

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.892 of 2017</p> <p>D.G. BasutkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is pointed out by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that the issue involved in the present O.A. namely retiral benefits on the basis of temporary promotion is already subjudice before Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition arising from decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A. No.301/2017, Shri Jeevan K. Bhosale v/s. Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department decided with connected O.A. No.1104/2017 with O.A. No.33 & 282 of 2018 on 04.02.2019.</p> <p>3. Admittedly, there is no stay to the decision rendered by this Tribunal in Shri Jeevan K. Bhosale's matter.</p> <p>4. This O.A. being quite old is required to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for the early hearing to this O.A. without waiting for the decision of Hon'ble High Court as there is no stay to the judgment which is relied in the present O.A.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned P.O. is directed to appraise the Tribunal about the status of Writ Petition filed by Government against the decision in Shri Jeevan K. Bhosale's matter by next date and if there is no stay to the said judgment this O.A. will be head on next date.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.645 of 2020</p> <p>D.D. MaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that matter is being handled by Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. who is unable to remain present due to illness.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.653 of 2017</p> <p>Dr.M.C. Sing & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. This matter was on yesterday's board and on request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for tomorrow. However, today the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 4. In the interest of justice adjourned to 29.10.2021 to give one opportunity to learned Advocate. 5. S.O. to 29.10.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 27.10.2021
	<p align="center">M.A. No.466 of 2021 in M.A. No.459 of 2021 in O.A. No.392 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. No.466/2021 & M.A. No.459/2021 are filed for Condonation of delay and restoration respectively in O.A. No.392/2021 which was dismissed in default for non-compliance of condition order dated 16.07.2021.</p> <p>3. O.A. No.392/2021 was dismissed in default for non-furnishing of Affidavit in service within requisite period, there is delay of around three months in filing restoration Application. O.A. is filed challenging recovery after retirement though in title clause age of the Applicant is 54 years which is obviously incorrect and needs to be corrected by appropriate steps.</p> <p>4. Since, O.A. is filed challenging recovery after retirement it would be appropriate to decide O.A. on merit by restoring the same.</p> <p>5. Both the M.As are therefore allowed subject to costs of Rs.2,000/- (Two Thousand Only). Costs should be paid within three days as condition precedent. If costs is paid within three days O.A. be listed before Tribunal on 15.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.468 of 2021 in M.A. No.458 of 2021 in O.A. No.380 of 2021</p> <p>B.M. ChodankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.A. No.468/2021 & M.A. No.458/2021 are filed for Condonation of Delay and restoration of O.A. No.380/2021 which was dismissed in default for non compliance of conditional order dated 16.07.2021 of filing of service Affidavit. 3. Since there is delay of near about three months in making Application for restoration, delay Application is filed. 4. In O.A. challenge is to the recovery on reversion of pay scale. 5. Since, O.A. is filed challenging recovery, in the interest of justice both the M.As. are deserves to be allowed so as to decide the recovery issue on merit. 6. In view of above, M.A. No.468/2021 and M.A. No.458/2021 are allowed subject to costs of Rs.7,000/- (Seven Thousand Only). Costs should be paid within three days as condition precedent. If costs is paid within three days O.A. be listed before Tribunal on 15.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.928/2019

Shri S.A. Dhamale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra
& Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant filed Affidavit of the Applicant with some Annexures necessary for just decision of this O.A. It is taken on record.
3. Adjourned to 23.11.2021.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
27.10.2021

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.937/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A. Dhamale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant filed Affidavit of the Applicant with some Annexures necessary for just decision of this O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 27.10.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.464 of 2021 in O.A. No.720 of 2021</p> <p>Y.P. BhoiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to restore O.A. which is dismissed in default for non-compliance of condition order dated 22.10.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that inadvertently Affidavit though ready could not be filed about the services on Respondents, and therefore, prayed to restore O.A.</p> <p>4. For this reasons stated above M.A. No.464/2021 is allowed and O.A. is restored to the file.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.720 of 2021</p> <p>Y.P. BhoiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. was dismissed in default for non-compliance of conditional order of filing of Affidavit of service. 3. However, in view of order passed today in M.A. No.464/2021, order of dismissal is recalled and O.A. is restored. 4. Three weeks time is granted to the learned P.O. to file Reply to O.A. 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit of the Applicant stating that despite of interim relief granted by this Tribunal by order dated 24.09.2021, Mr. Kishor R. Patil, Head Master of Government Ashram School at Khutal has relieved despite the knowledge of the order of the Tribunal to him. In Affidavit he stated that the Application was made before Head Master but he refused to acknowledge it. As such, <i>prima-facie</i> relieving of the Applicant is contemporaneous act.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In view of above, issue notice to Mr. Kishor R. Patil as to why cognizance of contempt of the order of Tribunal should not be taken against him and why further action for^{of} proceeding for Contempt of the Tribunal should not be initiated against him.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.471 of 2021 in O.A. No.569 of 2021</p> <p>M.A. SalveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for adding Director / Medical Superintendent, Global Hospital as Respondent No.4 on the ground that Global Hospital refused to give break-up of the expenditure done by the Applicant for treatment in the said Hospital. 3. It is because of not giving break-up, Applicant faced difficulties in medical reimbursement ^{claiming} from the Government. Therefore Global Hospital is necessary party and required to be added as Respondent No.4. 4. Director / Medical Superintendent, Global Hospital be joined as Respondent No.4 and issue notice of O.A. to added Respondent No.4. 5. Issue notice to added Respondent No.4 before admission returnable on 29.11.2011. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 29.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date: 27.10.2021 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. ShelkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed for declaration that suspension period under gone by the Applicant from 06.10.2012 to 05.06.2014 be treated as duty period for all purposes. 3. When the O.A. was taken up for admission, query was raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant as to how O.A. is maintainable since in Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) the Applicant has already held guilty and appeal is subjudice, and therefore, no such decision could have been taken by the Department. 4. The Applicant was suspended by order dated 06.10.2012 in contemplation of D.E. attributing certain mis-conduct committed by him in between 2009 to 2012. 5. Later charge-sheet was issued on 14.07.2015 and in D.E. the Applicant is held guilty and minor punishment was imposed against which the Applicant has filed appeal which is subjudice. 6. Another part of the case is that later again D.E. has been initiated by issuance of charge-sheet dated 25.09.2020 for some additional mis-conduct though pertaining to the same period i.e. from 2009 to 2012. Thus it appears that the Applicant is subjected to D.E. twice and 2nd D.E. is pending. 7. Thus what transpires from record that suspension order is in contemplation of D.E. 1st D.E. is already completed and the Applicant is subjected to punishment which is again subjudice in appeal. 8. The Applicant is reinstated in service but no order about the suspension period has been passed. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. It is on the above background the Applicant has filed the O.A. for declaration that suspension period be declared as duty period.

10. ^{in final place,} Needles to mention ~~that~~ it is for the competent authority to decide about the treatment of suspension period and it is required to be done after forming opinion as to whether suspension was wholly unjustified or otherwise following the procedure mentioned under Rules 72 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981.

11. Since the decision of holding the Applicant guilty for his mis-conduct is challenged in appeal the decision as regard treatment of suspension period is required to be taken after finality of the punishment imposed in D.E. Apart another D.E. is also initiated later by charge-sheet dated 25.09.2020 for some alleged additional misconduct though it is for the period from 2009 to 2012.

12. As such, it is only after the finality of the proceeding initiated in D.E. competent authority can be in a position to form the opinion as to whether suspension was wholly unjustified or otherwise and that stage is yet to reach. Therefore, this O.A. is premature and liable to disposed of. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of. No order as to costs.

13. It is made clear that no observations are made about merit of the matter.

14. Learned Advocate for the Applicant raised grievances, because of the pendency of 2nd D.E. the promotion of the Applicant is held up. Indeed D.Es. are required to be completed within six months or maximum within period of one year in terms of G.R. dated 07.04.2008. But in present matter D.E. seems to be pending without substantial progress though period of one year is over. Respondents are therefore directed to ensure completion of 2nd D.E. initiated by charge-sheet dated 25.09.2020 within six months from today including finality of order therein in accordance to law.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2021 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016</u></p> <p>V.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.10.2021 27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2021 in O.A. No.715 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Patil, Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit dated 26.10.2021 filed by Nitin R. Gadre, Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Respondent No.4.</p> <p>3. In para 6 of the affidavit he has referred to the order dated 5.4.2021 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (C) No.4722/2021, which reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"Issue notice returnable after three weeks. In the meanwhile, there will be stay of operation of the order dated 18th December, 2019 of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Bangalore Bench in Original Application No.170/00677/2019, affirmed by the judgment and order impugned. The Petitioners shall, however, in the meanwhile without prejudice to the rights and contentions of parties pay retiral dues of the Respondent computed on the basis of the last pay drawn by him on the date of his retirement, that is, 30.06.2014."</i></p> <p>4. In para 8 of the affidavit he states that the matter is subjudice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the matter is subjudice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the present matter is adjourned to 23.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.65 of 2021 in O.A. No.232 of 2019</u></p> <p>Shubhangi S. Dange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Ankita Nishad holding for Ms. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for condoning the delay of 3 years in filing the present OA. The cause of action arose on 12.3.2015 when applicant was terminated from service. OA was filed on 8.3.2019. Hence there is delay of 3 years.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that delay was due to poor financial condition of the applicant.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO states that the reasons stated in the MA are not proper and hence delay may not be condoned.</p> <p>5. However, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice the delay is condoned. We impose a cost of Rs.1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) to be deposited in the Tribunal within two weeks.</p> <p>6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that her case is similar to the order dated 10.6.2019 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1100 of 2013 (Smt. Rohini Madhusudan Nirmale Vs. The Commissioner & Ors.).</p> <p>7. MA is allowed and delay is condoned.</p> <p>8. OA is adjourned to 29.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1028/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.S. Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The Commandant, SRPF, Pune & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned P.O. submits that the ^{Deposition} position of witnesses recorded in the enquiry along with Affidavit will be tendered during the course of the day.3. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.4. S.O. to 16.11.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 27.10.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.759 of 2021</p> <p>R.N. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Department is considering modification of order and sought two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.692 of 2021</p> <p>V.S. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.160 of 2021 in O.A. No.313 of 2021</p> <p>P.S. GhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Jr. Advocate holding for Shri K.L. Siram, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate adjourned to 16.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.490 of 2021</p> <p>G.K. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today O.A. is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder of the Applicant but the same is not filed. 3. O.A. therefore be kept for hearing at the stage of admission and in mean time the Applicant is at liberty to file Rejoinder with service on other side. 4. S.O. to 26.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.617 of 2021</p> <p>M.K. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.462 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. KashelkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today O.A. is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. therefore be kept for hearing at the stage of admission and in mean time the Applicant is at liberty to file Rejoinder with service on other side.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.435 of 2021 in O.A. No.402 of 2021</p> <p>R.H. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an Application for interim relief directing the Respondents to post the Applicant as Superintendent Engineer, Water Resources Department, Nanded or Superintendent Engineer, Water Resources Department, Pune on the ground that both these post are vacant. 3. O.A. No.402/2021 is filed being aggrieved by posting him on promotion as Superintendent Engineer, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran Mandal, Nagpur. Admittedly the Applicant has joined at Nagpur in pursuance of his promotion order dated 08.03.2021. 4. Learned P.O. has tendered order dated 18.10.2021 to show that the post of Nanded and Pune are filled-in. 5. As such, in view of order dated 18.10.2021, M.A. No.435/2021 has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.452 of 2021 in O.A. No.402 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for intervention to join the Applicant as Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Leaned Advocate for the Applicant in original O.A. sought time to file Reply to this M.A.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted for filing Reply to M.A. No.452/2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.852 of 2021</p> <p>N.K. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 13.10.2021.</p> <p>3. The perusal of O.A. reveals that Respondent No.1 had initially issued notices dated 28.09.2021 and 06.10.2021 for imposing minor punishment under Rules 10 of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 to which the Applicant had submitted Reply. However, later by impugned order dated 13.10.2021, Respondent No.1 suspended the Applicant invoking Rules 4(1) of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 under contemplation of Departmental Enquiry (D.E.).</p> <p>4. Material to note, in suspension order itself there is reference of initiation of D.E. by issuing charge-sheet under Rules 10 of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>5. Thus, it appears that the Departmental action was proposed for minor penalty under Rules 10 of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 where suspension is not required. However, despite this position Respondent No.1 suspended the Applicant which appears not permissible.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned P.O. submits that she will take instructions and appraise the Tribunal as to how suspension is resorted when D.E. is initiated under Rules 10 of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979. She therefore requested for two days time.

7. In the mean time Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.10.2021.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 29.10.2021.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.428 of 2021 in O.A. No.805 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.Y.Saraf & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yeshodeep Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.805 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.Y.Saraf & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yeshodeep Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for declaration that Applicants are similarly situated employees and entitled to the benefits under G.R. dated 26.03.2016, 24.01.2018 and 16.02.2021.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.11.2021.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. In the mean time P.O. is directed to appraise the Tribunal as to why benefits of the said G.R. should not be extended to the Applicants if they found similarly situated persons, for this purpose short Affidavit be also filed so that O.A. can be disposed of expeditiously and there may be no requirement to file detail Reply.

10. S.O. to 30.11.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.453 of 2021 in O.A.No.348 of 2020</p> <p>R.B. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this M.A. the Applicant prays that the Respondents should not call the service details of the officers who are likely to be promoted from the post of Education Officer to Deputy Director as the chances of the promotion of the administrative branch are curtailed in absence of the Recruitment Rules, though there is a Government Resolution dated 20.11.2019 in favour of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. seeks time to taken instructions.</p> <p>4. Matter adjourned to 29.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.511 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. M.M. ThombareApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.K. Nair, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.K.Nair, learned Special Counsel tenders compilation of five judgments. Taken on record. Copy of the same to be given to learned Advocate Mr. V.B. Joshi.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 25.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.408 of 2021</p> <p>K.N. DeoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 13.10.2021 the Government has sent request to M.P.S.C. for issuing advertisement for the appointment of Assistant Professor (CTVS) Medical College. Copy of the same to be placed on record on the next date.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, we direct that the applicant may be continued on adhoc basis till regular candidate is appointed.</p> <p>4. Hence, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.445 of 2021 in O.A. No.833 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 3.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and OA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>27.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.842 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>27.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.38 of 2018 in O.A. No.48 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.P. Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant has prayed in prayer (A) of the OA to direct the respondents to grant deemed date of promotion to the post of Chief Officer, Class-I w.e.f. 7.4.2008 with all consequential benefits including monetary benefits and in prayer (B) to direct the respondents to revise the pay scale and salary of the applicant by giving her benefits of the ACP Scheme/TBP Scheme.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant is not given the deemed date from 7.4.2008 and arrears are not at all given. Ld. PO states that applicant is given regular promotion on 24.7.2019.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO is directed to go through the opinion of the Law & Judiciary Department and the record and argue the matter accordingly on the point of compliance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.11.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.10 of 2020 in O.A. No.434 of 2014</u></p> <p>M.C. Gosavi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Godbole, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file MA for amending the CA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- " Sd/- " 2</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.10.2021 27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.13 of 2020 in O.A. No.707 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.S. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above CA as the order is complied with.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. CA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.10.2021 27.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.824 of 2021</p> <p>A.D. TayadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents..</p> <p>2. We have pursued the affidavit-in-reply dated 27.10.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.2, through Mr. Krishna Mahadev Pingale, Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Islampur, office of Superintendent of Police, Sangli. The same is taken on record. He has referred that in the application form there is only reference of Caste certificate No.11751 which has been denied by him. However, we would like to verify from the SDO, Akola as to whether the caste certificate issued to the applicant in the year 2009 is valid and genuine and whether it was issued as per the erstwhile prescribed format.</p> <p>3. We direct both, the Superintendent of Police, Sangli and SDO, Akola to remain present on video conference today at 3.00 p.m. No substitute will be accepted by the Tribunal. We also would like the SDO to show us the format of the caste certificate which was issued in 2009 or whether that is non creamy layer certificate.</p> <p>4. Adjourned at 3.00 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">ns ty</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>