

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.10.2016

O.A. No.1004 of 2015
with
O.A.194 of 2016
with
O.A.410 of 2016

S.L. Devare & 73 Ors.
C.J. Kamble & Ors
N.M. Jagtap

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt Archana B.K. and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officers for the respondents. Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for the Government in O.A. No.410/2016.

2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Shirish Rajwade, ACF, Forest Office, Jawhar. Ms. N.G.Gohad, the learned P.O. requests that in view of the fact that Shri D.B. Khaire is busy before the Hon'ble High Court, these three Original Applications be adjourned in the month of November, 2016. In so far as the issue of recovery of the amount is concerned, the same is now fully governed by the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a batch of Civil Appeals leading one being Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (Arising out of SLP (C) No.11684/12, State of Punjab & Ors V/s Rafiq Masih (Whilte Washer) etc.).

3. Therefore, I direct that whatever amount has been deducted from the salaries of the applicants be refunded to them within four weeks from today and henceforth no deduction in that behalf be made.

4. The Original Application in so far as relates to revision of pay-scale is considered, stands now adjourned for final hearing to 24.11.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. B. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 24/11/16, Hamdast

PH

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 26/10/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>B.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>D.A. is Admit.</u> <u>S.O. to 22/11/16.</u> <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>Date : 25.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 138 of 2016</p> <p>A.L. Pansare Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranu Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate informs that the applicant does not want to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit, adjourned for final hearing to 22.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- [Redacted Signature] (R.B. Malik) Member(J) ✓</p> <p style="text-align: right;">16</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2016

O.A. No. 609 of 2016 (D.B.)

P.R. Acharekar Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. being instructed by Shri P.M. Balkavde, Section Officer, Home Department.

3. Hearing rival submissions, I find that it is possible that the O.A. can be worked out whatever stand G.A.D. wants to take, it must be filed on the next date.

4. S.O. to 16.11.2016.

DATE: 25/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

~~P.O. for the Respondents~~

S.O. to 16/11/16.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

25.10.16

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/10/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Pothhare</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Admit.</u> S.O. to 21/11/16. <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>Date : 25.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No.710 of 2016 (DB)</p> <p>A.C. Sonawane Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. A fixed date is given, in view of the facts of the present matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 26-10-16 Member(J) E</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2016

O.A. No. 84 of 2016

S.D. Muluk Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. An application is presented by the learned P.O. for which Shri Nitin Pawar, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Special Branch, Navi Mumbai is also present. Dr. R. S. Mane, Deputy Commissioner, HQ reported as on leave. Therefore, hearing objection of the learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the applicant, I am still of the view that short adjournment shall be ordered because Dr. R.S. Mane is on leave.

3. As of today, I make no further observations in the matter. Adjourned to 17.11.2016.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. P.H.V AGARWAL

(Member)

Hon'ble Shri. P.B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 25.10.2016 to 17/11/16.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

-16

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2016

M.A. No.402 of 2016
In
O.A. No.784 of 2016.

Sharad Rama Sapate Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri Sharad Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The matter pertains to board of the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman. As per the office order, the entire board of toady of the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman is required to be adjourned to 7.11.2016. Shri S. Bhosale, the learned Advocate cites personal difficulties for that day and requesty that the matter be placed on 11.11.2016. 2

3. The learned P.O. has no objection and, therefore, as an exception this matter is adjourned to 11.11.2016.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Sharad Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. R.S. Gaikwad

~~S.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

S.O. to 11/11/16.

@caf

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 26.10.16
Member(J)

VSM

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 25.10.2016

O.A. No. 435 of 2016

P. N. Koli Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the respondents.
2. The applicant has not remained present for while now. No rejoinder is filed. Proceed without rejoinder, the Original Application is formally admitted and fixed for hearing, failing which for dismissal.
3. S.O. to 15.11.2016.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt.~~ *None for the Applicant.*

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. *A.J. Chougule*

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

O.A. is admitted.

~~.....~~
S.O. to 15/11/16.

[Signature] vsm

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 26/10/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 23/11/16.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 25.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 1032 of 2016 (D.B.)</p> <p>V.D. Walunj Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 23.11.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O. to 23.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) 26/10/16 Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 26/11/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>O.A. is Admit.</u> <u>S.O. to 17/11/16.</u> FH</p>	<p>Date : 25.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 834 of 2015 (DB)</p> <p>K. A. Gani Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Sadavarte submits that the applicant does not want to file rejoinder. Facts are such where in my view, fixed date will have to be given for hearing before the Division Bench.</p> <p>3. Admit, adjourned to 17.11.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>26.10.16</p>

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As. No.975 to 979 of 2015
---	--

Smt. C.S. Diddhai & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Miss Manchekar, Ld. Advocate states that she is busy in a matter in the Hon'ble High Court which is already fixed.

3. S.O. to 7.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
26.10.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 7/12/16.

B.T.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>MA.584/15 in OA.1036/15 with MA.626/15 in OA.1115/15 with OAs.25, 26 & 27/16</u>
---	--

Shri S.K. Baravkar & Ors. ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.

2. Ld. PO states that work of fixation of pay is in process and for which at least three months time is required.

3. The respondents should keep the Ld. PO informed atleast by writing a letter about work which is completed and which is required to be completed.

4. S.O. to 20.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
26.10.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 20/12/16


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.827 of 2015
---	--

Shri D.M. Baraskar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant is reported to be on his legs before the other Bench.

3. S.O. to 7.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.10.2016

(sg)

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 7/12/16

Handwritten signature

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.938 of 2015
---	--

Shri P.R. Jagdale & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate prays for leave to amend the OA in order to enable him to add the General Administration Department as party respondent and incorporate suitable amendments relating to responsibility of GAD.

3. Leave as sought is granted. Amendment be carried out within three weeks.

4. Amended copy be served on the respondents after taking fresh notice from the office of this Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 22.12.2016 for returning of notice.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon. the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon. the Justice M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.~~

ADVOCATE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Shri K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 22/12/16 for returning of notice

BJK

Sd/-

A.H. Joshi, (I)
Chairman
26.10.2016

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.218 of 2015

Shri C.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate states that
respondents be directed to furnish to the applicant copy
of pension papers as submitted and the details of pay as
worked out entry wise as well as details of actual pay
given to him.

3. Ld. PO states that information as directed by this
Tribunal will be furnished to the applicant within two
weeks for which the applicant should attend the office of
Deputy Director of Education, Pune on 10.11.2016.

4. S.O. to 24.11.2016.

5. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is
directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

(sgj)


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.10.2016

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Judge Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Member Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: 24/11/16 steno copy

& Hamdast is allowed.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO.11 OF 2016
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1053 OF 2013**

Shri A.R. Jadhavar

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Miss Lata Patne – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 26th October, 2016

ORDER

1. Heard Miss Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that:

- (a) The applicant's leave period between 15.12.2011 and 29.12.2011 is sanctioned as commuted leave
- (b) Period between 30.12.2011 and 29.4.2012 for 112 days is sanctioned as earned leave for medical grounds.
- (c) The period between 20.4.2012 and 9.6.2013 i.e. for 416 days is sanctioned as extra-ordinary leave to be counted even for the purpose of increments.



- (d) The leave salary for 1.3.2012 to 19.4.2012 for a sum of Rs.51588/- is credited in the account of the applicant.
- (e) Bills towards the period 10.6.2013 to 31.8.2016 for sum of Rs.1,77,093/- is submitted to the Accountant General for approval and the amount would be credited as and when it would be received.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant complains that Tribunal's order makes it imperative to pay salary between 20.4.2012 to 9.6.2013, however said salary is orally refused.

4. The respondent contemnor No.1 Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file his own affidavit on following points:


- (a) The date when the order passed in OA was received in his office.
- (b) the date when he has personally seen/read the order.
- (c) The date when he received the advocate's notice a copy whereof is at page 59 of the CA.
- (d) Did he reply the notice sent by Applicant's advocate.
- (e) What are the reasons towards failure to reply the notice, if it is not replied?
- (f) The date when he has received the Tribunal's notice of contempt.
- (g) Is he satisfied that the order is complied to full extent.
- (h) If he is not satisfied, what steps he would take to ensure total compliance of order, before next date.

5. Affidavit be filed on or before 17.11.2016.

6. S.O. to 24.11.2016.



7. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.10.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2016\10 October 2016\CA.11.16 in OA.1053.13.J.10.2016-ARJadhavar-SO.24.11.16.doc

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicant/s
ocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
C.A. No.53 of 2015 in O.A. No.697 of 2012

Shri D.M. Barmase ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 27.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI, J.)
Chairman
26.10.2016

(sgj)

26/10/16

Shri A. M. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri K. S. Gaikwad (Member) A

APPEARANCE

V.P. Potbhare

Presented for the Applicant

K.S. Gaikwad

Presented for the Respondent/s

27/10/16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.796/2016

Dr. S.G. Badhe ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard the Applicant in person and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned CPO is being instructed by Shri R.D. Sawant, Joint Secretary, Law & Judiciary Department, Mantralaya.

This urgent OA has been assigned to me today. Today is the penultimate day before Diwali holidays. The Affidavit-in-reply is filed today just now. The Applicant submits that she also has got personal problems in view of the fact that she is currently posted at Ahmedabad as Assistant Legal Advisor to Directorate of Enforcement. The Affidavit-in-rejoinder may have to be filed if the Applicant wants to do so. I am informed that her Advocate has met with an accident and even if he is unable to argue on the next date, she will argue the matter herself. That option is left open. This OA is treated as a Part Heard. The copy of the Affidavit-in-rejoinder be furnished to ~~other~~ Office of the CPO or in the office of Mr. Sawant named above. The matter peremptorily kept for final hearing on 8th November, 2016 at 3.00 p.m. to be first on Board. Hamdast.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri Smt. Applicant in person~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

CPO/PO for the Respondents

Adj. To 8/11/16

Hamdast.

(skw)

PH-FOB

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
26.10.2016

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">26.10.2016</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 85/2013 in O.A No 788/2012</u></p> <p>Shri R .T Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.B Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. S.O to 24.11.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE

Shri/S. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/S. D.B. Khaire sp. counsel

C.P.O./P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj. To, 24/11/16.

BFL

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.10.2016

C.A 130/2015 in O.A No 308 2012

Shri S.S Padave ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from Shri G.K Pakale, Section Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, states that the matter could not be taken in the Cabinet Meeting held on 25.10.2016.

3. For reporting action taken in the matter, S.O to 24.11.2016.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

CPO/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 24/11/16

BK

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.10.2016

M.A 491/2015 in C.A 78/2015 in O.A No 779/2013

Shri G.R Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the applicant (Original Contemnor No. 3) and Respondents No. 2 & 3 (Ori. Contemnor Nos 1. & 2) and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).

2. As the Contempt Application is withdrawn, nothing survives in the Misc Application and the same is disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.B. Bhise, Id. P.O.

Advocate for the Applicant (Orig. R. No. 3)

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar And R. No. 2 & 3. Orig.

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s
Contemnor Nos. 1 & 2)

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Id. adv. for the Resp. (Orig. Appl.)

MA is disposed of.

BBZ

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.10.2016

C.A 131/2015 in O.A No 907/2012

Shri P.A Vanjari ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states as follows:-

a) Applicant's case has been forwarded to G.A.D.

b) The matter will be perused by G.A.D and the outcome would be informed on the next date.

3. S.O to 23.11.2016.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 23/11/16

[Signature]

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

26.10.2016

C.A 60/2015 in O.A No 1013/2014

Shri M.V Deshmukh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states as follows:-

- a) Applicant's case was sent for Pay Verification. It has been completed.
- b) Applicant has been called tomorrow in the office of the Commissioner, Tribal Development, Nasik for signing Pension Papers.

3. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar states that he shall inform the Applicant to remain present in the office of the Tribal Commissioner, Nasik for signing papers.

4. For reporting further compliance adjourned to 22.11.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 22/11/16

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.10.2016

C.A 116/2014 in O.A No 3/2015 & Ors

Shri S.R Pimputkar & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.B Khaire learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Learned Special Counsel Shri Khaire has placed on record affidavit in reply, which is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate Shri Kamble prays for time to read the affidavit in reply and address on the next date.

4. S.O to 28.11.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri D.B. Khaire spl. Counsel

C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/11/16

BTE

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.10.2016

C.A 101/2015 in O.A No 1086/2012

Shri L.G Sawant & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri V.M Thorat, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar prays for leave to withdraw this application with liberty to file fresh application after giving personal notice to the appropriate Contemnors.

3. Leave as sought is granted with liberty to file fresh Application.

4. Contempt Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.

DATE: 26/10/16

CORAM:

Hon. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. Justice M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCES:

S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri V.M. Thorat H. Spl. Counsel

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Act. To CA is disposed of

[Signature]

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman