

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.831 of 2016</p> <p>S.B. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant, Dental Surgeon prays that letter dated 16.07.2014 be quashed and set aside wherein his name was not recommended by the M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate pointed out that he was in service during the period from 01.01.1990 to 10.06.2004 with break on temporary / adhoc basis. He further states that in view of his period of service during the period from 01.01.1990 to 10.06.2004 his name should have been considered for selection. Earlier the Applicant had filed O.A.No.778/2002, wherein the Tribunal has passed order on 19.07.2004, wherein it has held that the applicant is not to be replaced by appointing any other ad-hoc Medical officer and he was to be continued till duly selected candidates from M.P.S.C. is available.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has relied on the affidavit-in-reply dated 09.03.2017 on behalf of Respondent No.2 through Mr. Shailesh R. Patankar, Chief Administrative Officer, in the office of the Director of Health Services, Mumbai wherein it is stated that in the experience certificate attached to the application he has not worked continuously with the Respondents for the period mentioned by the Applicant. Further they submitted that he himself stopped to work so he is not eligible for regularization.</p> <p>4. This matter relates to appointment of 2008 and as such the matter has become infructuous as the period of more than 14 years has elapsed. In view of this, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 374/2022 in O.A 612/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Shamane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 2-3 months in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The applicant received the copy of the impugned order in the last week of March, 2019. However, due to Covid-19 Pandemic, there was complete lock down and the applicant could not approach the Tribunal within one year.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to Covid-19 Pandemic the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.1.2022 in M.A 21/2022 in M.A 665/2021 in Suo Motu W.P (C) No. 3/2020 has directed that the period of limitation is extended till February, 2022 and hence there is delay of 2-3 months.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 612/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Shamane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 6.3.2019 denying the compassionate appointment</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 11.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 605/2022</u></p> <p>Dr Babu T. Karvekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.7.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 25.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 613/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Bamane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 11.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.465 of 2018 with O.A.No.464 of 2018 with O.A.No.282 of 2019 with O.A.No.283 of 2019</p> <p>I.B. Mane (O.A.465/2018) V.B. Patil (O.A.464/2018) I.B. Mane (O.A.282/2019) V.B. Patil (O.A.283/2019)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate O.A.No. 465/2018 with O.A.No.464/2018 be de-tagged from O.A.No.282/2019 with O.A.No.283/2019 and he allowed to circulate O.A.No.282/2019 with O.A.No.283/2019 before the 2nd Division Bench.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. O.A.No.465/2018 with O.A.No.464/2018 adjourned to 18.07.2022 and O.A.No.282/2019 with O.A.No.283/2019 adjourned to 30.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.207 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.N. Gutte & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p><u>Suo motu speaking to minutes of the order dated 24.6.2022:</u></p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Paras 5 and 6 of the order dated 24.6.2022 are to be read as under:</p> <p>“5. We directed the office of Urban Development Department and the office of Ld. CPO to work out the matter by adjusting in view of the vacancies available. <u>Ld. CPO informs that the applicants are already working and the chart of the vacancies is submitted herewith (supra).</u> We also note that applicants have put in nearly three to three and half years in service and they have appeared in the examination conducted by the respondents and they were selected as they have secured more marks than the cut-off. However, the judgment and order dated 3.3.2020 was passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.1025 of 2018 and answer of one question was declared wrong and thereafter the respondents declared revised list and the applicants naturally have secured 1.25 to 1.50 less marks and were not considered and so notice of termination were given. However, it is not the fault of the applicants. During three years of their service they naturally must not have applied for some other posts and therefore they have lost their opportunity of getting employment elsewhere. They have also passed the departmental examination in service.</p> <p>6. Under such circumstances the OA No.207 of 2022 is allowed and the impugned termination notice dated 16.2.2022 is quashed and set aside. <u>The applicants are to be accommodated as per policy of reservation in the service against the vacancies shown in the chart.</u> OA disposed off accordingly.”</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p>27.06.2022</p> <p>Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p>O.A 540/2029</p>
	<p>Shri P.P Nandlaskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that he wants to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 27.06.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 614/2018</u> <u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Khatkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that in para 8, the following word is to be replaced.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“8. The learned advocate makes statement in the presence of the applicant that the applicant is willing to waive his relief of consequential service benefits including seniority.”</p> <p>3. Hence ordered accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 27.06.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.171 of 2016</p> <p>S.S. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member(A) was the member of High Power Committee and therefore matter is not to be placed before Ms. Medha Gadgil.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 22.08.2022. Liberty granted to learned Advocate to mention it before any other Bench whenever available.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.06.2022

O.A.No.656 of 2017

R.V. KendreApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate wants adjournment of one week because he has not bought his brief as it was the first date of hearing. We make it clear that the board was published on Friday i.e. 24.06.2022. Thereafter there was weekend. This is the matter where no adjournment date was given on account of CORONA.

3. We went through the matter. We are providing one set to learned Advocate and we request him to go through the matter in view that short point is involved therein. We are of the view that the matter can be decided today itself as it involves the question though the applicant convicted under Section 353 of Indian Penal Code whether he has disclosed this fact in his attestation form or not.

4. Learned Counsel expressed his inability to bring the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court and relevant provisions under the Probation of Offenders Act. We are on the point of disclosure. When a person is convicted it is to be read in Marathi as 'निर्दोष'.

5. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 28.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 511/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.B Meher ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy is not served. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Original Application to the office of the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 530/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.B Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned order dated 10.7.2021 issued by Respondent no. 3 be declared as illegal and bad in law with directions to release the yearly increment payable to the applicant as on 1.7.2016 along with refixation of pay, arrears of pay and allowances and revision of pension.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.21 // Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 523/2022</u></p> <p><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Shri P.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr Nouman Shaikh i/b Zen Jurist, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that in para 2 it has been inadvertently mentioned as 'Assistant Police Sub-Inspector', instead of 'Police Sub-Inspector'. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it should be read as Police Sub-Inspector.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Hence in para 2 the word 'Assistant Police Sub Inspector' should be read as 'Police Sub-Inspector'.</p> <p>5. Order corrected accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 166/2018</u></p> <p>Smt S.P Jedhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that she should be granted deemed date of appointment to the post of Group-D w.e.f 1.7.1990 and also to the post of Junior Clerk, Group-C w.e.f 1.10.2003.</p> <p>3. The applicant joined as Clerk on 12.9.2016, in view of the order dated 17.6.2016 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 785/2012.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O for the Respondent submits that she needs to take instructions in the matter to find out why the applicant was not given appointment on compassionate grounds when her grandmother who was working as Safaigar by way of legacy (Varsa system) retired on 30.6.1990, pursuant to G.R dated 21.6.1979 as per the Lad Page Committee report, or at least from 1997 when her grandmother for the first time made application for the appointment of the applicant in service.</p> <p>5. S.O to 28.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 550/2022</u></p> <p>Shri O.S Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned communication dated 14.3.2022, issued by Respondent no. 3 and the impugned letter dated 17.8.2021 issued by Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 25.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 593/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.V Salunke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archan B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged his suspension order dated 9.3.2022 and communication dated 18.5.2022.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.7.2022. In the meantime, the Respondents may conduct review of the suspension of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">/0 V - v (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 595/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Misal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges the letter dated 10.6.2022 and submits that he be considered for the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise, at O-2 Division, Bandra, Mumbai. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has earlier filed O.A Nos 778/2021 and 209/2022, wherein he has claimed that he be posted as Sub Inspector, State Excise, O-2 Division, Bandra, Mumbai. 3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. S.O to 11.7.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 598/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Mohite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant, Police Head Constable was working at Traffic Branch, Vita since 30.7.2021 and is now transferred by order dated 18.4.2022 to Head Quarter, Sangli, on the following grounds:-</p> <p>(a) He was not due for transfer and he is transferred within 11 months.</p> <p>(b) The transfer order issued is until further order and is said to be temporary arrangement.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>27.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 603/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Mheboob Ali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi holding for Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.7.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 25.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.471 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.E. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.262 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.177/2022 in RA.6/2022 in OA.666/2019 with RA.6/2022 in OA.666/2019</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. S.P. Hadake ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant files replies in MA and RA and the same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.591 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.A. Nashikkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.2 & 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha^hGadgi) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.143 of 2022 in O.A. No.247 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.G. Gawari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.206 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.T. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishor Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 1.7.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.13 of 2022 in O.A. No.991 of 2021</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. A.S. Ghodake ..Respondent</p> <p align="center">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in RA returnable on 25.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.222 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.M. Raut Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.6.2022 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A. No.776 of 2015</u></p> <p>P.G. Sondkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that in this matter the Government has filed writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court. In addition they have moved proposal for retirement benefits as there is no stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.6.2022 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>CA.49/2020 in OA.822/2019 with</u> <u>CA.50/2020 in OA.823/2019 with</u> <u>CA.51/2020 in OA.824/2019 with</u> <u>CA.52/2020 in OA.825/2019 with</u> <u>CA.53/2020 in OA.826/2019 with</u> <u>CA.43/2021 in OA.400/2019 And</u> <u>CA.44/2021 in OA.821/2019 with</u> </p> <p> Dr. G.P. Jangid (CA.49/2020) Dr. S.K. Balwant (CA.50/2020) Dr. D.A. Wani (CA.51/2020) Dr. N.B. Swami (CA.52/2020) Dr. M.M. Bagwan (CA.53/2020) Dr. C.D. Chapte (CA.43/2021) Dr. A.G. Dighe (CA.44/2021) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants. </p> <p> 2. Ld. CPO submits that stay has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court in companion matters. </p> <p> 3. In view of judicial propriety, the matters are adjourned by four weeks. </p> <p> 4. S.O. to 25.7.2022. </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.6.2022 27.6.2022 (sgj) </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.541 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.R. Chhapriya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks one weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.6.2022 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.660 of 2017</u></p> <p>N.M. Gite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a copy of letter from the applicant for withdrawal of the above OA and seeks leave to withdraw the present OA. The said letter is taken on record and marked as Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.6.2022 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.993 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three weeks time to take instructions from the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.6.2022 27.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>