

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.10.2020

O.A.No.230, 231 & 232 of 2020

Dr. J. S. Jagtap & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants are seeking extension in the age of retirement on the basis of G.R. dated 29.08.2018. Prior to issuance of G.R. dated 29.08.2018, the Government has issued G.R. dated 30.05.2015, 30.06.2015 and 03.09.2015 whereby the age of retirement of Medical Officers were extended from 58 to 60 years.

3. In these Ori. Applications, the submission advanced by Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants are heard extensively. He has cited several judgments in support of his contention that the Applicants are entitled to the benefit of G.R. dated 29.08.2018 and the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.5420/2018 declaring G.R.s dated 30.05.2015, 30.06.2015 and 03.09.2015 are per in curium and without jurisdiction.

4. Today, the matters were kept for hearing of learned C.P.O.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned C.P.O. at the very outset, raised the issue of jurisdiction of Single Bench to entertain these Ori. Applications. She has pointed out that in similar situation at Nagpur Bench, the O.A. was placed before the Division Bench.

6. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that earlier S.B. of this Tribunal has also decided the O.A. pertaining to extension of age vide O.A. No.392/2016 on 28.03.2019.

7. Material to note that as per office order about distribution of work of S.B. and D.B. which is in force from 03.01.2018, the subject of 'extension in age of retirement' at Sr. No.23 is shown assigned to the Division Bench. Simultaneously, in office order dated 10.02.2019 which is about distribution of work in between two Single Benches, the subject of implementation of G.R. at Sr. No.6 is shown of S.B.

8. In view of above, it is necessary to get the position cleared and to remove the doubts.

9. Registrar is, therefore, directed to examine the issue in the light of officer orders and to place the matter before Hon'ble Chairperson for necessary orders.

10. S.O. to 03.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.161/2020</u></p> <p>Shri A.A. Zajam & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Respondent Nos.1 to 4 have already filed Affidavit-in-reply. Later, Respondent Nos.5 to 8 were added who have not yet filed reply.3. On request of learned P.O, one week time is granted for filing reply of Respondents 5 to 8.4. Respondent Nos.5, 7 & 8 are also directed to ensure grant of provisional pension to the Applicant by next date.5. S.O. to 3rd November, 2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 27.10.2020</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.602 of 2020</p> <p>S.B. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, who is working as Constable in SRPC, challenges the orders dated 08.09.2018 and 30.07.2020 passed by respondent no.2 and 3 respectively.</p> <p>3. By these orders the respondents have stopped the annual increment for the period of two years. In Appeal which was heard by respondent no.3, the period of stoppage of increment was reduced from 2 years to one year.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the operation of execution of the said order be stayed by way of interim relief.</p> <p>4. For reply, adjourned to 08.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.435 of 2020</p> <p>R.K. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.P. Ghadigaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Permission to remove office objection as requested by learned Advocate is granted.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule matters is now fixed on 03.11.2020 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.270 of 2020 with O.A.No.271 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Dekate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today no reply is filed. Matter is fixed after four weeks for Final hearing. If reply is not filed matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.215 of 2020</p> <p>V.N. Dixit : ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. No reply filed. Hence the matter is fixed for the Final hearing on 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

27.10.2020

O.A.No.612 of 2020 with M.A.No.277 of 2020

S.V. Jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant who is a retired Police Inspector challenges the show cause notice dated 30.03.2012 for recovery of amount of Rs.7,46,323/- from period of 02.08.2006 to 31.03.2012 for unauthorised occupancy in Government quarter.

3. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant during this period was transferred from police station to Pune Head Quarter, therefore he requested the department to continue with the same quarter.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid.

5. Issue notice in M.A. returnable on 15.12.2020

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 15.12.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 311/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.J Awale ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is suspended by order dated 28.11.2019 as he is prosecuted under the Prevention of Corruption Act in C.R 844/2019 registered at Satara City Police Station.</p> <p>3. The applicant along with co-accused Shri Shrikant B. Vasave, was prosecuted, who has also filed O.A 264/2020, challenging the suspension order dated 28.11.2019 like the present applicant.</p> <p>4. Both the applicants are kept under suspension under Rule 4(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 (hereinafter referred as 'Rules 1979' for brevity).</p> <p>5. O.A 264/2020 of the co-accused Shri Shrikant B. Vasave was decided finally by this Tribunal by order dated 8.9.2020 giving directions to the Respondents to review the suspension of the applicant in terms of G.R dated 14.10.2011 and in the light of observations by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Ors (2015) 7 SCC 291, within four weeks from the date of the order.</p> <p>6. In view of this, the learned Presenting Officer to file his say and make submission.</p> <p>7. S.O to 29.10.2020 first on Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aln</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>27.10.2020</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 332/2020</u></p>
	<p>Shri A.B Patil ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Mauyri Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O 26.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>27.10.2020 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><u>O.A 327 with 339/2020</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Kamathe & Ors. [O.A 327/2020] Ms M.V Mohite [O.A 339/2020]... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar and Shri U.V Mohite, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O submits that in view of letter dated 14.10.2020 sent by Desk Officer, Revenue and Forest Department to the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, she needs to file additional affidavit in reply. 3. S.O to 15.12.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 540/2020</u></p> <p>Smt B.S Mane ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant & Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1. Shri Chandrashekar Sanap, learned advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent no. 2</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that on 6.10.2020, Respondent no. 2 has taken the charge of the post of the applicant before the order of this Tribunal was passed and therefore the order of this Tribunal allowing the applicant to work on the same post was not followed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the common order dated 22.10.2020 passed by Single Bench of M.A.T, Nagpur Bench in O.A 597/2020 & Ors, on the same issue, wherein the Tribunal has allowed all such Applications and quashed and set aside the transfer order dated 1.10.2020 qua the applicants.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned advocate for Respondent no. 2 to enable him to file reply, adjourned to 29.10.2020 at 9.45 am</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

27.10.2020

O.A.No.604 of 2020

A.C. Kale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant, Talathi, working at Madha, District Solapur, challenges the suspension order dated 21.04.2019 passed by Respondent No.1.

3. This Talathi is suspended because he distributed the voters slips in village Shindewadi, Taluka Madha, District Solapur, where the date of Election was printed as 24.04.2019 though the actual Election was scheduled on 23.04.2019.

4. The learned Counsel submits that the voters slips were send through Tahasildar Office Karmala, District Solapur. Though he was innocent he is held guilty.

5. While filing affidavit the learned P.O. has to answer the following queries :-

(a) Whether the review of the suspension order of the applicant is taken after 90 days or not.

(b) Whether action was taken against Tahasildar, Karmala.

6. There is a letter dated 15.05.2020 send by SDO Madha, Kurudwadi to Collector to reinstate the applicant.

7. Adjourned 03.11.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>M.A.No.167 of 2020 in O.A.No.295 of 2020</p> <p>A.G. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc. Application has been preferred by the Applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the contents of the application, leave granted and present application is allowed and Applicants are permitted to sue jointly, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

27.10.2020

O.A.No.295 of 2020

A.G. Shinde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The applicants, who are Group-D, Government servants pray that the regularisation in their service or absorption as permanent Government servant on the said post from the initial date or any date which the Tribunal thinks fit and also pray for all consequential service benefits.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2021.
5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 12.01.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.596 of 2020</p> <p>D.B. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant, Deputy Superintendent of Police, challenges the order of suspension dated 17.06.2020.</p> <p>3. The applicant is suspended as the offence is Criminal case is registered at C.R.No.222/2020 under Section 7 and 7(a) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that a proposal for review was put up before the Suspension Review Committee and the said Committee will take decision soon.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.573 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. Giri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter will go before the Division Bench. The applicant seeks direction in respect of decision on the Revision Application which is filed by him before Direction General of Police through Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.510 of 2020</p> <p>G.R. More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pankay Pandey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Prison Guard, Nashik region, challenges the order dated 14.08.2020 passed by the Respondent no.2 of this suspension on the ground of disobedience.</p> <p>3. On perusal of record, it appears that the Applicant has disobeyed his superior who has directed him to take the under trial prisoner to the hospital and therefore he is suspended.</p> <p>4. The Respondents are directed to consider the issue with proper prospective and consider the previous record and the performance of the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.607 of 2020</p> <p>A.V. Mule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant, Medical Officer, has taken admission for Post-Graduation Post, MD Ayurveda. The Medical Officers working with the respondents are entitled to get the Non-Practicing Allowance (NPA) in his or her 35% of the basic salary. However, the Government by Government Resolution dated 07.08.2012 has taken a policy decision that NPA is not to be given to the Medical officers who are pursuing post.graduation.</p> <p>3. At present by way of interim relief the learned Counsel for the applicant prays that the applicant is posted at Vengurla on COVID duty from April 20 and he is working there since then as a Medical Officer and enables to pursue his Post Graduation.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel submits that under the special circumstances as he is able to pursue Post Graduation and rendering the services as Medical Officer at Vengurla, he is entitled to get Non-Practicing Allowance since April 2020, till he is relieved and again he resumes his Post Graduation at Satara.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2021.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O to 12.01.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.575 of 2020</p> <p>P.K. Marde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Dahisar Region, challenges the suspension dated 21.09.2020.</p> <p>3. The applicant is suspended on the ground that the Government has given sanction to prosecute the applicant under Section 120B, 182, 196, 211 and 298 of the Indian Penal Code.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar challenges the suspension on following ground :-</p> <p>(a) The incident has taken place on 22.04.2017 and there is delay in suspension order.</p> <p>(b) Criminal Case was registered on 14.03.2018 and was transferred from Dahisar division alleged place of the offence, to control room, Mumbai.</p> <p>(c) The co-accused who were suspended for the same offence and were suspended are now reinstated by order dated 06.06.2020.</p> <p>(d) The order is malafide and is a misuse of the power.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2021.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. The learned C.P.O. submits that CBI has filed the charge-sheet against the applicant and till now 90 days are not completed since he is suspended.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 12.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.589 of 2020</p> <p>S.N. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The Applicant working as Deputy Superintendent of Police, but however he was working as Sr. Police Inspector on the date when the alleged offence took place. The Applicant, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Dahisar Region, challenges the suspension dated 21.09.2020.</p> <p>3. The applicant is suspended on the ground that the Government has given sanction to prosecute the applicant under Section 120B, 182, 196, 211 and 298 of the Indian Penal Code.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar challenges the suspension on following ground :-</p> <p>(a) The incident has taken place on 22.04.2017 and there is delay in suspension order.</p> <p>(b) Criminal Case was registered on 14.03.2018 and was transferred from Dahisar division alleged place of the offence, to control room, Mumbai.</p> <p>(c) The co-accused who were suspended for the same offence and were suspended are now reinstated by order dated 06.06.2020.</p> <p>(d) The order is malafide and is a misuse of the power.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. The learned C.P.O. submits that CBI has filed the charge-sheet against the applicant and till now 90 days are not completed since he is suspended.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 12.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

27.10.2020**M.A 275/2020 in O.A 401/2020
Pending at M.A.T, Aurangabad**

Shri Kishore A. Kadam ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri Mahajan, learned C.P.O for Respondents no 1, 2 & 3 present. Shri Subhedar, Registrar, M.A.T, Aurangabad, informs that Shri Salunkhe, advocate has filed Vakalatnama today on seeking NOC from Shri Wagh, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.

2. This matter is heard virtually by the Principal bench at Mumbai, on the ground that Learned Vice-Chairman (J), M.A.T, Aurangabad has recused from the matter as Shri Wagh, advocate is appearing for Respondent no. 4. Learned Vice-Chairman (J) is not taking any matter where Mr Wagh, advocate is appearing. However, now Shri Salunkhe has filed his appearance on behalf of Respondent no. 4. As the ground for transfer to Mumbai M.A.T. doesn't survive, in view of judicial propriety, learned Vice-Chairman (J) is requested to take the matter.

3. Learned Vice-Chairman (J) to take up the matter on 29.10.2020.

4. In view of the above the cause to entertain the matter at Mumbai is no more, M.A stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>M.A 216/2020 in T.A 1/2018 with T.A 8/2018 (Aurangabad)</p> <p>Smt K.C Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.12.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A.No 519/2020</u></p> <p>S.M Balshetwar & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. The applicant challenges order dated 31.8.2019 and claims seniority from the initial date of appointment. 3. The office objections if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid. 4. Issue notice returnable on 15.12.2020. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. S.O to 15.12.2020. <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 236/2020 in O.A.No 519/2020</u></p> <p>S.M Balshetwar & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>C.A 19/2020 in O.A 1158/2016 with O.A 352 & 353/2017.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Smt S.K Goraksha & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Learned P.O is directed to file the reply after taking the instructions before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p><u>C.A 59/2019 in O.A.No 1041 & 1042/2017</u></p> <p>L.B Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel points out that on 3rd March, 2020 the D.B of Hon. High Court in W.P 2064/2020 had stayed the operation of the order dated 7.6.2019 in O.A 1046/2017.</p> <p>3. In view of this C.A is adjourned to 30.3.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 162/2020 in O.A 258/2020</u></p> <p>Shri C.A Rane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she has not received instructions from the Respondents and so she^{it} is not possible to file the reply. She prays that three weeks' time be granted.</p> <p>3. It is noted that by order dated 15.9.2020 last chance was given to the Respondents to file reply to the application seeking condonation of delay of eight months.</p> <p>4. It is made clear that Respondents should file reply by 26.11.2020 and if reply is not filed matter will be heard without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 26.11.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 198/2020 in O.A.No 933/2018</u></p> <p>Shri L.N Sargar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri M.D Lonkar states that he is filing Vakalatnama along with No Objection today.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.11.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>22.10.2020</u>	Tribunal's orders
		<p align="center"><u>O.A.No 543/2020</u></p> <p>Smt Smita S. Khaladkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Assistant Superintendent is compulsorily retired from Forensic Science Laboratory prays for the direction to the Respondents to decide her appeal dated 1.12.2019, within a period of four weeks. It is submitted that the appeal is not yet decided.</p> <p>3. In view of the relief sought, the application is disposed of with following directions:-</p> <p>The Respondents are directed to decide the appeal filed by the applicant dated 1.12.2019 on or before 15.12.2020 and decision of the same to be communicated to the applicant on or before 30.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.10.2020

O.A.No.223 of 2020

D. N. Kamble & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 5. It is taken on record.
3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted for Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 19.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.10.2020

O.A.No.1228 of 2019

R. K. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed the reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.
3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week's time is granted for Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. 03.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.10.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.247 of 2020 in O.A.No.122 of 2020</p> <p>Shri A.S. BhamareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A. as well as also filed Sur-Rejoinder in O.A.. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.10.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.719 of 2019</p> <p>Shri A. R. AmaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The Applicant is present in person and stated that his Advocate is unable to remain present. He, therefore, requested for adjournment.3. The matter is adjourned to 03.12.2020 with specific direction that he should keep his advocate present on next date without fail.4. S.O. to 03.12.220. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.10.2020

M.A.No.258/2020 in O.A.No.1058/16 with M.A.259/2020 in
O.A.471/17 with M.A.260/2020 in O.A.472/17 with
M.A.261/2020 in O.A.473/17 with M.A.262/2020 in
O.A.474/17, M.A.262/2020 in O.A.475/17 with
M.A.264/2020 in O.A.538/17 with M.A.265/2020 in
O.A.539/17 with M.A.266/2020 in O.A.540/17 with
M.A.267/2020 in O.A.541/17 with M.A.268/2020 in
O.A. 542/17 with M.A.269/2020 in O.A.544/2017 with
M.A.270/2020 in O.A.1154/17 with M.A.271/2020 in
O.A.1155/17 with M.A.272/2020 in O.A.1156/17,
M.A.273/2020 in O.A.1157/17 with M.A.274/2020 in
O.A.1158/17

B.B. Pote & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants filed present Misc. Applications to clarify his prayer clause and to amend Para No.6.19 of O.A. suitably in consonance with the proposed amendment in prayer clause. He wants to bifurcate the reliefs claimed by deleting old prayer clause.
3. Amendment be incorporated within a week. The Respondents are at liberty to file reply to the amended O.A. within two weeks from today.
4. Misc. Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p><u>C.A 51/2019 in O.A 310/2017</u></p> <p>J.K Bhosle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant matter adjourned to 12.1.2021 as the matter is pending before the Hon. High Court</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.980 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.D. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time given to the respondents to file reply to the amendment carried out in the OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 27.10.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.294 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.A. Kute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Taken on board. Ld. CPO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 10.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 27.10.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2020</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.819 & 820 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.V. Chavan A.J. Thorat Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that their claim is squarely covered under the ratio laid down in the case of <u>Jaswant Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. (1991) 1 SCC 362</u>. Ld. PO to take instructions from the concerned officer and file short affidavit on the next.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.11.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 27.10.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2020</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.955 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Rajderkar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gaurav Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The documents as per amended OA are handed over to Ld. CPO. Ld. CPO files two affidavits. One is filed by Shri Maruti Pandurang Jadhav on behalf of respondent no.5 on 20.10.2020 and the other is filed by Ms. Minakshi Hiranman Ade on behalf of respondents no.1 2 & 3 on 27.10.2020. The same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 27.10.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.10.2020</p>