

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

27.07.2018

O.A 509/2015

Shri P.G Kola, etc ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.D Sonkawade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant states that Respondent no. 2 has not filed affidavit in reply. Learned C.P.O submits that she has no instructions in this regard.

3. In the circumstances, S.O to 11.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply if any. In default, further process in the O.A to continue.

**DATE :** 27/7/2018

**CORAM :** M.T. Joshi (J) (VLC)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri A.R. Chadha (M.A)

**APPEARANCE:**


Shri/Smt. A.D. Sonkawade

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O. for the Respondents


Adj.S.O. to 11/9/2018

*M.T.*

  
Sd/-

(A.R. Chadha)  
Member (A)

AKN

  
Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>27/07/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi (Vice)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri A.R. Chaudha (M/A)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s App'd. O. to <u>14/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.T.</i></p>	<p><b><u>27.07.2018</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 667/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.A Parihar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that she has instructions in the matter that there are special Recruitment Rules for Dental Surgeon and the G.R on which reliance is placed by the appacant, Exh. B, page 14 would not be applicable to him.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O waives service of notice. In the circumstances, without going into the merit of the case, S.O to 14.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. The impugned communication regarding superannuation of the present applicant shall be subject to the decision in the present O.A.</p> <p>5. Hearing in the present O.A is expedited.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>(A.R. Chaudha)</u> Member (A) Alm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(M.T Joshi, J.)</u> Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>27.07.2018</u> Tribunal's orders</p>
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>29/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M. T. Joshi (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. R. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri A. R. Chadha (J.A)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sd/- Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C. P. O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>13/8/2018.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>M.T.</i></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A 208, 209 &amp; 210/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri T.S Patole &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file written note of arguments.</p> <p>3. In view of the fact that present D.B is a Special D.B till today, the present matters be treated as De-Part Heard.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.8.2018.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(A.R Chadha) Member (A) Akr</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>27/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M. T. Joshi (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri A. R. Chadha (M.A.)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Issue notice</u> <u>returnable on 31/9/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>M.T.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>27.07.2018</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 693/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri Pradeep M. Kudal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 3.9.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 12.7.2018.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.R Chadha) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>27/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi (Vice)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A.T. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri A.R. Chadha (M)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/9/2018.</u></p> <p><u>&lt;O.A. 807/17&gt;</u></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>27.07.2018</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 610/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.J. Bharmale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the present O.A is connected with other matters, O.A 807/2017 &amp; Ors which are posted on 2.8.2018.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, S.O to 2.8.2018.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (A.R. Chadha) Member (A) Akm</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**27.07.2018**

**O.A 1415/2009**

Ms S.L Naikwade ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None for the applicant and Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that the issue appears to be pending before the Hon. High Court in W.P 4917/2014 and the copy of certain orders in the said W.P are filed on record.

3. In view of the above fact, matter adjourned to 6.9.2018 for making further submission.

**DATE :** 27/7/2018

**CORAM :** M.T. Joshi (CJ)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.T. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri A.R. Chadha (M)


**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri/Smt. : None for the App.

Advs. : for the Applicant


Shri : A.J. Chougule  
C : P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to : 21/9/2018.

*File*

  
Sd/-

(A.R. Chadha)  
Member (A)  
Akn

  
Sd/-

(M.T Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>27.07.2018</b>
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>27/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi (Vice)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri A.R. Chadha (Mn)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryavanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>OA is disposed of.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>M.T.</i></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A 76/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri Jagdish N. Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that instead of passing detailed order on merit, applicant would be satisfied that if the concerned Respondents are directed to take decision on the show cause notice issued by the concerned Respondents and reply sent by the present applicant on two occasions. Copy of the sid reply are Exh. V, page 45 and Exh. Y, page 50.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that this <sup>reply</sup> are not received by the concerned Respondents. Exh. Z shows that the reply was sent by Regd Post.</p> <p>4. Without going into the controversy, Respondents are directed to accept, Exh. V and Exh. Y as reply to the show cause notice and direction for decision on the show cause notice may be issued. The submission appears to be reasonable.</p> <p>5. The concerned Respondents are directed to take decision on the show cause notice issued by them as per rules by taking into consideration copy of reply at Exh. V &amp; Exh. Y within three months from the date of this order.</p> <p>6. Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. Applicant would be at liberty to approach this decision after decision of the concerned Respondents.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.R Chadha) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>27/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi C/JC</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri A.R. Chadha (M/A)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/8/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>prk</u></p>	<p>Date : 27.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">751 O.A.No.715 of 2015</p> <p>K.A. Awate .....Applicant. Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise points out pleadings made at paragraph 14, page 81 of the affidavit-in-reply to the effect that once the services are not approved, the said services cannot be counted for Career Advancement Scheme (C.A.S.).</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale submitted that he will have to take instructions from the Applicant as to the effect of the non-approval to the appointment of Lecturer in the Private Engineering College. On the basis of these submissions the issue regarding grant of C.A.S. would be decided.</p> <p>4. Necessary documents mentioned in paragraph 14 be filed on or before next date.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 29.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.R. Chadha) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>27/7/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi, C/JC</u> Honble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman) Honble Shri A.R. Chadha (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/8/2018</u></p> <p align="right"><i>M.T.</i></p>	<p><u>27.07.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 628/2018 with M.A 331/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.R. Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears that earlier seniority list are not filed by the applicants to show as to what was the position of the applicants in those seniority list and whether they have raised any objections, if any, at that relevant period.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicants that he seeks time to file the seniority list from the year 2013-14.</p> <p>4. Learned advocate for the applicants seek liberty to carry out amendment as according to him seniority list are not regularly prepared from 2013-14.</p> <p>5. S.O to 9.8.2018.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">[A.R. Chadha] \\ Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">[M.T. Joshi, J.] Vice-Chairman</p>