

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.222 of 2017</u></p> <p>N.G. Pawaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter called out at 10.30 a.m. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. By order dated 20.3.2023 respondent no.5 is deleted from the array of respondents. Therefore, Registry to take note that appearance of Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.5 may not be shown on the board.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.3.2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.3.2023 (sgi) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.3.2023 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.193 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.S. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Mohit P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that respondents be directed to issue permanency certificate of the applicant and also thereafter to issue pensionary benefits for which the applicant is entitled to and also prays that appropriate cost be saddled on the respondents for non issuance of permanency certificate. The applicant has served as Talathi and retired on 30.11.2018. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant did not submit caste validity certificate and therefore permanency certificate is withheld. It is a fact that applicant was appointed in reserved post of Scheduled Tribe on 28.11.1989. Ld. Advocate relies on GR dated 30.6.2004. Ld. Advocate submits that the Caste Scrutiny Committee rejected the claim of the applicant by order dated 20.4.2022. Therefore the respondents did not issue permanency certificate. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant has filed W.P. No.6158/2022 in the Aurangabad Bench of Hon'ble High Court and it is still pending.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.3.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.3.2023 27.3.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.330 of 2023</p> <p>S. N. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.10.2019 and raised grievance of non-payment of leave encashment, GIS, GPF and arrears in terms 7th Pay Commission. 3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that GIS and GPF is already paid. As regard leave encashment, he submits that now the service book is under process in view of modification of order dated 08.12.2022 whereby the Government modified its earlier order of withholding of one increment and substituted it with the order of withholding of yearly increment after reinstatement till 30.09.2019. 4. In view of above, now there seems to be no hurdle to release the leave encashment to the Applicant and difference of 7th Pay Commission as well as 50% Pay and Allowances for the period from 20.11.2017 to 04.06.2018 (out of service period). 5. Apart since the suspension period is already regularized as a duty period by order dated 30.11.2021. Accordingly, he is entitled to the monetary benefits to that effect. 6. The criminal case is admittedly still subjudice and, therefore, gratuity cannot be claimed. The Applicant is entitled to provisional pension only in terms of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. 7. The O.A. is disposed of with directions to the Respondents to release remaining retiral benefits i.e. leave encashment, arrears of 7th Pay Commission, monetary benefits of regularization of suspension period as well as 50% Pay and Allowances of out of duty period. These benefits be accordingly calculated and be paid to Applicant within two months from today. 8. No order as to costs. Sd/- <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1231 of 2022</p> <p>A .R. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K.P. Salunkhe,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought short time to file Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant stating that second quarter was actually allotted to some other employee and to substantiate it sought permission to file Affidavit with Annexures.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Additional Affidavit and to decide the controversy in the matter.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file counter Affidavit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.235 of 2023</p> <p>N.B. Bagul,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. Advocate Shri A.A. Gharte who appeared on behalf of Respondent No.2 while considering interim relief has not filed Vakalatnama. That day he was heard on his undertaking that he will file Vakalatnama but it is not filed. As such, there is no proper appearance on behalf of Respondent No.2. Respondent No.2 is already served with the notice of M.A. hence the matter to proceed without Reply of Respondent No.2. 4. S.O. to 20.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.15 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.145 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.312 of 2023</p> <p>S.K. Kuklare D.S. Chavan & Ors., S.P. Pulate & Ors., S.A. Shendge M.B. Barmate B.B. Rane K.K. Pawar D.A. Potbhare & Ors., G.S. Revgade & Anrs., A.R. Roman & Anrs., S.M. Gosavi S.J. Shendre & Ors., K.M. Hirane & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.572 of 2020</p> <p>P.P. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for Final Hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant tendered letter of the Applicant and sought permission to withdraw O.A. Letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. In letter the Applicant states that he wants to withdraw O.A. Thus grievance raised by the Applicant seems to have been redressed. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.187 of 2023</p> <p>V.K. DhumalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that the Applicant will be allowed to resume duties w.e.f. from today on the establishment of Respondent No.3 – Dy. Superintendent of Land Record, Vasai, Tal.-Vasai, Dist. Palghar.</p> <p>3. As such, grievance of not allowing the Applicant to join stands redressed. However, his second grievance remains about the order passed by the Tribunal on 18.11.2022 in O.A. 757/2022 of Pay and Allowances.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file short Affidavit so that O.A. could be disposed without further delay.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.491 of 2022</p> <p>R. K. Hiramani & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is heard for some time, the issue boiled to the applicability to the Recruitment Rules notified on 29.09.2021 for 3rd benefit of ACPS Scheme in terms of G.R. dated 02.03.2019 whereby ACPS Scheme is revised in terms of 7th Pay Commission.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicants raised the issue that Applicants have completed 30 years services before notification of new recruitment rules dated 29.09.2021 and, therefore, the question of eligibility in terms of said recruitment rules will not attract and 3rd benefit is required to be granted as per earlier Rules/G.R. In this behalf, he referred ^{letter} Notification dated 22.09.2022 made by Dy. Director Health Services, Pune to the Government. In communication dated 22.09.2022, The Joint Director opined that since Applicants have completed 30 years prior to enforcement of new recruitment rules, they are eligible for 3rd benefit. He, therefore, sought directions and necessary orders from the Government. However, since ^{then} no further steps are taken in the matter, ^{the} Government seems to be simply sitting over the issue.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to call the concerned responsible person from the department (Respondent No.1) and to apprise the Tribunal about the present status of communication dated 22.09.2022 referred to above and the decision of the Government, if any.</p> <p>5. The matter be kept at 2.30 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.O. →</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>6. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. on instructions from Smt. Archana Walzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Dept. submits that file is under process but it will take some time.</p> <p>7. Apparently, Notification of new recruitment rules dated 29.09.2021 will not apply in the present case since the Applicants have already completed 30 years of services before enforcement of new recruitment rules. The proposal forwarded by the Joint Director to that effect is pending with the Government for more than six months.</p> <p>8. Out of 20 Applicants except 1 Applicant, all are stands retired in between 2014 to February, 2023. This being so. The Government ought to have taken decision expeditiously.</p> <p>9. In view of above, the Respondent No.1 is directed to take decision on the proposal dated 22.09.2022 made by the Deputy Director of Health Services, Pune within two weeks from today so that O.A. could be disposed of expeditiously.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">i Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.60 of 2023</p> <p>M. A. GhavateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.284 of 2023</p> <p>R. N. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kishor Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the eviction order dated 14.11.2022 issued by Deputy Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter, Mumbai (Respondent No.5) whereby Applicant's request for retention of quarter in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 is rejected and penal charges are imposed.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was serving as API at Mumbai and transferred to Gadchiroli by order dated 29.08.2021. He applied on 22.11.2021 for retention of quarter allotted to Mumbai in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 which inter-alia provides for retention of quarter for three years subject to payment of regular rent where a Government servant is transferred to Adivasi Region.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and to apprise the Tribunal why he is not entitled to the benefit of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 by tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.206 of 2022 in O.A.No.1234 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Smt. L. M. Gaikwad & Ors. ...Respondents. (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed M.A. for addition of Smt. Sunanda Gaikwad as Respondent in the O.A. since there is a dispute of entitlement to retirement benefits amongst two wives.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to learned Counsel for the Applicants to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.Nos.279, 281 & 282 of 2023</p> <p>Suresh R. Mate & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In all these Original Applications, the Applicants have challenged the order dated 27.05.2021 passed after their retirement thereby cancelling 1st and 2nd Benefit of ACPS Scheme which is maintained in appeal and the pay is revised. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.04.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 26.04.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 27.03.2023

M.A.No.562/2022 in O.A.No.483/2021

A.F. MurdeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has submitted that pensionary benefits are released and therefore relief prayed in M.A. is redressed.

3. In view of above, M.A. stands disposed of.

4. Learned Advocate has submitted that this particular O.A. can be considered and disposed of in view of Rule 27(2)(b)(ii) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. The said Rule states that if Departmental proceedings are instituted while the Government servant was in service, whether before his retirement or during his re-employment, shall not be in respect of any event which took place more than four years before such institution. Applicant retired on 31.08.2018 and Departmental Enquiry was initiated by the charge-sheet dated 07.08.2020 (served on the Applicant on 23.10.2020). Hence, no D.E. can be initiated for the alleged incidences of the year 2015 i.e. after more than four years.

5. Adjourned to 05.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.NO.218/2023</p> <p>Y.B. ChemateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.K. Birajdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has tendered affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.03.2023. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.NO.69/2023</p> <p>Y.U. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Siddiquie & Assoc, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Learned Advocate does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 24.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.NO.1164/2022 with O.A.No.125/2023</p> <p>Y.S. Tekawade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Concerned Respondents are directed to remain present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.NO.1045/2022</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan learned Advocate holding for Mr. A. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned Respondents.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 27.03.2023

O.A.NO.1064/2022

M.S. Jamdade & Ors.Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent.

2. Learned Advocate has submitted that she will file rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.

3. Admits. Adjourned to 05.04.2023. To be tagged along with O.A.No.1306/2020.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.NO.1035/2022</p> <p>S.A. WaghApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D. Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. tenders copy of affidavit-in-reply to learned Advocate</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate informs that yesterday he came across the list of recommended candidates. Applicant is not aware about her results. He expresses apprehension that the applicant's name was not considered while recommending the candidates.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the result of the applicant is not declared.</p> <p>5. M.P.S.C. is directed to declare the result of the applicant. We fix the matter tomorrow, if applicant is found meritorious, then the applicant has a case and if not, matter will be dismissed.</p> <p>6. Hamdast. Adjourned to 28.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.NO.270/2023</p> <p>R.N. Bhise & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has files affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.03.2023 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

27.03.2023

O.A 310 & 311/2023

Shri N.B Rathod

A.Y. Madale

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the CCTV footage in the examination hall is to be provided to the applicants, because while debarring the applicants, M.P.S.C has relied on the CCTV footage.

3. We, therefore, direct M.P.S.C to provide the relevant portion of the CCTV footage to the applicants on the basis of which they have taken decision to debar the applicants.

4. Learned C.P.O submits that 15 days' time is required for completing the technical formalities.

5. S.O to 13.4.2023.

Sd/-

(*Medha Gadgil*)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(*Mridula Bhatkar, J.*)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

27.03.2023

C.A 16/2023 in O.A 626/2022

Shri J.R Chavan ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekara, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that pursuant to the order of this Tribunal dated 11.7.2022, the representation of the applicant dated 29.11.2021 was decided by order dated 24.3.2023.

3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akr

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

27.03.2023**O.A 205/2023**

Shri C.D Tambe ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that the Instructing Officer of the Respondent-State is the D.D.O and 31st March, 2023 being the year ending, she will not be able to attend the court. Learned P.O, therefore, prays for time.

3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 5.4.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1032/2021</p> <p>A.L. JagtapApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate, Adjourned to 24.04.2023 to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No267 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. S.M. Nilange & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that proposal was sent from Public Health Department to GAD on 22.9.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that all the applicants were appointed between 1990 and 2000. Their services are regularized on 18.3.2015 and the Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that they are to be counted from the date of appointment and also prays for protection of pay. Ld. Advocate for the applicants challenges clauses 3(i), 3(ii) and 3(vii) of the Recruitment Rules of 2014 published by notification dated 18.3.2015.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 2.3.2023 written by Public Health Department to the Ld. CPO.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files written arguments dated 27.3.2023 along with judgments.</p> <p>6. This matter is preemptorily fixed on 10.4.2023 at 2.30 p.m. We direct the Ld. CPO to instruct all the respondents that their representatives should be present on the next date to give us instructions.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 27.3.2023 27.3.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.222 of 2017</u></p> <p>N.G. Pawaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter called out at 10.35 a.m. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not present. However, applicant is present in the Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.3.2023</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.3.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.3.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.3.2023
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.3.2023	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.3.2023		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.441/2022 in O.A.No.729/2022</p> <p>G.N. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.441/2022 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 5 years.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the applicant is challenging seniority list dated 05.12.2019. Learned Counsel submits that due to COVID-19 Pandemic the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 in M.A.No.21/2022 in M.A.No.665/2021 in Suo Motu W.P.(C) No.3/2020 has directed that the period of limitation is extended upto February, 2022. The Applicant filed this O.A. on 26.07.2022 and therefore there is delay of approximately six months.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. opposes to the same.</p> <p>5. Considering the submissions of learned Advocate and order of Hon'ble Supreme Court, we condone the delay of 6 months.</p> <p>6. M.A. is allowed. O.A. adjourned to 12.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.73/2022 in O.A.No.119/2019 with O.A.No.705/2020 with O.A.No.576/2020</p> <p>A.T. SakoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person in C.A.No.73/2022, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.576 /2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to reply the order.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Concerned officers are directed to remain present on next date for reporting compliance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.36/2021 in O.A.No.1029/2014 (O.A.No.678/2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.37/2021 in O.A.No.1030/2014 (O.A.No.679/2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.38/2021 in O.A.No.1031/2014 (O.A.No.680/2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.39/2021 in O.A.No.1032/2014 (O.A.No.681/2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.40/2021 in O.A.No.1033/2014 (O.A.No.438/2014 Nagpur) with C.A.No.5/2021 in T.A.No.1/2020</p> <p>R.S. Bidkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in C.A.No.5/2021 in T.A.No.1/2020, Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is placed for hearing on 29.03.2023, C.A. stands adjourned to 19.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.409/2022 in O.A.No.671/2022</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.409/2022 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 9 years.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate sought time to make amendment.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.03.2023

O.A.No.324/2023

S.B. BaradeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.04.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 17.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.316 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.T. Hulawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.6.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Member (A)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">27.3.2023</td> <td style="text-align: center;">27.3.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	27.3.2023	27.3.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
27.3.2023	27.3.2023								

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 27.03.2023

O.A.No.908/2019


A.K. Gogavle & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Last change granted to the C.P.O. to file
reply. If reply is not filed on or before next date,
matter shall proceed without reply.

3. Adjourned to 17.08.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 27.03.2023

O.A.No.145/2021

N.P. Chaudhari & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter to proceed without affidavit-in-reply. Admit. Adjourned to 26.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.305 of 2023</u></p> <p>Smt. Jayashri S. Borse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to correct the prayer clause (a). Leave granted. Correction be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has appeared for the MPSC Examination for the post of Drug Inspector and her name is recommended on 24.12.2020. However, yet she has not received the appointment. Therefore she has filed this OA with a solitary prayer that respondent no.2 be directed to decide on the report dated 30.9.2022 submitted by respondent no.1 to respondent no.2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this OA can be disposed off by giving directions to the respondent no.2.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. We direct the respondent no.2 to take decision on the report within four weeks and communicate the decision to the applicant within one week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 27.3.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 27.3.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.253/2022 with O.A.No.254/2022 with O.A.No.255/2022 with O.A.No.256/2022 with O.A.No.257/2022 with O.A.No.258/2022</p> <p>S.L. SalukheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. For Final hearing. Adjourned to 12.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 27.03.2023
	<p align="center">C.A.No.71/2022 in O.A.No.1095/2016</p> <p>B.S. Killedar & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. tenders copy of affidavit- in-reply to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.04.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.42/2021 in O.A.No.678/2020 with O.A.No.679/2020 with O.A.No.680/2020</p> <p>S.S. MujawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court C.A. stands adjourned to 19.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.03.2023

O.A. No.326 of 2023

S.S. Tambe,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 24.02.2023 issued by Respondent thereby rejecting his claim for Inter District Transfer stating that he does not fulfill requisite terms and conditions.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.04.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 24.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.149 of 2023 in O.A. No.263 of 2023 with M.A. No.202 of 2023 in O.A. No.325 of 2023</p> <p>M.D. Aher & Ors., V.C. Patil & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 27.03.2023

O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023

M.D. Aher & Ors.,
V.C. Patil & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. These O.As are filed seeking direction to relieve the Applicant for Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.04.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 24.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.491 of 2022</p> <p>R. K. Hiramani & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. When the matter is heard for some time, the issue boiled to the applicability to the Recruitment Rules notified on 29.09.2021 for 3rd benefit of ACPS Scheme in terms of G.R. dated 02.03.2019 whereby ACPS Scheme is revised in terms of 7th Pay Commission. 3. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicants raised the issue that Applicants have completed 30 years services before notification of new recruitment rules dated 29.09.2021 and, therefore, the question of eligibility in terms of said recruitment rules will not attract and 3rd benefit is required to be granted as per earlier Rules/G.R. In this behalf, he referred ^{letter} Notification dated 22.09.2022 made by Dy. Director Health Services, Pune to the Government. In communication dated 22.09.2022, The Joint Director opined that since Applicants have completed 30 years prior to enforcement of new recruitment rules, they are eligible for 3rd benefit. He, therefore, sought directions and necessary orders from the Government. However, since ^{then} no further steps are taken in the matter, ^{the} Government seems to be simply sitting over the issue. 4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to call the concerned responsible person from the department (Respondent No.1) and to apprise the Tribunal about the present status of communication dated 22.09.2022 referred to above and the decision of the Government, if any. 5. The matter be kept at 2.30 p.m. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.55 of 2018 with O.A.No.56 of 2018</p> <p>A. T. Mane N. P. Kamble</p> <p align="right">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit along with applications and the reply given by the State which they were supposed to file today in terms of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two days' time is granted to learned Counsel for the Applicant to submit his explanation in the form of affidavit so that matter could be heard finally.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.806/2022, 473/2022, O.A.921/2021, 879/2022, 1323/2022 with O.A.1324/2022</p> <p>D. D. Harale & Ors. Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder in O.A.No.1324/2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. All these O.As be kept together for hearing on the issue whether O.A. is maintainable without availing the remedy of appeal.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.04.2023.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two days time is granted to file additional affidavit along with annexures in view of his submission that now the cadre of Applicant is State cadre and Cadre Recruitment Rules cease to operate.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date only.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- Vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.481 of 2022 in O.A. No.792 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. D.C. Godse & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Devesh Dange, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 28.02.2023 four weeks time was granted to move Hon'ble Chairperson for transfer of this O.A. However, no steps are taken by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant again sought time. One week time is granted to take necessary steps by way of last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.17 of 2023 in O.A. No.36 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. Lakhe,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. M.A. to be kept for hearing. 4. S.O. to 18.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1318 of 2022</p> <p>P.R. Nimgire,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but not filed. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.48 of 2023</p> <p>K.A. Shinde,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.A. Shinde, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3. It is taken on record. No Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.120 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. Patil,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.137 of 2023</p> <p>S.T. Ghusar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.139 of 2023</p> <p>R.S. Mane,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 27.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.201 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>