

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 86, 118, 278 AND 421 ALL OF 2019  
(Bhimrao S. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 26.05.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 16.6.2020 for filing on record copy of recent order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in the similar matter.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 63/2020**

**(Ganesh V. Polas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 26.05.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.6.2020.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 71/2020**

**(Bharatsing V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 26.05.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.6.2020.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 507/2020**  
**(Khanderao D. Bakal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 26.05.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Hande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to file representation / appeal before the appropriate authority against the impugned order. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file representation / appeal before the appropriate authority.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that he has no objection to allow the applicant to withdraw the O.A. with liberty as prayed for.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave as prayed for is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file appeal / representation before the appropriate authority.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**