ŧ

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	M.A. No.333 of 2021 in O.A. No.206 of 2021			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs.	Applicants		
	S.R. NaraleRespondent Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.			
	2. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit of Finance Department enumerating chronology of events in this matter.			
	 Affidavit is to be filed today itself. She further submits that the matter is now pending with the MPSC and Chairperson of the MPSC is suffering from COVID-19 and is on medical leave. 			
	5. In view of the above time is granted to decide the appeal of the applicant within one month from today.			
	6. MA is disposed off as the extension of time sought i exhausted.			
	Member (A) C	Sd/- ala Bhatkar, J.) hairperson 6.11.2021		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<u>O.A. No.869 of 2021</u>				
	P.A.	√idyagar		Apj	plicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponder			spondents	
	Heard Shri S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.			ate for the Presenting	
	 Ld. PO seeks time to file reply. S.O. to 22.12.2021 by way of last chance. 				
		Sd/-	ç	Sd/-	2
	(sgj)	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.11.2021	Cha	Bhatkar, J irperson 11.2021	.)

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	MA.66/2021 in MA.305/2020 in OAs.271/2017 & 534/2017		
	The Additional Commissioner of Labour, PuneApplicant		
	Vs. Shri M.R. Deshmukh (OA.271/2017) Shri S.P. Ingle (OA.534/2017) The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	 Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent. None for the Respondents 2. Pursuant to directions in order dated 16.2.2021, Ld CPO submits that one of the respondents-original applican viz. Shri Sudhakar P. Ingle who has secured highest mark has been appointed vide order dated 18.2.2021. The said order is taken on record and marked Exhibit 'A' for identification. 3. In view of the above, present MA is disposed of with liberty to place the facts on record in writ petition. 		
	Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)		
	Member (A) Chairperson 26.11.2021 26.11.2021		
	(sgj)		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A. No.529 of 2021 in O.A. No.943 of 2021 Atul V. Sondawale & 4 OrsApplicants VsRespondents Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly. 4 Sd/-
	(Medha Gaagil) ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.11.2021 26.11.2021 (sgj)
	[<i>PTO</i> .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	M.A. No.530 of 2021 in O.A. No.943 of 2021		
	Atul V. Sondawale & 4 OrsApplicantsVsRespondents		
	Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. The five applicants are challenging the seniority l published by order dated 4.5.2021 in the present OA.		
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this M No.530 of 2021 in OA No.943 of 2021 is filed under Sect 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 for transferr the present OA from Mumbai to Nagpur Bench on ground that OA No.538 of 2021 is filed in the Nagpur Ber of this Tribunal by 13 applicants challenging the sa seniority list published by order dated 4.5.2021. The pres applicants are having the same grievance in respect of said seniority list and hence this OA be transferred Nagpur Bench and tagged with OA No.538 of 2021.		
	4. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Tribunal.		
	5. In view of the above, present OA No.943 of 2021 is transferred to Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal and be heard along with OA No.538 of 2021. MA disposed off accordingly.		
	Sd/-		
	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.11.2021		
	(sgj)		

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	rders
	Date: 26.11.2021	
	O.A. No.366 of 2021	
	Y.U. Shaikh Versus The State of Maharashtra &	Applicant OrsRespondents.
		vocate both are absent.
		arned Presenting Officer fo
	3. O.A. is for hearing at the Applicant and his A interested in the matter.	t the stage of admission bu Advocate both seem no
	4. Hence O.A. is dismis	sed in default.
		N ×
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 26.11.2021		
	O.A. No.807 of 2020		
	J.R. GundApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	 Heard Ms. Kimaya Godbolye, holding for Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 		
	2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.		
	3. S.O. to 16.12.2021.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Date: 26.11.2021 O.A. No.328 of 2021 P.A. BodekarApplicant
Applicant
P.A. BodekarApplicant
Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today matter is for hearing at the stage or admission.
3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned by way of last chance.
4. S.O. to 17.12.2021.
Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
NMN
[P.T.O.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 26.11.2021
	O.A. No.798 of 2020
	S.G. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent
	2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	3. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission. Perusal of record reveals that learned Advocate is not attending the matter regularly.
	4. Indeed the Applicant is already reinstated in service by order dated 12.08.2021 but on the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant O.A. was kept for hearing since he intend to challenge illegality of suspension order but absent.
	5. O.A. is therefore dismissed in default.
	6. No order as to costs.
	_Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[P.T.O.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 26.11.2021
	O.A. No.761 of 2020
	D.R. NalwadApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent
	2. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
	3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	4. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. Allowed to file Reply.
	5. Since pleading is complete O.A. is admitted and adjourned for Final hearing.
	6. S.O. to 24.12.2021.
	r
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŀ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 26.11.2021		
	O.A. No.698 of 2021		
	A.R. Uttare Versus	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & C	DrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Shri S.A. Kasar, Applicant and Shri A.J. Chor Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for the ugule, learned Presenting	
	2. On request of learned granted for filing Affidavit-in-F	d P.O. two weeks time is Reply.	
	3. S.O. to 10.12.2021.		
		Sd/-	
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN		
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)

|Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DIST	RICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharashtr	a and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	respondentits
)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's ord	ers
		<u>O.A.595/</u>	2021
	Shri A.P.	ſhigle	Applicant
	Vs.		····
	& Ors.	of Maharashtra	Respondents
	2. The that Application between the that that the that the that the the the the the the the the the th	nt and Smt. A.B. Ko ne Respondents. learned Advocate fo ant's grievance is n ssion to withdraw the	
	3. Allow	ed to withdraw the O	D.A. No order as to costs.
			Sd/-
		(A.J	P. Kurhekar)
	(skw)	I	Member-J 6.11.2021
· · · · ·			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 26.11.2021
	O.A.No.182 of 2021 R. U. SawantApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Arun Mokal, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply. It is taken on record
	3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the
	matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
	4. S.O. to 17.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.929 of</u>	2021
	Dr. M.S. Patil Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, le Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any court-fees to be paid, if not already p	
	3. Issue notice before adr 14.1.2022. The respondents are dire	nission returnable on cted to file reply.
	4. Applicant is authorized an Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along with of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. For notice that the case would be taken the stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing duly ith complete paper book l in view of this present Respondents are put to
	5. This intimation/notice is or the Maharashtra Administrative Tril 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	bunal (Procedure) Rules,
	6. The service may be done be post/courier and acknowledgemed produced along with affidavit of co- within one week. Applicant is dire compliance and notice.	ent be obtained and mpliance in the Registry
	Member (A) C	Sd/- Ila Bhatkar, J.) hairperson 26.11.2021
	(sgj)	

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.926 of 2021

Vilas S. Padhye Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicant

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add Principal, Elphistone College, Mumbai as party respondent no.3 in the array of respondents in the above OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy of served on all concerned.

3. The applicant, who is working as Assistant Professor of Psychology in Elphistone College, Mumbai, seeks permission to appear for interview for the post of Professor [Academic Level-14 (AL-14)] (AGP Rs.10000), which is going to be held on 27.11.2021.

4. He has been called for interview for the post of Associate Professor (AL-13A) (AGP Rs.9000) on 27.11.2021. He is already given the Grade Pay of Associate Professor since 2011 however, the respondents have not completed the formality of taking interview for the grade of Associate Professor (AL-13A).

5. Ld. Advocate seeks permission to participate in the interview scheduled as per communication of respondent no.2 dated 18.11.2021 for placement in AL-14.

6. In view of the fact that formality of conducting interview for the level of AL-13A (AGP Rs.9000) was not completed for which he has been called and he will be appearing tomorrow i.e. on 27.11.2021 for interview, we direct the respondents to allow the applicant to appear for interview also for level AL-14 (AGP Rs.10000) tomorrow i.e. on 27.11.2021. We direct that timing of both the interviews should be adjusted suitably.

7. The results of interview for level AL-14 should be kept in sealed cover till final disposal of this OA.

8. S.O. to 14.1.2022. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson Member (A) 26.11.2021 26.11.2021 (sgj)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 26.11.2021
	M.A. No.124 of 2021 in O.A. No.222 of 2021
	N.N. Bhosale & OrsApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Vishnavi Giganati, holding for Shri U.P. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. <i>Prima-facie</i> claim for appointment on compassionate ground is not maintainable since deceased Government servant was dismissed from service before his death.
	3. When query was raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant how O.A. is maintainable and should not be dismissed summarily, she sought time.
	4. S.O. to 03.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 26.11.2021 O.A.No.357 with 358 of 2021
	J. P. Chavan & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, the matters are fixed for hearing at the stage
	of admission without reply. However, learned P.O. seeks
	permission to file reply.
	3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file reply. It is
	taken on record.
	4. The matters be kept for hearing at the stage of
	admission with liberty to Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.
	5. S.O. to 17.12.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member(J) vsm

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 26.11.2021	
	O.A. No.584 of 2	2021
	A.M. Mali Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Anuradha Kho holding for Shri S.B. Thorat, lear Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, lear for the Respondents and Shri learned Advocate for the Responde	ned Advocate for the ned Presenting Officer G.A. Bandiwadekar,
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed behalf of Respondent No.1 to 3. It	
	3. Reply of Respondent No.4 is	s already filed.
	4. Adjourned for hearing at the	e stage of admission.
	5. S.O. to 24.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 26.11.2021	
	O.A. No.872 of 2	021
	S.C. Pujari Versus The State of Mahamakhur 8, 0, 1	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, le the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.k Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. On request of learned P.C granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rep chance. Issue involved in the prese	oly by way of last
	3. S.O. to 03.12.2021.	
	(A.P.	Sd/- Kurhekar) mber (J)
	NMN	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 26.11.2021	
	O.A. No.7	727 of 2021
	P.N. Patil Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	& OrsRespondents
		ale, learned Advocate for the Gaikwad, learned Presenting
	2. On request of learr granted for filing Affidavit-ir	ned P.O. two weeks time is n-Reply.
	3. S.O. to 10.12.2021.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[P.T.O.

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 26.11.2021
	O.A. No.687 of 2021 with M.A. No.436 of 2021
	D.J. ParmekarApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentine Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. but the same is not filed. Hence I am no inclined to grant further time.
	3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission alongwith M.A. which is filed for interim relief, both will heard together.
	4. S.O. to 17.12.2021.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member (J)
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

Date: 26.11.2021

O.A. No. 269 of 2021

P.G. SaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.12.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Data	e: 26.11.2021	
		M.A. No.525 of 2021 in O.A. N	o. 749 of 2019
	Vers		Applicant
	The	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
		Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learne licant and Smt. Archana B.K., cer for the Respondents.	
	21.0	This M.A. is filed to bring eased Original Applicant on rec 8.2021. O.A. is filed for pay eased Government Servant.	cord who died on
	3. Nam	Since right to sue survives, les of legal heir be substituted in	
	4.	Adjourned for Final Hearing.	
	5.	S.O. to 22.12.2021.	a it
			Sd/-
			ırhekar) ber (J)
	NMN		
			[<i>P.T.O</i> .

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 26.11.2021	
	O.A. No.894 of 2021	
	V.D. Salok & Ors. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	 Heard Shri S.S. Dere, lea Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. This is O.A. filed by 33 Approtection to their present pay. Zilla Parishad (Z.P.) service. Ho selected on nomination in State 2013. At the time of appoir service their pay was protected dated 06.04.2015 on the basis of as specifically mentioned in the o	Initially they were in wever, later they were Government service in atment in Government d as seen from order f G.R. dated 23.03.1994
	3. However after six years issued communication dated direction to withdraw the pay p their pay in Government service,	29.09.2021 issuing protection and to re-fix
	4. The perusal of impugned 07.09.2021 reveals that it is prin observation made by this Tribur decided on 17.09.2014. Whe Judgment reveals that it was pe service and subject of pay protect in issue. All that Tribunal observ "If the State Governm extended benefit of cont some other employee wh Z.P., we are sure steps will such mistakes."	harily based upon some hal in O.A. No.327/2013 ereas perusal of the rtaining to continuity in ction seems not directly yed that:- hent in the past had inuity in service to ho were working in
	5. Thus it is on the ba impugned communication has giving an opportunity of hea Indeed Judgment in O.A. No.32 Applicant therein was seeking I from 10.10.1979 in the cadre of date of his joining of service	been issued without ring to the Applicant. 7/2013 reveals that the Deemed date of service Jr. Engineer which was

observed that continuity in service can be for purposes of pension but it cannot be counted for seniority. Thus the *prima-facie* issue involved in O.A. No.327/2013 was seems to be centered upon counting the service for seniority.

6. In view of above, I am satisfied *prima-facie* case is made out for stay to impugned order.

7. Interim relief in terms of para.11 of O.A. is granted till filing of Reply.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.12.2021.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

14. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 26.11.2021
	O.A. No.779 of 2021
	S.S. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 27.08.2021 belatedly therefore question of interim relief does not survives.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.12.2021.
	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN