

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.71/2020**  
**(Bharatsing Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As the pleadings were complete on 11-06-2021, the matter was fixed for final hearing at the stage of admission.

3. Learned Advocate Shri S.D.Dhongde argued first covering various aspects touched upon by the respondents in the affidavit in reply filed by them. He also cited case laws, Government Resolutions, Circulars and intra-departmental communications in order to show that interest is payable for the period of delay in making payment.

4. Learned P.O. has stated that all the averments made in affidavit in reply would suffice in counter to the arguments made by the learned Advocate for the applicant. He sought liberty to cite any case law/judgment, if necessary. He was advised to share those case laws and documents submitted in the matter with the learned Advocate for the applicant also, if any, so that on the next date if any additional averments are required to be made by

=2=

O.A.NO.71/2020

the applicant that can be taken up and matter can be adjudicated effectively.

5. Matter is closed for order.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 02.07.2021

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.439/2020**  
**(Bhimrao Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K.Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 23-07-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 02.07.2021

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.69/2020**  
**(Suresh Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Tandale learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R.Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondents have already filed affidavit in reply. Learned P.O. has submitted that contents of the affidavit in reply be treated as his submissions. Learned Advocate for the applicant is not willing to file any rejoinder. He has argued the matter on the point of rules, regulations and laws pertaining to extension of monetary benefits in such cases wherein suspension has been ordered without the delinquent having been in police custody for more than 48 hours, criminal proceedings and departmental enquiry both are long pending and criminal proceedings' cognizance has not been yet taken by the court as chargesheet has not been filed. Learned P.O. has informed that to the best of his knowledge departmental enquiry is not concluded as yet though he could not ascertain the recent correct status of the departmental enquiry.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has argued that the suspension order has been revoked and the applicant has been reinstated on the same post but not in the same

=2=

O.A.NO.69/2020

office and post which is as per the standard practice and guidelines. He is maintaining the prayer clause for getting monetary benefits from the 91<sup>st</sup> day of the suspension of the applicant along with consequential service benefits including regular salary not limited to subsistence allowance

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O. have concluded their arguments and the matter is closed for orders.

5. Case is reserved for order.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.515/2020  
(Aniket Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Tandale learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R.Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder, if necessary. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 08.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.583/2018**  
**(Sahebrao Sormare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. An interest computation sheet has been submitted by the applicant on 17-01-2020 and the learned P.O. for the respondents had sought time to take instructions from respondents. Accordingly, time was given to seek instructions from the respondents regarding the statement of interest calculations. Thereafter, the matter has been dormant because of the pandemic situation of COVID-19. Therefore, 3 weeks' time is given to the respondents to respond to the statement of interest calculation submitted by the applicant, failing which matter will be closed for order.

3. S.O. to 23.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.277 OF 2021**  
**(Shri Shivaji N. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he would like to file affidavit-in-rejoinder but at the same time he is seeking interim relief contending that order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.378/2016 & three others on 24.03.2017 whereby the Applicants were declared passed in the Departmental Examination held in the year 2014 and thereafter act upon it is not challenged by the Respondents before the higher forum.

4. In view of the same, at this stage, he is seeking stay order to the effect that the Applicant should not be reverted from his present post of Reserve Police Sub-Inspector (R.S.I.) and to allow him to work on the said post during pendency of the present proceeding.



//2//

O.A.277/2021

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents opposes the same.
6. Considering the specific facts that the order passed by this Tribunal dated on 24.03.2017 in O.A.No.378/2016 & three others is not challenged, it would be just and proper to grant ad-interim relief in terms of prayer 'X (E)' till next date.
7. Accordingly, ad-interim relief in terms of prayer 'X (E)' is granted till next date.
8. S.O. to 04.08.2021 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder by the Applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.849 OF 2018**  
**(Dr. Vinod A. Kakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. The present matter is fixed for final hearing on 15.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.45 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Sitaram Murlidhar Bhokare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 02.07.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present on behalf of the Applicant.

Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 have already filed their separate affidavit-in-replies.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents on 15.10.2019 stated that affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.1 is not necessary.

4. Interim relief was granted on 14.02.2019 by staying impugned order dated 20.6.2018 and communication dated 28.12.2018 directing recovery of Rs.1,94,014/- from the Applicant and withholding of amount of DCRG amounting to Rs.3,02,280/-.

5. On 21.11.2019, at the request of the learned Advocate, time was given to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder. Thereafter, for various reasons, there has not been any progress in respect of filing affidavit-in-rejoinder by the Applicant.

//2//

O.A.45/2019

6. On the last occasion i.e. on 08.06.2021, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, which was granted.

7. Since interim relief has been granted long back, it is necessary to proceed with the matter expeditiously.

8. In view of above, in the interest of justice, last chance is given to learned Advocate for the applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, failing which the matter will be proceeded further without affidavit-in-rejoinder.

9. S.O. to 07.07.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**C.P. NO. 13/2021 IN O.A.NO. 797/2019**  
**(Maharashtra Rajya Rekhachitra Shakha Karmachari**  
**Sanghatana Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that order dated 15.12.2020 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 797/2019 is only complied with by the respondent No. 3 – Principal Secretary, Public works Department, Mantralaya, M.S., Mumbai, by issuing Government Resolution dated 2.6.2021. He, therefore, seeks permission of this Tribunal for deletion of name of respondent No. 3 from the array of respondents in C.P.

3. Permission is granted to the applicant to delete the name of respondent No. 3 from the array of respondents in C.P. The applicant shall delete the name of respondent No. 3 from the array of respondents in C.P.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submits that other respondents have not complied with the order in question. We are of the opinion that the applicant has to

**:: - 2 - ::**

**C.P. NO. 13/2021 IN  
O.A.NO. 797/2019**

consider as to whether respondent No. 1 – Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, M.S., Mumbai is a proper party in the present contempt petition or not.

5. In view of above, at this stage, issue notice to the respondent Nos. 2, 4, 5 & 6 in contempt petition, returnable on 29.7.2021.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**:: - 3 - :: C.P. NO. 13/2021 IN  
O.A.NO. 797/2019**

10. S.O. to 29.07.2021.
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
12. The present matter be placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 2.7.2021-HDD

**C.P.NO. 30/2019 IN O.A.NO. 526/2011**  
**(Mohd. Azizullah Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1.

2. Notice was issued only against respondent No. 1.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to go through the affidavit in reply filed by respondent No. 1 and seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 26.7.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**C.P.NO. 24/2020 IN O.A.NO. 937/2018**  
**(Pradip S. Dahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the respondents have challenged the order dated 2.7.2019 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 937/2018 by filing W.P. No. 14436/2019. He has placed on record a copy of order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 19.1.2021.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 30.7.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 25/2020 IN O.A.NO. 284/2020**  
**(Sidram M. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.P. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.7.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2020**  
**(Bhagwan D. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Archana Bhange, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2.8.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2021**  
**(Muktyarsing R. Theng Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit is not necessary.
4. Considering the nature of the proceeding, the present case is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission itself.
5. S.O. to 3.8.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 249 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Pandit R. Rathod & 8 Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 to 8.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 4.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.
3. The present matter be placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 220 OF 2021**  
**(Sayyed Shoukatali Sabirali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.M. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that applicant has made respondent No. 3 by name party, which is not desirable in the Original Application filed under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to delete the name of respondent No. 3 from the array of the title of O.A. Permission is granted to the learned Advocate for the applicant to do so.

4. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 only and affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 5.

5. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 5.

6. The record further shows that rejoinder affidavit is filed by the applicant to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4.

7. Facts of the case shows that the departmental enquiry is started against the applicant. This Original Application is filed by the applicant praying to quash and set aside the order dated 30.4.2021 (Annexure 'A-3', page 17 to 20 of paper book) initiating departmental enquiry against the applicant. The said order is issued by the respondent No. 3.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant at this stage seeks stay to further holding said departmental enquiry. He submits that last date of hearing of the departmental enquiry was 28.6.2019. On that date the applicant has received necessary documents. Hearing of the said departmental enquiry is adjourned to 8.7.2021. He submits that the respondent Nos. 3 & 5 are intentionally harassing the applicant by deliberately appointing the Sub Divisional Police Officer, Sillod Division, Sillod, District Aurangabad, as an Enquiry Officer leaving aside other S.D.P.O. working at Headquarter at Aurangabad.

9. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that the departmental enquiry is initiated in the

**:: - 3 - :: O.A. NO. 220 OF 2021**

background of the crime under Anti-corruption Act registered against the applicant.

10. Considering the fact that the respondents have adopted the due course of holding departmental enquiry and they have also defended the present proceeding by filing affidavit in reply, in our opinion this is not a fit case to grant interim relief of staying the hearing of the departmental enquiry, as it would amount to causing interference in the administration. Upon completion of pleadings in the matter, this matter can be taken up for hearing at the stage of admission itself. In the interest of justice, short time is granted to the respondent Nos. 3 & 5 to file affidavit in reply.

11. S.O. to 3.8.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 186/2021**  
**(Namdeo A. Fad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side. She also seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. nos. 4 & 5. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.8.2021 for filing reply on behalf of res. nos. 4 & 5. The interim relief granted earlier to continue.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112/2021**  
**(Gangadhar T. Belurkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.C. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of respondents. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 26.7.2021 for filing reply of respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 153/2020**  
**(Sagar W. Sonavane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.M. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 5.8.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 5.8.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 286/2021**

**(Sayyed Ubed Sayyed Asif & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri N.K. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 4.8.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 4.8.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 728/2021**  
**(Netaji G. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Nidhi Loya, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to remove office objections during the course of the day. The said undertaking is taken on record.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 22.7.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

::-2-::

**O.A. ST. NO. 728/2021**

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 22.7.2021.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 210/2020**  
**(Suresh B. Hallikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.V. Khillare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. undertakes to file reply of res. nos. 1 & 2 during the course of the day. Reply of res. nos. 1 & 2 be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.8.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 531/2020**  
**(Manik D. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that this O.A. has been amended as the applicant retired during the pendency of the present O.A. Learned P.O. has already filed the reply of the respondents before amendment in O.A.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of the respondents to the amended O.A. Time granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.8.2021 for filing reply of the respondents to the amended O.A.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 206/2021**  
**(Deepak B. Aher & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. places on record the copy of communications dated 7.6.2021 & 28.6.2021 issued by the respondent no. 5 and seeks time for filing reply. The said communications are taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. Time for filing reply is granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.8.2021 for filing reply by the concerned respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285/2021**  
**(Hemant S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of sole respondent. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. Considering the nature of the present matter, it be placed on board on 20.7.2021 for hearing at the stage admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 247/2021**  
**(Ashok B. Dhokle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 2.7.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant invited my attention to the letter dated 9.6.2021 issued by the respondent no. 3 – the Deputy Executive Engineer, Aurangabad Irrigation Circle, Aurangabad to the office of this Tribunal. The said letter is at document 'X' of the paper book of this O.A. It is mentioned therein that the res. no. 3 has returned the notice and copy of documents annexed thereto stating that he has no concern in the present O.A.

3. In view of above, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to delete the name of res. no. 3 from the array of O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted.

::-2-::

**O.A. NO. 247/2021**

Learned Advocate for the applicant to delete the name of res. no. 3 forthwith.

4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time for filing reply of respondents. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 5.8.2021 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**