

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.08.2022

O.A.No.454 of 2022

H. A. AnsariApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri H. S. Ansari, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2016 but because of his long absence, the department has not sanctioned all the retiral benefits. Indeed, the decision about his absence and entitlement to pension etc. ought to have been ^{taken} passed within reasonable time after his retirement but there is inordinate and huge delay on the part of Respondents for not passing appropriate orders. Therefore, the Applicant ultimately filed the present O.A. for retiral benefits.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted that recently the Commissioner, Dairy Development Department has forwarded letter dated 18.08.2022 to the Government for orders about the absence of the Applicant and the decision thereon will be taken soon.
4. Thus, it appears that since appropriate order about absenteeism of the Applicant is not passed by the Government, the Department is not in position to pass further orders about pension. Undoubtedly, there is huge and inordinate delay on the part of Respondents. However, since now proposal is under consideration, I am inclined to grant two weeks time for seeking appropriate orders from the Government.
5. The Respondents are directed to pursue the matter with Government expeditiously so that O.A. could be disposed of on its merit.

6. S.O.to 08.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.384 of 2021 with M.A. No.17 of 2022 in O.A. No.435 of 2021 with M.A. No.18 of 2022 in M.A. No.231 of 2021 in O.A. No.442 of 2021 with M.A. No.19 of 2022 in M.A. No.233 of 2021 in O.A. No.443 of 2021 with M.A. No.20 of 2022 in M.A. No.234 of 2021 in O.A. No.444 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. More S.S. Raorane Mrs.S.V. Kelkar & Ors Smt.S.S. Nilwan & Ors Smt. S.M. Khedkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications are filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A.s</p> <p>3. The Applicants have filed Original Applications challenging orders of recovery already done from their salary since the O.A. is not filed within the period of limitation of one year. The Applicants have filed M.A. for condonation of delay which ranges from one year to three years.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that his clients are Group 'C' employees and because of wrong fixation of pay and allowances, the excess payment was made for more than five years. He placed reliance on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014.</i></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. When specific query is raised, the learned Counsel for the Applicants fairly stated that he is challenging recovery orders only and there is no challenge to order of refixation of pay and allowances.

6. Whereas learned P.O. submits that the delay is not properly explained.

7. The Applicants being Group 'C' employees and *prima-facie* recovery seems to be impermissible in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Rafiq Masih's** case taking justice originated approach in the matter, it would be appropriate to condone the delay so as to decide the O.A. on its own merit.

8. In view of above, in fact and circumstances of the matter, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing O.As.

9. The Respondents shall file Affidavit in Reply to O.As by next date.

10. All these Misc. Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

11. Original Applications are adjourned to 16.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date :

O.A.No.125 of 2017

A. H. Dhande

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant in person submits that recently he came to know about the sudden death of his Advocate who filed the present O.A. and , therefore, requested for two weeks time to appoint another Advocate.
3. Two weeks time is granted to engage ~~for~~ another Advocate.
4. O.A. be kept for final hearing.
5. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

L.O.

6. In the afternoon session, the Applicant in person stated that he has already received all the benefits which he claimed in the O.A. and requested to dispose of the matter. He made categorical statement that now he does not want to continue the O.A.
7. In view of the statement made by the Applicant in person as requested above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.08.2022</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.154 of 2022</p> <p><i>T. R. Jadhav</i>Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. F. Wasif, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, it needs to be stated that there is nothing to be adjudicated in this O.A. and the Applicant is unnecessarily dragged to file this O.A. for direction to the Respondents to relieve him so as to join the post where he is transferred. Indeed, the Respondents themselves ought to have taken care of the situation but they failed to do so, and therefore, the Applicant is constrained to file this O.A.. The Applicant is working as Forester. He was posted at Umberthan, Vanshetra Umbarthan (Pradeshik), East Nashik. The Respondents by order dated 13.08.2021 transferred him from Social Forestry Department, Karjat-1 to Social Forestry Department, Ahmednagar. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. No.588/2021 before this Tribunal. The said O.A. was disposed of by the Tribunal on 17.08.2021 as per following order :-</p> <p>“2. <i>The applicant working as Vanpal, Umbarthan Vanshetra Umbarthan (Pradeshik) East Nasik Forest Division to Vanpal challenges orders dated 07.08.2021 transferring the applicant to Social Forestry, Karjat-1, Social Forestry, Karjat, Social Forestry Dept., Ahmednagar.</i></p> <p>3. <i>Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant was due for transfer and the applicant has given 10 preferences for his choice positing as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Nitin Gudge, Chief Conservator of Forest, Nashik stated that the Applicant will be posted as Vanpal at Chausala, Dindori (Pradeshik), Forest Division, East Nashik.</i></p> <p>5. <i>In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of with directions to the Respondents to post the applicant as Vanpal at Chausal, Dindori (Pradeshik), Forest Division, East Nasik.”</i></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Thus, in view of above, the Respondents were obliged to pass posting order of the Applicant at Chausala, Dindori Forest Circle, Forest Division, East Nashik. However, the Respondents did not pass ^{posting} ~~posting~~ order as per the statement made by them before Tribunal and, therefore, the Applicant was continued to work at Umbarthan.

4. Ultimately, the Respondents passed order dated 12.10.2021 thereby transferring and posting the Applicant as Forester, Chausal, Vanshetra Dindori (Pradeshik), East Division, Nashik. Despite the issuance of transfer order, the Applicant is not relieved and worst part is that he is not paid salary for last nine months. The Applicant is not paid his salary though he is still working and for no reasons salary is not paid.

5. The Affidavit in Reply filed by the Respondents do not dispute the factual aspect. All that technical pleas raised that as per Maharashtra Civil Services Rules, the Applicant was to take charge as Forester, Karjat and has to join at Chausal where he was posted by order dated 12.10.2021. This contention is totally absurd to say least. Since the Applicant's posting order is modified in view of the statement made by learned P.O. before the Tribunal and also issued transfer order modifying his positing and transferring him at Chausal then the question of asking the Applicant to 1st join at Karjat is totally absurd.

6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with following directions :-

(A) The Respondent No.4-Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik Circle, Nashik is directed to relieve the Applicant in terms of transfer order dated 12.10.2021 whereby he is posted as Forester, Chausal, Dindori (Pradeshik), East Division Nashik within two weeks and also to pay his entire arrears of salary before relieving him.

(B) If salary is not paid within two weeks, it shall carry interest at the rate 9% from the date of default till payment.

(C) On receipt of relieving order, the Applicant should join his new posting at Chausala in accordance to rules.

(D) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.658 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. PawarApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Applicant's Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 5. S.O. to 02.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. In afternoon session, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3. . It is taken on record. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.155 of 2022</p> <p>T. D. BhoyeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. F. Wasif, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.156 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. ShirsathApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. F. Wasif, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.220 of 2017</p> <p>S.S. HingmireApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, leaned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. pointed out that as per prayer 9 (c) the Applicant is claiming promotion to the post of Municipal Engineering Services (Civil), Grade – A and therefore matter pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>3. As per title clause the Applicant is in Municipal Engineering Services (Civil), Grade – C. Thus matter seems to be regarding promotion which is subject matter of Division Bench.</p> <p>4. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.534 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. JattiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, leaned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="781 749 1008 780">Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1003 826 1289 857">O.A. No.1179 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="781 904 1511 1014">C.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="781 1097 1511 1798" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="781 1097 1511 1218">1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li data-bbox="781 1277 1511 1573">2. The Applicant is claiming Deemed Date of Promotion with monetary benefits. However, despite specific query raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant he could not point out specific order showing his regular promotion order. Perusal of order dated 14.01.2016 reveals that it is temporary promotion and not regular promotion.<li data-bbox="781 1633 1511 1707">3. One week time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to produce relevant record.<li data-bbox="781 1767 1122 1798">4. S.O. to 23.09.2022. <p data-bbox="1166 1921 1370 2045" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="781 2089 824 2120">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="781 322 1008 355">Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1013 399 1281 432">O.A. No.103 of 2021</p> <p data-bbox="781 476 1511 587"> R.M. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. </p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="781 672 1511 834">1. Heard Shri B. Kumar, learned Advocate instructed by Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. <li data-bbox="781 891 1511 1102">2. In this O.A. initially the Applicant has challenged recovery notice dated 06.08.2020 but later by way of amendment again challenged order dated 22.02.2022. Recovery is on account of penal charges of service quarter retained by the Applicant after retirement. <li data-bbox="781 1159 1511 1411">3. During the pendency of O.A. the Respondents have already recovered sum of Rs.23,13,055/- (Rupees Twenty Three Lakhs Thirteen Thousand and Fifty-Five Only) from Gratuity, Leave Encashment and arrears of 7th Pay Commission as well as three years Pension which was payable to the Applicant. <li data-bbox="781 1468 1511 1591">4. Learned P.O. sought one week time to file Additional Affidavit about the recovery made during the pendency of O.A. <li data-bbox="781 1648 1511 1901">5. One week time is granted to file Additional Affidavit explaining the details of recovery made during the pendency of OA. Learned P.O. agreed to send email of Affidavit-in-Reply to learned Advocate for the Applicant so that on next date Applicant's Counsel could file Rejoinder to it. <li data-bbox="781 1957 1511 2029">6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant agreed for the same. <li data-bbox="781 2086 1117 2120">7. S.O. to 05.09.2022. <p data-bbox="1159 2199 1365 2328" style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p data-bbox="773 2372 818 2398">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26 08/2022</p> <p>O.A. No.784 of 2019 with O.A. No.100 of 2021 with O.A. No.101 of 2021 with O.A. No.113 of 2021 with O.A. No.111 of 2021</p> <p>J.R. Kumbhar Y.K. Potekar A.Y. Sakpal V.R. Raskar V.V. SarwankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition are still subjudice before Hon'ble High Court and requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.660 of 2018</p> <p>R.I. Gaikwad,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On previous date also Applicant and his Advocate were absent. Thus it seems they are not interested in the matter. 4. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default. 5. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.507 of 2022 in O.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus A.S.A.S. Nadeem (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Smt. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. No.507/2022 is filed for permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply since O.A. is already proceeded without Reply due to failure of Respondent to file Affidavit-in-Reply within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A.S.A.S. NadeemApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply and it is taken on record so as to decide the matter on merit.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.763 of 2021</p> <p>Y.R. HansekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.97 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. NagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Hake, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. is for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply since Respondent fail to file Reply within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. However, learned Advocate sought adjournment on the ground that Advocate Shri A.A. Desai is not available.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.758 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. 3. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission but the Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Matter pertains to retiral benefits. 4. In the interest of justice matter is adjourned to give one opportunity. 5. S.O. to 16.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- √√ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.595 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. MisalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Additional Affidavit to the amendment being made by the Applicant to the O.A. in view of order passed in M.A. No.385/2022</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.742 of 2018</p> <p>R.I. Gaikwad & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On previous date also Applicant and his Advocate were absent but matter was adjourned to give one opportunity, however they are again absent. Thus it seems they are not interested in the matter. 4. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default. 5. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made in O.A., no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D.W. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant-in-person is present. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his Advocate is hospitalized and requested for grant of time to appoint another Advocate. 4. Two weeks time is granted. 5. S.O. to 22.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.731 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. DekhaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.658 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. PawarApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Applicant's Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 5. S.O. to 02.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T.T. Wangade & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.637 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. SonakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.624 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. Date _____Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.612 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. ShamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- √ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.385 of 2022 in O.A. No.595 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. MisalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to amend so as to induce some additional ground to challenge impugned transfer order.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment seems necessary for proper adjudication. Hence, allowed to amend O.A.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>5. Amendment be carried out within three days.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.550 of 2022</p> <p>O.S. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.543 of 2022</p> <p>V.Y. KhandebharadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Applicant's Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Learned P.O. submits that parawise remarks are received and Reply will be filed soon. 5. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance. 6. O.A. No.08.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1042 of 2021</p> <p>N.L. PaithankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Applicant's Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance. 5. S.O. to 08.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.363 of 2022 in O.A. No.555 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.04 of 2021 in O.A. No.323 of 2019 with R.A. No.05 of 2021 in O.A. No.321 of 2019 with R.A. No.06 of 2021 in O.A. No.322 of 2019</p> <p>A.T. Rajam S.A. Koyande M.Y. RaoraneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule requested for adjournment on the ground that the matter is being handled by P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. but she is unable to remain present today. S.O. to 16.09.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.210 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.T. Devkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ms. Deepali Dhate, DCP, HQ is personally present in the Court as per orders of this Tribunal. At her request the matter is adjourned to 15.9.2022 as she is busy with Bandobast duty for Ganpati festival.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.558 of 2033</u></p> <p>B.B. Vitkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri Pratap Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a copy of order of rejection dated 3.8.2022 and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file fresh OA. Copy of order dated 3.8.2022 is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1016 of 2021 with M.A. No.591 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Kasar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder. Interim relief to continue. High on Board.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.915 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.V. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.228 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.V. Destewad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.10.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.911 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.T. Gadade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Mayur Subhedar holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.845 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Afif Momin, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.815 of 2022

P.U. Randive & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
26.8.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.492 of 2022 in O.A. No.815 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.U. Randive & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.803 of 2022</u></p> <p>Rajya Sahakari Group D Employees Madhyavarti Mahasangh ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 26.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.261 of 2022</p> <p>S. J. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to Respondents for inter division transfer of the Applicant from Kokan (Rural) Division to Pune Division on the post of Lower Grade Stenographer. In O.A. despite enough time was granted to Respondent to file Affidavit in Reply, the same is not filed and, therefore, ultimately O.A. is taken up for hearing at the stage of admission without reply today.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 11.04.2022 passed by the Government which shows that request of the Applicant is already rejected by the Applicant. The copy of letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>4. In O.A. there is no challenge to the order dated 11.04.2022 since it is passed after filing of O.A.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Applicant is required to take necessary steps to challenge the order dated 11.04.2022 as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with liberty to challenge the order dated 11.04.2022 as may be permissible to him in law.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- [P.T.O.]</p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>