

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.08.2024

O.A. No.1083 of 2023

Dr. J.B. MokalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that (i) 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 03.10.2023 & (ii) 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 29.02.2024 filed by 'Regional Joint Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Mumbai' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Maharashtra State, Pune' does not mentions any plausible reasons why Applicant cannot be transferred to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Livestock Development Officer, (ISS) Kolhapur'.

3. Learned PO states that it is for 'Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Maharashtra State, Pune' to decide about suitability of officer who can to be posted on the 'Vacant Post' of 'Livestock Development Officers (ISS) Kolhapur' by taking into consideration 'Administrative Exigencies'.

4. The 'Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Maharashtra State, Pune' therefore to depute responsible officer rank of 'Dy. Commissioner Animal Husbandry' serving in his office who can explain why Applicant who had undergone 'Training Programme, as 'State Nodal Officer' in Rajasthan for use of 'eLISS Software' developed by 'Government of India' cannot be posted on 'Vacant Post' of 'Livestock Development Officers (ISS) Kolhapur' which has been without regular change since 31.12.2021. The 'Dy. Commissioner Animal Husbandry' to also provide information about observations made in 'Para 3', 'Para 4'; 'Para 5' & 'Para 7' of 'Order' dated 03.05.2024.

5. S.O. to 05.09.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.08.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.479 of 2024 in O.A. No.806 of 2024</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus J.P. Katyare (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant in M.A. No.479/2024 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.806/2024.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.806/2024 expresses strong reservations about allowing M.A. No.479/2022 filed by 'District Collector, Pune' on grounds that 'File Notings' relating to 'Suspension Order' dated 28.06.2024 have not been made available to enable 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to be filed in M.A. No.479/2024 by Applicant in O.A. No.806/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that as per 'Para 4' of 'Order dated 12.08.2024' it was directed to produce 'File Noting' only for perusal during hearing of O.A. No.806/2024, so as to ascertain exact grounds considered for issue of 'Suspension Order' dated 28.06.2024 of Applicant in O.A. No.806/2024.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' in O.A. No.806/2024.</p> <p>5. The perusal of contents of O.A. No.806/2024 shows that Applicant is of the belief that there was conspiracy hatched against him by 'District Collector Pune' who is Applicant in M.A. No.479/2024 at instance of local 'MLA' and these were real reasons for issue of 'Suspension Order dated 28.06.2024'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The M.A. No.479/2024 has been filed by 'District Collector, Pune' only to get fair opportunity to reply to personal allegations made in 'Para No's. 6.13, 6.14, 6.15, 6.16, 6.17, 6.21, 6.22, 6.26, 6.36, 6.40, 6.41 & 6.57' of 'O.A. No.806/2024'. Therefore; 'M.A. No.479/2024' is allowed with directions to Applicant in O.A. No.806/2024 to implead 'District Collector, Pune' as 'Respondent No.2'.

7. S.O. to 03.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.08.2024

O.A. No.317 of 2024

S.R. TengaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. on query states that proposal for issue of new 'Transfer Order' of Applicant is still under consideration of 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge' of 'Urban Development Department' who is also next 'Superior Transferring Authority'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant reiterates that Applicant had been transferred on 02.02.2024 from post of 'Chief Officer; Municipal Council, Daund, District Pune' after short tenure of less than 'One Year'; as he had joined there on 05.04.2023

4. The 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department - II' is therefore informed to ascertain exact status of the proposal for issue of new 'Transfer Order' of Applicant which has been submitted to 'Hon'ble Minister-in-Charge' of 'Urban Development Department' who is also next 'Superior Transferring Authority'.

5. The 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department - II' to ensure that new 'Transfer Order' of Applicant is issued without further delay and preferably within 'One Week'.

6. S.O. to 05.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 27.08.2024 Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.810 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.K. MarsaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms Mohini Rehpade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate present on behalf of Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer present of behalf Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 14.08.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.1 and Respondent No. 2 and also files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 13.08.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.3. These are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned PO thereupon states that case of Applicant was considered in meeting of 'Suspension Review Committee' held on 06.06.2024 under 'Secretary-II' of 'Public Health Department'. The 'Appointing Authority' in respect of 'Public Health Department' has thereupon decided not to revoke order of 'Deemed Suspension' dated 28.02.2024 of Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that they seek liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' within 'One Week'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.08.2024

O.A. No.615 of 2023

D.G. PilankarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that so far no action has been initiated by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Kolhapur' to review the decision about request of Applicant as has been communicated by letter dated 31.07.2024
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereafter emphasizes based on reasonable apprehension that post of 'Forester' at 'Nerur' in 'Sawantwadi Forest Division' may be filed up against backdrop of recent 'Policy Decision' of 'State Government' to extend period of 'General Transfer: 2024' from upto 30th August 2024.
4. Learned P.O. seeks some time to ascertain status of action taken as per directions in 'Para 4' of 'Order' dated 13.08.2024 by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Kolhapur' regarding request of Applicant for implementation of 'Policy Guidelines' relating to 'Husband & Wife' to be posted together as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018. Therefore; in 'Interim Period' it is directed that post of 'Forester' at 'Nerur' in 'Sawantwadi Forest Division' be kept vacant by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Kolhapur'.
5. S.O. to 02.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.577 of 2024</p> <p>S.N. KhamitkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 27.08.2024

M.A. No.325 of 2024 in O.A. No.536 of 2024

M.B. SisalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. presents copy of letter received from 'Police Inspector, Mohal Police Station' pertaining to status of 'Criminal Case' registered against Applicant on 28.11.2009 under 'Section 7, Section 12 & Section 13(1) (d)' of 'P.C. Act, 1988' read with offences under 'I.P.C: 1860' which is now under trial in 'Special Case No.05/2014.'

3. S.O. to 03.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.08.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.273 of 2024 in O.A. No.579 of 2024</p> <p>P.L. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and learned Advocate. Shri A.S. Ovhal are both 'Not Present.' Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer present on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that there is substantial delay of more than '4 Years' and '3 Months' in filing O.A. No.579 of 2024. Therefore, seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in M.A. No.273 of 2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.385/2022

S.K. Hankare & Ors. ...Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 to 9.
2. S.O. to 29th August, 2024. Interim Relief to continue till next date.

SK
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
27.08.2024

SK
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
27.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.404/2024

S.H. Nadaf ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Nos. This OA No.404/2024 be tagged with OA 352/2024, 368/2024, 370/2024 566/2024.
3. S.O. to 11th September, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
27.08.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
27.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.801/2024

S.S. Karvekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.
2. The officer from the office of Respondent No.2 Director General of Police, Mumbai is directed to remain present on next date and Affidavit-in-Reply to be filed on 29th August, 2024.
3. S.O. to 29th August, 2024.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
27.08.2024

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
27.08.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.08.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.524 of 2024 in O.A. No.1027 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. GholaitApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.09.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 24.09.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.940 of 2023</p> <p>Y.M. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. Vaishali Nagargoje, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Additional Affidavit'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.08.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 500/2024 in M.A 501/2024 in M.A 502/2024 in M.A 503/2024 in O.A 910/2024 with M.A 504/2024 in M.A 505/2024 in O.A 911/2024 with O.A 913, 914 & 976/2024</u></p> <p>R.A Kadiwal V.S Madurkar A.J Talwadkar</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants- Intervenors</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Respondents</p> <p>N.B Sanap [O.A 910/2024] H.S Rewaskar [O.A 911/2024] H.D Kumbhar [O.A 913/2024] R.D Dahiphale [O.A 914/2024] P.M Darade [O.A 976/2024]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">...Ori Applicants</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.W Waghmare, learned advocate for the applicants-intervenors in the Misc Applications, Shri S.S Dere, Shri M.D Lonkar and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the original Applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad with Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the Misc Application along with Original Applications are now fixed on 5.9.2024.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondent-State to file affidavit in reply in all the related matters as the issue involved is appointment to the post of Assistant Director and Deputy Director in the Industry, Energy and Labour Department.</p> <p>4. S.O 5.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>27.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 546 & 543/2023</u></p> <p>N.R Takey & Ors Dr V.N Wahul & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the Select List for the period commencing from 1.9.2023 to 31.8.2024 is going to lapse.</p> <p>3. The Select List for the period commencing from 1.9.2023 to 31.8.2024 shall not lapse as the matter is subjudice.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1025/2024

K.K. JadhavApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel seeks permission to amend to add Mr. D.S. Khedkar, who has joined on the post of Applicant at Kalyan, as Party Respondent No.4 in the array of Respondents.

3. Permission granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served upon the concern forthwith.

4. Learned Counsel Ms. Punam Mahajan informs that she appears on behalf of Mr. D.S. Khedkar.

5. Learned P.O. informs that Mr. D.S. Khedkar was relieved on 19.08.2024 and he joined at Kalyan office on 20.08.2024.

6. By order dated 20.08.2024 it was directed to learned P.O. to explain why none of the 10 options given by the applicant was considered while issuing the transfer order.

7. Learned P.O. seeks time to answer the said query.

8. Under such circumstances, the order of status quo is withdrawn and the applicant is directed to start working under protest at the place where he is transferred. Parties are directed to maintain status quo till next date.

9. Adjourned to 10.09.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1577/2023 with M.A.No.45/2024

A.L. DureApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Chagan Thakare i/b. Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar adjourned to 03.09.2024.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.993 of 2022</u></p> <p>Ramzan B. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 30.8.2024. Part heard.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.8.2024 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.930 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.B. Kakade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1045 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.S. Pattebahadur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Chandan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1052 of 2024

S.M. Thakur

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the address of Respondent No.3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents. In between representation of the applicant dated 30.4.2024 can be considered.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

27.8.2024

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

27.8.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.102 of 2023 in O.A. No.347 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.A. Gangarde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that W.P. filed by the State is dismissed on 23.7.2024 by the Hon'ble High Court and order of the Tribunal is maintained which is required to be implemented. Ld. PO to inform implementation of the order.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MA.173/2024 in C.A. No.4 of 2024 in O.A. No.893 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Patankar ..Intervener And N.S. Khandare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Intervener, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the intervener states that he will furnish copy of judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in allowing intervention in contempt proceedings.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.8.2024 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.10 of 2024 in O.A. No.585 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.S. Bodake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.31 of 2024 in O.A. No.394 of 2022 (DB)</u></p> <p>D.K. Kokane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A.Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that order of the Tribunal is implemented and applicant is given appointment on compassionate ground. However, the issue is regarding deemed date.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in para (c) of the impugned order this Tribunal had directed to grant deemed date and so it is necessary to be implemented.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 3.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.43 of 2024 in O.A. No.1480 of 2023 (DB)</u> <u>C.A. No.44 of 2024 in O.A. No.1481 of 2023 (DB)</u></p> <p>S.R. Chate ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit dated 26.8.2024 of Manisha Patankar-Mhaiskar, Additional Chief Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.8.2024 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.701 of 2023 in O.A. No.870 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Ishware & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 1.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.8.2024 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.393 of 2024 in O.A. No.689 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Sudewad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the MA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. MA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p>4. We direct the respondents to mention very specifically that promotion will be subject to outcome of this OA and if applicant succeeds, the person promoted will not have any claim.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 27.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.81 of 2024</p> <p>R. C. Adakul Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1264 of 2023</p> <p>R. M. MujawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he will file Affidavit in Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Three weeks' time is granted to learned PO to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O. A. No. 1110 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. UlalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shivam Chandolkar holding for Shri V. B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.588/2023 with O.A.590/2023 with O.A.591/2023</p> <p>M. M. Gangurde & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.590/2023 and O.A.No.591/2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.251 of 2023</p> <p>A. D. VeerApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.631 of 2023 in O.A.No.1015 of 2023</p> <p>S. M. Limkar Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.09.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>
--	---

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.308 of 2024</p> <p>K. D. DhondgeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that parawise remarks are received but those are in Marathi. She wants assistance of concerned officer to file reply.</p> <p>3. The Additional Chief Secretary, PWD therefore directed to depute responsible officer with information to assist the learned PO to file 'Affidavit in Reply'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2024 as a last chance to file reply.</p> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>^</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> </div>
vsm	
vsm	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O. A. No. 533 of 2024</p> <p>S. V. SurveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.257 of 2024</p> <p>M. Y. MungasheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Butala, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1, 3 & 4 and Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2. 2. The Respondents are directed to file reply positively on next date. 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that translated copy of OA in English is already sent to Respondents by RPAD. 4. S.O. to 24.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 27.08.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.84 of 2024</p> <p>Y. P. KhonoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1446 of 2023</p> <p>B. N. MusaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Instead of order dated 20.08.2024, reply is not filed by the Respondents. 3. The matter is therefore admitted and kept for final hearing without reply. 4. S.O. to 12.11.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1419 of 2023</p> <p>D. K. DokeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1380 of 2023</p> <p>D. K. Mharse & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO is directed to take instructions from the concerned department and to file reply positively on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1338 of 2023 With O.A.No.1339 of 2023 With O.A.No.1341 of 2023</p> <p>A. G. Ghadi P. R. Vichare P. G. Bhosale Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1063/2024</p> <p>S.P. DhandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order dated 22.08.2024 qua the Applicant thereby transferring the Applicant from the post of Police Inspector, Thane City to Mumbai City, since the case of the Applicant doesn't fall in any of the criteria specified under Clause 3 of the Notification dated 31.07.2024 issued by the Election Commission, New Delhi. Further Applicant prays to hold the reason recorded by the Respondent No.2 for invoking the provision of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act 1951 is not applicable to the present Applicant on the basis of Clause 3 of the Notification dated 31.07.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant was transferred from Mumbai City to Thane City by order dated 02.09.2021. However, the Applicant was relieved on 28.10.2021 and he joined his post on 29.10.2021 at Thane City. Learned Counsel has submitted that on 29.10.2021 when the Applicant went to Thane City to join, he was informed that the said post is not vacant and therefore he was kept waiting in the control room for the period from 29.10.2021 to 20.12.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant has already been working for eight years in Mumbai and therefore he is given posting out of Mumbai. The Applicant requests that he may be given posting out of Mumbai as it is difficult to work in Mumbai for another eight years. It is noted that the transfer is the policy decision and the courts are also slow to interfere in the said decisions unless illegality is noticed. However, some humanitarian consideration which may be reasonable can be taken into account by the concern authority and therefore the Additional Director General of Police may consider the request of giving the Applicant posting not in Mumbai, but may be in some other place.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.08.2024.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 28.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1058/2024</p> <p>R.K. JamdareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays for directions to the Respondent No.1 to transfer the Applicant on the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli, Tahasil Office, Kalyan, District Thane. Further, Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order of Private Respondent No.2 dated 14.08.2024 issued by the Respondent no.1 and transfer the Applicant on the post of Revenue Awal Karkoon, Tahasil Officer, Kalyan, District Thane.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel informs that the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli, Tahasil Office, District Thane is still vacant. Learned Counsel has submitted that by order dated 30.10.2019 Applicant was transferred as Treasury, Awal Karkoon at Tahasil Office, Murbad, District Thane which is a difficult area. Learned Counsel has submitted that on 30.05.2024 Applicant has given 10 options for the transfer. Learned Counsel has submitted that none of the options given by him were considered. He states that by order dated 14.08.2024 various transfers were made wherein all the 10 options/preferential post submitted by the Applicant except one post at Serial No.8, as Circle Officer, Thakurli, Tahasil Office, Kalyan is vacant till date.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has further submitted that Private Respondent no.2 who was suspended in view of pending ACB case was reinstated. After reinstatement within three months he was transferred on an executive post as Revenue Awal Aarkoon Tahasil Office, Kalyan. Learned Counsel has submitted that as per G.R. dated 14.10.2011 posting a person on an executive post after suspension is not permissible.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Respondents are directed not to pass any order transferring anybody on the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli, Tahasil Office, Kalyan till next date.

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2024.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. Adjourned to 29.08.2024. Interim relief granted till next date.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1060/2024</p> <p>G.U. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order dated 14.08.2024 issued by the Respondent No.1 which is in violation of the provisions of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 with all other consequential service benefits. Further Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order of Respondent No.2 dated 14.08.2024 issued by the Respondent No.1 and transfer the Applicant on the post of Circle Officer Goregaon, Tal. Ambarnath, Dist. Thane.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that by order dated 27.07.2020 applicant was promoted as Circle Officer, Saralgaon, Tahasil Officer, Murbad which is a difficult area. Learned Counsel has submitted that as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018, Applicant has given 10 options/ preferential posting. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant was considered at the option at Sr. No.5, Circle Officer, Dehari which is difficult area. Learned Counsel has submitted that as the Applicant has already worked as Circle Officer, Saralgaon, Tahasil Officer, Murbad which was a difficult area, he should be considered with preferable sequence. Learned Counsel has pointed out Clause (II) of Note No.4 of the Annexure-1 of G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that he has served all the Respondents including Respondent No.2 because Respondent no.2 is transferred on the post, the option of which was given by the Applicant at Serial No.4, Circle Officer, Goregaon.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O has submitted that as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018 it is mandatory to give 10 options / preferences for posting and out of 10 options, two options of difficult area is required. However, Applicant has given only 7 options, out of which option No.5 is considered and thereafter he came before the Tribunal.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned P.O. is directed to answer why the preferences given by the Applicant at Option No.1, Circle Officer, Dahisar, Option No.2, Circle Officer, Upper Kalyan, Option No.3, Circle Officer, Ambernath and Option No.4, Circle Officer, Goregaon are not considered. Learned P.O. is further to answer why option at Sr. No.5, Circle Officer, Dehari which is difficult area was considered. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce the minutes of the C.S.B meeting held on 14.08.2024.

7. Learned Counsel is directed to produce affidavit of service on the next date.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2024.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

14. Adjourned to 29.08.2024. Status quo to be maintained till next date.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1012/2024

H.B. PhadApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoli holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 24.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1009/2024

L.R. Satpute & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoli holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 24.09.2024.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.964/2024

V.N. BhabadApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel has submitted that though by order dated 13.08.2024 this Tribunal directed Respondent No.1 to keep one post vacant of Deputy Superintendent Land Records, Dhule, Nashik District. However, Respondent-Government has filled up the said post by order dated 14.08.2024.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
4. Learned P.O. to consider if it is so, why suo moto Contempt notice should not be issued. Respondents are directed not to allow the concern Officer to join on the post of Deputy Superintendent Land Records, Dhule, which is about to fall vacant on 31.08.2024.
5. Adjourned to 30.08.2024

Sd/-

/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1008/2024

A.I. MhaskeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoli holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 24.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1057/2024

A.D. PadwalApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant joined at Thane Commissionerate Area from Mumbai City on 04.12.2021 (Exhibit-A3) and the same is also mentioned in paragraph 2, page 3 in averment in O.A.

3. On instructions learned P.O. has submitted that Applicant joined at Thane City on 02.10.2021. However, by order dated 22.08.2024 he was transferred from Thane City to Mumbai City. Learned P.O. has pointed out that as per the Clause 3(ii) of the guidelines of Election Commission, New Delhi dated 31.07.2024 (Exhibit A-4) for Maharashtra the completion of three years is to be counted upto 30.11.2024.

4. Applicant has already been working for eight years in Mumbai and therefore he is given posting out of Mumbai. The Applicant requests that he may be given posting out of Mumbai as it is difficult to work in Mumbai for another eight years. It is noted that the transfer is the policy decision and the courts are also slow to interfere in the said decisions unless illegality is noticed. However, some humanitarian consideration which may be reasonable can be taken into account by the concern authority and therefore the Additional Director General of Police may consider the request of giving the Applicant posting not in Mumbai, but may be in some other place.

5. Adjourned to 28.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1051/2024

C.M. GodseApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant joined at Thane Commissionerate Area from Mumbai City on 04.12.2021 (Exhibit-A3) and the same is also mentioned in paragraph 2, page 3 of the averment in O.A..

3. On instructions learned P.O. has submitted that Applicant joined at Thane City on 02.10.2021. However, by order dated 22.08.2024 he was transferred from Thane City to Mumbai City. Learned P.O. has pointed out that as per the Clause 3(ii) of the guidelines of Election Commission, New Delhi dated 31.07.2024 (Exhibit A-4) for Maharashtra the completion of three years is to be counted upto 30.11.2024.

4. Applicant has already been working for eight years in Mumbai and therefore he is given posting out of Mumbai. The Applicant requests that he may be given posting out of Mumbai as it is difficult to work in Mumbai for another eight years. It is noted that the transfer is the policy decision and the courts are also slow to interfere in the said decisions unless illegality is noticed. However, some humanitarian consideration which may be reasonable can be taken into account by the concerned authority and therefore the Additional Director General of Police may consider the request of giving the Applicant posting not in Mumbai, but may be in some other place.

5. Adjourned to 28.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'. Hamdast.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.08.2024

O.A.No.1050/2024

A.G. Jagtap

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant joined at Thane Commissionerate Area from Mumbai City on 04.12.2021 (Exhibit-A3) and the same is also mentioned in paragraph 4, page 4 of the averment in O.A.. Learned Counsel has submitted that son of the Applicant who is 32 years old is mentally retarded and certificate to that effect is on record at Annexure A-2. The certificate dated 24.01.2018 states that the son of the Applicant is suffering from permanent (75% disability) mental retardation and hence, the Applicant's son is dependent on him. Applicant therefore requests that he may be given non-executive side posting.

3. On instructions learned P.O. has submitted that Applicant joined at Thane City on 02.10.2021. However, by order dated 22.08.2024 he was transferred from Thane City to Mumbai City. Learned P.O. has pointed out that as per the Clause 3(ii) of the guidelines of Election Commission, New Delhi dated 31.07.2024 (Exhibit A-4) for Maharashtra the completion of three years is to be counted upto 30.11.2024.

4. Applicant has already been working for eight years in Mumbai and therefore he is given posting out of Mumbai. The Applicant requests that he may be given posting out of Mumbai as it is difficult to work in Mumbai for another eight years. It is noted that the transfer is the policy decision and the courts are also slow to interfere in the said decisions unless illegality is noticed. However, some humanitarian consideration which may be reasonable can be taken into account by the concerned authority and therefore the Additional Director General of Police may consider the request of giving the Applicant posting not in Mumbai, but may be in some other place.

5. Adjourned to 28.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'. Hamdast.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson