

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 626/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Wategaonkar ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that the issue raised by the applicant is under consideration.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 14.11.20 9.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 94/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jakkanawar ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, holding for Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 6.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 448/2019</u></p> <p>Shri H.P. Jaykar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 117/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.B. Vaishav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadeakr, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri S. Gavade, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Copy of the same is handed over to learned advocate for the applicant as well as learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions from Respondent no. 2, whether Respondent no. 2 proposes to file reply.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 7.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akr</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 289/2019 with M.A 158/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Joseph ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Ms Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant has tendered leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 9.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N' Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 284/2019</u></p> <p>Shri C.V Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 3.10.2019, for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 559/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Pereira ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 10.10.2019 seeking instructions whether to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- 7 (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 51/2014 in O.A 465/2008</u></p> <p>The Tracers Association ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today during hearing, learned C.P.O stated that there is a part compliance of the orders issued by this Tribunal. She further stated that order is yet to be complied with in respect of around 200 Members of the Applicant-Union. 3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment to find out how many more applicants have been paid arrears. 4. Learned C.P.O meanwhile is directed to instruct the Respondent (Principal Secretary), Agriculture Department to file affidavit in reply mentioning the upto date position. 5. Affidavit be filed within two weeks. 6. S.O to 15.10.2019. Hamdast and Steno copy is granted. <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(A.P Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.09.2019

C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142/2015

Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of applicant, who is present in person, adjourned to 24.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Date : 26/9/19</p> <p>Coram : Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <V/C-A> Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhekar <M/J></p> <p>Appearance : Shri/Smt. K.T. Chandratre Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gokhad C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.</p> </div> <p>Heard with the above directions O.P. stands disposed of. no order as to costs -</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 707/2019</u></p> <p>Shri C.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produced a communication dated 25.9.2019, marked as 'Y' for identification, directing the applicant to submit his reply within a period of 10 days.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to submit reply within the stipulated period as the prayer made by the applicant was to finalize action against the applicant expeditiously.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to complete the process within two months after the applicant submits his reply.</p> <p>5. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.09.2019

O.A 661/2018

Shri S.S Panajkar ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw the O.A as punishment has already been imposed in the D.E.

3. Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh O.A as per law.

Date : 26/9/19
Coram : Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <VIC-A>
 Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhekar <MIJ>
Appearance
 Shri/Smt. A. A. Desai
 Advocate for Applicant
 Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
 C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.

*Head
 Allowed to be withdrawn
 with liberty to file
 fresh O.A.*

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 26.09.2019
---	--

O.A 551/2019

Shri C.R Pillay Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents

1. Heard Mrs V. Chandrashekhar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. In this Original Application, the learned advocate proposes to challenge the promotion orders. However, she mentions that she is not aware of the seniority of the persons who have been promoted and she has also not made them parties.

3. She, therefore, proposes to withdraw the Original Application with liberty.

4. Original Application allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh application as per law.

Date : 26/9/19
 Coram : Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <VIC-A>
 Hon'ble Shri A.P. Kurhekar <M/J>
 Appearance :
 Shri Smt V. Chandrashekhar
 Advocate for Applicant
 Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
 C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.

*heard
 as per order to be
 withdrawn with liberty to
 file fresh application
 as per law.*

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 26.09.2019. Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>O.A.No.923 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. D. S. DatkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar along with Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsels for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Tribunal by order dated 19.09.2019 declined to grant interim relief and adjourned the matter on 17.10.2019 for filing reply. However, today, the matter is taken on board by circulation by learned Counsel for the Applicant citing urgency and certain new developments in the matter. He, therefore, requested to prepone the date.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter be preponed and be fixed on 10.10.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appéarancs, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.519 of 2019 in O.A.No.953 of 2019</p> <p>P. L. Palsamkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavate, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.5. M.A.No.519/2019 is allowed. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A.A./O.A. No. _____

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.956 of 2019</p> <p>R. M. Bandarkar & Ors.Applicants Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. <p>8. S.O. to 09.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./G.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.09.2019.

M.A. No.522 of 2019 in O.A.No.956 of 2019

R. M. Bandarkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.
4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.
5. M.A.No.522/2019 is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.954 of 2019</p> <p>R. R. GhargeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.10.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 24.10.2019. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

26.09.2019

O.A 245/2019

Shri R.B Matwandkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant adjourned to 14.11.2019 with liberty to file rejoinder.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Alm

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>26.09.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 256 & 257/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.D Kulkarni [O.A 256/2019] Dr P.D Shendge [O.A 257/2019] ... Applicants Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.P Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.2. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 10.10.2019. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 482/2019 in O.A 434/2014</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Smt Manisha C. Gosavi ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents). None for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. The M.A is filed for restoration of M.A nos 325/2019 & 326/2019.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in the M.A, M.A 482/2019 is allowed and M.A nos 325 & 326/2019 is restored.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 241/2019 in R.A 8/2019 in O.A 736/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Hatkhambkar ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents in the M.A as well as R.A.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 3.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-  (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.09.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.669 of 2018</p> <p>S. G. NirmalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record. In Rejoinder, he has raised ^{misad} some of discrimination stating that during the pendency of this O.A., one Shri Popat Kale was posted in Rahta by modifying earlier order but he is discriminated.</p> <p>3. In view of above, learned P.O. sought time to file Sur-Rejoinder, if necessary on next date.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 26.09.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.523 of 2018</p> <p>Z. G. VithobaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. M. Kamtam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This matter lingering on for production of record despite the order passed by this Tribunal for production of record.3. Learned P.O. submits that she talked to S.D.O., Solapur who assures to produce the record within two days.4. in view of above, the matter is adjourned with direction to learned P.O. to ensure production of record, failing in which necessary orders for not obeying the order of the Tribunal will be issued against the S.D.O.5. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.6. S.O. to 03.10.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 755/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.P Titar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Keep for final hearing on 3.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-  (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 755/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.P Titar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Keep for final hearing on 3.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N^l Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 799/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Sangale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, holding for Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 18.10.2019 for filing reply, by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.138 of 2017</u>
	<p>H.B. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply to the amended OA will be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 11.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 26.9.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2200(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl.- MAT-J-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 515/2019</u></p> <p>Shri N.T Nehete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to ensure that the reply is filed by 3.10.2019. There shall be no extension.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairmaa (A)</p> <p>Alen</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

26.09.2019

O.A 918/2019

Shri N.P Bhosale & Others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Lata Patne, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A, challenge is to the communication dated 16.8.2019 issued by the Spl. I.G.P, Kolhapur Range to S.P, Satawa to conduct preliminary enquiry in respect of default report against the applicant, who was Sub Divisional Police Officer, Patan Division and to submit report within a stipulated period.

3. As such there seems to be preliminary enquiry ordered by the Spl. I.G, Kolhapur Range about the default report received against the applicant. It is on this background that the applicant has filed the present O.A challenging the communication dated 16.8.2019.

4. As such there is no adverse orders against the applicant and he has rushed to this Tribunal only on the basis of communication dated 16.8.2019, whereby preliminary enquiry was ordered against him..

5. As of now, there is no adverse orders against the applicant and he cannot claim relief of quashing such preliminary enquiry. Needless to mention, the department can conduct preliminary enquiry and proceed in the matter in accordance with the rules. After the enquiry, if necessary, the applicant will be called upon by the concerned authority in accordance with rules.

6. As such, we are of the considered opinion that the present O.A is premature and not maintainable and needs to be dismissed.

7. However, at this stage, having noted that the Tribunal is inclined to dismiss the O.A, the learned advocate for the applicant sought liberty to withdraw the O.A with permission to file fresh O.A in case adverse order is passed against her client.

8. In view of the above, allowed to withdraw the O.A with liberty to file fresh O.A as may be permissible to the applicant in accordance with law.

9. O.A is therefore disposed off by imposing cost of Rs. 1000/- to be deposited in the Registry.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Date : 26.09.2019.

O.A.No.926 of 2019

K. M. Gund

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. D. Pise, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.801/2018 decided on 25.03.2019, the S.D.O. had conducted enquiry and the Respondent No.4 held not entitled to the appointment and consequently his appointment stands cancelled by order dated 20.06.2019. However, there being no appointment to the next candidate who was second in the merit i.e. the Applicant who has filed the present O.A.
3. The Applicant had made representation to S.D.O. on 03.07.2019 to appoint him on the post of Police Patil being next to Respondent No.4 whose appointment is cancelled by the S.D.O.
4. In view of above, the Respondent No.1-S.D.O. needs to take further action in accordance to law and shall pass appropriate order on representation made by the Applicant on 03.07.2019.
5. With above directions, Original Application is disposed of. The Respondent No.1 is directed to pass appropriate order on representation made by the Applicant on 03.07.2019 within a month from today.
6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 26.09.2019.

O. A. No.953 of 2019

P. L. Palsamkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue of interim relief of stay to G.R. dated 11.07.2019 is kept open.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm