M.A.No.320/2017 IN O.A.St.No.423/2017 (TusharMahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

# -----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant wishes to withdraw M.A. as well as the O.A. unconditionally. Therefore, he prayed for leave to withdraw the case. Leave as prayed for is granted.

3. In view of submission of learned Advocate for the applicant, M.A. as well as the O.A. both are disposed of as withdrawn unconditionally. There shall be no order as to costs.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.278/2016 (Mohan Devbone V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri K.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 16-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.178/2018 (Md. Raisoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri R.R.Bangar learned Advocate holding for Shri I.D.Maniyar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. DeepaliDeshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.
Time is granted.

3. S.O. 10-08-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.584/2017**

(Md. Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. 10-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.419/2018 WITH

CAVEAT ST.NO.786/2018 (RajendraBagade V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned CPO has produced communication dated 25-06-2018 alongwith some documents received from District Collector, Aurangabad. It is taken on record and marked as document "X" collectively.

3. S.O. 02-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.431/2018 (MunjaraoMagar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant wants to amend the O.A. and more particularly prayer clause "B". Learned Advocate for the applicant sought leave of the Tribunal to amend the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall amend the O.A. immediately.

3. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 07-08-2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O.to 07-08-2018.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.432/2018 (AjabraoPatil V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 08-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

=2=

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 08-08-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.442/2018 (Md. Samiyoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri U.P.Giri learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 10-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

=2=

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 10-08-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451/2018 (BhagwanPujari V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. DeepaliDeshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer,

S.O. tomorrow i.e. 28-06-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

M.A.No.88/2018 IN O.A.St.No.150/2018 (Shaikh Mustafa V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 13-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 13-08-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

M.A.No.89/2018 IN O.A.St.No.154/2018 (Abdul SaleemRazak V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 13-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 13-08-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

M.A.No.96/2018 IN O.A.St.No.152/2018 (Shaikh Md. Hussain V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

------

### CORAM :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

#### DATE : 27.06.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard ShriKakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 13-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

- 8. S.O.to 13-08-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

M.A.No.102/2018 IN O.A.St.No.228/2018 (ShivdasBembde V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

------

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

#### DATE : 27.06.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 13-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 13-08-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

M.A.No.146/2018 IN O.A.St.No.342/2018 (Abdul AsifJalil V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

for the applicant is **absent**. Smt.

PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for

respondents is present.

2. Since none is present for the applicant,

S.O. to 09-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.32/2017 (Smt. SubhadrabaiSonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.K.Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that Writ Petition in which similar issue is involved is before the Full Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court. Hence, he prayed for adjournment of the matter. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. 30-08-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.199/2017 (RajubaiPatil V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# 

## <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri S.K.Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that Writ Petition in which similar issue is involved is before the Full Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court. Hence, he prayed for adjournment of the matter. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. 30-08-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.261/2017 (ShivramSurwase V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri S.K.Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. SanjivaniGhate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that Writ Petition in which similar issue is involved is before the Full Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court. Hence, he prayed for adjournment of the matter. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. 30-08-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.116/2017 (Dr. D.W.Kendre V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Vijay Deshmukh learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K.Sawangikar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to amend the O.A. and to add Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Maharashtra as party respondent. Permission is granted. Applicant shall carry out amendment in O.A. immediately.

3. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to the added respondent, returnable on 09-08-2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O.to 09-08-2018.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.267/2017 (Dr. SachinWaghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 27-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.473/2017 (Dr. M.G.Ghadsing V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. DeepaliDeshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 27-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.331/2017 (Dr. M.L.Mote V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.332/2017 (Dr. Anil Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.333/2017 (Dr. SheetalSoni V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.334/2017 (Dr. AnantChavan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.335/2017 (Dr. GanpatiWadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.336/2017 (Dr. YogeshSolanke V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.337/2017 (Dr. RavindraBhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.338/2017 (Dr. Mukesh Mote V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri D.S.Mali learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.349/2017 (Dr. Ashok Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.421/2017 (Dr. ShamraoSawant V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.422/2017 (Dr. SheshraoNarwade V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.423/2017 (Dr. PandurangPawde V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is

granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 27-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.313/2017 (TulshiramChavan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. DeepaliDeshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Perused short affidavit filed on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 4 by Shri DhanrajDattatrayBharati, Administrative Officer, Office of Joint Director, Technical Education, Regional Office, Aurangabad dated 24-04-2018 in response to the directions given by the Tribunal on 21-03-2018.

3. On going through the affidavit it reveals that evasive reply has been given by the concerned person inspite of specific directions given by the Tribunal to state as to whether second proposal dated 31-05-2017 is sent by in-charge Joint Director, Technical Education, Divisional Office, Aurangabad was placed before the Civil Services Board and the Civil Services

=2=

O.A.No.313/17

Board has approved the same or not. This practice is deprecated. Joint Director, Technical Education, Divisional Office, Aurangabad, is therefore, directed to file his personal affidavit in that regard.

3. S.O. to 29-06-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.407/2017 (NileshWakode V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018

\_\_\_\_\_

**ORAL ORDER :** 

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned

Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. This case be tagged with O.A.No.313/2017

and be kept with this matter.

3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.596/2017 (MeenaFattelashkari V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadahv learned

Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 26-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.601/2017 (SaralaKshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.P.Golewar learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 01-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.750/2017 (SurekhaGaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

# -----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.D.Salunke learned Special Counsel for respondent no.1 and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned P.O. for other respondents.

2. At the request of learned Special Counsel Shri V.D.Salunke last chance is granted to comply with the earlier directions of the Tribunal.

3. Next date is fixed as per the convenience and request of the learned Special Counsel.

3. S.O. 03-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.773/2017 (AbhimanyuKalyan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri KalyanPatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R.Barlinge learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.169/2018 (Shankar Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard ShriA.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. SanjivaniGhate learned Presenting Officer for respondent and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer as well as the learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3, <u>S.O. to 10-08-2018</u> for filing affidavit in reply.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292/2018 (BhavanaThakare V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer,

S.O. to 10-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

M.A.No.465/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1468/2017 (AdhintaBharaskar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Rahul Temak learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant has prayed to condone delay of 4 years, 7 months and 14 days in filing the O.A. challenging the impugned order dated 14-02-2012 by which her application for appointment on compassionate ground has been rejected. It is contention of the applicant that due to death of her husband, she suffered some mental disorder and she was under treatment since April, 2012. Therefore, she could not able to approach the Tribunal to file O.A. and challenge the impugned order in time.

3. It is her further contention that she was also engaged in filing M.A.No.212/2011 before the Civil Court at Ahmednagar for getting Succession Certificate under Indian Succession Act. Due to family problems as well as the financial problems she could not approach the Tribunal and delay is caused for filing O.A. Delay is not intentional and deliberate, and therefore, she prayed to condone the same. 4. Respondents resisted the application on the ground that delay is not properly explained by the applicant and the same is inordinate and abnormal. Therefore, they have prayed to reject the M.A.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that because of the ill-health of the applicant she could not approach the Tribunal to challenge the impugned order in time. He has submitted that applicant is the only adult member in her family and there is no other person to look after her family. She was suffering from mental disorder from 2012 and was under treatment of Dr. NirajKarandikar at Ahmednagar and also Noble Hospital at Pune. After recovery from illness, she has approached the Tribunal and filed O.A. Therefore, some delay is caused in filing the O.A. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that because of the just and sufficient reasons, it is necessary to condone the delay. Therefore, he has prayed to allow the M.A.

6. Learned P.O. for the respondents has strongly objected to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A.

7. On perusal of record, it reveals that husband of the applicant died on 13-02-2011 leaving behind the applicant and 2 children.

=3= M.A.No.465/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1468/2017

Medical certificate issued by Dr. Karandikar shows that the applicant is suffering from mental disorder and she is under his treatment since There is no reason to discard April, 2012. certificate issued by Dr. Karandikar. Documents on record show that due to ill-health of the applicant she could not able to approach Tribunal challenging the impugned order. Reasons and cause shown by the applicant are sufficient to condone the delay caused for filing As valuable rights of the applicant are O.A. involved in the O.A., it is just and proper to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A.

7. Consequently, M.A. is allowed. Delay of 4 years, 7 months and 14 days caused for filing the O.A. is hereby condoned. There shall be no order as to costs.

8. O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

O.A.St.No.1468/2017 (AdhintaBharaskar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Rahul Temak learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 01-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.151/2017 (NagoraoFailwad V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 27.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.B.Bhosale learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P.Brahme learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate on instructions of the applicant states that the applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. and to approach the Hon'ble High Court for filing Writ Petition. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

3. Learned P.O. has prayed to pass appropriate order.

4. Since the applicant wishes to withdraw the O.A. with intent to approach Hon'ble High Court, leave as prayed for is granted. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.441/2018 (ManikBaliramChavan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> :B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 27.06.2018

# ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B.Ade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is serving at Hingoli since 01-07-2013. Applicant is a Group-C employee and he is entitle to enjoy 2 tenures at the station. He has not completed his 2 tenures of posting. But he has been transferred by the impugned order dated 31-05-2018. He has submitted nobody has been posted in his place and he has not been relieved from the present post. Therefore, he prayed to grant interim relief in his favour.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has been transferred in general transfers by following provisions of theMaharashtra Government Servants Regulatio n of Transfersand Prevention of Delay in D of Official Duties 2005 ischarge Act. ("Transfer Act" for short) and there is no illegality in the impugned transfer order. Therefore, learned P.O. has prayed to reject the M.A.

Learned P.O. has submitted that as per his instructions applicant is still working at Hingoli.

4. Prima facie, on perusal of record, it reveals that the applicant is a Group-C employee and has not completed his 2 tenures in the post at Hingoli. He has not yet been relieved from the said post. Prima facie, it appears that the impugned order is in contravention of provisions of Transfer Act. Hence, execution of the impugned order is stayed till filing of reply by the respondents.

5. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 02-08-2018.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

=3=

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O.to 02-08-2018.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 27-06-2018F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 406/2015 (Prakash N. Shrivastav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

## **<u>DATE</u>** : 27.6.2018

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears that the learned Advocate for the applicant is not satisfied with the oral arguments advanced so far. He seeks time to file written notes of arguments. The learned P.O. also seeks time to file written notes of documents. The same be filed within one week. The matter is reserved for orders.

# MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 488 & 289/2016 (Sukhdev S. Chitte &: Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 27.6.2018

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. As per the order dtd. 18.6.2018, the learned Advocate for the applicants has filed on record the documents containing orders passed by this Tribunal and by the Hon'ble High Court. The said orders are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification and copy thereof have been supplied to the learned P.O. Learned P.O. submits that, he would go through the said documents to see whether similarly situated like the present applicant employees are regularized in service in view of the order of this Tribunal & Hon'ble High Court. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.7.2018 for final hearing.

# **MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278/2017 (Maharashtra State Vaidyakiya Mahavidyalaya & Rugnalaya Karmachari Sanghatana, Aurangabad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 27.6.2018

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Sayyed Jahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri Madhur A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

 Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder. In the circumstances, at his request, S.O. to 21.8.2018 for filing rejoinder.

# MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

T.A. 7/2016 (W.P. 1533/2015) (Chandrakala K. Navghire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.6.2018

# **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Nassim Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, he wants to take instructions from the applicant regarding page 20 and 23 onwards. He submits that presently copy of representation along with annexures made by the applicant to the respondents on which the impugned decision was taken by the concerned respondents is not with him and he wants to go through the same. In the circumstances, at his request, S.O. to 2.8.2018 for making submissions on the above line and filing relevant documents on record.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 187/2017 (Shabdashree T. Bhange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

## **<u>DATE</u>** : 27.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri R.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.7.2018 for final hearing.

# MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### O.A.NOS. 336, 337 & 338 ALL OF 2014

[Shri Shakeer I. Sayyad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2018** 

[Dr. Nandkishor D. Karwa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **DATE** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed reply to show cause notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 in response to the order dated 4.4.2018 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Reply to the show cause notice however shows that the para-wise reply is prepared by the respondent No. 2 and sent for approval to the Government. It is to be noted that this Tribunal vide order dated 4.4.2018 has forewarned that in case affidavit in reply is not filed cost of Rs. 10,000/would be imposed. In view of the sincere apology tendered by the respondent No. 2, no cost is imposed. Time to file reply is hereby granted.

5. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2018** 

[Shri Uday V. Hande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. He submits that similar facts are involved in some other cases, which are fixed on  $3^{rd}$  July, 2018. He however, accept that the facts in those cases are different than the present case. However, only at the insistence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to  $3^{rd}$  July, 2018 for satisfying the query raised by this Tribunal vide order dated 4.5.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440 OF 2018** 

[Shri Shivaji D. Sirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

# :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 440 OF 2018

the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 119 OF 2018**

[Smt. Jyoti L. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)** 

DATE : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Santosh N. Patne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At her request, S.O. to 24th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2017** 

[Shri Namdeo L. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)** 

**DATE** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 13th August, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NO. 943/2017 WITH M.A.NO. 174/2018

[Shri Amol J. Udawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

------

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Satyajeet J. Rahate, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the proposed intervenors.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. seeks time to take instructions regarding the answersheet produced by the learned Advocate for proposed intervenors on 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2018.

3. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh for proposed intervenors however, points out that though there is no interim relief granted in favour of the present applicant, the concerned respondents are withholding the process of selection and appointment of the intervenors.

4. In the circumstances, it is hereby clarified that there is no interim relief to the further process of selection and appointment as per the rules and law.

# :: - 2 - :: O.A.NO. 943/2017 WITH M.A.NO. 174/2018

5. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 at the request of learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. for taking instructions on the above line.

6. Steno copy is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 450 OF 2018**

[Shri Punjab S. Suradkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time.
He submits that similar facts are involved in some other cases, which are fixed on 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 444, 445, 446, 447, 448 & 449 ALL OF 2018

[Shri Dhanraj L. Bhute & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)** 

**DATE** : 27.06.2018.

# **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bhraswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813 OF 2017** 

[Shri Nagesh M. Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant during the course of hearing points out that the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in their affidavit in reply at paragraph No. 23, page-30 has averred that the next eligible candidate is appointed. However, his name is not disclosed in the affidavit in reply. He further submits that though the present applicant tried to include the name of the selected candidate for joining him as party respondent, through RTI he received the information that all the information is provided in the present proceedings.

3. It, therefore, appears that the concerned respondent not only is opaque in the selection process but even is not transparent in disclosing the name of the selected candidate. This practice needs to be deprecated.

4. Learned Presenting Officer is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the concerned respondents regarding the complete selection process

# :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 813 OF 2017

including the name of the candidate selected and more particularly the name and postal address of the selected candidates whose reference is made in paragraph No. 23 of the reply and file report of the same on the next date.

5. S.O. to  $25^{\text{th}}$  July, 2018 for compliance of the above order.

6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226 OF 2017** 

[Shri Baliram S. Kakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

 It appears from the proceedings that on the last date also nobody appeared on behalf of the applicant.
In the circumstances, S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 730 OF 2016** 

[Shri Dipak K. Bahir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Shri N.K. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.E. Pathade, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, were present. None appears for respondent Nos. 6 & 7.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 supplements the submission made on 16.4.2018 further that even two different forms for different examinations were filled by the respondent Nos. 3 to 5, as well as, by the applicant.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, today also, S.O. to 23.7.2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484 OF 2013**

[Shri Nagnath S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)** 

**DATE** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 167/2018 IN O.A.NO. 64/2018

[Shri Bhagwan S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)** 

DATE : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Magare, learned Advocate 1. holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions form the applicant regarding withdrawal, if any of the present O.A. on the line of the order dated 2.2.2018 passed in O.A. At his request, S.O. to 25th July, 2018 for taking instructions.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 35/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 97/2018

[Shri Nilesh R. Tagad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

 Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Santosh S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105 OF 2018**

[Dr. Nitin R. Agarwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed reply to show cause notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 in response to the order dated 4.4.2018 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Reply to the show cause notice however shows that the para-wise reply is prepared by the respondent No. 2 and sent for approval to the Government. It is to be noted that this Tribunal vide order dated 4.4.2018 has forewarned that in case affidavit in reply is not filed cost of Rs. 10,000/would be imposed. In view of the sincere apology tendered by the respondent No. 2, no cost is imposed. Time to file reply is hereby granted.

5. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 449/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1367/2017

[Smt. Anita B. Kolgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER 7

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of two years in preferring the accompanying O.A. By the accompanying O.A. the applicant is seeking promotion.

3. For the reasons stated in the present Miscellaneous Application, the same is allowed and the delay caused in filing accompanying O.A. is hereby condoned. The accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered after due scrutiny.

4. Upon registration of accompanying O.A., the same be circulated.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 318/15 IN M.A.ST.267/15 IN M.A. 10/11 IN O.A. NO. 785/98

[Smt. Anita B. Kolgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 27.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Sayyed Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to have hearing in the matter. It is to be noted that earlier two occasions also an opportunity was granted to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary order in default.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN**