

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1005/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.G. Parab ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 26.09.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.818/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.V. Kataskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 26.09.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.721/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.R. Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. also tenders Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent. It is also taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 26.09.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.636 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. KadlagApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order 10.02.2022 whereby he was suspended invoking Rule 4(2)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 by way of deemed suspension in view of detention in custody in criminal offence for more than 48 hours.</p> <p>3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, at the very outset learned P.O. submits that proposal is already forwarded for review of the suspension but decision is not taken.</p> <p>4. In view of above, two weeks time is granted to take review of the suspension and the decision be placed on record of O.A. so as to pass further orders.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p>6. Steno copy granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.26 of 2022 with connected O.As.</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 it is sworn by Shri Sham B. Ghuge, Deputy Commissioner of Police along with Annexure (page 255). The perusal of chart reveals that 1817 Police Personnel are shown transferred from The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai under Inter District Transfer policy in 2022. Whereas, as per minutes of Police Establishment Board (PEB) (Page 267) 1785 Police Personnel were transferred under Inter District Transfer policy. As such, the figures mentioned in page 255 is obviously incorrect.</p> <p>3. That apart, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that these 1817 figures mentioned in page 255 is inclusive of 112 Police Personnel who have applied for Inter District Transfer but later refused to go out of Mumbai and continued on the establishment of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. However, this Police Personnel are shown in page 255 to show that the Applicants does not fall within 5% limits of Inter District Transfer per year.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instruction also admits that these 112 Police Personnel are included in 1817 figure. This being the position the Affidavit-in-Reply filed by Shri Sham B. Ghuge, Deputy Commissioner of Police does not reflect correct factual position and it is misleading position which needs to be viewed seriously.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In such circumstance it is necessary to have correct and authentic Affidavit-in-Reply to be filed by the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and not other authority.</p> <p>6. One week time is granted to file Affidavit of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai showing correct position of page 255.</p> <p>7. The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai should also consider that when 5% limit for Inter District per year is not exceeded in 2022 then why request of present Applicants which are approximately 75 in all O.A pertaining to Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to other district, cannot be accepted.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1076 of 2019 to O.A. No.1078 to 2019 with O.A. No.134 of 2019 with O.A. No.1076 of 2019 with O.A. No. 461 of 2019</p> <p>T.R. Wagh & Ors., S.S. Nikam & Ors., P.K. Jadhav & Ors., V.L. Kardile B.F. Gaikwad W.Z. Pahilwan & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit in O.A. No.1076/2019, 1077/2019, 1078/2019. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. He sought time to file Additional Affidavit in O.A. No.134/2019, 266/2019 as well as 461/2019 and also want to bring legal representatives of some of the Applicants in O.A. No.461/2019 who died during the pendency of O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.862 of 2022</p> <p>M.A.G. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.858 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. Rane & 7 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.798 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. LondheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.694 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.664 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.494 of 2022 in O.A. No.983 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. KokitkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment to add certain more grounds to challenge legality of suspension order which is already under challenge in O.A.</p> <p>3. Proposed amendment seems to be necessary for proper adjudication of the issue involved in O.A.. Hence, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out within three days.</p> <p>5. Respondents shall file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A. including amendment by next date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.983 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. KokitkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today M.A. for amendment is allowed. Respondents have not filed Affidavit-in-Reply till date.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A. including amendment made in O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.228 of 2022 in O.A. No.310 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.558 of 2022 in O.A. No.944 of 2022</p> <p>V.V. MeshramApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, leaned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of 3 months & 23 days caused in filing O.A. to challenge communication dated 23.06.2022 rejecting the claim for compassionate appointment. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 03.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.590 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.B. Khadke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of letter dated 16.9.2022 received from the applicant requesting for withdrawing the above OA. The said letter is taken on record and marked Court Exhibit No.1 for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the said letter, Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.48 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.V. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.415 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.R. Mahajan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.222 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.M. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.10.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.49 of 2022 in O.A. No.992 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. P.N. Chaudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO, on instructions from Shri Vijay Pawar, Senior Clerk, Govt. Maternity Home Hospital, Ulhasnagar-4, Thane, submits that proposal for grant of GPF has been sent to the office of Accountant General on 19.8.2022. GIS has been paid on 22.8.2022.</p> <p>3. Regarding regular pension matter to be finalized within 15 days.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.359 of 2022 in O.A. No.580 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.N. Pachkude ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Removed from the caption of 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gédgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.483 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.G. Prajapat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Surayavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant matter is fixed on 28.9.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.9.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.932 of 2022

K.S. Kamble ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
26.9.2022

(sgj)