

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.344 of 2022 with O.A.No.327 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.K. Gosavi &amp; Ors. (O.A.344/2022) S.N. Solanki &amp; Ors. (O.A.327/2022) ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that Applicant No.5, Mr. Amol Jagganath Shinde in O.A.No.344/2022 and Applicant No.1, Mr. Shrikisan Narayanrao Solanki in O.A.No.327/2022 are present and have requested for adjournment of the O.As.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that she has filed short affidavit.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 28.06.2022 with liberty to file detail affidavit in reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.383 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.G. Tainak &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits on instructions that these Applicants who are 42 in number are covered under the judgment of O.A.No.862/2019 with C.A.No.13/2020 decided on 06.02.2020 by M.A.T Bench Nagpur and O.A.No.57/2020 with M.A.No.210/2020 decided on 17.11.2020 by M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad</p> <p>3. Pursuant to order dated 26.04.2022 in this case learned P.O. submits on instructions that the candidates are similarly situated and the process of seniority is going on based on the ratio of the judgments of M.A.T. Bench Nagpur and Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that the Respondent Department has taken out letter dated 16.02.2022 calling for information of the officers from the final seniority list dated 01.01.2019 for the purpose of giving promotions to the Range Forest Officers on the post of Assistant Conservator of Forest. This seniority list dated 01.01.2019 was challenged before the Nagpur and Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in O.A.No.862/2019 with C.A.No.13/2020 decided on 06.02.2020 and O.A.No.57/2020 with M.A.No.210/2020 decided on 17.11.2020 respectively. The Tribunal had directed the Respondents to follow the rules and prepare the seniority list of 01.01.2019. <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>5. Learned P.O. submits that the process of fixing seniority list is as per the directions given by this Tribunal. However, she is unable to tell as to when the work is going to complete.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate expressed apprehension that the others who are junior to the Applicants will be promoted on the basis of disputed seniority list of 01.01.2019. Hence he prays for interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b).</p> <p>7. In view of letter dated 16.02.2022 we are of the view that the apprehension of the Applicants appears reasonable hence until the seniority list is revised as per the rules and as directed by the Nagpur and Aurangabad Bench in the judgment mentioned above no effect is to be given to the information collected on the basis of letter dated 16.02.2022.</p> <p>8. Matter adjourned to 30.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.8 of 2021 in O.A.No.848 of 2018 with M.A.No.344 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.S. Salunkhe &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that out of 6 Applicants, Applicants No.1, 3 and 4 are already promoted. Thus, now the implementation of the order dated 13.02.2020 remained to be implemented in respect of the Applicants No.2, 5 and 6.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that as per the seniority list dated 11.12.2020 following persons whose serial numbers and names are mentioned though are junior to the Applicants are still promoted.</p> <p>2177. Mr. Lalit Prabhakar Varule 2199. Mr. Dathu Girjiappa Thate 2244. Prafula Naganathrao Kapale 2274. Bhimrao Kundalik Kurwade 2278. Rajendra Apparao Mali 2285. Sayyed Ali Sayyed Haidar 2288. Babu Yashwant Jadhav 2292. Arun Sheshrao Kharat 2309. Balbhim Raghunath Bhojane 2328. Hanumant Manoharao Patil 2341. Shaikh Gani Salim 2362. Rajendra Laxman Patil 2364. Sanjeev Bhujangrao Dhabade 2393. Gundu Viru Mehetare 2516. Vinod Rameshrao Deshmukh 2518. Pandurang Sakharam More</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

4. They are all working on the post of Junior Engineer since 2018 which is promotional post to the post of Assistant Engineer. Tribunal's order dated 13.02.2020 is not complied with, hence, C.A. is filed.

5. We direct learned C.P.O. to take instructions and file short affidavit thereafter stating the persons who names appeared in the above list though are junior to the present applicants, they were promoted as per promotion orders dated 10.04.2018, 02.06.2018 and 19.06.2018 and also state why the Applicants' are not given promotion as per Tribunal's order dated 13.02.2020.

6. We expect the Department to also implement the order of this Tribunal in respect of Applicants No.2, 5 and 6 by next date.

7. Adjourned to 15.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 03/2020 in O.A 707/2018</u></b></p> <p>R.C Pillay ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vijaya Chandrashekhar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the Original Application, by order dated 23.1.2019 directions were given to the Respondent no. 2 to consider the prayer made by the applicant and take a decision about regularizing applicant's services on promotional post as P.S.I/Dog Master from the date of his first ad hoc promotion and secondly Respondents no 2 and 3 were to complete the exercise of Rule making process within three months.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Respondents have committed contempt of this Tribunal as though the applicant has been given promotion, he has not been given the seniority to which he is entitled. She further states that the exercise of Rule making has not been complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits that the promotion given to the applicant as P.S.I/Dog Master by office order dated 31.10.2009 on ad hoc basis has been regularized vide office order dated 20.4.2019 from 8.4.2010, the date on which the applicant took charge of the post of P.S.I/Dog Master.</p> <p>5. As regards the second point about completing the exercise of finalizing the Recruitment Rules for the post of P.S.I/Dog Master, learned C.P.O submitted that the Respondents have already submitted the proposal to the State Government for finalizing the Recruitment Rules for the post of P.S.I/Dog Master on 25.11.2003 and this was further reiterated by their office letter dated 1.4.2019. Learned C.P.O.P submits that the exercise of Rule making is in progress and the file is now with the Law &amp; Judiciary Department.</p> <p>6. We find that the order dated 23.1.2019 has been substantially complied with as the applicant has been given promotion vide order dated 20.4.2019. Moreover, the process of Rule making is also in the final stage and it is not within the competence of the Respondents.</p> <p>7. Hence, the Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.337 of 2017 in O.A.No.35 of 2017</b></p> <p>S.A. Adake .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instructed submits that she requires time to file affidavit-in-reply</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 679/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.P Kamalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Jyoti Kawade i/b Shri Anant Vadgaonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is granted time to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 275, 276 &amp; 277/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.P Pawar &amp; ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V Kale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant in O.A 275/2022 is denied promotion and he has made several representations to that effect. However, his last representation dated 28.12.2021 is yet to be decided.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date. However, in the meantime, the Respondents may decide the representation of the applicant dated 28.12.2021.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 96/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr M.M Kahind &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that he has no instructions about whether the applicants are going to be relieved at this stage.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record and copy served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Admit. Place for final hearing on 20.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 515, 516, 565, 566 &amp; 1233/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.R Burute &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R Kulkarni, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 21.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

1 N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>27.04.2022</b>
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A 11/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.A Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.7.2022 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 115/2020</u></p> <p>Shri B.J Gadekar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Mr A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 13.7.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 37/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.P Nagare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.L Kulkarni, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to produce copy of the on-line instructions issued in respect of filling up the application form.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 490/2021 in O.A 1115/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.S Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed by the application seeking interim relief on the ground that during the pendency of the O.A No. 1115/2018, wherein the applicant aspires to be appointed as Sub Inspector, State Excise, points out that Respondent No. 6 Shri S.G. Dubey and Respondent No. 7 Shri S.R. Kale who were selected for the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise were disqualified.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant therefore prays that he is to be appointed in the vacant post of Sub-Inspector, State Excise, in view of the affidavit in reply dated 21.8.2019 filed by Respondent no. 1, Commissioner, State Excise, in another Original Application No. 168/2018.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits that in O.A 168/2018 the relief was not granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to take instructions and file short affidavit in reply as to whether applicant under such circumstances can be accommodated and given appointment as Sub Inspector, State Excise, under the Ex-servicemen category in place of Respondents no 6 &amp; 7.</p> <p>5. S.O to 7.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 988/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that affidavit in reply is not filed by the Divisional Commissioner, Nagpur, Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 2, Divisional Commissioner to file affidavit in reply before the next date. If no reply is filed, the matter will proceed without affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>27.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 783/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.R Maule &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shankar Katkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 28.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 649/2021</u></b></p> <p>Smt Varsha P. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 20.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>27.04.2022</b> Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 711/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.R Fartade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 26.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.19 of 2020 in O.A.No.1158 of 2016 with O.A.No.352 of 2017 with O.A.No.353 of 2017</b></p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that out of 24 Applicants, the Tribunal has passed the order that interest should be given to only 22 Applicants and the same has been disbursed with.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that Applicants at Serial No.9, 13, 14 and 22 are yet to receive their pensionary benefits.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that pension has been sanctioned by the Accountant General office and file has been sent to the office of Treasury Office.</p> <p>5. All the four Applicants i.e. Applicants No. 9, 13, 14 and 22 are hereby directed to check whether they have received the pension or not. Learned Advocate is directed to file affidavit stating what benefits remained to be given and to which applicants.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.3 of 2022 in O.A.No.406 of 2019</b></p> <p>A.M. Labdhe .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents on instructions submits that the Review Committee meeting will now be held on 04.05.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to amend to add Commissioner of Police, Pune Division as Party Respondent and serve the copy of O.A. to the added Respondent.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.8 of 2022 in O.A.No.406 of 2019</b></p> <p>A.M. Labdhe .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Deepak Pote along with Mr. S.V. Kate, learned Advocate holding for Mr. J.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the order of the Tribunal is complied with.</p> <p>3. However, as learned Advocate Mr. Patil is not present matter is adjourned at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Pote to 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.1 of 2022 in O.A.No.370 of 2019</b></p> <p>L.T. Rathod .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.S. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is directed to file detail statement of his calculation by next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.06.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.4 of 2020 in O.A.No.1119 of 2015</b></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Lad ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Talekar &amp; Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Respondents have filed Writ Petition No.9420/2019 before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is listed on 08.06.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of above, matter is adjourned to 24.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.389 of 2022</b></p> <p>D.K. Mahamuni .....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks suitable directions in respect of Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal dated 02.09.2021 against the order of removal from service dated 20.07.2021 by the Respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. We therefore direct the Respondent No.1 to decide the Appeal within 10 weeks from today and decision is to be communicated to the Applicant thereafter within a week.</p> <p>4. With above directions, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<sup>u</sup>Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.349 of 2022</b></p> <p>P.D. Thorat .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Matter is regarding extension of waiting list. Adjourned to 16.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 27.04.2022**

**O.A.No.385 of 2022 with M.A.No.245 of 2022**

S.K. Hankare & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 16.06.2022.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.19 of 2022 in O.A.No.776 of 2015</b></p> <p>P.G. Sondkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate states that his retirement dues have been held up since 30.09.2015.</p> <p>3. Order of this Tribunal dated 25.05.2017 is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition Stamp No.2396/2017. Learned P.O. to verify whether the retirement benefits are also withheld or not.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>27.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 177/2022</u></b></p> <p>Milind D. Vaze ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is granted time to file affidavit in rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.709 of 2021 with M.A. No.474 of 2021</p> <p>K.H. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Argument of learned Advocate for the Applicant are heard in part.</p> <p>3. Matter be treated as Part Heard.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (M.A. Lovekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S.Y. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On behalf of the Respondents it is submitted that the Principal Secretary, Legislative Assembly, Vidhan Bhavan, <sup>is</sup> <del>is</del> <sup>could be</sup> necessary party. It is pointed out that in the judgment at Exhibit-C he is shown as Respondent No.-5. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant though there is mention of Principal Secretary in judgment at Exhibit-C (O.A. No.123/2019) as Respondent No.-5, this Tribunal had directed to delete him from the array of the Respondents. He states that he will place on record necessary papers to substantiate this submission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.358 of 2021 in O.A. No.655 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. Kumbhar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Perused contents of Application on record.</li> <li>2. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>3. Perused Annexure M1 at pages 8 to 12. This covers the proposed amendment. By the proposed amendment subsequent development<sup>s</sup> are sought to be brought on record. Therefore, the amendment Application deserves to be allowed so that there would be full and final determination.</li> <li>4. Application is allowed. Amendment shall be carried out within two weeks from today.</li> <li>5. Liberty to the Respondents to file additional Reply to the amended portion.</li> <li>6. O.A. is adjourned to 15.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.486 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. Tajane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent, s.o. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.133 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. Bombe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.585 of 2021 with O.A. No.601 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Dapke M.S. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent, s.o. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.864 of 2021</p> <p>J.R. Sonkawade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent, s.o. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.16 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Unavane &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder filed by the Applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. By consent S.O. to 14.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No.717 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.A. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter of suspension of this Applicant is kept on 05.05.2022 (bearing O.A. No.262/2022).</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that since the Applicant is placed under suspension, instant Original Application in which order of transfer is impugned has become infructuous. This is seriously disputed by the Applicant. According to the Applicant the grievance in the instant Application very much survives in-spite of order of suspension.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that, if possible he will file Reply in the matter of suspension of the Applicant on 02.05.2022. If this is done, both the matters can be heard on same day which will be advisable in circumstances.</p> <p>5. This matter be kept on Monday.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 1 V (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.232 of 2022 in O.A. No.812 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. Soude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Perused contents of Application on record.</li> <li>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>On behalf of the Applicant attention is invited to the proposed amendment (at pages 5 to 7 of the M.A.) to contend that by the proposed amendment only legal ground are sought to be added. Correctness of this submission is borne out by the record. The Application deserves to be allowed so that the matter can be heard and decided fully and finally.</li> <li>Misc. Application is allowed. Amendment shall be carried out within two weeks from today.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.648 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. Thakur .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Sur-Rejoinder filed by learned P.O. is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Interim relief is subsisting.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 14.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.424 of 2021</p> <p>P.P. Kashid .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Ruchita Indulkar, learned Advocate holding for U.S. Iyer / Shri Devendra Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned Advocate Ms. Ruchita Indulkar holding for learned Advocate Shri U.S. Iyer time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.136 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent, S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- r v (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.333 of 2022</p> <p>S.N. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that the proposal to drop name of the Applicant from the proposed departmental enquiry is forwarded to the concerned authorities and she will make a positive statement about status of the matter on returnable date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.268 of 2022</p> <p>Y.A. Deore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted till 29.04.2022 for filing Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.188 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. Kalgutkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P. Kalgutkar, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day she will place Reply of the Respondent on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.76 of 2022 in O.A. No.1050 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. Padwal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted till returnable date to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.388 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>L.S. Lahane</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2022.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.287 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. P.N. Kakade <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Y. Ukey, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has again sought permission to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he would file Rejoinder to it in the afternoon session and will finish the hearing today.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 27.04.2022
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1179 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>C. P. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, time is granted to produce the order of deemed date of promotion along with an additional Affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 27.04.2022
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.479 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>K. P. Salunkhe</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought time to file additional Affidavit and requested for time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.194 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A. S. Bendre <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Y. Ukey, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Affidavit in Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. The copy is served to other side.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1034 of 2021</p> <p>V. B. Belge <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Rejoinder to the Sur-Rejoinder filed on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.26 of 2022 with M.A.No.201 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>A. K. Pawar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed additional short affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Initially the Applicant had appointed Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar and then filed Vakalatnama of Advocates Ms Savita Suryawanshi and Shri M.B.Kadam. Today, Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.S.Dere states that Shri Dere, learned Counsel wants to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the Applicants in place of earlier Counsels. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Counsel states that Shri S. D. Dere, learned Counsel is in the High Court and wants to argue the matter afresh.</p> <p>5. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>