

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 887/2023</u></p> <p><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Dr R.V Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in para 5 of the order dated 21.7.2023, the transfer order dated 13.7.2023 is stayed. However, it is humbly requested that it is to be mentioned both transfer orders 30.6.2023 and 13.7.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, para 5 should read as under:-</p> <p>The transfer order dated 30.6.2023 qua the applicant stayed and the transfer order dated 13.7.2023, qua the Respondent no. 2 is stayed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 248 OF 2023

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri Samudre B. Apparao)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **26.07.2023**

ORDER

1. Learned C.P.O, pursuant to the query made by the Tribunal dated 12.7.2023, produced G.R dated 3.4.2000 along with covering letter dated 26.7.2023. She pointed out that as per clause 7 of the G.R dated 3.4.2000, the Government has taken a policy decision not to proceed in the departmental enquiry and the final result is to be kept pending till the criminal case is decided.

2. In the present case, the criminal case under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1977 is stayed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. The charge sheet was issued on 14.7.2017 and the Enquiry

✓

Officer submitted report dated 28.12.2022, which was received by the Disciplinary Authority on 5.1.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant now points out to G.R dated 15.12.2017 which pertains to giving promotion to the Civil servants against whom departmental enquiry is pending. Learned counsel has submitted that he has filed the present Original Application on 28.2.2023 and points out to para 5.10 of the Original Application, wherein he has referred to sub-clause (1) of clause 9 of the G.R dated 15.12.2017. He relies on sub-clause 9 of Clause 1 of the said G.R which states as under:-

“९. विभागीय पदोन्नती समितीच्या मूळ बैठकीच्या दिनांकापासून दोन वर्षे झाल्यानंतरही माझ्या पाकीटात निष्कर्ष देवलेल्या अधिकारी/ कर्मचा-यांच्या, शिस्तभंगविषयक / व्यावसायिक बाबत प्रकरणी अंतिम निर्णय झालेल नसल्यास, अशा प्रकरणी नियुक्ती प्राधिकारी स्वविवेकानुसार अंतिम अधिकारी/ कर्मचा-याला तदर्थ पदोन्नती देण्याबाबत जाणीवपूर्वक निर्णय घेईल. अशा विषयक प्राधिकारी, खालील मुद्दे विचारात घेईल.”

4. Thus, it states that if at all no decision is taken in the criminal matter or departmental enquiry pending against the Government servants, then in such cases, the appointing authority should take a conscious decision whether he is to be given temporary/ad hoc promotion by considering the parameters set out therein. Learned counsel further relies on to the affidavit in reply dated 10.7.2023, filed by Shri Raju Bhausahab Thote, Dy. I.G of Registration in the office of Dy. I.G or Registration, Mumbai Division and referred to para 15 which deals with contents of para 5.10 from the pleadings wherein the Respondents have stated that they have acted strictly in consonance with the provisions of G.R dated 15.12.2017.

5. Learned C.P.O submits that in the letter dated 26.7.2023, there is a clear intimation given by the Addl. Chief Secretary,

Revenue & Forest Department that Government has taken a conscious decision considering the charges levelled against the applicant and also wherein cases under the Prevention of Corruption Act are pending, the promotion is to be kept in sealed cover till the final decision in the criminal case is decided by the Court. It is also stated in the note that if the promotion is given to the Government servant who is involved in corruption cases and the case is pending against him, then this will malign the image of the Government and therefore, in such cases the Government has taken a conscious decision not to open the sealed cover. So is the case of the applicant.

6. After taking into account all these facts and the legal position on record, we direct the State Government to communicate its decision to the applicant.

7. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply along with the letter dated 26.7.2023 and the G.R dated 3.4.2000, within a week.

8. S.O to 9.8.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 26.07.2023
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 887/2023</u></p> <p><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Dr R.V Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in para 5 of the order dated 21.7.2023, the transfer order dated 13.7.2023 is stayed. However, it is humbly requested that it is to be mentioned both transfer orders 30.6.2023 and 13.7.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, para 5 should read as under:-</p> <p>The transfer order dated 30.6.2023 qua the applicant stayed and the transfer order dated 13.7.2023, qua the Respondent no. 2 is stayed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 248 OF 2023

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri Samudre B. Apparao)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

DATE : 26.07.2023

ORDER

1. Learned C.P.O, pursuant to the query made by the Tribunal dated 12.7.2023, produced G.R dated 3.4.2000 along with covering letter dated 26.7.2023. She pointed out that as per clause 7 of the G.R dated 3.4.2000, the Government has taken a policy decision not to proceed in the departmental enquiry and the final result is to be kept pending till the criminal case is decided.

2. In the present case, the criminal case under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1977 is stayed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. The charge sheet was issued on 14.7.2017 and the Enquiry

✓

Officer submitted report dated 28.12.2022, which was received by the Disciplinary Authority on 5.1.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant now points out to G.R dated 15.12.2017 which pertains to giving promotion to the Civil servants against whom departmental enquiry is pending. Learned counsel has submitted that he has filed the present Original Application on 28.2.2023 and points out to para 5.10 of the Original Application, wherein he has referred to sub-clause (1) of clause 9 of the G.R dated 15.12.2017. He relies on sub-clause 9 of Clause 1 of the said G.R which states as under:-

“९. विभागीय पदोन्नती समितीच्या मूळ बेटकीच्या दिनांकापासून दोन वर्षे झाल्यानंतरही जाणवत नाहीत कि, पाकीटात निष्कर्ष देवलेल्या अधिकारी/ कर्मचा-यांच्या, शिस्तभंगविषयक / व्यासनामगोत्र कारणांवर प्रकरणी अंतिम निर्णय झालेला नसल्यास, अशा प्रकरणी नियुक्ती प्राधिकारी स्वातंत्र्यानुसार अशाप्रकारे अधिकारी/ कर्मचा-याला तदर्थ पदोन्नती देण्याबाबत जाणीवपूर्वक निर्णय घेईल. अशा विषयक पदोन्नती प्राधिकारी, खालील मुद्दे विचारात घेईल.”

4. Thus, it states that if at all no decision is taken in the criminal matter or departmental enquiry pending against the Government servants, then in such cases, the appointing authority should take a conscious decision whether he is to be given temporary/ad hoc promotion by considering the parameters set out therein. Learned counsel further relies on to the affidavit in reply dated 10.7.2023, filed by Shri Raju Bhausahab Thote, Dy. I.G of Registration in the office of Dy. I.G or Registration, Mumbai Division and referred to para 15 which deals with contents of para 5.10 from the pleadings wherein the Respondents have stated that they have acted strictly in consonance with the provisions of G.R dated 15.12.2017.

5. Learned C.P.O submits that in the letter dated 26.7.2023, there is a clear intimation given by the Addl. Chief Secretary,

Revenue & Forest Department that Government has taken a conscious decision considering the charges levelled against the applicant and also wherein cases under the Prevention of Corruption Act are pending, the promotion is to be kept in sealed cover till the final decision in the criminal case is decided by the Court. It is also stated in the note that if the promotion is given to the Government servant who is involved in corruption cases and the case is pending against him, then this will malign the image of the Government and therefore, in such cases the Government has taken a conscious decision not to open the sealed cover. So is the case of the applicant.

6. After taking into account all these facts and the legal position on record, we direct the State Government to communicate its decision to the applicant.

7. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply along with the letter dated 26.7.2023 and the G.R dated 3.4.2000, within a week.

8. S.O to 9.8.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)



Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 26.07.2023
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.111 & 112 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.C. Bhillare M.A. Sonawane ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.8.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.743 & 744 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. K.S. Madar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.8.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.130 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.Z. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.8.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.689 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.R. Gujrathi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.8.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.696 of 2023</u>
	<p>U.S. Fakir & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that Respondent No.6 is not served and service is awaited. He submits that fresh notice be issued to respondent no.6.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue fresh notice before admission to Respondent No.6 returnable on 22.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgī) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.725 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Sendre & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Nirmal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.2 to 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.8.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.121 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.N. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 3 and Ms. Neha Kochi, learned Advocate i/b Talekar & Associates for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Respondent No.2 submits that reply of respondent no.2 is already filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- /</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.7.2023 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.322, 360, 361 & 370 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. M.M. Patil & Ors. Dr. T.G. Lokhande & Ors. Dr. S.S. Lokhande & Ors. Dr. S.P. Bhoir & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Sidhant Sinha i/b. Hammurabi & Soloman Partners, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.8.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>26.7.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.632 of 2023</p> <p>R. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Faiyaz Ansari i/b Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.628 of 2023</p> <p>R. A. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.267 of 2023</p> <p>S. G. AherApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Deokar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought two days' time for hearing of the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2023.</p> <p align="right"> ^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.326 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. TambeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that matter was not listed before the Tribunal after 13.06.2023 and, therefore, he has filed praecipe and consequent to it, the office traced file and listed on today's board.</p> <p>3. He submits that connected matters are on tomorrow's board and this matter be kept with those matters for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.767 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.768 of 2023</p> <p>S. P. PandharkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.828 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. Khot ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Nankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Dnyaneshwar Patil holding for Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for Respondent No..4.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.867 of 2023</p> <p>B. S. NagargojeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. S. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.892 of 2023</p> <p>S. V. MaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the pendency of O.A., the Applicant is again transferred from Nandgaon, Dist. Nashik to Nanashi, Dist. Nashik by order dated 25.07.2023 and, therefore, challenge to the transfer order dated 31.05.2023 challenging transfer to Nandgaon does not survive.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant on instructions from his client states that his client is satisfy ^{satisfied} with transfer order dated 25.07.2023.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.893 of 2023</p> <p>S. P. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 31.05.2023 whereby he is transferred from Chandwad, Dist. Nashik to Jalgaon. 3. Learned P.O. submits that during the pendency of O.A., the Applicant is again transferred from Jalgaon to Dhule by order dated 25.07.2023 and, therefore, challenge to transfer order dated 31.05.2023 does not survive. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to take instructions from his client about transfer order dated 25.07.2023. 5. S. O. to 01.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.419 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. AtkaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. 4. Office to verify service of the notice of this O.A. upon Respondent No.3 and shall submit Report by next date. 5. S.O. to 09.08.2023. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. PardeshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Date: 26.07.2023

O.A. No.905 of 2023

R.S. Mali & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 28.07.2022 whereby claim for compassionate appointment is rejected on the ground of no provision of substitution of legal heir in the waiting list.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.08.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 23.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.07.2023

O.A. No.902 of 2023

B.B. AunseApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 07.07.2023 whereby he is posted to Armed Police, Naigaon from D.N. Nagar Police Station.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.08.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 22.08.2023.

Sd/-

v

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 26.07.2023

M.A. No.469 of 2023 in O.A. No.889 of 2023

M.B. Mali & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of one year caused in filing O.A. for compassionate appointment.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.08.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 22.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 26.07.2023

M.A. No.464 of 2023 in O.A. No.879 of 2023

S.S. ShewaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of five months caused in filing O.A. for compassionate appointment.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.08.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 22.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.07.2023

O.A. No.788 of 2023

A.S. ManjareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 09.05.2023 whereby he is suspended because of registration of crime under provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 against the Applicant.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 21.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.627 of 2023</p> <p>S.P. VetalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 08.05.2023 whereby he is transferred from the post of Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Nashik to Deputy Superintendent, Chopda, District – Jalgaon. Applicant was due for transfer and has given 10 options. His grievance is of non-consideration of options given at the time of Transfer.</p> <p>3. In O.A. no Reply is filed.</p> <p>4. When matter is taken for admission, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw O.A. with liberty to make representation to the Respondent No.1 seeking Transfer to Kalwan, District Nashik on the ground that his wife is serving at Nashik and he is entitled to the benefit of G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>5. Allowed to withdraw with liberty to make representation within a month. Respondent No.1 shall consider it as per Rules and shall pass appropriate order within a month from the date of receipt of the representation. The decision shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) [P.T.O.] Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.883 of 2023 <u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Dr. U.N. KhemaniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is taken on today's board for Speaking to the minutes in reference to the order passed by the Tribunal on 25.07.2023 in Para 3 of the order. Tribunal observed that interim relief for continuation of the Applicant at Grant Govt. Medical College Hospital, Mumbai needs to be granted till next date.</p> <p>3. However, specific order to that effect remained to be passed. Therefore to avoid ambiguity, specific order needs to be passed. Para 3 (a) shall be added as new Para in order dated 25.07.2023. "Transfer order dated 13.07.2023 is stayed till next date and the Applicant to be continued at Grant Govt. Medical College Hospital, Mumbai till next date."</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.100 of 2022</p> <p>J.S. PanditApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that if possible it will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Since pleading is complete, O.A. is admitted for Final Hearing and be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder in the mean time with copy to other side well in advance.</p> <p>5. S.O. 24.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.234 of 2022 in O.A. No.291 of 2022</p> <p>J.N. RaybholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. O.A. is filed for extension of service till completion of age of 62 years. The applicant stands retired on 31.03.2022 from the post of Medical Officer. During the pendency of O.A., M.A. No.234/2022 is filed on 18.04.2022 for stay to the retirement order dated 31.03.2022. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate are absent from 2/3 dates.</p> <p>4. Today, also they are absent. Thus, they seems not interested in the matter, O.A. and M.A. are dismissed in default.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.309 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.S. AbnaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant's Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1080 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. The perusal of record reveals that the Respondents have filed Affidavit-in-Reply stating that the Applicant is declared ineligible in the scrutiny of the documents. Thereafter, interviews are also completed on 13th & 14th October, 2022 and result was also declared on 13.12.2022. In O.A. the Applicant has sought relief for direction to the Respondents to allow him to appear in the interview, that was only relief prayed in O.A. Whereas, as per Affidavit-in-Reply in scrutiny itself the Applicant is found ineligible. Today, matter is for admission but the Applicant and his Advocate are absent. On previous two dates also they were absent thus they appears not interested in the matter. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1176 of 2022</p> <p>N.S. TikheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Bait, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.25, 32.</p> <p>2. Shri A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.37 to 39, 41 to 49 & 51 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. On request of Shri U.V. Bhosle, two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.25 & 32.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant shall file Rejoinder if any, by next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.67 of 2022 in O.A. No.76 of 2022</p> <p>B.K. NaraleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.K. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has pointed out that the Accountant General (AG) has issued fresh pension order on 18.07.2023 considering the Applicant's Appointment from 31.03.1997 as per order of Hon'ble High Court. Thus, there is compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal which was modified by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. All that learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that actual payment is not received.</p> <p>4. In view of above, pension order dated 18.07.2023, the Applicant is now being paid revised retiral benefits considering his date of retirement as 31.03.1997 and since pension is already authorized, amount will be credited in the Applicant's account soon. There is no need to wait till the receipt of the money by the Applicant.</p> <p>5. In view of above, Contempt proceeding stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.08 of 2023 in O.A. No.287 of 2020 with C.A. No.09 of 2023 in O.A. No.194 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. P.N. Kakade Dr. A.S. BendreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In C.A. No.09/2023 filed in O.A. No.194/2021, according to learned C.P.O. all compliance is done and necessary orders are issued. Whereas, Shri Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that payment is not received.</p> <p>3. Whereas in C.A. No.08/2023 filed in O.A. No. 287/2021, Shri Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the factor of commutation of Pension is wrongly complied. Learned C.P.O submits that fresh order will be issued by complying correct factor for commutation and Affidavit to that effect will be filed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, one week time is granted to Respondent to file fresh Affidavit showing updated compliance along with orders of Accountant General.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.55 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that Respondents have availed more than one year for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous 2/3 dates last chance were granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply or to take decision on the proposal forwarded by Superintendent of Police, Pune Rural on dated 31.10.2022.</p> <p>3. However, neither decision is taken on the proposal dated 31.10.2022 neither Reply is filed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, we are not inclined to grant further time. O.A. to proceed without Reply and O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. 25.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.62 of 2023 in O.A. No.194 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Contemnor to Show Cause Notice is under preparation and the same will be filed within one hour. It be taken on record. Copy be served to other side.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.08.2023 for hearing.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.76 of 2023 in O.A. No.110 of 2023</p> <p>P.F. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</td> <td align="center"> Sd/- ^ _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>NMN</p>	Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	Sd/- ^ _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)	Sd/- ^ _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.73 of 2023 in O.A. No.733 of 2022</p> <p>R.H. ArsidhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. submits that being aggrieved by the order passed by the Tribunal, Respondents have filed Writ Petition No.9098/2023 in which on 25.07.2023 stay to the Judgment passed by the Tribunal is granted and Writ Petition is adjourned for two weeks. In view of above, hearing of this Contempt proceeding is adjourned for four weeks. S.O. to 23.08.2023. <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.52 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.V. Shelke-Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their Advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that department wants to file affidavit whether the posts which were advertised on 30.10.2013 are required in the Government as such services are not required.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.8.2023 for filing affidavit to that effect.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.891 of 2023

M.P. Raut ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
26.7.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
26.7.2023



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.51 of 2023 in O.A. No.1219 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.B. Vadar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that benefit of higher pay scale of Awal Karkun pursuant to order dated 15.3.2023 passed by this Tribunal is given to the applicant. However, the post is not vacant and hence deemed date is not given.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that as and when the post will be available the Government will promote the applicant to the post of Awal Karkun with deemed date as directed by the Tribunal. The respondents are directed to file affidavit to this effect.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 2.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.7.2023 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.70 of 2023 in O.A. No.823 of 2022</u> with <u>C.A. No.75 of 2023 in O.A. No.116 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.T. Patil S.S. Bhusare ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that State wants to challenge the order before the Hon'ble High Court. Hence, the matter is adjourned to 30.8.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.376 of 2022 in O.A. No.737 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhamare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and Advocate both the absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO points out that the selection is of 2012 and recommendation list is of 1.10.2012.</p> <p>4. MA is fixed for 'Dismissal'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2023</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.24 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.B. Khatke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Priyanka Shaw holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2023 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.7.2023 26.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.412/2023 with O.A.No.420/2023</p> <p>B.P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matters are to be detagged. O.A.No.420/2023 is adjourned to 31.07.2023 and O.A.No.412/2023 is adjourned to 21.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.490/2023</p> <p>S.S. MagdumApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.527/2023</p> <p>Dr. J.R. BandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Neha Khachi holding for learned Advocate Mr. Piyush Pandey, for the Respondent No.2 has submitted that during the course of the day she will file vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.08.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.532/2023</p> <p>S.K. MandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Priyanka Shaw, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.565/2023</p> <p>P.B. SakateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A. Chaware, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 18.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.574/2023</p> <p>S.I. BhosaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 09.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders


Date : 26.07.2023

O.A.No.731/2023

K.B. Chandel & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate Mr. Khaire has submitted that by order dated 18.07.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.861/2023 thereby allowing the Teachers of DTS to appear for the interview even though they did not have requisite experience of five years. Learned Advocate Mr. Khaire has submitted that the present Applicants have experience of three years and they are from MES, so they also should be allowed to appear for the interview on similar lines of the order in O.A.No.861/2023.
3. We make it clear that there were two channels i.e. DTS and MES for the appointment of Deputy Education Officer. In O.A.No.861/2023 the order was passed allowing the candidates from DTS, if at all they have secured the cut-off marks on the basis of order dated 23.06.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.5059/ 2022, Sandip Bribhansingh Somwanshi & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Nagpur. It is not the case in MES. Hence, we are unable to appreciate the submissions of learned Advocate Mr. Khaire
4. Adjourned to 18.08.2023 for filing reply.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.405/2023</p> <p>A.R. ChohanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V. Dunbale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>