Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.10.2016

#### O.A. No.1033 of 2016

S.B. Tokare & Ors

.... Applicant

Versus

#### The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicants and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.
- 2. The matter is placed before me for consideration of urgent order. The learned P.O. informs that an arrangement is being proposed, whereby in some cases one of the spouses would be given the appointment (Husband or Wife). Be it as it may, it seems that the applicants are holding the job for quite some time and in some cases from 1998.
- 3. I am, therefore, quite clearly of the view that the applicants cannot be left unprotected. The fact that these applicants are holding the job as of today is defined as status quo and the parties are directed to maintain it till the date next to filing of the reply.
- 4. Issue notice returnable on 22.11.2016.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. S.O. to 22.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/- 5:16

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

DATE: 27 10 16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARIVAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hos ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Shrt. K. R. J. agdale
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Shrt. M.S. M. G. Gohaed
CROTP.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To . 5. co . to 22/11/16.

V

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.10.2016

O.A. No.812 of 2016

G. A. Kamble

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The learned P.O. seeks further time to file response to the Vice-Chairman's order of 05.10.2016. It appears quite clearly that there is no serious attempt to respond to an order which basically proceeds on some faith and confidence in the said authority.
- 3. The learned P.O. cites Law & Order problem in Pune. No details are furnished in that behalf.
- 4. Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate submits a list, showing how personals governed by the same impugned order except the applicant have the benefits by the order of the respective transfer and the applicant is the only one who is remained.
- 5. Original Application is adjourned finally to 07.11.2016 on which date either the respondents should make an appropriate statement in line that the order of the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman or the Original Application shall be heard. Hamdast.
- 6. S.O. to 07.11.2016.

Sd/- 0.16

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGADWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

AFPRARANCE:
Shri/Sint: P.Co. La Colla Maliceycus
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri Sint: K. B. Pluse
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

CORAM:

Dend .

## (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  Date: 27.10.2016  O.A. No.939 of 2016  S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondent  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the least Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhiss learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  DATE: 27/10/16  CORAM.  Hone the Sari R.B. MALIK (Member)  Advocate for the Applicant (Vice Chairman)  Hone the Sari R.B. MALIK (Member)  Advocate for the Applicant of the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sch. Sch. Sch.  Sch. Sch.  Sch.  R.B. Malik)	nt/s	DISTRICT Applican	Original Application No. of
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  Date: 27.10.2016  O.A. No.939 of 2016  S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors Responders  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lea Advocate for the applicant and Shri K. B. Bhist learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  School Sch		) versus	(Advocate
Date: 27.10.2016  O.A. No.939 of 2016  S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors Responders  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhist learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  Advocate for the Applicant of the Respondents of the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  School of the Applicant of the Application of the Respondents.	ent/s	f Maharashtra and others Responder	The State o
Date: 27.10.2016  O.A. No.939 of 2016  S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors Responders  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lea Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhiss learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit		)	(Presenting Officer
DATE: 27 1016  BATE: 27 1016  CORAM How to Shir RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) How be Shir R. B. MALIK (Member) Advocate for the Applicant (Vice Chairman) How to Shir R. B. MALIK (Member)  Advocate for the Applicant  Advocate for the Applicant  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)		Tribunal's orders	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
D.A. No.939 of 2016  S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponder  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lea Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  School of the Respondents A. No. 4.  Sd/-  School of the Respondents A. No. 4.  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)			
S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors Responder  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lea Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhiss learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lea Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhiss learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11,2016.  Schrift on A cas addentited.		Date: 27.10.2016	
Versus  The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lea Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhiss learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Sco. 60 15 11166.  (R.B. Malik)		O.A. No.939 of 2016	
The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents  1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lear Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Shring the Respondents Peply Killed Oct Production and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Scot to 15 11166.  (R.B. Malik)		S.P. Kalgutkar Applicant	
1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the lease Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhisd learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  3. The learned Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Sd/-  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)		Versus	
Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhist learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Office the Respondents.  2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.  APPEARANCE:  Shri Early Academy Colored Applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  Advocate for the Applicant Shri Colored Academy Colored Applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Sd/-  Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)	nts	The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponder	
APPEARANCE:  Serie R. B. MALIK (Member)   APPEARANCE:  Serie Studio Occurrent produced applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  Advocate for the Applicant  Shr Small R. B. Malik  Adj. To. O. A. a.s. adjusted.  Adj. To. O. A. a.s. adjusted.  Schrift of the Respondents of the Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Schrift of the Respondents of the Applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Sd/-  Sd/-  Schrift R. B. Malik  (R.B. Malik)	i N.K.	Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer	BATE: 27/10/16
APPEARANCE:  Sim Soul. Prenature Modroegou  Advocate informs that applicant does not want to file rejoinder.  4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.  Chi Ports: the Respondents Ports: Adj. To O.A. as a demitted.  Adj. To O.A. as a demitted.  Sign of the Respondents Ports: Adj. To O.A. as a demitted.  Sign of the Respondents Ports: Adj. To O.A. as a demitted.  Sign of the Respondents Ports: Adj. To O.A. as a demitted.  Sign of the Respondents Ports: Adj. To O.A. as a demitted.  (R.B. Malik)		2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.	
Advocate for the Applicant  Shr Small B. Blisse  Feply Lied GC R. No. 4.  Adj To. 0. A as a demitted.  School of 15 [11] (6.  (R.B. Malik)	at the	applicant does not want to file rejoinder.	Men'ble Sari R. B. MALIK (Member)  APPEARANCE:
Sd/- S.co. to 15/11/16.  (R.B. Malik)	i fixed	4. Original Application is admitted and for final hearing on 15.11.2016.	Advocate for the Applicant She Spise
(R.B. Malik)	16		- Adj To O. A. as ademitted
Member(J)			5.0. to 10 11110 mg

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.10.2016

O.A. No. 1040 of 2016

Y.H. Mane

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 24.11.2016.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
  - 7. S.O. to 24.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

DATE: 27/10/16

CORAM:
Non'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)
Hen'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Shat: A. U. B. Cuckwoodoka
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt.: A. L. Choena B.K.
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

5.0. to 24/11

fored .

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.859/2016

Shri J.L. Itewad

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned CPO is being instructed by Dr. Sudin Gaikwad, Dy. Secretary (Estt).

There is a detailed order of the Hon'ble Chairman of 1.9.2016 with particular reference to Paras 7 to 12 thereof. The Respondent No.2 - Principal Secretary, Cooperation and Marketing was directed to submit the reply to the points in Paras 7A to D. It was made clear that if the compliance was made in that direction, then even the Affidavit-in-reply would not be necessary to be filed. Even if Para 9 of that order is perused, that did not absolve the said Principal Secretary from complying with Para 7 as to its various Sub-clauses. The said Principal Secretary, Cooperation and Marketing has clearly treated the process of this Tribunal disdainfully and I would have been justified in taking a very serious action against him personally today itself. However, that aspect of the matter is not closed and is left open due to the earnest request made by Smt. Gaikwad, the learned PO. It is made clear that whatever way the OA progresses, the issue of an action against the said Principal Secretary will be a separate one, and therefore, he should be well advised not to take it lightly. A copy hereof be furnished to be forwarded to him for his information.

The matter relates to the suspension of an employee for about one and half years now without any headway having been made. In the first instance much more than sufficient time was given by the Hon'ble There is no other-go but to expedite the hearing of this OA. I appoint it for final hearing regardless of whether the Afidavit-in-reply is filed or not, but if it is to be filed, it must be filed on that day just before the hearing commences. It is also made clear that pursuant to the order of the Hon'ble Chairman above referred to and even otherwise, the pendency ofthis OA before this Tribunal will not come in the way of the Respondents taking an appropriate decision in the matter of Applicant's suspension even during the period from today till 18th November, 2016. With these abundant clarifications, the OA is listed for final hearing on 18th November, 2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 27.10.2016

Hon ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice Chairman)

Hen ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Shit: Cce Nam Malical

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Shit: X. S. C. C. Leeson

5.0.+018/11

DATE: 27 10/16

CORAM:

alog

(skw)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 2	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	) versus
	f Maharashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 27.10.2016
	O.A. No.483 of 2016 Applicant
	M.B. Toukar & 2
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
CORAM:  - Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGAIWAL  (Vice - Chairman)	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
Hon'ble Shri.R. B. MALIK (Member)  APPEARANCE: Shri/Size A. D. Louleer	2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants do not want to file rejoinder.
Shriffint: L.S. Gaileesac C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents	3. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing on 02.12.2016.
D.A. is adu	itted.
5.0.+0 2 12/16.	(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.10.2016

O.A. No. 1045 of 2016

D. M. Shinde

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 28.11.2016. 2.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 28.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

DATE: 27 10 16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGADWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shi Shise

C.P.O 7 P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. 10-5.0. +0 28/11/16.

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.10.2016

O.A. No. 1030 of 2016

S.N. Bendake & Ors.

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 09.11.2016.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- S.O. to 09.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.
- Liberty is reserved for the applicants to seek interim relief on the next date.

Sd/-

TR.B. Malik) Member(J)

DATE: 27 10 16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGAFWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

shirtsint: K.S. Gai

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Ad. To. 5.0. to 9

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	versus		
	The State of Maharash		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	33	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's ord directions and Registrar's	lers or	Tribunal's orders	
	27,10,20	O.A No 385/2016	

DATE: CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sm: M.D. Lou

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: A. J. Chough C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

is at liberty to move

Shri P.V Kohok

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar has made a general complaint that though notices are tendered for signature, the Registry does not sign it for two to three days. Registrar is directed to call factual information from officers who are authorized to sign the notices and ensure that in future notices are signed and delivered as far as possible there and then without concerned being required to come there to collect the same on later time.
- Registrar is directed to report compliance of this 3. order.
- Learned Presenting Officer tenders affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.
- Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar is at liberty to move 5. in the month of January, 2017.

Sd/-(A.H Joshi, 1.) Chairman

# (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of :		District
Oliginal 11pp		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
(Advocate many and a second se		
	versus	
The State of	f Maharashtra ai	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		.)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	27.10.2016	
		C.A 31/2016 in O.A No 914/2013
	1.11	re Applicant
	Dr H.B Hanka	Vs.
	The State of N	Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard	Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for
		at and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting
	27	e Respondents.
	2. Learn	ned Presenting Officer states as follows:-
	a)	That office of Accountant General has
DATE: 2-2/10/16	41	issued order sanctioning payment of
CORAM		gratuity of a sum of Rs. 5,23,105/- and bill has been submitted to the Treasury for
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		payment.
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A		
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: S.P. Man Uncley	b)	Even order is passed granting encashment
Advocate for the Applicant		of leave for 22 days and bill in relation thereto would be submitted to the Treasury
Shri/Smt : Archena B.K.		today.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s		reporting further compliance, adjourned to
Adj. Ta 11/11/2016.		
AU. 19	11.11.2016	0
Sh		X
		Sd/-
		(A.H Joshi)

Chairman

Advocate for the Applicant

Shei/Smt.: Archana 16.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

N THE MAHARASHIKA A	MUMBAI
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
Advocate	versus  of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>27.10.2016</u>
	C.A 53/2015 in O.A No 697/2012
	Shri D.M Barmase Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
DATE: 22/10/16	<ol> <li>At the midst of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-         <ul> <li>a) He realizes that the Contemnors have not been served individually and personally that the contempt is committed. Therefore, he would like to withdraw the application with liberty to serve</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkunar (Member) A  APPEARANCE: Shri/Sust: V.P. Potb Nove	personal notice to the Contemnors and point out to them that they have committed contempt an give them 30 days' time and thereafter file fres application.

Application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh Application.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.	20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		
The State of	f Maharashtra ai	nd others	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		3	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	27.10.2016	Tribunal's orders	4
		C.A 101/2014 in O.A	No 476/2012

DATE:\_ CORAM:

lon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) ion'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Jhri/Sm. Heard

Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Sme: K.B. Bhise

P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

11/11/ 2016.

Shri V.V Rane

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Applicant in person and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions 2. from Dr Annasaheb Khemnar, Director, Institute of Science as follows:-
  - The bill towards payment of arrears which are worked out on the basis of the modified Government decision is submitted.
- According to the Applicant, the order has to be passed by the Director, Institute of Science for taking action on the basis of the Government decision and if any such order is passed, copy of the same to be supplied to the Applicant.
- Learned P.O on instructions states that copy will be supplied to the Applicant todays itself.
- Adjourned for further hearing on 11.11.2016. 5.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman