

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018

R.A.No.13 of 2018 in O.A.No.1079 of 2016

S.J. Kakade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.S. Pagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A.No.1079 of 2016 was filed by the Applicant for directions that he is entitle to regularization of the period from 7.9.2013 to 10.3.2016.

3. However, O.A. was disposed of by this Tribunal by order dated 1.11.2017 whereby the liberty was given to the Applicant to approach Competent Authority /Respondents by filing an application for grant of admissible leave for absentee period.

4. Accordingly, the Applicant has moved the Competent Authority. However, his application has been rejected by impugned order dated 21.12.2017.

5. Now, R.A.No.13 of 2018 has been filed with request to review the order dated 1.11.2017 passed by this Tribunal whereby O.A. was disposed and as well as the order dated 23.2.2017 and 21.12.2017 whereby the application of the Applicant has been rejected by the competent authority.

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that O.A.No.1079 of 2016 be reopen and permission be granted to amend the O.A.No.1079 of 2016 so as to challenge the order dated 21.12.2017.

7. As such O.A.No.1079 of 2016 has been already disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to move an application to the competent authority for grant of leave as may be admissible so as to regularize period of absence.

8. In pursuance of it, application was moved to the competent authority and rejected by the competent authority on 21.12.2017.

9. This being a position, there is no question to reopen O.A.No.1079 of 2016 as it does not fall within parameters of review as contemplated u/s 47 of Civil Procedure Code.

10. Insofar as order dated 21.12.2017 is concerned, the Applicant can file fresh O.A. as may be permissible. In view of above, there is no merit in review.

11. Hence, R.A.No.13 of 2018 is stand dismissed.

12. The contentions raised on merit are kept open.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE 26/11/18

BY

A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

By Smt. D.S. Pagare

Advocate for the Applicant

By Smt. Archana B.K.

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

As to R.A. 13/18 is stand dismissed.

(Signature)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018

O.A.No.920 of 2018

Dr.S.S. Shaha

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order dated 29.10.2018 passed by this Tribunal, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to amend the Application in respect of order dated 9.8.2018 passed by the Government, whereby the absence period from 30.9.2012 to date of joining of the place of present posting has been treated as unauthorized leave and break in service.

3. This development being subsequent to the filing of the O.A., permission is sought to amend the pleadings suitably and to challenge the order dated 9.8.2018.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents opposed the application on the ground that the order dated 9.8.2018 is fresh cause of action and for this purpose, he can file separate O.A.

5. In this O.A. the challenge is to the order dated 10.8.2017 whereby the request of the Applicant for change of division has been rejected. In the same order, it was informed to the Applicant that his absence was unauthorisedly because of his failure to join to the post.

6. The Applicant has prayed to set aside the order dated 10.8.2017 with all consequential service benefits. Whereas, during the pendency of this application, another order dated 9.8.2018 has been passed treating his absence period as unauthorized leave and break of service. As such it can not be said that the applicant wants to introduce new case.

7. The amendment is necessary to decide the matter in controversy as well as to avoid multiplicity of the proceeding.

8. In view of above, amendment as proposed is allowed. It should be incorporated within a week and amended copy of the application be served upon the Respondents.

9. Liberty to Respondents to file additional affidavit-in-reply to the amended O.A. is granted.

10. S.O. to 1.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE: 26/11/18

OFFICE

By Shri A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

By Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

By Shri R.S. Gaikwad

C.P. P.O. for the Respondent's

Ad/S.O to 1/1/19.

[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018

O.A.No.524 of 2018

S.D. Ghumbare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Infact this is second round of litigation as earlier O.A.No.1062 of 2013 has been already disposed of by this Tribunal on 14.08.2014 whereby directions were given to reinstate the Applicant within four weeks without back wages. Now, again present O.A. has been filed for the same relief and addition to this sought relief that directions be given to the Respondents to release the benefit of increment of July, 2014 while fixing benefits.

3. It seems that the Applicant got retired on 31.5.2018. He has made representation dated 14.2.2018 to the department that his increment due on July, 2014 be released and pension be fixed accordingly.

4. As such, in so far as the issue of back wages from 21.9.2013 to 19.7.2014 is concerned, it is no more open to challenge being already decided by this Tribunal in earlier O.A.

5. The question only remains about the fixation of pension considering the increment due on July, 2014.

6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that he has received instructions from the department for preparing reply. But instructions received by the department are silent about release of last increment as claimed by the Applicant.

7. Learned P.O. therefore sought short time. At the same time, learned Advocate for the Applicant also requested for short time to verify as to whether proper pension after last increment has been fixed.

8. Learned Advocate as well as learned P.O. are directed to verify the position.

9. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE: 26/11/18

CORAM

Member (J) A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

S.D. Ghumbare

Advocate for the Applicant

A.J. Chougule

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Advised to 12/12/18.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Copy, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018

O.A.No.1065 of 2017

S.S. Panindre

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Panindre, the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This matter be kept together ^{with} O.A.No.1066 of 2017.

3. S.O. to 14.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

26/11/18

Shri A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE
S.S. Panindre
Applicant in person.

for the Applicant
Smt. Archana B.K.
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

AJ/SO to 14/12/18.
with
OA 1066/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

R.A 21/2018 in O.A 634/2017

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants
(Ori Respondents)

Vs.

Shri S.D Sutar & Ors ... Respondents
(Ori Applicants)

1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).

2. Time enlarged as prayed for.

3. S.O to 12.12.2018.

DATE : 24/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice S.A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A)

APPLICANTS

S.P. Manchekar

Respondents (Ori Applicants)

C.T. Chandratre (Learned Advocate)

Respondent/s (Ori Respondents)

Adj./S.O. to 12/12/2018.

[Handwritten Signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

O.A 772 & 773/2018

Shri T.J Padvi & Ors ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 3.1.2019.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (MIA)

ADVOCATE

A.V. Bandiwadekar

ADVOCATE

S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O for Respondent/s

Adjourned to 3/1/19

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

O.A 782/2018

Shri P.K Sawant ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit C.M.J.

APPEARANCE:

A. V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Admit to come up

for hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, JJP)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

O.A 826/2018

Shri R.S Khapale ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned advocate for applicant, adjourned to 12.12.2018

DATE : 20/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice S.A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)

Advocate for Applicant

M.B. Kadam holding

S.S. Dere

S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to 12/12/2018

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, Jt. J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

O.A 803/2018

Shri R.K Javkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 7.1.2019

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri: None for the appl.

Advocate: _____ Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 7/1/2019.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.731 of 2018

K.S. AwareApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Additional Chief Secretary (Home) is directed to file limited affidavit on the following point :-

Whether the State Government wants to abide by the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in case of Shri Vinod K.Rathod vs. Maharashtra State Electricity Generation Company Limited, Writ Petition No.11574 of 2016 of Aurangabad Bench of Bombay High Court.

3. Affidavit-in-reply be filed on or before 18.12.2018.

4. S.O. to 18.12.2018.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:

D. B. Khaire

Applicant

Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

18/12/2018

Handwritten initials

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Handwritten signature

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

O.A 792/2018

Shri A.P Patil & Others ... Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent 1 & 3 will be filed during the course of the day and prays for time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2.

3. S.O 7.1.2019

Sd/-

(P.N'Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble shri P.N'Dixit (Mbn)

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.B. Kadam Lt. Adv.

holding for Adv. S.S. Dere Lt. Adv. for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv/S.O. to 7/11/2019

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.663 of 2018

A.S. KurundkarApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and leave note is on record.

3. At the request of Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 25.01.2019.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:

for Punam Mahajan

for the Applicant and leave note on record.

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

PRD. for the Respondent/s

Ag. C.O. to 25/1/2019.

File

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi),
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.11.2018

O.A 577/2018

Shri C.S Shinde ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 12.12.2018.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri J.N. Kamble

Adv. for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 12/12/2018

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

[Handwritten initials]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directories and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.673 of 2018

V.K. Kothmire & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K, adjourned to 25.01.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (MIA)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 25/1/2019.

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cocam,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.696 of 2018

R.M. Sonawane & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S.P. Manchekar undertakes to file affidavit-in-reply during the course of day.

3. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member)

APPEARANCE:

C.T. Chandratre

As Applicant

S.P. Manchekar

As C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Advt. to Admit. To come up

to hearing in due course.

M.D.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.247 of 2018

S.V. KawathekarApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S. Suryawanshi prays for one week's time to file reply.

3. Time as prayed is granted.

4. S.O. to 05.12.2018.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. ~~Dixit~~ (Member)

APPEARANCE BY:

For Applicant: K.R. Jagdale

For Respondent:

S. Suryawanshi

PRO. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 5/12/2018

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : <u>S.S. Chaudhari</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>ORDER : M.A. is allowed and O.A. is restored. O.A. to come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>26.11.2018</p> <p><u>M.A 540/2018 in O.A 817/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.F Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S Chaudhari, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Reasons stated by advocate in paras 2 & 3 of M.A are supported by affidavit. Those are accepted to be good and sufficient. 3. M.A is allowed and O.A is restored. 4. O.A to come up for hearing in due course. <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi/J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.) D. B. Khaire</p> <p><u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>28/11/2018</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>26.11.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 833/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.D Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for two days' time for leave to amend and add order of appointment of applicant to Class-D post as well as judgment of Hon. High Court on which he wants to rely upon.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.11.2018</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Honble J. - Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Honble shri P.N. Dixit (Mbr) C. T. Chandratre S. P. Manchekar Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p>26.11.2018</p> <p><u>M.A 434/2015 in O.A 1134/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.K Gurusale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Accepting the reasons and grounds contained in M.A, delay of 10 months caused in filing of O.A is condoned.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. A. Dixit (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>C. T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Shri <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</u></p> <p><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>26.11.2018</p> <p><u>O.A 1134/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.K Gurusale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (A.H Joshi, A.) Member (A) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.90 of 2018
(Subject : Revision of pay scale/retirement benefits)

Dr. P.W. MahindreApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Shri S.P. Saxena, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. On previous hearing, learned P.O. had tendered copy of communication demonstrating that retirement benefits have been extended to the Applicant. In view of that learned Advocate for the Applicant had sought adjournment, hearing was adjourned to today.

4. Today none appears for the Applicant. It appears that the purpose of filing the present O.A. is accomplished, therefore O.A. is disposed of.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.H. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri S.P. Saxena L.A. for the Applicant
the Applicant is absent.

Shri/Smt : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to O.A. is disposed of.

prk

Sd/-

(P.H. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

J.N. _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.683 of 2018

P.D. PagarApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time as prayed is granted.

4. S.O. to 04.12.2018.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.F.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 4/12/2018

fa

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

h

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MS. F.A. 2015 No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appointments, Tribunal's orders or
Directives and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.701 of 2018

R.V. KothmireApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Subject matter pertains to Single Bench. Matter may be placed before Single Bench.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Matter be placed

before single bench.

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.589 of 2018

H.D. NagolkarApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K., adjourned to 05.12.2018.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O to 5/12/2018.

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.563 of 2018

R.D. Patil

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Admit to come up for hearing in due course.

3. Respondents who have not filed reply shall be free to file the same within six week's from today. In absence thereof, it shall be open to the Tribunal to proceed without the reply.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Adv Applicant

Shri/Sri. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Admit to come up

for hearing in due course.

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi),
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Mbn)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: A.U. Patil</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant is absent</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manunkar</u> C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s Shri <u>D.B. Deshmukh</u> Ld. Adv. Adj./S.O. to <u>for R. 9.</u></p> <p>2/11/2019</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.11.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.186 of 2018</p> <p>Pathbandhare Bintari Sandesh Yantrachalak Karmachari SanghatanaApplicant. Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.B. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.9. 2. Shri A.U. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S.P. Manchekar prays for time for filing reply on instructions from Shri Sudhakar V. Keni, Desk Officer, Irrigation Officer, Mantralaya and undertakes that affidavit-in-reply would be filed within two week's. 4. Though two week's time is prayed, by way of last chance we grant four week's time with a caution that if reply is not filed on the next date, the case would proceed without reply. 5. S.O. to 02.01.2019. 6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.604 of 2018

S.J. MulikApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 08.01.2019.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A)

APPEARANCE:

Sd/- R.G. Panchal Ld. Adv.

Advocate for Applicant absent.

Shri/Sd/- S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/1/2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J, N^o 6)
Chairman

prk

[Handwritten signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.11.2018.

O.A.No.993 of 2018
(Subject : Appointment)

E.P. Kharat

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Vinod Tayade, the learned Advocate holding for Shri J.D. Khairnar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Heard. Admittedly Applicant did not possess the requisite qualification on the date fixed by the advertisement.

3. The candidates who does not possess eligibility on the date fixed by the advertisement and on recruitment rules does not qualify, is the ratio decided by Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of following judgment / order:-

(a) Rakesh Kumar Sharma Vs. Government of NCT of Delhi & Ors. Civil Appeal No.6116 of 2013 decided by the Hon'ble High Court on 29.07.2013.

(b) Bedange Talukdar Vs. Saifudaullah Khan & Ors. 2012 AIR (SC) 1803 : 2012 AIR SCW 2403.

4. In view of the foregoing, present O.A. has no merit and is dismissed.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi),
Chairman

prk

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM

Hon'ble A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A)

APPEARANCE

Shri Vinod Tayade holding

J.D. Khairnar

for

Shri Archana B.K.

C.PORO for the respondents

Adj./S.O. to O.A has no

merit and is dismissed.

prk

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

26.11.2018

O.A 316/2017

Shri Vasant N. Zagde & Ors ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare and Ms Swati Manchekar learned C.P.O with Smt Kranti S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Cause title be corrected in O.A as well as Judgment as prayed.

DATE : 26/11/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman);

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)

APPEARANCE:

V.P. Potbhare

For the Applicant

S.P. Manchekar Ld.C.P.O.

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Ld.P.O.

Adj./S.O. to

for the Resps.

cause title be corrected
in O.A as well as judgment
as prayed.

YJC

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman