

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.506 of 2024 in O.A. No.604 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.R. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant who is working as Junior Clerk in the office of Settlement Commissioner, Pune since 24.5.2021 has now filed MA No.506 of 2024 seeking to keep 'One Post' of Junior Clerk available in Haveli as per Order dated 15.6.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue Department.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant draws our attention to the Order dated 15.6.2022 which was passed in Appeal by the Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue Department in which he has condoned long absence of Applicant of 2121 days to regularize it as leave due and admissible to Applicant. However, this Order dated 15.6.2022 passed in Appeal is not referred to in Order dated 31.8.2023 issued by Revenue & Forest Department thereby informing Applicant that his leave period of more than 5 years and 10 months is to be treated as 'Extra-ordinary Leave'. However, as Special Case it cannot be considered valid for 'Pensionary Benefits</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

or other Service Benefits' being contrary to the Order dated 15.6.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue Department.

4. We would thus like to know how Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue Department and under what power has without recommendations of 'CSB' directly transferred Applicant under ROTA to Haveli on which this MA No.506 of 2024 is based wherein it is prayed that the post of Junior Clerk in Haveli is to be kept vacant for the Applicant. The question which arises is whether the post of Junior Clerk in Haveli has been kept vacant since 15.6.2022 and secondly why Order dated 15.6.2023 of Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue Department was not communicated to the Applicant and Settlement Commissioner, Pune and under what power the Order passed in Appeal on 15.6.2022 by Hon'ble Minister of State for Revenue Department was subsequently cancelled by Order dated 31.8.2023 by Revenue & Forest Department.

5. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondents.

6. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
26.9.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
26.9.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1241 OF 2024 (S.B.)

Dr. K.S. PatilApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

DATE : **26.09.2024**

O R D E R

1. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to set aside the impugned order dated 23.09.2024 passed by Respondent No.1 under which he transferred the Applicant from the post of Police Surgeon, Police Hospital, Nagpada, Mumbai to the post of Medical Superintendent, Sub-District Hospital, Pen, District Raigad and by separate impugned order dated 23.09.2024 transferred the Respondent No.2 in place of the Applicant from the post of Medical Officer (Physician), Pt. Bhimsen Joshi Hospital, Mira Bhayandar, District Palghar and accordingly the Applicant be granted all consequential service benefits, as if the impugned order had not been passed.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar challenges the transfer order and seeks interim stay on the following grounds :

(a) Applicant's transfer order is mid-term and mid-tenure thereby violating Sections 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity).

(b) According to the Applicant no CSB meeting has been conducted.

(c) According to the Applicant for transfer of Police Surgeon, Class-I, the competent authority is the Hon'ble Ministry, Public Health and immediately approval of the Hon'ble Chief Minister is

sought. He has submitted that the Department had bypassed competent authority i.e. Hon'ble Minister of Health and has directly taken the approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister therefore there is breach of Section 6(b) of the ROTA 2005.

(d) The post of Medical Superintendent, Sub-District Hospital, Pen, District Raigad is already occupied and the person who is brought in place of the applicant i.e. Respondent No.2 Dr. Gajanan K. Sanap is not competent for holding the post of Police Surgeon as requisite qualification for the said post is M.D. (Forensic Medicine and Toxicology) as Nagpada Hospital entertains police cases whereas Respondent no.2 is M.D. Medicine.

3. Learned P.O. has produced for perusal the minutes of CSB meeting conducted on 23.08.2024. Learned P.O. has submitted that within two days Applicant's posting order will be issued and he will not remain without posting. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

4 Par contra, learned Counsel has raised objection relying on G.R. dated 11.02.2015 that certain directions are required to be followed by the Government while issuing the mid-term and mid-tenure transfer orders.

5. Learned P.O. has submitted that the State has followed the procedure.

6. I have gone through the file placed before me. The reasons for the transfer are specifically mentioned and special reasons are also mentioned that there are complaints against the applicant of the colleagues about malpractices and about bad administration at the hands of the applicant. It appears that the Hon'ble Minister Health and Hon'ble Chief Minister have signed the said minutes. Hence, it is not the fit case to grant interim stay. Respondents are directed to issue posting order of the Applicant within three days. Meanwhile Applicant is directed to join the posting wherever is given.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.10.2024.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
13. Adjourned to 03.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.387 of 2024 in O.A. No.587 of 2024 with M.A. No.388 of 2024 in O.A. No.444 of 2024 with M.A. No.451 of 2024 in O.A. No.444 of 2024 with M.A. No.389 of 2024 in O.A. No.440 of 2024 with M.A. No.390 of 2024 in O.A. No.426 of 2024</p> <p>K.B. Pathade & Ors., R.S. MahamuneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Junior Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Applicant for the Applicant in M.A. No.387,388, 389, 390/2024, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.451/2024 through Video-Conference, Shri V.A. Nagrani/R.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.587/2024, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.444/2024, S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.440/2024, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.426/2024, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No. 587/2024, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in all M.As. & O.A. No.444, 426 & 440/2024 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 in O.A. No.587, 444, 426/2024.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants state that all Applicants are challenging the recruitment to post of 'Research Officer' in 'Tribal Development Department' as Applicants were found 'Non-Eligible' on grounds of 'Practical Experience' or not fulfillment of requisite 'Eligibility Criteria' in 'Advertisement' dated 11.08.2020' issued by 'Tribal Development Department'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. No.444/2024 states that Applicant has no objection regarding declaration of 'Final Result' by 'MPSC' & drawing up of 'Merit List' for appointment to post of 'Research Officer' in 'Tribal Development Department'.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant in M.A. No.451/2024 in O.A. No.444/2024 submits that name of Applicant therein is shown at 'Sr. No.3' in list of candidates called for 'Interview'. Therefore Applicant in M.A. No.451/2024 in O.A. No.444/2024 wants to intervene to seek appointment to post of 'Research Officer' in 'Tribal Development Department'.

5. Learned PO thereupon submits that the re-constituted 'Committee of Experts' formed to decide about all issues relating 'Practical Experience' of various posts of 'Tribal Development Department' has since submitted its report on 10.04.2024.

6. The M.A. No.387 of 2024, M.A. No.388 of 2024, M.A. No.451 of 2024, M.A. No.389 of 2024, M.A. No.390 of 2024 which are all about 'Intervention' in O.A. No.587 of 2024, O.A. No.444 of 2024, O.A. No.444 of 2024, O.A. No.440 of 2024 & O.A. No.426 of 2024 are 'Allowed'. The copy of O.A. No.587 of 2024, O.A. No.444 of 2024, O.A. No.444 of 2024, O.A. No.440 of 2024 & O.A. No.426 of 2024 should therefore be forthwith served to all 'Interveners'.

7. The 'Tribal Development Department' is directed to expeditiously take appropriate decision within 'Two Weeks' about report of re-constituted 'Committee of Experts' submitted on 10.04.2024 to decide about issue 'Practical Experience' for posts of 'Research Officer' in 'Tribal Development Department'.

8. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1215/2024</p> <p>S.N. PansareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has produced the detail chart regarding the service history of the posting of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has also produced the documents on record. He has submitted that the applicant is pointed on notified post on 23.01.2024. She was appointed ad Administrative Officer, Social Development Department. The said post is not notified on 23.01.2024 till today.</p> <p>4. The Commissioner Mr. Shekhar Singh of Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Council is directed to file affidavit to the fact that whether the Commissioner or the Officer has supplied information to Election Commission erroneously and which was corrected by report dated 14.08.2024 that Applicant is working on notified post and which period is counted by the Commissioner while furnishing the information to the Election Commission. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the concern person.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 04.10.2024. HOB. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1223/2024</p> <p>N.R. KencheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. informs that he has received letter from D.G. office that the applicant cannot given posting on non-functional post.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.10.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply reply information from Thane C.P. also. Applicant to continue to work on the same post, if at all he is not relieved as on today till 5.50 p.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.846/2024</p> <p>S.V. HitareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents Mr. S.S. Kate, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 (through video conference) are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kate adjourned to 01.10.2024. FOB.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2024</p> <p>M.A.482/2024 (Mum) in O.A.527/2024 (A'bad) With M.A.483/2024 (Mum) in O.A.576/2024 (A'bad) With M.A.484/2024 (Mum) in O.A.995/2023 (N'pur) With M.A.485/2024 (Mum) in O.A.996/2023 (N'pur) With M.A.486/2024 (Mum) in O.A.1024/2023 (N'pur)</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Appli (Org.Respds)</p> <p>V/s. S.M. Shelke S.S. Bhalshankar P.S. Ahire D.D. Pimple M.B. Chavhan Respds (Org. Appli).</p> <p>1. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. Sujay Palshikar i/b. Mr. S.P. Palshikar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Applicant) in M.A.484/2024 (Mumbai) in O.A.995/2023 (Nagpur), M.A.485/2024 (Mumbai) in O.A.996/2023 (Nagpur) are present None present in M.A.486/2024 (Mumbai) in O.A.1024/2023 (Nagpur).</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that in O.A.Nos.910 & 911 of 2024 and other connected matters the issue regarding experience of the applicants whether according to Factory Act is involved. Therefore cancellation of recommendation made by Respondent No.1, MPSC is under challenge. Learned C.P.O. has further submitted that in all these O.As which are pending at M.A.T. Benches Nagpur and Aurangabad similar issue is involved, therefore, these matters are required to be transferred.</p> <p>3. In view of this O.A.Nos.910 & 911 of 2024 and other connected matters can be kept on 04.10.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply. The matters pertain to appointment and it will be taken immediately. The M.As.482 to 486 of 2024 stands disposed of as rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.577 of 2024 in O.A. No.1229 of 2024</p> <p>V.K. Patil & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.577 of 2024 in O.A. No.1229 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.577 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.577 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.09.2024

O.A. No.1229 of 2024

V.K. Patil & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Advertisement' was issued on 07.02.2024 by 'Regional Selection Committee Government Central Press' for appointment to '33 Posts' of 'Auxiliary Binder' in office of 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicants then states that all Applicants had filled-up 'Application Forms' for these '33 Posts' of 'Auxiliary Binder'. After completion of due process of recruitment by 'Regional Selection Committee Government Central Press'; names of all Applicants were included in 'Select List' published on 06.09.2024.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicants then emphasized that all Applicants were then issued 'Appointment Orders' on 06.09.2024 by 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai'. All Applicants had accordingly joined on 09.07.2024. However on same day all Applicants were orally informed to leave office of 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai'. Thereafter; till today no further communication has been received from office of 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai'.

5. Learned C.P.O. submits based on instructions received from 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press' who is personally present that there was specific communication from office of 'Principal Secretary (Industries)' in 'Energy, Labour, Industries and Mining Department' that 'Selection Process' for appointment to '33 Posts' of 'Auxiliary Binder' in office of 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai' is to be stayed immediately because of 'Complaints Of Mal-Practices'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned C.P.O. thus submits that time be granted to verify relevant facts from office of 'Principal Secretary (Industries)' in 'Energy, Labour, Industries and Mining Department'.
7. Learned CPO mentions that 'Principal Secretary (Industries)' in 'Energy, Labour, Industries and Mining Department' has not been made 'Respondent' in O.A. No.1229/2024 and M.A. No.577/2024.
8. The learned CPO requires time to get requisite information from office of 'Principal Secretary (Industries)' in 'Energy, Labour, Industries and Mining Department' about specific communication made to 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai'. Hence against this backdrop '3 Posts' of 'Auxiliary Binder' in office of 'Manager, Government Central Printing Press, Charni Road, Mumbai' are to be kept vacant till 'Next Date'.
9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2024.
11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
15. S.O. to 10.10.2024 for 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.09.2024

M.A. No.408 of 2024 in O.A. No.192 of 2022

M.N. ShingadeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant seeks relief as per Clause No.6 & Clause No.9 of G.R. dated 15.12.2017 for 'Ad-hoc Promotion' to post of 'Range Forest Officer'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has been given punishments in two Departmental Enquires; as he was held guilty in (a) 1st Departmental Enquiry by 'Order' dated 25.09.2017 and (b) 2nd Departmental Enquiry by 'Order' dated 20.02.2022.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that 2nd Departmental Enquiry the punishment of stoppage of '3 Annual Increments' will get over in 2024. Applicant therefore submits that his case for 'Ad-hoc Promotion' to post of 'Range Forest Officer' be decided expeditiously based on 'Stay Order' granted by 'Appellate Authority' on 13.02.2023.
5. Learned C.P.O. emphasizes that punishment Order dated 20.02.2022 in 2nd Departmental Enquiry is still in force due to 'Stay Order' granted by 'Appellate Authority' on 13.02.2023. Further there is no specific provision in G.A.D. G.R. dated 15.12.2017 to deal with such eventuality as has arisen in case of Applicant.
6. Learned Advocate for Applicant then submits that such situation is not applicable to the case Applicant.
7. The learned Advocate for Applicant nonetheless is called upon to make submission on point of applicability of 'Clause No.6' & 'Clause No.9' of G.A.D. G.R. dated 15.12.2017 to case of Applicant against backdrop of 'Stay Order' granted by 'Appellate Authority' on 13.02.2023.
8. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.09.2024

O.A. No.629 of 2024 with O.A. No.635 of 2024 with
O.A. No.645 of 2024 with O.A. No.646 of 2024 with
O.A. No.731 of 2024
(FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)

A.R. Shinde
V.R. Nagargoje
V.S. Korvi & Anrs.,
N.B. Kshirsagar
K.S. KarandeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Speaking to minutes in order dated 25.09.2024 passed in O.A.No.629/2024 Ors.
2. O.A. not on board. Taken on board in view of speaking to minutes praecipe dated 26.09.2024 filed by learned C.P.O. for the Respondent Ms. S.P. Manchekar.
3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted in order dated 25.09.2024 in paragraph (1) & (2) it is stated as under:-
“(1) Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

(2) If Reply is not filed by Commissioner on or before 03.10.2024. Commissioner will be directed to remain present on the next date. It is made clear if at all the Applicants are eligible then they should be sent for training immediately with batch which announced on 11.09.2024.
4. The same be clarified as,
“(1) Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

(2) If Reply is not filed by Sports Department Commissioner on or before 03.10.2024. Sports Department Commissioner will be directed to remain present on the next date. It is made clear if at all the Applicants are eligible then they would be sent for training immediately with batch which announced on 11.09.2024.
5. Ordered accordingly. Adjourned 03.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

C.A. No.43 of 2024 in O.A. No.1480 of 2023 in
C.A. No.44 of 2024 in O.A. No.1481 of 2023

S.R. ChateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 17.10.2024 at the request of learned Advocate.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.546 & 543 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.R. Takey & Ors. Dr. V.N. Wahul & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that earlier the order of promotion was not issued as there was Code of Conduct due to Teachers Constituency Election till 12.7.2024 and submission was made before us that the issue of promotion will be considered by the respondents after the Code of Conduct is over. So matter was fixed on 18.7.2024 and since then department has not taken any action.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.12/2024 with MA.574/2024 and OA.29/2024</u></p> <p>N.P. Patil & Ors. M.M. Bidkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Amit A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2-MPSC are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that this is a High Court time bound matter of February 2024 and it is to be heard within one month. However, though the reply is filed by the Respondent No.2-MPSC, the respondent no.1 has not filed the reply.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that the issue relates to interpretation of the rules and hence time is required.</p> <p>4. To decide the issue, the reply of respondent no.1 is necessary. By way of last chance one week's time is granted to file the reply otherwise the matter will be heard without reply as the time bound orders are given by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 4.10.2024 for filing reply of respondent no.1. Thereafter the matter will be fixed on 8.10.2024 for hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OAs. No.692, 693, 786 & 787 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.B. Deshmukh B.G. Shedge S.B. Ahire Y.C. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 5.9.2024, Ld. PO informs that total 135 officers similarly situated like applicants and Applicant Shri Karvekar in OA No.801/2024 are required to be considered as per relevant clauses of GR dated 15.12.2017 for ad hoc promotion. She submits that information of 135 officers is required to be gathered, which is done and now information of 2 officers is remaining.</p> <p>3. The case of other similarly situated officers can be considered later however the applicants who have approached the Tribunal i.e. the applicants in above OAs. and Applicant Shri Karvekar in OA No.801/2024 is to be considered immediately as they have approached this Tribunal. Their cases are required to be considered within one week. The decision in respect of all these applicants is to be placed before the Tribunal on 4.10.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.600 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent no.2 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 10.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.10.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 26.9.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.243 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.M. Kanade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that order of promotion dated 13.9.2024 is issued in favour of the applicant.</p> <p>3. As the grievance of the applicant is redressed the OA has become infructuous and the same is disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.9.2024 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1528 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.P. Singh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that revised charge sheet was served on 10.5.2024 and Enquiry Officer was appointed in 2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that interim relief was granted on 14.6.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.9.2024 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.245 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.S. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 30.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.9.2024 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.789 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.K. Pawar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 7.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.9.2024 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.759 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.N. Kaningdhwaj ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 1.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OAs. No.404, 352, 368, 370 & 566 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.H. Nadaf V.V. Teli & Ors. R.Y. Bhosale P.D. Rathod S.N. Sable Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.404/2024, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants in remaining OAs and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 3.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

C.A. No.59 of 2019 in O.A. No.1041 of 2017 with
O.A. No.1042 of 2017

L.B. KadamApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri G. Kurane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 11.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

C.A. No.79 of 2023 in O.A. No.650 of 2015

M.R. PatilApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. S.O. to 17.10.2024 at the request of learned Advocate.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

Sd/-
 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
 Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

O.A. No.198 of 2024

S.B. More & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 to 12.

2. O.A. though adjourned to 25.10.2024, taken on board at the request of learned Advocate for Applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicant, O.A. No.198/2024 is proponed and adjourned to 10.10.2024.

4. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

C.A. No.10 of 2024 in O.A. No.585 of 2016

R.S. BodakeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that D.P.C. was conducted on 23.03.2022.

3 S.O. to 04.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1211 of 2024</p> <p>J.I. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Ms. P.S. Pradhan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that 'Provisional List' for appointment to posts of 'Police Constable' is already published by 'SP, Palghar'. However, based on information from officer present on behalf of SP, Palghar; learned CPO further informs that till 01.10.2024 the finalized 'Select List' for posts of Police Constables will not be published by 'SP, Palghar'.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is directed to produce his 'School Leaving Certificates' of 'Primary School' & 'Middle School'; so as to ascertain whether the Applicant belongs to 'Sub-Caste' of 'Rajput Bhamta' as the 'School Leaving Certificates' of his 'Sister' & 'Father' are showing 'Caste' to be 'Rajput' with no mention of 'Sub-Caste' of 'Rajput Bhamta'. Further; the 'Death Certificate' of 'Grandfather' of Applicant is also showing Caste to be 'Rajput' and does not 'Sub-Caste' of 'Rajput Bhamta'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.09.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.09.2024

M.A. No.315 of 2024 in O.A. No.610 of 2023

A.S. Pophare

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri A.S. Pophare, Applicant-in-Person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant is at present working as Stenographer (Higher Grade). He has filed O.A. for Deemed Date of Promotion.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.09.2024

C.A. No.20 of 2024 in O.A. No.907 of 2022

**S.P. MalusareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Shri Suraj Gadkari, learned Advocate i/b. Shri R.Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

M.A. No.86 of 2024 in O.A. No.849 of 2023

S.D. BhagatApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file Reply.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.09.2024

M.A. No.594 of 2023 in O.A. No.574 of 2023

S.I. BhosaleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he has withdrawn O.A., hence nothing remains in this M.A.

3. In view of above, M.A. is disposed off.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.233 of 2024 in O.A. No.1025 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. again pointed out that the Applicant as filed Reply to Show Cause Notice which was issued by Disciplinary Authority, so now the Disciplinary Authority is to take decision.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant has good case and O.A. be heard immediately on the point of Interim Relief. Learned Advocate further submits that he want to take instruction for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1199 of 2024

A. C. Tak

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar) /
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1219 of 2024

C. A. MeshramApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

M. A. No.575 of 2024 in O.A.No.1220 of 2024

P. R. GaikwadApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1238 of 2024

C. B. TupeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.181 of 2024

A. N. KotangleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Karim holding for Shri Kathane, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply positively on next date.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.202 of 2024

S. R. Revatkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO seeks two weeks' time to file reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 10.10.2024 as a final last chance to file reply.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.660 of 2024

M. N. Dive

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri V. S. Kokitkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.529 OF 2024

R. R. Murti

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. A. S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.736 OF 2024

C. R. PardeshiApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil holding for Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is adjourned to 10.10.2024 for filing Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1048 OF 2024

B. B. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Darshan Kambli holding for Shri A. D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit of Service'. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.835 OF 2024

P. S. Salvi & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Pradosh Tiwari holding for Shri Yadav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Priyanka Patil for Respondent No.2.

2. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
vsm

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1520 OF 2023

S. R. Tailor

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed additional short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to go through it.

4. S.O. to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1173 OF 2023

P. S. Renushe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. The Applicant to proceed with the argument positively on next date.
3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.
4. S.O. 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

y sm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.589 OF 2023

M. K. Gadekar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. 'Interim Relief', if any to continue till next date.
3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.666 of 2024

M. N. DiveApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant did not get salary for the month of May.
3. Learned PO seeks time to take instructions in this regard.
4. S.O. to 03.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1042 OF 2023

E. D. MahadikApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO submits that he has not received details as to the dates of payment of pensionary benefits and seeks time to take instructions.

3. S.O. to 08.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
 directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
 Date : 26.09.2024

M. A. No.579 of 2024 in O.A. No.818 of 2023

B. N. KambleIntervener

N. P. KambleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate for the Intervener, Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
 Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1296 OF 2023

C. R. AhinaveApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' if any to continue till next date.
4. S.O. to 22.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.242 OF 2024

A. D. Shinde

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned PO for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.5
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.
3. 'Interim Relief' if any to continue till next date.
4. S.O. to 16.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1107/2024

S.K. DangareApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 10.10.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1109/2024

D.V. KardureApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 10.10.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.154/2024

H.S. PatilApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference), Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 are present.

2. While going through the previous orders, I have come across that in the orders it is mentioned as 'Interim relief to continue till next date'. However, I am not able to find the order wherein interim relief was granted.

3. On query learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that there is no interim relief granted in this O.A.

4. Adjourned to 01.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1187/2024

R.V. VaradeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. A.R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 is present

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshpande has submitted that applicant has joined his transferred post of Naib Tehsildar (Election) Niphad without prejudice.

3. Adjourned to 30.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.697/2024

A.S. ShaikhApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant in person and Mr. A.D. Gugale,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are
present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.769/2024 with O.A.No.761/2024

U.B. Omase & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. S.S. Dere learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. Time granted to learned P.O. for filing affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance. If affidavit-in-reply is not filed on next date, matter will proceed without reply.

3. Adjourned to 04.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1025/2024

K.K. JadhavApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 16.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1108/2024

S.A. MalbariApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 10.10.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1111/2024

Y.M. BhurkudApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 04.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2024

O.A.No.1170/2024

A.V. PatilApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference), Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 are present.

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that applicant is without posting.

3. Applicant is directed to report headquarters without prejudice in view of the order dated 12.09.2024. Meanwhile, Respondents are directed to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Adjourned to 06.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.12/2024 with MA.574/2024 and OA.29/2024</u></p> <p>N.P. Patil & Ors. M.M. Bidkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Amit A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2-MPSC are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that this is a High Court time bound matter of February 2024 and it is to be heard within one month. However, though the reply is filed by the Respondent No.2-MPSC, the respondent no.1 has not filed the reply.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that the issue relates to interpretation of the rules and hence time is required.</p> <p>4. To decide the issue, the reply of respondent no.1 is necessary. By way of last chance one week's time is granted to file the reply otherwise the matter will be heard without reply as the time bound orders are given by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 4.10.2024 for filing reply of respondent no.1. Thereafter the matter will be fixed on 8.10.2024 for hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.9.2024 26.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1237/2024 (Caveat No.34/2024)</p> <p>Y.W. MeshramApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5, who is also Caveator in Caveat No.34/2024 are present.</p> <p>2. On query learned Counsel Mr. Kolge has submitted that he has served copy of O.A. to learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar.</p> <p>3. Applicant working as Assistant Registrar prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned order of transfer dated 20.04.2024 issued by the Respondent No.1 thereby transferring the Respondent No.3 in place of the Applicant as Assistant Registrar at Bhiwandi and further be please to direct the respondent No.1 to allow the applicant to discharge her duties as Assistant Registrar, Bhiwandi and O.A. be allowed accordingly.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Kolge has submitted that Applicant earlier was working in Junnar and by choice posting she came to be transferred from Junnar to Bhiwandi by order dated 05.08.2021. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant's transfer order is passed without posting the applicant on the vacant post and Respondent No.3 has been transferred in place of applicant, the post which is not being vacant. Respondent No.3, Mr. B.K. Patil is transferred from Sangli to Bhiwadi who is added as Respondent N.3 in the present O.A. Learned Counsel Mr. Kolge has submitted that transfer order of Applicant is passed invoking Sections 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity). He has placed reliance on the judgment dated 04.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.691/2023, A.V. Kurhade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that Respondent no.3 has already joined and his joining report is also given on 23.09.2024. He seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned P.O. has submitted that copy of O.A. and notice is not served on the Respondents, but however she has received instructions. She has submitted that the CSB meeting was held on 22.02.2024 on request of Respondent No.3. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the CSB meeting the recommendation of transfer of Applicant and Respondent No.3 was rejected. She has submitted that the transfer of applicant was suggested, however no posting was given by the Department.. Hon'ble Minister of Corporation has put remark that the proposal of transfer of Respondent no.3 from Sangli to Bhiwandi is to be accepted. Thereafter, Hon'ble Chief Minister has sent a note dated 20.03.2024 of transferring Respondent No.3 to Bhiwandi. Learned P.O. has further submitted that in the CSB meeting held on 24.09.2024 to transfer of applicant is proposed.

7. Considered submissions and documents produced by both the parties that applicant is kept without work. Parties are directed to maintain status quo as on today. Respondent to produce relevant record on the next date.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.09.2024.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

14. Adjourned to 30.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.6 of 2023 in O.A. No.187 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dattatraya N. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is aggrieved by the judgment and order dated 20.3.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA No.187 of 2021. The applicant has sought promotion / appointment to the post of Civil Engineering Assistant. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that letter dated 2.8.2022 issued by the Section Officer, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya to Superintending Engineer and Circle Officer, Vigilance Squad, Aurangabad etc. was not in the custody of the applicant to produce and therefore it was not considered at the time of deciding the matter. Now the applicant has procured this letter which is very important in respect of inclusion of the class of Clerk as a No.13 category in the GR dated 31.1.1989. He submits that the post of Clerk is added by GR dated 28.10.1994 in the Appendix-A of GR dated 31.1.1989 and the said letter was subsequently procured by the applicant and therefore it could not be referred at the time of arguments in the OA and also in the order of OA itself. Ld. Advocate for the applicant argued on the applicability of the said letter and the bearing of the said letter on the issue of promotion of the applicant whose OA was denied mainly on the ground that he was holding the post of Chowkidar on 30.1.1989 and the said post was not mentioned in the Appendix-A of the GR dated 31.1.1989</p> <p>3. Ld. PO while opposing this RA has submitted that the scope of review is very limited and the applicant is going beyond the scope of it. She submits that the applicant could have challenged the impugned order in OA passed by this Tribunal dismissing the same by filing writ petition. However, it is not done so. She further rightly pointed out</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

that letter of 2.8.2022 which is said as a main ground to file Review Application basically there is no whisper about the said document in the RA. She pointed out that the applicant filed RA on 11.4.2023 and filed additional affidavit in support of RA on 26.9.2023. She submitted that said letter has nothing to do with the post of Chowkidar or Mistry which the applicant was holding and the letter has no connection with the issue of inclusion of the applicant in the category mentioned in Appendix-A of GR dated 31.1.1989.

4. We have gone through the letter dated 2.8.2022. Reference of the said letter is not made in the entire RA. However, it is referred in the additional affidavit filed by the applicant. After perusal of the contents of the said letter, we are of the view that this document has no bearing over the issue involved in the said OA and it is irrelevant document. Moreover, as per criteria under Order XLVII (47) of the CPC, case of the applicant does not fall within the parameters and it is rejected. Hence, Review Application is rejected. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member (A)

Chairperson

26.9.2024

26.9.2024

(sgj)