

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.422 of 2022</p> <p>K.M. Dhansheti .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li><li>3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>4. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>5. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. Birajdar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for hearing since Respondent fail to file Affidavit-in-Reply within time granted by the Tribunal. However, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. In the interest of justice allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Kakad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022  O.A. No.939 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022  A.S. Dhage  S.M. Sangle .....Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of O.A. No.939/2022 the Applicant is serving on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and seeking transfer on the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar. Whereas, in O.A. No.952/2022 the Applicant is serving on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and seeking transfer on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Nashik.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 20.10.2022. <span style="float: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.949 of 2022 with O.A. No.960 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. Hulage &amp; Ors. A.R. Vadar &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In O.A. No.949/2022 the Applicants who are on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai are seeking Inter District Transfer to Pune. Whereas, in O.A. No.960/2022 the Applicants are seeking Inter District Transfer on the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Sangli.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.561 of 2022 in O.A. No.949 of 2022 with M.A. No.567 of 2022 in O.A. No.960 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. Hulage &amp; Ors. A.R. Vadar &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.305 of 2022 to O.A. No.308 of 2022 with O.A. No.412 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. Patil &amp; Ors., M.P. Rao &amp; Ors., G.D. Gaikwad &amp; Ors., G.D. Chaudhari D.K. Narode .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on the basis of information obtained by him under R.T.I. contends that in all these O.As except O.A. No.306/2022, Respondent No.3 – Commissioner of Police Railway had relieved near about 10 police personnel though they were not eligible for Inter District Transfer in terms of G.R. dated 26.10.2017. He has invited Tribunal attention to Para 6.12 of O.A. in which chart of these police personnel is given. He has further pointed out that Reply filed by Respondent No.3 in this behalf is evasive. Reply is affirmed by Shri Bajirao B. Mahajan, Assistant Commissioner of Police. In Para 5 it is stated that "all the 10 candidates, who were relieved previously have fulfilled the requirement of the G.R. and were relieved as recruitment had taken place." However, details as to when they joined and date of application for Inter District Transfer is not forthcoming which is necessary in view of these contention raised by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to file Additional Affidavit showing details of these 10 candidates.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file Additional Affidavit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.10.2022 along with O.A. No.552/2022.</p> <p>6. Steno copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.494 of 2022</p> <p>P.V. Nikam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. compassionate appointment is rejected on the ground of having three children in the family of deceased after cut-off date.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that on this issue Judgment rendered by the Single Bench of the Tribunal are conflicting and now issue is referred to Division Bench which is not yet decided.</p> <p>4. In view of above, adjourned awaiting decision of Division Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.520 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li> <li>3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- .. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D.V. Wadhel .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that request made by the Applicant for Transfer of quarter in his named is already rejected by the Department by order dated 30.05.2022 and it is communicated to him. He therefore, sought time to produce the documents along with additional Affidavit.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to file Additional Affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>O.A.698/2022</u></b>
	<p><b>Shri B.P. Chavan &amp; Anr.                    ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.                ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to inter-district transfer in terms of G.R. dated 26.10.2017. Applicant No.1 is Police Constable on the establishment of S.P, Ratnagiri (Respondent No.2) and Applicant No.2 is Police Constable on the establishment of S.P, Palghar (Respondent No.3). They applied for inter-district transfer for posting on the establishment of C.P, Nashik. In turn, C.P, Nashik by communication dated 29.12.2021 communicated his willingness and acceptance for posting of the Applicants on his establishment on the principle 'first come first serve'.</p> <p>4. Notably, C.P, Nashik by communication dated 04.02.2022 informed to his counter-part that all posts are filled in on the principle of 'first come first serve' and henceforth, no one should be relieved.</p> <p>4. Thereafter, <sup>advisin &amp;</sup> Respondent No.1 - C.P, Nashik by communication dated 06.05.2022 informed to Respondent Nos.2 and 3 that the posts are already filled-in in view of joining and reporting of some other Police Personnel for inter-district transfer, and therefore, no further Police Constable should be relieved for joining on his establishment.</p> <p>5. Despite, this situation, the Applicants were relieved on 30.04.2022 and 23.04.2022 respectively. After relieving, when they attempted to join establishment of C.P, Nashik, they were not allowed to join on the ground that posts are already filled-in.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Resultantly, since date of relieving, the Applicants are not on duty at any place.

5. In O.A, Applicants have challenged the communication dated 06.05.2022 and 07.05.2022 whereby NOC given by C.P, Nashik is cancelled. In O.A, Respondent No.1 - C.P, Nashik has filed Affidavit-in-reply, but no reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

6. The decision of O.A. may take some time, but in the meantime, Applicants being out of duty, they need to be allowed to re-join on the establishment of S.P, Ratnagiri and S.P, Palghar respectively in view of cancellation of NOC. Respondent Nos.2 & 3 have relieved the Applicants despite cancellation of NOC to their knowledge. Thus, apparently, the act of relieving the Applicants after cancellation of NOC is incorrect.

7. The Applicants are present before the Tribunal. The learned Advocate for the Applicant on instruction from the Applicants stated that for the time being as an interim arrangement, the Applicants are ready to re-join their parent department i.e. Ratnagiri and Palghar.

8. In view of above, Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are directed to get the Applicants reposted/rejoined on their establishment on the post which Applicants were holding without prejudice to the contentions raised by the Applicants in this O.A. Seven days' time is granted to the Applicants to report on the establishment of S.P, Ratnagiri and S.P, Palghar.

7. The learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to S.P, Ratnagiri and S.P, Palghar.

8. Respondent Nos.2 & 3 are also directed to file Affidavit-in-reply explaining why Applicants were relieved despite cancellation of NOC by C.P, Nashik.

9. Respondent No.1 is also at liberty to file Additional Affidavit, if desire.

10. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**27.09.2022**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.327 of 2017</p> <p>A.S. Ambudkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Perusal of record reveals that on previous date also Applicant and his Advocate both were absent but matter was adjourned to give one opportunity to them.</li> <li>4. However, today also the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent which shows that they are not interested in the matter. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed in default.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.685 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. Rathod .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Period of two months from the date of issuance of notice is over. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T.T. Wangade &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 27.09.2022

O.A. No.535 of 2022

S.R. Shaikh .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.548 of 2022 in O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to bring legal heir of the deceased Government servant on record. Original Applicant died on 17.08.2022 during the pendency of this O.A. His widow is to be substituted in his place as right to sue survives. Hence, M.A. is allowed. Legal heir to be substituted in place of deceased Government servant.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing on 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="771 322 982 347">Date: 27.09.2022</p> <p data-bbox="998 347 1258 373">O.A. No.950 of 2022</p> <p data-bbox="771 425 1485 528">S.G. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="771 566 1485 734">1. Heard Shri Pravin Sabban, learned Advocate holding for Dr. R.P. Sabban, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li data-bbox="771 772 1485 1069">2. The Applicant prayed for direction to the Respondent to regularize his service as Medical Officer, Class – II who was initially appointed by order dated 02.11.2017 issued by the Dean, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai for 120 days and thereafter continued in service he made representation on 19.09.2022 for regularization but it is not responded.</li> <li data-bbox="771 1120 1485 1197">3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li data-bbox="771 1236 1485 1313">4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2022.</li> <li data-bbox="771 1352 1485 1571">5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li data-bbox="771 1610 1485 1764">6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li data-bbox="771 1803 1485 1983">7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li data-bbox="771 2022 1485 2279">8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li data-bbox="771 2318 1128 2357">9. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="1258 2357 1323 2382" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1185 2421 1388 2498" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="803 2498 852 2524">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.545, 546 &amp; 547 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.B. Udeg J.M. Khandzode V.B. Waghmare ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.497 of 2019 in O.A. No.908 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.K. Gogavle &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.908 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.K. Gogavle &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.679 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.P. Kamalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapdne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that he will file affidavit in reply to the show cause notice within two days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.120 of 2022 in O.A. No.158 of 2021</u>  <u>With</u>  <u>O.A. No.223 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.M. Dawane &amp; Ors.  A.V. Dudhal &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.223/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Mahavir M. Dawane – Applicant No.1 in MA No.120 of 2022 in OA No.158 of 2021 is personally present in the Court and states that Applicants wishes to engage Ld. Advocate Shri K.R.Jagdale as their Advocate.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  27.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>