble ... Applicant
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Maharashtra & Ors

Applicant in person.

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, CHAIRPERSON
SHRI P.N. DIXIT, VICE-CHAIRMAN

PER : JUSTICE MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, CHAIRPERSON

DATE : 28.01.2021.


ORDER

1. Heard Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has filed this Contempt Application No.21/2021 on 23.07.2020 as the order dated 03.05.2017 passed by this Tribunal for furnishing the documents is not been complied. Hence, the Respondents are directed to provide the following documents :-

(i) Copy of the decision taken and punishment given by the Respondent no.2 pursuant to the letter of the Applicant, dated 28.08.2015, 22.09.2015, 20.04.2016, 29.04.2016, 16.06.2016 and 11.08.2016.

✓ (ii) Copy of the decision taken and punishment given by the Respondent no.3 pursuant to the letters sent by the applicant, dated 05.08.2015, 25.08.2015, 25.08.2015, 20.04.2016, 29.04.2016, 16.07.2016 and 11.08.2016.

- (iii) Respondent No.7 to furnish the copy of letter outward no.142/2016, dated 14.07.2016 to the applicant.
- (iv) Respondent No.4 to furnish the copy of letter outward No.5747/2016, dated 12.08.2016, to the applicant.
- (v) Respondent no.4 to furnish the copy of letter outward no.1349/2017, dated 14.03.2017 to the applicant.
- (vi) Respondent no.6 to furnish the copy of letter of Circle Agriculture officer, Wada, Tal Khed, Dist. Pune with outward No.139/2016 dated 27.06.2016.
- (vii) Respondent No.8 to furnish copy, any proof of his absenteeism from 03.07.2013 to 17.09.2013. If any other document sent by the said office to higher officer about his absence or any letter is issued or memo given for his absenteeism.
- (viii) Respondent no.8 to furnish any letter written by Mr. Manjre about the applicant avoiding to take charge of the office as the Agriculture Assistant.
- (ix) Respondent no.5 to furnish the notice given to the applicant, if any, regarding the caste scrutiny certificate.
- (x) Respondent no.3 to furnish the documents regarding the training of the applicant who has completed training at Rameti Education Training Institute on 29.12.2015. And also the copy of the answer sheets given by the applicant i.e. of four tests and one final exam.
- (xi) Copy of explanation if any given by Mr. Manjre to res no.8 for the letter dated 19.06.2013, 09.07.2013.
- 

3. ^h On query Mr. Kamble informs that through this application dated 12.02.2019 he has asked for 41 documents and there are other such 12 applications in which he has demanded number of such documents.]
4. At around 11.30 this Tribunal has started taking the list of the documents from the applicant from one application. However, all these applications are hand written and therefore they are not legible and it is difficult to understand the exact nature of the documents because the specifications are not mentioned properly. Therefore, the applicant ^{is} ~~was~~ asked to give the details of the documents and they ^{are} ~~were~~ translated in ^{are} English and on the dias it was typed. However, it is found that it is very time consuming process. All the lawyers and other litigants are waiting for their number in the queue and therefore the applicant is directed to provide in short as to from which respondents which documents is to be asked for. It should be typed list and the pen drive to that effect is to be provided to the Tribunal so that time can be saved and accordingly the picture of which documents the applicant wants will be cleared and the specific orders can be passed.
5. At the request of the party in person Mr. Kamble, the matter is fixed on 26.02.2021 at 2.30 p.m. The Applicant Mr. Kamble to furnish the above data in pen drive of that date. The Respondents to remain present on that day.


Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.01.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 637/2020</u></p> <p>Shri G.S Karva ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Ashmita Goradia i/b Agam Doshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that copy of O.A is not served. Learned advocate for the applicant serves copy of the O.A to the learned C.P.O today in the Court.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.2.2021. O.A 800/2019 to be clubbed along with present O.A.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.01.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 663/2020</u></p> <p>Smt Rekha S Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief if any to continue till next date</p> <p>4. Admit. S.O to 12.2.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p>Sd/- =  Sd/- _____</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.01.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 542/2020</u></p> <p>Dr B.H Tadvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Mr M.D Lonkar, learned advocate submits that one Mr Bhodke wants to file application for intervention, as he is promoted subject to the decision of this O.A. Therefore, he is going to be affected if the application is allowed. Permission granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.2.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

O.A.No.77 of 2021

D.D. Pujari Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant, Chief Officer, Group A working in Urban Development Department, Badlapur challenges the decision of the Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) held on 20.09.2019 to be declared illegal and challenges the decision of grant of 2nd benefit of Assured Carrier Progressive Scheme to the Applicant.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.02.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 25.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>28.01.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 772/2020 (O.A 215/2019 Aurangabad) with M.A 22/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Narsude ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is also similarly based on the judgment and order delivered by the Division Bench of the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal dated 13.2.2020 in O.A 953/2019 and so also the order passed by the D.B of the Principal Bench in O.A 807. 939 and 991/2019 dated 3.3.2020.</p> <p>3. In view of the judgment of the Tribunal, M.A.T Nagpur and Mumbai referred above, the learned counsel prays for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Considered the judgments supra, the applicant is to be considered for promotion to the post of Dy. Superintendent of police / A.C.P by the Respondents no 2 and 3 within four weeks from today and communicate the decision to the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O to 25.2.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N/Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1017 of 2018 with M.A.No.248 of 2020</p> <p>V.P. Kapade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel from the Applicant states that today he has received written instructions from the applicant to withdraw the M.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw. M.A. is disposed off as withdrawn.</p> <p>4. O.A. adjourned to 18.02.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

O.A.No.186 of 2020

Smt. A.H. Shaikh ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-
reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 25.02.2021

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.192 of 2020</p> <p>H.A. Warwate & ORs. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. She submits that time be granted to file reply of Respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.03.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.291 of 2020</p> <p>S.R. Sutar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Pleadings are ready. Admit. 3. Adjourned to 26.02.2021 for final hearing <p>Sd/- (P.Ni Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.354 of 2020</p> <p>K.R. Gupta & Ors. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Rupesh Dubey, learned Advocate i/b Shri Vishal Shirke, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that she has already filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Shri Rupesh Dubey files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.5. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Admit. Fixed for final hearing on 09.04.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.M. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.45 of 2021 WITH M.A.No.328 of 2020 IN O.A.No.1133 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.45/2021 is filed for amendment in O.A. The said M.A. is moved on the basis of the decision taken by the respondents about filling up the 50% vacancy in the Public Health Department. The communication is made by Dr. Archana Patil, Director, Health Services, Pune.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. opposes the M.A.. However, in view this Circular the amendment is allowed. Amendment to be carried out to that effect only. Copy of the proposed amendment ought to have been filed along with the amendment application. Neither the schedule of the amendment nor the copy of amendment is furnished.</p> <p>4. We direct the learned Counsel for the Applicant to furnish the amendment application and also to serve the copy to of the same to learned C.P.O.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 09.02.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

M.A.No.291 of 2020 in O.A.No.651 of 2020

P.C. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that though delay computed is of 2 years and 10 months, 8 months are to be excluded due to COVID-19 pandemic situation and in view of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020, dated 23.03.2020*. He further submits that applicant seeks promotion to the post of PSI in SRPF organization. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 11.12.2017. However he has also made the representation against the said order before the Respondent no.3 and also applicant was securing the other information relating to his issue. The delay is not deliberate and therefore it is to be condoned.

4. We have gone through the Misc. Application. Heard learned C.P.O. and perused the affidavit-in-reply dated 19.1.2021 of Mr. Mohd. Yunus Mohd. Ismail Quadri, Assistant Commandant in the office of Commandant State Reserve Police Force (SRPF) in M.A. which is already taken on record.

5. In view of the submissions made by learned Counsel and the reasons given in the Misc. Application the delay of nearly two years and two months is hereby condoned and M.A. is allowed.

6. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

O.A.No.651 of 2020

P.C. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.02.2021.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 19.01.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.4, Mr. Mohd. Yunus Mohd. Ismail Quadri, Assistant Commandant in the office of Commandant State Reserve Police Force (SRPF). The same is taken on record. She further seeks time to file reply of Respondent No.3.
8. Adjourned to 23.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.320 of 2020</p> <p>H.M. Vanave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 10.12.2020, through Shri Sanjay Laxman Pawar, Police Inspector. The same is taken on record and copy is served on learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.03.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.26 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2013</u></p> <p>P.S. Sakhare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants file affidavit dated 7.1.2021. Ld. CPO files short affidavit in reply dated 10.12.2020 of Shri D.S. Bhagat, on behalf of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks three weeks time.</p> <p>4. Pursuant to the statement made in para 8 of the affidavit, Ld. CPO to find out as to what decision is taken on the proposal dated 25.8.2020 which was submitted by the respondents to the Government.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N.¹ Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 28.1.2021 28.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.36 of 2020 in O.A. No.1086 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.B. Sumbhe ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder dated 28.1.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 28.1.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 28.1.2021</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1057 of 2019</p> <p>R.H. Nikumbhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant. 2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents informs that the copy of the O.A. not served to the office of learned C.P.O. 3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to serve the copy to the office of learned C.P.O. 4. S.O. to 12.03.2021. <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

O.A.No.1064 of 2019

S.S. Panajkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Amol Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. informs that she has been served with the rejoinder on 25.01.2021, so she needs time to consider the same.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 11.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.193 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. Jaybhanye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 28.01.2021 of Respondent No.1 by Shri Dattatray H. Kadam, Under Secretary, Office of Home (Transport) Department. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.03.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.244 of 2020 in O.A.No.561 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. K.S. Jawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.02.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.135 of 2020</p> <p>J.R. Choudhary ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person absent.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.03.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.544 of 2020 with O.A. No.546 of 2020 with O.A.No.547 of 2020 with O.A.No.548 of 2020</p> <p>D. J. Parge & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Private Respondent No.2 in these O.A.s except O.A. No.546/2020.</p> <p>2. In so far as O.A.No.546/2020 is concerned, Private Respondent No.2 is served but absent.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.1 ^{has} already filed reply in O.A.No.546 & 547/2020.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply in O.A.Nos.544 and 548/2020.</p> <p>5. On request of Smt Mahajan, learned Counsel for Private Respondent No.2, two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 28.01.2021
---	--

O.A.No.768 of 2020

D. T. KatkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Krishnd Agrawal holding for Shri Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 03.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 28.01.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.739 of 2020</p> <p>S. S. ShelkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 28.01.2021
---	---

O.A.No.733 of 2020

S. H. ChavanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 10.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.01.2021

O.A.No.724 of 2020

M. B. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 10.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.01.2021

M. A. No.12 of 2021 in O.A.No.727 of 2019

J. H. K. InamdarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A. It is taken on record.
3. M.A. is adjourned for hearing.
4. S.O. to 10.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 28.01.2021

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.403 of 2020

A. P. Mahale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits ^{that} ~~that~~ reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 03.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm