versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date : 27.06.2019. O.A.No.965 of 2017 S. D. Shivalkar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents. 1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The Applicants filed the present O.A. for declaration 2. that their temporary service be treated for the purpose of benefit of Time Bound Promotion. They are appointed in the year in between 1991 to 1993 on different posts. They have worked for considerable period on those posts with break. However, later in terms of G.R. dated 01.09.1999 their services were regularized and accordingly, appointment orders were issued. 3. The Applicants have made representations to Joint Director, Technical Education, Mumbai Region (Respondent No.3) for condonation of delay/break in service and to grant the benefit of Time Bound Promotion considering their initial date of appointment. Their representations are at page Nos.100 to 109. In response to it, the Responoent No.3 had called certain information from the Principal, Government Polytechnic Collage, Satara as seen from the letter dated 04.02.2003. However, no further steps were taken in respect of their representations.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

į

Tribunal's orders

-2-

Learned P.O. on instructions fairly stated that no decision is yet taken on the representations made by the Applicants.

There is huge break in service in cases of 5. some of the Applicants and, therefore, apparently they can't be said regular servants. This being the position, unless the break is condoned they seem not entitled for counting their earlier service for the benefit of Time Bound Promotion.

6.In view of above, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- The Original Application is disposed of with (A) directions to Respondent No.1 to consider the representations made by the Applicants and to pass appropriate orders thereon within two months from today.
- The decision as the case may be, shall be (B) communicated to the Applicants within two weeks thereafter.
- If Applicants felt aggrieved by the decision, (C) they can avail further remedy in accordance to law.

No order as to costs. (D)

vsr

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

4.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

Original Application No. of 2	20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	Maharashtra and others	
The State of		Respondent/s
	N	
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	d's orders
	Date : 27.06.2019.	
		A.No.39 of 2019
	K. V. Patil	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharash	
	the Applicant and Smt	V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting As S. P. Manchekar, learned Chier he Respondents.
	2. Today, learne	d P.O. has filed Affidavit in-Sur
	Rejoinder. It is taken o	
	3. The matter is a	djourned for hearing on 03.07.2019.
		ζ
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar)
		Member(1)
	vsm	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.06.2019.

O.A.No.1152 of 2017

V. V. Tamhankar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Pramod Yadav holding τσr Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicanτ and Smt. Kranτi Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has prayed for direction to treat suspension period of the Applicant from 26.07.2013 to 09.05.2016 as a duty period with all consequential benefits.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has pointed out that by order dated 16.12.2017, the suspension period of the Applicant is already treated as a duty period for all purposes under Rule 72(3)(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules 1981. She has tendered a copy of order which is taken on record.

4. Perusal of order reveals that the period of suspension is already treated as duty period under Rule 73(3)(4) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules 1981 subject to the decision of appeal preferred by the State Government against discharged of the Applicant from Criminal Case and the decision of Departmental Enquiry.

5. As such, in view of above, grievance of the Applicant is already redressed and O.A. has become intructuous.

6. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

_.__ __ _.___

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 27.06.2019.		
	O.A.No.993 of 2016		
	A. S. Azami @ S. NadeemApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	 Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 		
	2. It is really astonishing that the Applicant stanos retired on 30.09.2013 and still not getting his retirement benefits and the Respondents despite various representations made by the Applicant from time to time did not take any decision which constrained the Applicant to approach this Tribunal.		
	3. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing and during the course of hearing, it is transpired that the representations made by the Applicant from time to time are just sent from one table to another table and no final decision is taken.		
	4. The Applicant was appointed on the post of Sub Editor in Urdu Academy in 1980. The said Academy is under the domain of General Administration Department. The Applicant was continued in service and service book was maintained, yearly increments were paid. Besides, the benefits of 4 th , 5 th and 6 th Pay Commission were also granted.		
	5. During service itself, the Applicant nas made various representations right from 1999 which is at page Nos.85, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 81, 82, 84 and 92. Thus, from 1999 to 2010 ne made various representations adverted adove. Even after retirement, the Applicant made representations for grant or retiral dues which are at page Nos.116, 117, 118 and 120 or the Paper-Book.		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

6. It appears that some note of the representation of the Applicant was taken by the department but no final decision was taken. It seems that objections were also raised that the Applicant was appointed without any recruitment rules but the department forgot to note that he was given all the benefits on par with regular employees. The record further reveals that the file was tossed from Minority Development Department to General Administration Department and vis-à-vis but no final decision is taken. All the while, the departments indulged in raising the quarries about the recruitment rules. At one of the time, the then Additional Chief Secretary Dr. T.F. Thekekara had also submitted the proposal before the Cabinet for regularization of the services of the Applicant which is at page Nos.110 to 114 (The note is without date).

7. Thus, it seems that the departments were trying to pass buck to each other and eventually the Applicant got retired but no decision is taken though the period of six years is over from his retirement. Suffice to say, inaction and insensitiveness of the concerned officials is writ at large.

8. In view of above, it is necessary to direct the Respondent No.1 to take final decision on the various representations made by the Applicant as well as the proposals forwarded by the department inter say within a month from today so that the matter can be decided on merit.

9. In view of above, the Respondent No.1 is directed to coordinate with concerned other departments and to take decision on the representations made by the Applicant.

10. The Respondent No.1 is, therefore, directed to depute its responsible officer not below the rank of Joint Secretary to be present in the Tribunal on the next date along with the concerned file and the decision on the various representations made by the Applicant.

11. As the Applicant stands retired in 2013 and since retirement the period of six years is already over, the Tribunal expects that the Respondent No.1 would take decision within a month without fail.

12. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

13. S.D. to 25.07.2019.

N.M. Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

ÍN

of 20

TAILAD CONT	FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.289 of 2019 in O.A. No.512 of 2019</u>		
	G.T. Garud Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presen Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applications from the applicant regarding to be made before the competent authority.	ng fresh representation	
DATE: 27/0/2019	3. S.O. to 16.7.2019.		
<u>CORAM</u> : ion'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chaimpan) ion'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Momber (A)- VI C- A <u>PPE///</u> Shri/Sin C. T. Chandra Me		Sd/-	
	2 (sgj)	7.6.2019	
Advocate Control Applicant Shri/Smt.:			
dj/S.O. to 1617/2019			
y-2			

I N

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE: $27/C/2019$ CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairment	 M.A. No.670 of 2018 in O.A. No.1141 of 2018 G.V. NaikApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentin Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amen the MA to explain the inordinate delay and requests for thre weeks time. Leave granted. 3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.
Hon ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) VIC- A <u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri/SmtR. M. Kelge Advocate for the Applicant	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.6.2019 (sgj)
Shri/Smt : S-1-MGNCheller C. 20 Greenspondent/s Adj./ S.O. W. 1817/2019	

I N

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.472 of 20 S.B. Kashid Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, lean Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwaa Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply	Applicant Respondents rned Advocate for the d, learned Presenting
DATE: 2-7 C12-019 CORAM: Honible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Honible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Monible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Monible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Monible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Honible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) APP Shri Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) M. V. Thorat Alpha Shri Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Alpha Shri Justice Shri A. Joshi (Chairman) Shri Justice Shri A. Joshi	 Ld. Advocate for the Applicant the title to correct respondents at Sr claims to be repetitive and enclose sor Leave granted. Amendment be caweeks. S.O. to 25.7.2019. (P Vice-4) 	nt seeks leave to amend . Nos.2 & 3 which he ne relevant documents

IN

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders DATE: 2-7/Cl2e/9 CORAM: Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hou as Star P. N. Dixit Member (A)- VIC- A AP Star N. P. Lon KST. Advence for the Applicant Shri/Sml: KS. Cest/Ku/c-4 C.F. J/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./ S.O. to1.8/7/	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.94 of 20 A.S. Jakkanawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar lea Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwa Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO states that missing c objections of the Accountant Gene and communication sent to AG offi seeks two weeks time for reply from	Applicant Respondents arned Advocate for the ad, learned Presenting redits have been traced, ral have been removed ice on 25.6.2019. She
	3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.	Sd/- P.N! Ďixit) -Chairman (A) 27.6.2019

.

ÍN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	<u>O.A. No.14 of 2018</u>			
	Dr. R.S. Shinge Vs.	Applicant		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents		
	Applicant and Advocate for Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned for the Respondents.			
	2. S.O. to 2.7.2019.			
		Sd/-		
ATE: 771612019		(P.N.IDixit) e-Chairman (A)		
TE: 471C1 2019 TRAM : mble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	(sgj)	27.6.2019		
Bothe Shri Pl N. Dixit Member (A) MC- A				
The Applicant and Alvocate				
hri/Smt. S. P. M. GN UN ever				
dj./ S.O. to				
y Te				

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders		
Appear direction DATE : <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble hust c Hon'ble hust c App: Shri/ Shr	2-7/C/2019 e Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) N. Dixit Mombor (A) - VIC+ A J. V. B. ascle	The S Appli	<u>O.A. No.634 o</u> Kharat & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, icant and Ms. Neelima G er for the Respondents. Ld. PO seeks two weeks ti S.O. to 4.7.2019.	Applicants Respondents learned Advocate for the ohad, learned Presenting

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
DATE: 27/6/2019 CORAM: Honthle Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Honthle Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Honthle Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V(C-A APPEARANCE: State for the Applicant Shri/Smt	O.A. No.790 of 2018 with O.A. V.R. Thok R.J. Shelke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadek the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikw. Officer for Respondents and Shri N.J. Counsel for MPSC. 2. Ld. Advocate for the appli- time to file rejoinder. 3. S.O. to 15.7.2019.	Applicants Respondents ar learned Advocate for ad, learned Presenting P. Dalvi, learned Special

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal'	s orders
	Date : 27.06.2019.	
		No.172 of 2016 : Selection process)
	S.B. Kokil	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashti	a & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Smt. K.S. C	Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer
DATE: 27/6/2019		1 to 4 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar,
CORAM: ahri B. P. Patil (V(-J)) Hon'blo Shirk A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	learned Advocate for the	e Respondent No.7.
Hope A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		lvocate both are absent. Matter is
She Monc tor Free Th.	+	hearing since long. It seems that erested in prosecuting the matter.
Advise picant	Hence, O.A. stands dismi	
C.F. J.P.O. for the Respondent's 1 to 4. Adv. B.A. Bandi Wedeller for Adj. S.O. to	Sd/-	Sd/-
on stands dismissed	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)	(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)
H'le	- prk	
	Ŧ	

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders			Tribunal's o	orders
DATE: 2-7/C/S CORAM: Shry, E Hon'ble Justice StorA. Hon'ble StorP J. Divit APPE Shri E. S. C Adv D. Divit Shri/Smt. Honce Res	2019 3.P. P. A. KVC-J H. Joshi (Chairman) Member (A) - V/C-A Gei Kub. J. Lofo.	The Sta Versus Dr. V.P. 1. for the Respon 2. is allow	te of Maharashtra Sonone Heard Smt. K.S. Gai Applicants (Org. dents (Org. Appli.) For the reasons sta	Applicants (Org. Respd.) Respondents (Org. Applis) kwad, learned Presenting Office

‡ N

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 27.06.2019. M.A.No.216 of 2019 ir	n O.A.No.1199 of 2016	
	S.B. Kokil Versus The State of Maharashtra & C	Applicant	
		•	
		vadekar, learned Advocate for	
	the Applicant and Smt. K.S.	Gaikwad, learned Presenting	
•	Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Applicant is seeking di	rection to the Respondent to	
	decide his case in view of the o	decision given by this Tribunal	
	in O.A.No.260 of 2017, dated 13.09.2017 and in O.A.No.998		
$\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{CORAM}} = \frac{27[C]}{20[9]} \frac{20[9]}{(CRAM)} \frac{27[C]}{20[9]} \frac{20[9]}{(CRAM)} \frac{27[C]}{(CRAM)} \frac{20[9]}{(CRAM)} $	of 2018, dated 07.01.2019.		
cionible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		entra de la composición	
Hon the State N. Dixit Member (A) V(C-A	3. The O.A. had to be decided on merit considering the facts in the matter and therefore by way of interim relief,		
stor AV. Dandrwadekar	prayer as claimed by the Applicant cannot be granted. If		
Advocation and Applicant	the Applicant wants rely on th		
Shri/Smt. K.S. Gerkwed C.F. J.P.O. for the Respondent/s	his contention then he has to a		
Adj./ S.O. to MA is Vejected.	4. There is no substance rejected.	e in the M.A., hence, it is	
the			
L.K.	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(P.N. Dixit)	(B.P. Patii)	
	Vice-Chairman(A)	Vice-Chairman(J)	
	prk		
	· ·		

I N

of 20

		:	
Appearanc	ffice Memoranda of Coram, e, Tribunal's orders or and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
		Date : 27.06.2019.	
		0.A.N	b.1199 of 2016
		S.B. Kokil	Applicant
	,	Versus	
•		The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
		1. Heard Shri A.V. Ba	ndiwadekar, learned Advocate fo
		the Applicant and Smt. I	K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
DATE: 27/C/2019; B.P. Peta) CORAM: P. P. B.P. Peta) Hon'ble Justice Chri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon''s Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - VIC-A	Officer for the Responden	ts.	
	 In view of the order passed in M.A.No.216 of 2019 O.A.No.1199 of 2016 is adjourned to 25.07.2019. 		
AFP 2E:	v. Bradiwicder	O.A.NO.1199 OF 2016 IS ad	journed to 25.07.2019.
		- ~ ~	
Advosses for the A		Sd/-	Sd/-
Shri/Smt. :K.s C.F. J/P.O. for the	9. <u>Geikwic</u> d Respondent/s	(P.N ^L Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)	(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)
Adj./ S.O. to	25/7/2019.	prk	
		:	
	H'le		
		,	
1		1	

IN

of 20

		·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	:	
	Date : 27.06.2019.	
	0.A.N	lo.432 of 2016
	P.P. Lokhande	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. N.G. C	Sohad, learned Presenting Officer
DATE: 271612019	for the Respondents. Nor	ne for the Applicant.
DATE: 27 G 299 <u>CORAM</u> : Shri B.P. Pati (V/c-7) Hon'ble A.H. Joshi (Chairman)	2. Matter is fixed	l for dismissal for want of
Hon'blassing a Dixit Member (A) V(C-A	prosecuti o n.	
Shrife fone to the Appl-	3. S.O. to 03.07.2019).
		2
Shri/Smt.:	Sd/-	Sd/-
Adj. J S.O. to 3171 2019	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)	(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)
M'le	prk	
-		
	-	

Date : 27.06.2019.

M.A.No.330 of 2019 in O.A.No.306 of 2015

R.D. Kamble Applicant Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri Anikh Mukadam, learned Advocate instructed by Shri H. Gadigaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authentlcated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. to 16.07.2019.

Sd/

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)

prk

8.

of 20

App	otes, Office Memoranda of Coram, earance, Tribunal's orders or tions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
			<u>O.A. No.284 of 20</u>	<u>)19</u>
		C.V.	Jadhav Vs.	Applicant
		The S	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
			Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadeka Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikw er for the Respondents.	
		2.	Ld. PO seeks time to file reply	
	27142019	3.	S.O. to 4.7.2019.	
APPEAF Shri/Sm- Advocat Shri/Smt. : C.F/P.O. t	B-A-BANdivia dever KS-GGVu) devices KS-GGVu) devices KS-GVu) devices KS-GVu) devices KS-GVu) devices KS-KVU devices	(sgj)	Vice-0	Sd/- N. Dixit) Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
	BE			

ΙN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.230 of</u>	2019
ATE: 2.7 C12019 DRAM: Drible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Drible Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) $V[C - A$ PEAR VOE HISBE BA. Bandride dever Nocale for the Applicant ri/Smt. K. S. Garle Way F. J.P.O. for the Respondent/s J./S.O. to	 B.M. Thakur Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwade the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gail Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. CPO files reply on beha 3 and the same is taken on record. 3. Ld. Advocate for the app time to file rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 18.7.2019. 	cwad, learned Presenting alf of respondents no.1 to
BE		

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.34 of 2019 N.G. Avhad Applicant Vs. Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chi Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 ar the same is taken on record. 3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three weel time to file rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 18.7.2019. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.6.2019 27.6.2019 (sgj) Sd/-

Date : 27.06.2019.

O.A.No.229 of 2019

G.A. Kiture & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

he State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Respondents No.1 and 2 are directed to file reply particularly to paragraph No.6.21 and Section 4 of the Reservation Act.

Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.

10. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-(P.N.¹Dixit)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)

DATE: 4/16/201 CORAM: dm, P.A. Drvt (MC-7) Hon'ble Ministry Honber (A) MC-A APP Shri-Advo Shri-Sha

9.

IN

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal' s	orders
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Date : 27.06.2019.	
	O.A.No	0.1020 of 2018
	Dr. M.M. Patil	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
	:	Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
ATE: 2-7/6/2019 DRAM: 3hy B.P. P. Atil (V/C-3)	Officer for the Respondent	K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
	Oncer for the Respondern	.5
Hon the Marker And Casit Member (A)- V/ C- A	2. Reply has been fil	ed. O.A. is admitted and kept for
APP Av. Bandiwcelcker	final hearing in due course	
Adversion and a Regist Cent	Sd/-	Sd/-
Advertising the Si Garkwed	(P.N. Dixit)	(B.P. Patil)
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	Vice-Chairman(A)	Vice-Chairman(J)
Adj./ S.O. to Admit	prk	
the		
	-	

IN

ATE: 27/C/2019 M.K. Subedar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respon ORAM: Image: Shire A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Orithe Justice Shire A.H. Joshi (Chairman) I. Orithe Justice Shire A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shire Orithe Justice Shire A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shire Image: Shire A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shire Image: Shire A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Officer for the Respondents No.4, S, 8 Spandight C.H. Joshi (Chairman) Officer for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 Spandight C.H. Joshi (Chairman) Officer for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 Spandight C.H. Joshi (Chairman) I. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoir Respondent No.14. Spandight Solve (Chairman) I. Spandight Solve (Chairman) I. Spandight Solve (Chairman) I. Spandight Solve (Chairman) I. Spandight Solve (Chairman)	
ATE: 271 C[2019 M.K. Subedar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respon 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learn for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 59 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoor Respondent No.14. 2. Extended permission to amend the O 2019.	
M.K. Subedar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respon 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned on ble Justice Shri A.H. Joani (Chairman) on ble Justice Shri A.H. Joani (Chairman) on Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) VIC-A F. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, 5, 8 59 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoor Respondent No.14. 2. Extended permission to amend the O 2019.	
ATE:27 C 2019The State of Maharashtra & Ors ResponATE:27 C 20191.Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learnORAM:5. P. P. (Chairman)1.Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learnOn'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learnedOn'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, ShriOn'ble Justice Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)VIC-AF. Shri P. Shri P	
 ATE: 27C/2019 	
for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 59 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoc Respondent No.14. 2. Extended permission to amend the O 2019.	dents
on ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chainman) on Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) VIC-A F. Shri P. Shri	ned Advocate
on Shri P N. Dixit Member (A) VIC-H Construction for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 59 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoc Respondent No.14. A V. Bandiw CdcHer i learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 59 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoc Respondent No.14. 2. Extended permission to amend the O 2019.	ed Presenting
A.V. Bandrwedeller A.V. Bandrwedeller A.V. Bandrwedeller Iearned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, S, 8 59 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advoc Respondent No.14. Respondent No.14. 2. Extended permission to amend the O 2019.	i D.B. Khaire,
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$, 32, 54, 57 &
hri/Smt.: K.S. Gei Kulch F.J.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 703, F.J.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 703, F.J.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 703, M.J.S.O. to 3. 32, 54, 57, 4 59, 2019.	ate for the
1 P. B. Khaire for R. 4,5, 5 4. 59. 2019.	
AAV. M.D. LowKar for R. Ho. 14. 3. S.O. to 19.08.2019.	.A. upto July, [
C + L	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	d/-
(P.N. Dixit) (B.P. Vice-Chairman(A) Vice-Ch	Patil) airman(J)
	,(2)
prk	

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

OTTTT **

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
ATE: 2-21 Cl 2019 CEAN: Kerther Justice Shri A. H. Josh! (Cheirman) Kerther Justice Shri A. H. Josh! (Cheirman) Kerther Justice Shri A. H. Josh! (Cheirman) Kerther Justice Shri A. H. Josh! (Cheirman) APE 3-3 NCE APE 3-3	<u>A. No.23 of 2019</u> D. N. Y. Chutke Applicant To State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondent Maplicant is absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chouge farened Presenting Officer for the Applicant has sent a pur- dated 25.6.2019 withdrawing his appearance from the ab- matter. 1. Applicant be intimated to appear in person or eng- nother lawyer. 3. S.O. to 5.7.2019. <i>Saf-</i> <i>Saf-</i> <i>Saf-</i> (P.N. Dixit) <i>Saf-</i> <i>Saf-</i> (Str) (stj)

IN

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
		<u>O.A. No.817 of 20</u>	018
		Khandekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	for Appl	Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, lea Ms. Ranjana Todankar learn icant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, le er for the Respondents.	arned Advocate holding ed Advocate for th
	2.	Ld. CPO seeks one week's tin	ne to file reply.
	3.	S.O. to 2.7.2019.	
DATE: 27/G 2019 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C. A APPLARANCE: Shri S. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. C. A Adv. holdrey for NS. Ranking Todaylon Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: S. J. MGN Chever C.F. J/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to	(sgj)	Vice-	Sd/- P.N.IDixit) Chairman (A) 27.6.2019
Je le			

ΙN

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.1002 of 2</u>	<u>018</u>
	Y.M. Pimple Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadeka the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Goh Officer for the Respondents.	•
	2. Ld. Advocate for the Application time to file rejoinder.	ant seeks three week
	3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.	
E: 2-71 612019		Sd/-
AM :		.N. Dixit)
ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairma n) ble Shri P. N. Dixit Momber (A) VIC- A		Chairman (A) 7.6.2019
Die Justico Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Die Shri P. N. Dixit Momber (A) VIC-A ARANCE: B.A. Bandiw celeter	(sgj)	
for the Applicant		
Smt : F. G. Ghed P.O. for the Respondent/s		
S.O. to 18/7/2019		
Bhe		

IN

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	O.A. No.85 of 20 A.V. More Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, lea for Ms. Ranjana Todankar learned Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, lea Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the application time to file rejoinder. 3. S.O. to 19.7.2019. (P	Applicant Respondents arned Advocate holding ed Advocate for the earned Chief Presenting

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 27.06.2019.

O. A. No.579 of 2019

....Applicant

M. V. Mengarti

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent. Leave note of the learned Counsel is on record.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.072019

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 23.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)	[Spl MAT-F-2 E.
	ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
	MUMBAI
Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State	e of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 27.06.2019.
	O.A.No. 321, 322, 323 of 2019
	S. a. Koyande & 2 orsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri S. A. Chavan holding for Shri K. R. Jagdale,
	learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on
	behalf of the Respondent No.2 in all the three Original
	Applications. Those are taken on record.
	3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of
	admission.
	4. S.O. to 16.07.2019.
	Sd/-
	-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda af Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.06.2019.

O. A. No.580 of 2019

D. S. Shinde

....Applicant

Versus

 $\mathbf{2}$

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent. Leave note of the learned Counsel is on record.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.072019

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 23.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.06.2019.

O. A. No.596 of 2019

S. B. Choudhari

....Applicant

Versus

2

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.06.2019.

O.A.No.589 of 2019

R. B. Sahu

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By order dated 21.12.2018, the Applicant was promoted to the post of Range Forest Officer at Panvel Forest Division, Alibag on vacant post. Thus, it seems that he was being found eligible and qualified for promotion post. He was promoted as well as posting was given to him. However, surprisingly after seven days by order dated 29.12.2018, the posting of the Applicant has been stayed on administrative ground. Thereafter, though the period of six months is over, no further orders are passed and, therefore, the Applicant has been constrained to approach this Tribunal. He is seeking posting in terms of order dated 21.12.2018.

3. This matter was taken up for admission yesterday but adjourned on the request of learned P.O. to apprise the Tribunal about the reasons for cancelling positing given to the Applicant and secondly to know why no further steps has been taken in the period of six months to take the things to logical end. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

 $\mathbf{2}$

4.

However, today learned P.O. could not pointed out any substantial things so as to keep the positing of the Applicant in abeyance. She referred to G.R. dated 14.10.2011 which is absolutely irrelevant in the present situation. It was expected from the learned P.O. to take appropriate instructions to find out as to

whether there are any legal grounds to cancel the posting but no such effort is made. Learned P.O. further submitted that she had no further instructions from the department and whatever department instructed her that she is placing before the Tribunal.

In view of above, I am inclined to grant 5. interim relief restraining the Respondents from filling up the vacancy on which the Applicant was promoted and posted by order dated 21.12.2018, so as to protect his right.

Interim relief is granted as per prayer clause 6. 10(b) of the O.A.

One week's time is granted to learned P.O. to 7. take appropriate instructions and to file reply, if necessary so that matter can be heard and decided finally at the stage of admission.

S.O. to 03.07.2019. 8.

vlsm

Hamdast and steno copy is granted. 9.

> ٩ Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

DISTRICT

(Advocate)

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 27.06.2019.
	O.A.No.174 of 2017
	S. B. ChavanApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The matter is taken on today's board for correction of typographical errors of dates in judgment dated 21.06.2019. The errors are in Paragraph Nos.2, 3, and 14. In Para No.2 in second line, the date mentioned as 27.11.1080 which should be 27.11.1980. Similarly in Para No.3, the date mentioned in seventh line from bottom, the date mentioned as 20.01.2013 should be 20.01.2003. Lastly in Para No.14 in line no.5, the date mentioned as 06.10.2013 should be 06.10.2003. Apparently, those are typographical errors which need to be corrected. The typographical errors be corrected in the original judgment.
	∖ Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Vsm Member(J)

.