(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 26/9/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit CPO

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~ (Cori. Resps.)

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S.P. Manchekar and A.V. Bandiwadekar

~~Advocate for the Respondents~~ (Cori. Appli.)

Affidavit Filed by Applicant.

~~Adj To~~ S.O. to 13/10/16.

26.09.2016

M.A 341/2016 & 342/2016 in O.A No 966/2014

State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
Shri H.W Walvi & Ors ... Respondents

Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).

Without insisting on written Misc Application, the oral application of the learned C.P.O is allowed and the respondents represented by Ms S.P Manchekar are allowed to be impleaded hereto as party Respondent so that the title of these M.As is exactly in conformity with the tile of the O.A.

Amendment to be effected forthwith. The service on the newly added Respondents be made and copy thereof be furnished to the learned Advocate Ms Manchekar.

S.O to 13.10.2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

PTO

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

O.A No 941/2013

Smt S.S Mali ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that there is a small typographical error in the O.A in para 6.3 there is reference to Exh.D. He, therefore, requested to allow to delete Exh. D. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar is allowed to delete Exh. D from para 6.3 of the O.A.

3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 10.10.2016.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O 10.10.2016.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice Chairman

Akn

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)
~~Hon'ble ... (Member)~~
APPEA...
Shri/~~Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Savita Suryavanshi
~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents
~~Adj To~~ S.O to 10/10/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000 --2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

M.A 278/2016 in O.A No 178/2016

Shri S.B Bagul & others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

We have perused the application. The perusal of the draft amendment would shows that no such plea is being sought to be raised which would take the other side by irretrievable surprise. For instance it refers to earlier decided cases and some other facts for which the Respondents will have an opportunity to file affidavit in reply without any manner prejudice being caused. The Application survives the test of law of amendment and is accordingly allowed.

Amendment as per schedule hereto annexed be effected within two weeks and a consolidated copy after amendment be filed and copy be served on the learned P.O.

Misc Application stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/- Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) (Rajiv Agarwal)
Member (J) Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE : 26/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.D. Dhongade
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Suryavanshi
~~C.H.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. to~~ M.A. is disposed of
O.A. Adj. to 7/11/16.

[P.T.O.

4

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000--2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

M.A 277/2016 in O.A No 178/2016

Shri S.B Bagul & others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This application is for impleadment of a few other Applicants who seek the same relief as claimed by the existing Applicants. In as much as the Respondents will get time to file the affidavits in replies post amendment and also meet with the case of the Applicants at the time of final hearing, no prejudice is going to be caused to them, if these Applicants were to be impleaded as Party Applicants because after all the same case is being advanced by the proposed Applicants and the Respondents have yet to file affidavit in reply. The application is, therefore, allowed. The proposed Applicants be impleaded as Party Applicants to O.A no 178/2016 by an appropriate amendment to be effected within two weeks, subject to the payment of Court Fees. Consolidated copy of the application after amendment be filed and the Respondents be served. No order as to costs.

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. S. P. Dhongade
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Savita Suryavanshi
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To M.A. is Allowed.
O.A. Adj. to 7/11/16.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

[P.T.O.

(C.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

....., Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

M.A 279/2016 in O.A No 1017/2015

Shri G.N Londhe & others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

We have perused the application. The perusal of the draft amendment would shows that no such plea is being sought to be raised which would take the other side by irretrievable surprise. For instance it refers to earlier decided cases and some other facts for which the Respondents will have an opportunity to file affidavit in reply without any manner prejudice being caused. The Application survives the test of law of amendment and is accordingly allowed.

Amendment as per schedule hereto annexed be effected within two weeks and a consolidated copy after amendment be filed and copy be served on the learned P.O.

Misc Application stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
Shri ~~Smt.~~ S.D. Dhongade
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Suryavanshi
~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj to~~ M.A. is disposed of.
O.A. Adj. to 7/11/16.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

O.A No 1008/2015 & 625/2016

Shri S.B Burange ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Applicant in person and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Applicant files Vakalatname of Learned Advocate Mrs Punam Mahajan, which has no objection from learned advocate Shri N.P Dalvi.

S.O to 20.10.2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri R. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri~~ Applicant in person
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri~~ Savita Suryavanshi
~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents
Applicant filed Vakalatnama
Adj to 20/10/16

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) |Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

O.A No 1026/2015

Shri S.B Mali ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant is seeking interest on delayed payment of retirement dues. Though some of the retirement dues has been paid, the Applicant is aggrieved that al his dues in this regard has not been paid.

As the decision regarding interest on delayed payment has to be taken by the administrative department of the Government, it was expected that affidavit in reply would be filed by the Respondent no. 1. However, no reply is filed in the present O.A on behalf of Respondent no.1 or 2.

Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Granted as a last chance. If no reply is filed within two weeks, it will be presumed that Respondent no. 1 is not desirous of filing affidavit in reply and O.A will be heard finally.

S.O to 13.10.2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri S. B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O~~ P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adv. To~~ S.O. to 13/10/16

Akn

[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 942 OF 2016

DISTRICT : NAVI MUMBAI

Smt Sapna V. Devanpalli)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no. 1.

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.

CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

DATE : 26.09.2016

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.

2. The Applicant is challenging her transfer from the post she is presently occupying, i.e. Executive Engineer, P.W.D, Central Mumbai to the post of Executive Engineer, Road Development Division No. IV, Andheri, Mumbai by order dated 21.9.2016. By a

separate order, Respondent no. 2 is posted in the post to be vacated by the Applicant from the post of Executive Engineer, M.S.R.D.C Ltd, Mumbai.

3. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that the Applicant has not completed her tenure of 3 years in the present post and she has been transferred only to accommodate Respondent no. 2. The Civil Services Board has not been consulted and no special reason for such transfers has been mentioned in the proposal. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that the impugned order may, therefore, be stayed.

4. Learned Presenting Officer stated that it is correct that the meeting of the Civil Services Board was not organized. However, note in this regard was circulated among members of the Civil Services Board. Though the Civil Services Board held that the Applicant was not liable to be transferred this recommendation was overruled by the Hon. Minister, P.W.D.

5. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar, for Respondent no. 2 sought time to file reply. He prayed that the issue of interim relief may be postponed till the next date.

6. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to furnish copy of the relevant file notings to the Applicant as well as Respondent no. 2. Further, if possible, Respondent no. 1 may also file affidavit in reply before the next date.

7. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.9.2016.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O 29.9.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 26.09.2016
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2016\1st Sep 2016\O.A 942.16 Transfer order challenged, Int order 26.9.16 SB.doc

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.09.2016.

C.A.No.74 of 2016 in O.A.No.153 of 2012

Shri R.B. Ekatpure ...Applicant

Vs.

Smt. Sujata Sounik,
Principal Secretary,
Public Health Department,
State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states on instructions received from Shri S.V. Dhumak, Assistant Desk Officer, Public Health Department as follows:-

(a) That the matter is returned back from the Finance Department to the Health Department with certain queries.

(b) Now the queries are being replied and the reply will be forwarded today itself.

(c) Proper follow up will be taken and decision of F.D. or time frame within which F.D. would take decision would be reported on the next date.

3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

4. S.O. to 18.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

[P.T.O.

DATE : 27/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A
APPEARANCE :
Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri G.K. N.G. Gohad
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 18/10/16.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.09.2016.

R.A.No.02 of 2016 in O.A.No.956 of 2014 with O.A.No.957 of 2014 and O.A.No.958 of 2014

The General Manager,
Brihan Mumbai Milk Scheme,
Worli, Mumbai -18 & Ors.
..Applicants (Org. Respondents)

Vs.
Shri S.T. Tiwari & Ors.
...Respondents (Org. Applicants)

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).

2. Learned P.O. for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for a day's time.

3. Adjourned to 29.09.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 27/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member)(A)
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad
Advocate for the Applicants (Org. Resps)
Shri/Smt. : B.A. Bandiwadekar
P.O. / P.O. for the Respondents (Org. A(s).)
Adj. To 29/9/16

[P.T.O.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders **Date : 27.09.2016.**

O.A.No.892 of 2015

B.K. Thumbare .... **Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

1. Shri R.K. Mendadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Ms. S. Suryawanshi for the Respondents, adjourned to 29.09.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

27/9/16

Hand for the appl.

S. Suryawanshi

29/9/16

[P.T.O.

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.09.2016.

O.A.No.433 of 2016

P.G. Pingle **.... Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **....Respondents.**

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate Ms. S.P. Manchekar, adjourned to 29.09.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 27/9/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 29/9/16

P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.09.2016.

O.A.No.1084 of 2015

A.V. Khadtare .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. is directed as follows :-

(a) To take instructions from the Secretary, Water Resources Department as to whether he would personally read the matter and satisfy himself as to whether it is the case which must be contested by the Government for protecting Government's interest.

(b) If he answer's in favour of contest, the matter will be further heard.

(c) Statement be made on 29.09.2016.

3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

4. S.O. to 29.09.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 27/10/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
Shri M.D. Lonkar
for the Applicant
Shri Archana B.K.
C.P.O. for the Respondents
A.H.J. To 29/9/16.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.09.2016.

C.A.No.155 of 2014 in O.A.No.107 of 2014

Shri P.R. Phulpagar **...Applicant**

Vs.

The Commissioner for Agriculture, & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. states on instructions received from Shri Prakash Sangale, The District Superintending Agriculture Officer, Dhule as follows:-

(a) That the order has been complied with on 1.3.2016.

(b) There are only two persons eligible for appointment and therefore no other order giving deemed date to the Applicant which Respondents have denied is required to be given.

3. At this stage learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar prays for time to take instructions.

4. S.O. to 18.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 27/9/16

Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A

CORAM:

For the Applicant
B.A. Bandiwadekar

For the Respondent/s
Archana B.K.

18/10/16.

[P.T.O.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer..... .....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.444 of 2016

Dr. R.V. Jadhav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Heard for quite some time.

3. Shri Bhavake, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time on the ground that he wants to study the law and procedure relating to constitution of Establishment Board.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for liberty to inspect the concerned files.

5. Inspection be allowed and copies as may be asked by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant be supplied.

6. S.O. to 7.10.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
27.9.2016

(sgj)

DATE 27/9/16
COR:
Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri Rameshkumar (Member) A
NOTE:
Shri P.S. Bhavake
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri N.G. Gohad
A.P.P. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 7/10/16.

[P.T.O.

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.09.2016.

C.A.No.99 of 2014 in O.A.No.684 of 2011

D.N. Jadhav **...Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri A.A. Pundkar, Senior Administrative Officer, Additional Commissioner of Police, Central Region is present.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad relied on the affidavit filed by Shri Raosaheb Dattatray Shinde, Additional Commissioner of Police Central Region, Mumbai which is at page no. 64 'A'. Perused the same.

4. If at all some action is to be shown, the progress be reported on day to day basis. For the present, S.O. to 29.09.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 27/9/16
COR :
Hon'ble Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A
ABSENCE :
Shri K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 29/9/16.

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE: 2.9.16

Hon'ble Justice Shri (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh (Member) (A)
R.B. Malik

APPEARANCE:
for the Applicant G.A. Bandiwadekar
for the Respondents A.B. Kololgi

SSV, notice returnable on 24/10/16.

O.A.943/2016

Dr. C.G. Gaikwad ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 24.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 24th October, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
26.09.2016

(skw)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE 26/9/16

Coram: ~~Hon'ble Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)~~ R.B. Malik

~~Hon'ble Shri ... Member (A)~~

Applicant: S.L. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal

Advocate for Applicant

Respondent/s: K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj: By the notice returnable on 24/10/16.

By Te

O.A.937/2016

Shri R.K. Pawar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.L. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 24.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 24th October, 2016.

Sd/- 9.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
26.09.2016

(skw)

P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.394/2016

Shri N.R. Kale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.L. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Jadhav, the learned Advocate submits that the Applicant does not want to file Affidavit-in-rejoinder. Admit.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J) 26.9.16
26.09.2016

(skw)

DATE 26/9/16
C.P.
Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik
Hon'ble Shri ameshkumar (Member)(A)
S.L. Jadhav holding for
Shri K. G. Panchal
Advocate for Applicant
Shri A.B. Kololgi
Advocate for the Respondent/s
Admit.
F.A.
S.O.

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memorandn of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.897/2016

Shri M.P. Idekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S. Mishra, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned CPO submits that the copy of the OA has not been served. Shri S. Mishra undertakes to do the needful. He insists on interim orders.

Issue notice returnable on 4.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 4th October, 2016 for consideration of interim relief.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
26.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) (A) (J)
Present:
Shri/Smt. S. Mishra
Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 4/10/16.

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.703/2016

Shri C.D. Pawar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. prays for time to file Affidavit-in-reply. Last chance was already granted. The OA proceeds without Affidavit-in-reply making it clear, however, that on the next date, when the matter appears before the Bench for hearing, if the reply is tendered, it will be taken on record but no adjournment will be given for that purpose.

In these ~~set of~~ circumstances, the OA is admitted. It be placed before the appropriate Bench for hearing on S.O. 24th October, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik) 26.9.16
Member (J)
26.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble R.B. Malik ~~Shri Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEAR:
Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare
Advocate for Applicant
Shri /Smt. A.B. Kololgi
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 24/10/16.

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.416/2016

Shri G.S. Pawar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. It seems that the Hon'ble Chairman's order of 21st July, 2016 to the Applicant has not been complied with. The consequences thereof will be considered on the next date.

S.O. to 17th October, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik) 26.9.16
Member (J)
26.09.2016

(skw)

DATE : 26/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble ~~Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble R.B. Malik (Member)A
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : None for the appl.
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt ... A.B. Kololgi
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 17/10/16

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000--2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.834/2015

Shri Khan Abdul Gani ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. on instructions from Smt. Vidya Bhoite, Assistant Section Officer, Home Department informs that the file has been forwarded to the GAD today. I have carefully perused the earlier orders made by the Hon'ble Chairman including the one dated 29th June, 2016. As of today, although I am granting one more opportunity by way of an adjournment, but I make it clear that well before the next date, the GAD must take an appropriate action in the matter so that no further extension will be necessitated. In case the matter remains where it is today, the OA shall be heard on the next date.

S.O. to 25th October, 2016. Hamdast. Steno-copy allowed.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 26.09.16
Member (J)
26.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM
Hon'ble ~~Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble R.B. Malik (Member) A
APPE
Shri/Smt. G. Sadavarte
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /S K.B. Bhise
C.P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 25/10/16. Hamdast.
Steno copy allowed.

[P.T.O.

..... Applicant/s

vocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

senting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2016.

O.A.No.307 of 2016

B.R. Khedkar **.... Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri B.R. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 6 and Shri S.A. Masal, the Advocate for the Respondent No.7.

2. In the midst of hearing, learned Advocate Shri B.R. Deshmukh for the Applicant states as follows :-

(a) Time is prayed on the ground that he wants to consider as to whether amendment is required.

(b) If any amendment is found necessary he would come with the draft amendment on the next date.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 19.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

26/9/16

M:

stice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~M. Ramesh kumar (Member) A~~

B.R. Deshmukh

the Applicant

A.J. Chougule

for the Respondent/s 1 to 6.

Masal for R-No.7.

19/10/16.

[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

CONEMPT APPLICATION NO.69 OF 2016

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.254 OF 2015

Shri Sanjay T. Mete ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents

Shri C.T. Chandratre – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K. – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 26th September, 2016

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO prays for time on the ground that compliance is about to be done.

3. Contemnors are directed to file affidavit on the following points:

(a) The date when advocate's intimation at page 18 was received in their respective offices.

(b) The date when advocate's notice at page 19 was received in their respective offices.

(c) The date when the copy of judgment of this Tribunal was brought to their notice.

(d) The date when the advocate's notice was brought to their notice.

(e) The date when the notice of this contempt issued through office of this Tribunal was received by their respective offices.

(f) What precluded them in giving response to the order, intimation and notice

(g) Were there compulsions, if any, due to which the order has not been complied with within time.

(h) What steps with reference to each date are taken by the respondents to comply with the order.

4. Show cause, as to reasons due to which cognizance for contempt should not be taken at once, since despite notice by advocate and notice of contempt, action to comply is not taken.

5. Affidavit on points stated in para no.3 be filed within one week from today.

6. S.O. to 7.10.2016.

7. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. The Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.9.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAI ALKAR\Judgements\2016\9 September 2016\CA.69.16 in OA.254.16.J.9.2016-STMete-SO.7.10.16.doc

..... Applicant/s

.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

... Respondent/s

ıg Officer.....)

lotes, Office Memoranda of Coram, ɔearance, Tribunal's orders or ctions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders MA.128/15 in C.A.33/15 in OA.910/04

Shri V.P. Bhanushali ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states as follows:

(a) Shri Devidas Chaudhari, Dy. Collector, LE(R), Kurla has arrived to give instructions.

(b) He has joined as Deputy Collector only two days back and he wants time to ascertain the facts and study the papers.

(c) Ld. PO also wants time to study the papers.

3. S.O. to 28.9.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.9.2016

(sgj)

26/9/16

ice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)

R.M. Kolge
the Applicant

N.G. Gohad
or the Respondent/s

28/9/16.

PTO

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

O.A No 918/2015 & 1094/2015

Shri I.A Shaikh & others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

These two O.As are ripe for hearing. I t now appears that the Respondent no. 1, State have not filed their affidavit in reply. The time of about one year has already lapsed and there is no pint in showing any indulgence to the State.

However, we adjourn these O.As for arguments to 28.9.2016, making it clear that on that day at least, if the affidavit in reply is filed by the State, it will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given for that purpose and such a request be not made by the State on that day. Hamdast.

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE : 26/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. M.R. Patil
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O. for the Respondents no. 1 to 3.
Reply filed by A.V. Bandiwadekar for R.No. 351 to 355 and R.No. 90, 142, 201, 258 and 274.
S.O. to 28/9/16.
Hamdast.
M.D. Lonkar for R.No. 1 to 6.
K.R. Jagdale for R.No. 64 to 66
A.V. Bandiwadekar for R.No. 90, 142, 201, 274, 258.

FOB

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

esenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

26.09.2016

M.A 376/2016 in O.A No 918/2015

Shri I.A Shaikh & others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadeakar, learned advocate for the Applicants (Proposed Respondents) and Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Original Petitioner and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

By this application the Applicants ~~are~~ hereto seek impleadment to the pending O.A as Party Respondents no 351 to 355. No affidavit in reply has been filed and Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Original Applicants has no serious objection, if this application were to be allowed. The same is accordingly allowed.

The Applicants in O.A 918/2015 are directed to implead the Applicants in this Misc Application as Party Respondents no 351 to 355 by suitable amendment to be carried out forthwith. A consolidated copy of the O.A after amendment to be filed and copies to be served on the newly added Respondents.

Shri Bandiwadekar states that he has received the said copies. His affidavit is taken on record.

M.A is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

[P.T.O.

DATE: 26/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
M/s. A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant (Proposed Respondents)
Shri M.R. Patil Advocate for Original Petitioners
Shri K.B. Bhise
~~P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents

O.A is Allowed.

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 26.09.2016. **O.A.No.444 of 2016** **Dr. R.V. Jadhav .... Applicant.** **Versus** **The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.** 1. Shri B.S. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 27.09.2016. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman prk

DATE: 26/9/16

CORAM:

Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Rameshkumar (Member A)

Hmc for the appl.

Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit...

Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

27/9/16.

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.09.2016.

O.A.No.1084 of 2015

A.V. Khadtare **.... Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, adjourned to 27.09.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 26/9/16

CORAM :

Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Rameshkumar (Member)

M.D. Lonkar

Adv. for Applicant

Shri Archana B.K.

C.P. for Respondent/s

O.T.C. 27/9/16.

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 26/9/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K.

~~C.P.O / P.O.~~ for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 27/10/16

O.A.907/2016

Shri A.K. Gharat ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 27.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 27th October, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 23.9.16
Member (J)
23.09.2016

(skw)

[P.T.O.