

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.202 of 2022</p> <p>Dr.V.S. Lahade,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 04.02.2022 passed by Director, Public Health Department, Mumbai whereby additional charge of Drawing and Disbursing which was with the Applicant was withdrawn and was given to Dr. Chetan Tombre, Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Sinnar on the ground that there were several complaints in respect of the functioning of the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Admittedly, the Applicant was serving as Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Sinnar. She was posted there by order dated 07.01.2021. As such, her designation was Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Sinnar. She appears also holding additional charge of Drawing and Disbursing authority. By impugned order all that Director, Public Health Department has withdrawn the additional charge of Drawing and Disbursing authority. Suffice, to say this is not the case of Transfer or any such order causing impact upon pay and allowances or service conditions of the Applicant. It was for the Director, Public Health Department to decide whether to continue the Applicant with additional charge of Drawing and Disbursing authority or to keep it with somebody else. This being the position the order of withdrawing the additional charge of Drawing and Disbursing authority from the Applicant and keeping it with somebody else is innocuous order and has not affected the terms and condition of services of the Applicant.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. When specific query was raised to the learned Advocate for the Applicant she could not point out how the Applicant is affected by the withdrawal of additional charge of Drawing and Disbursing authority. All that she stated that the charge is given to Jr. person. This could not be a ground to challenge order of withdrawal of additional charge. Ultimately, it was for the Director, Public Health Department to decide whether to continue the additional charge or otherwise from the point of administrative exigencies.

4. In this behalf, reference of Rule 156 of Maharashtra Treasury Rules, 1968 is material which is as under:-

“१५६. कार्यालय प्रमुख स्वतःच्या नियंत्रणासाठी काम करणाऱ्या कोणत्याही राजपत्रित अधिकाऱ्यास, संबंधित संवितरण अधिकाऱ्याकडे सदर अधिकाऱ्याचे नाव कळवून व त्याची नमुन्याची सही पाठवून बिलावर किंवा आदेशावर आपल्याकरिता सही करण्यासाठी प्राधिकृत करू शकतो. तथापि, त्यामुळे बिलाच्या बाबतीतील अचुकपणाच्या व प्रदानातून मिळालेल्या रकमेच्या विनियोगाच्या जबाबदारीतून कार्यालय प्रमुखाची कोणत्याही प्रकारे मुक्तता होणार नाही. तसेच, कार्यालय प्रमुखाच्या वैयक्तिक मागण्यांसंबंधीच्या बिलांवर मात्र त्याने स्वतःच सही केली पाहिजे.”

5. Thus, Head of the Department can appoint any of the Officers working under him as Drawing and Disbursing authority and the Applicant cannot insist that she should be continued as Drawing and Disbursing authority.

6. Needless to mention, a Government servant has no right to ask for any such additional charge as vested right and it squarely falls within the power of executive. Thus the Applicant is unnecessarily raking up the issue of withdrawal of additional charge.

7. In view of above, I sum up that challenge to the impugned order holds no water and O.A. deserves to be dismissed summarily.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.730 of 2018</p> <p>B.I. FulariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Bhagyashri M. Kanade, learned Advocate i/b. S.C. Mangole, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is High Court remanded matter. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and adjourned to 13.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to place the developments in OA No.241/2019 in writing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.638 of 2018 in O.A. No.887 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.R. Bankapure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Shri J.N. Kamble, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is expired.</p> <p>3. Notice be sent to Applicant Shri J.R. Bankapure at his address immediately.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>26.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 325/2018 with O.A 13 /2020</u></p> <p>A.M Jadhao [O.A 325/2018] R.S Pawar [O.A 13/2020]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 325/2018, Shri A.A Desai Spl Counsel for Respondent no. 1, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondent no. 2, Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 and Shri D.B Khaire with Shri C.T Chandratre, learned counsel for the Respondent no. 3 in O.A 13/2020.</p> <p>2. At the time of opening of the argument, learned counsel Mr Dere appearing for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 (R.S Pawar), submits that the selected candidate which is Respondent in this Original Application Shri D.A Dalvi, does not hold Certificate of Teaching as per the requisite criterion.</p> <p>3. Certificate of experience of Teaching which is issued by Kavikulguru Institute of Technology and Science, Nagpur dated 27.4.1991 and Certificate issued by Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur dated 24.7.1993 are produced. However, these two Certificates are not recognized by the All India Council for Technical Education, (AICTE).</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant Mr Dere is directed to file the application for amendment in his Original Application within one week. However, in the meanwhile the Respondent-State may take instructions accordingly and subsequently may file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 2.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.416 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. JageApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that Applicant and another person Mr. U.S. Rathod, Sectional Engineer were punished to pay Rs.6,000/- per month for the period of two years, however, Mr. U.S. Rathod was allowed to pay for only one month. Learned Advocate prays for parity.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to verify the same and accordingly make submissions on oath.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 02.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.366 of 2022 in O.A. No.459 of 2022</u></p> <p>Vijay B. Mahajan & 2 Ors. ..Interveners And S.A. Jamadar & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Interveners, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants submits that he has received communication from the applicants that they want to file appearance of some other Lawyer. The said communication is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification. Ld. Advocate Shri Lonkar prays that he may be allowed to withdraw his appearance and he may be discharged from this matter. In view of this Ld. Advocate Shri Lonkar is allowed to withdraw his appearance and he is discharged from this matter. Ld. Advocate further submits that he has handed over all the papers to the applicants, who are present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Shri Hitesh Sangle holding for Shri Chitale, Ld. Advocate submits that the applicants have engaged them as their advocates. He seeks time to file appearance. He states that he is filing Vakalatnama today.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2022 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2022 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>RA.23/2019 in OA.1052/2016 with MA.700/2019 in RA.23/2019 in OA.1052/2016</u> And <u>MA. No.237/2018 in OA. No.1052/2016</u></p> <p>Vinod S. Kalekar ..Applicant/ Intervener</p> <p align="center">And</p> <p>Devidas P. Kathale & 164 Ors. ..Ori.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 13 Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Mansha Khemka with Ms. Twinkle Khemka i/b. Khemka & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant/Intervener and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA No.700/2019 is filed for condoning the delay in filing the RA No.23/2019 and MA No.237/2018 in OA No.1052/2016 was filed for intervention.</p> <p>3. RA is filed in OA No.1052/2016 which was decided by judgment and order dated 8.5.2019. The applicant had moved MA.237/2018 and wanted to be added as party respondent on the ground that decision of the said OA is likely to be effected adversely and therefore he is a necessary party.</p> <p>4. In para 9.2 of the RA the applicant mentions that though Ld. advocate has made submissions at the time of hearing of OA and MA No.237/2018, the Tribunal has failed to appreciate the submissions in the judgment and order, despite giving opportunity of hearing. Neither applicant's nor his advocate's appearance is shown in the judgment. Ld. Advocate submits that thereafter the applicant applied for certified copy of order on 27.5.2019 which was received on 28.5.2019. But at that time she found out that affidavit of Smt. Namrata Ganesh Patil, Assistant Inspector General of Police (Estt.), in the office of DGP was not furnished. Thereafter she wanted some instructions from the applicant, which consumed time and time was also consumed in securing the said affidavit from the Registry of this Tribunal.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Ld. Advocate submits that she made an application on 28.8.2019 and subsequently complaint on 9.9.2019 and reply was received from the Registry on 10.10.2019. Thereafter she received information under RTI on 15.9.2019 and therefore filing of this RA was delayed and it was filed on 20.12.2019. Ld. Advocate therefore prays that order dated 8.5.2019 passed in OA is to be reviewed.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO opposes review mainly on the ground that the facts stated have not taken place at the time of hearing of OA and RA is without merit and is to be dismissed.</p> <p>6. As per the submissions of the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, the MA for intervention was to be heard before deciding the OA. However, neither appearance nor submissions are recorded in the judgment itself.</p> <p>7. It was first duty of the Ld. Advocate to point out this position immediately as soon as Ld. Advocate had knowledge about the said order. There is inordinate delay in placing this application on record. The memo of RA runs into 57 pages, which is not required and only one simple application was sufficient in the month of June, 2019. The reasons given of not getting affidavit from the Registry or getting delayed instructions cannot justify the delay. Moreover the Bench who heard this matter on 8.4.2019 was available till July, 2019. Thereafter my Brother Judge Shri Justice A.H. Joshi Hon'ble Chairman vacated the seat on 14.7.2019. However, my brother Judge Shri P.N. Dixit, Hon'ble Member (A) was available till July, 2021. Under such circumstances the matter would have been placed before either of the Members who have heard the matter. The submissions which might have heard earlier by the other Bench cannot be decided and it cannot be mentioned in the order of review. The reasons given are not justified and it is not within the parameter of Order 47 of CPC.</p> <p>8. For the aforesaid reasons MA.700/2019 in RA.23/2019 in OA.1052/2016 for condonation of delay, RA.23/2019 and MA.237/2019 in OA.1052/2016 for intervention are dismissed. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">26.7.2022 26.7.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022 M.A. 18 of 2019 with O.A. No.53 of 2019</p> <p>S.R. Shinde & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed to condone delay of 15 years and 3 months in O.A. in which the Applicants have challenged order dated 10.01.2017 and 12.05.2017 issued by Respondent No.3 & 4 respectively to the extent of pay scale granted to them w.e.f. 16.11.2016 instead of 29.09.2003.</p> <p>3. Thus when there is challenge to the order dated 10.01.2017 and 12.05.2017, I fail to understand how M.A. is filed stating that there is delay of 15 years. It appears that limitation is calculated from G.R. dated 29.09.2003. However, the orders impugned are dated 10.01.2017 and 12.05.2017, therefore it can't be said that there is a delay of 15 years to challenge the impugned order. M.A. seems to have drafted mechanically without considering all the factual aspects.</p> <p>4. Be that as it may, now turning to O.A. though the Applicant claim the benefit of pay scale from 29.09.2003 instead of 16.11.2016, they have not made any representation to the Respondents to that effect. They are still in service, when this aspect is brought to the notice of learned Advocate for the Applicant she stated that O.A. be disposed of with liberty to the Applicants to make representation.</p> <p>5. For the aforesaid reason, M.A. and O.A. are disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation to Respondent No.3 within a month from today and if such representation is made it shall be decided within two months and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicants.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.544 of 2021 in O.A. No.962 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. Kalkute,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of one year and two months caused in filing O.A. Notices were issued by order dated 07.12.2021 but till date no Reply is filed in M.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, for the reasons stated in M.A. delay is condoned so that O.A. can be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.962 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. Kalkute,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.544/2021 for condonation of delay which is allowed today. In sofaras O.A. is concerned, now it requires to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.420 of 2021</p> <p>M.S. Shinde,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.410 of 2021</p> <p>S.T. Zaware,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. last chance granted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D. W. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant in person requested for adjournment on the ground that his Counsel is unwell and sought four weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O. A. No. 479 of 2020</p> <p>K. P. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.520 of 2022</p> <p>Alka V. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 and 4. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.892 of 20167</p> <p>D. G. BasutkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. is still pending before the Hon'ble High Court, and therefore, requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.707 of 2022</p> <p>B. S JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits. The Applicant stands retired from the post of Assistant Director on 31.05.2014. At the time of retirement, one D.E was pending against him and it was continued after retirement. Notably, the D.E. was concluded by order dated 11.06.2018 thereby imposing punishment of 12% deduction per month permanently. The appeal preferred against it, is pending. 3. Surprisingly, even after final order in D.E., Gratuity, Regular Pension and some other benefits are not paid though the period of four years is over. There seems to be no legal hurdle to release retiral benefits in view of completion of D.E. Therefore, the Original Application deserves to be disposed of expeditiously. 4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondents as to why the retiral benefits are still withheld and to apprise the Tribunal on 29.07.2022. 5. S.O. to 29.07.2022. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 433 of 2022</p> <p>C. S. LokhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the reply is under preparation and same will be filed within three days. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record. Copy be ser ed to other side.</p> <p>3. This O.A. along with O.A. No.844/2021 be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- N (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.901 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. Salunkhe ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nitesh Gupta holding for Shri M. A. Parab, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for adjournment on the ground that Advocate Shri M. A. Parab who is handling the matter is out of station.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.269 of 2022</p> <p>M. G. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. B. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed.3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.5. S.O. to 10.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.617 of 2022</p> <p>D. B. GoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three days' time is granted to file Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.461 of 2022</p> <p>K. N. MavchiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Smt Geeta Gavli, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3. 2. Learned P.O. submits that reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 is not required. 3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has tendered reply. It is taken on record. 4. One week time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 5. Interim relief to continue till next date. 6. S.O. to 02.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. KakadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 19.08.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.148 of 2018</p> <p>J.M. Ingale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Matter pertains to Appointment of Police Patil. 4. Perusal of record reveals that though O.A. is filed in 2018, it was not listed before the Bench for admission and was simply pending in the Office. 5. In view of above, in the interest of justice O.A. be kept for admission so that the Applicant or his Counsel could remain present, it be notified on board. 6. S.O. to 03.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.54 of 2018</p> <p>R.G. Nandgude.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was undated in the Office for the long time and today for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal. 4. Respondent No.3 has already filed Affidavit-in-Reply. 5. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. 6. S.O. to 25.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.742 of 2018</p> <p>R.I. Gaikwad & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. In this O.A. notices were issued on 22.10.2018 but till date no Reply is filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply. 5. S.O. to 26.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.660 of 2018</p> <p>R.I. Gaikwad,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. In this O.A. notices were issued on 22.10.2018 but till date no Reply is filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing for admission without Reply. 5. S.O. to 26.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.77 of 2018</p> <p>D.S. Khatal & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that notices were issued on 24.01.2018 but till date no Reply is filed. It appears that matter remained in the office unlisted for the long time and today it is listed before the Tribunal. 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 5. S.O. to 12.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.116 of 2021 in O.A. No.17 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. Sawane,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Perusal of record reveals that in O.A. notices were issued by the Tribunal on 01.03.2021 and issue of limitation was found involved. Thereafter, the Applicant has filed M.A. No.116/2021 stating that there is no delay in filing O.A. and in alternative prayed to condone the delay, if any. It is further notice that for long time matter is undated in the office and today for the first time it is listed before the Bench. No Reply is filed in O.A. though enough time is availed. O.A. be kept for hearing for at the stage of admission without Reply along with M.A. S.O. to 24.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.708 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.U. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Representing Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions and makes an oral application for speaking to minutes of the order dated 25.7.2022 passed in the above OA No.708/2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO points out para 4 of the order dated 25.7.2022 and submits that the period mentioned as "three weeks" is to be corrected as "three months".</p> <p>4. Order be corrected accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.405 of 2022 in O.A.No.458 of 2022</p> <p>D.S. Mane & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 19.07.2022 learned P.O. submits that on 21.07.2022 letter was sent as per queries made by the Tribunal to the Additional Chief Secretary -Public Health Department, Director Health Service (Malaria) – Pune; the Joint Director, Health Service (Malaria, Filaria & Water Born Disease) – Pune, the Assistant Director, Health Service (Malaria), Kolhapur, the District Malaria Officer, Sangli, the District Malaria Office, Ratnagiri and the District Malaria Office, Yerwada, Pune.</p> <p>3. Today nobody is present as Tuesday is the day fixed for Public Health Department. We keep this matter tomorrow. It is expected that the concerned persons to whom the notices are served to respond immediately, otherwise we will be constrained to stop all process of further promotion.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.691 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.S. Molawade & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.7.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.7.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.419 of 2022 in O.A. No.691 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.S. Molawade & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.7.2022 26.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1020 of 2021</p> <p>J.G. NaikApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Krishna Agarwal, learned Advocate i/b. M/S. Talekear & Assoc. for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter is adjourned to 28.07.2022 at 12.30 p.m.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1 of 2020 with O.A.No.2 of 2020</p> <p>N.N. Mane (O.A.1/2020) S.S. Kedar (O.A.2/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2022 by way of last chance to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1120/2015</u></p> <p>Dr K.V Shegaonkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this application, the applicants working as Dental Surgeons pray that the clause no. 4.6 of the advertisement No. 87/2015 dated 31st July, 2015 be quashed and set aside and to be declared contrary to the Recruitment Rules. So also the corrigendum dated 2nd December, 2015 to the said advertisement is to be quashed and set aside. It is also prayed that the Corrigendum dated 2nd December, 2015 by which the Applicant-Society was held ineligible for appointment as a Dental Surgeon as against the 189 posts advertised by Respondent no. 2, vide its advertisement dated 31st July, 2015 be quashed and set aside. It is further prayed that the applicant be absorbed and their services be regularized on the contractual post as Dental Surgeon. By way of interim relief was prayed that the applicants be called and allowed to appear for the interview which were commenced from 14.12.2015 pursuant to advertisement No. 87/2015. By way of amendment, it was prayed that the applicants be reinstated on vacant posts by quashing and setting aside the orders of their forceful termination.</p> <p>3. Perusal of the record shows that the matter was argued for interim relief and it was refused on 20.1.2016. Subsequently, on instructions, learned P.O submits that they were called for the interview. However, they were not selected. Thereafter, the matter appeared on 27.1.2016, 10.2.2016 and on 23.3.2016 the amendment was carried out. Thereafter the matter did not appear. It was not pursued by the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>4. In view of this, it appears that matter has become infructuous.</p> <p>5. Matter be kept under the caption "Dismissal for want of prosecution".</p> <p>6. S.O to 27.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.348 of 2018 with O.A.No.347 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. KolateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief is granted on 12.06.2018 wherein Respondent is directed to keep one post vacant for accommodating the Applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request of leaned C.P.O. adjourned to 23.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) U Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.297 of 2017</p> <p>B.E. Tamhane & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants pray that Respondents be directed to absorb all the Applicants on the post of Civil Engineering Assistants in accordance with the recommendations made by the Chitale Committee and G.R. dated 07.08.1982 in Public Health Department.</p> <p>3. This O.A. was filed on 04.01.2017. The objections are raised by the Registry on 09.03.2017 are not removed till day. However matter appeared on 05.05.2017, learned Advocate for the Applicant did not appeared. Thereafter on 13.06.2017, 28.06.2017, 27.07.2017, 10.08.2017, 07.09.2017 and 28.09.2017 thereafter the matter appeared on 01.07.2022. On 01.07.2022 also the applicant did not pursue at all as on that day both the Applicant and Advocate were absent and today matter is fixed.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that copy of the O.A. is also not served to the office of C.P.O.</p> <p>5. Under such circumstances, we are of the view that the matter is to be kept under the caption of 'For Dismissal' on 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.993 of 2019 with O.A.No.995 of 2019 with O.A.No.996 of 2019</p> <p>V.D. Kalsait (O.A.993/2019) S.Y. Raypure (O.A.995/2019) S.P. Tadavalekar (O.A.996/2019)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Ms. Suryavanshi adjourned to 01.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.154 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Adjourned to 23.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.208 of 2022</p> <p>J.G. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Adjourned to 13.09.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.154 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.679 of 2021</p> <p>A.P. KamalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Jyoti Kawade, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. Anant Vadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 02.08.2022 by way of last chance to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gaikwad) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Date : 26.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.208 of 2022 [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.07.2022

O.A.No.510 of 2018

P.S. PatilApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays that Respondent No.2, M.P.S.C. to consider her claim from the Open Female Category for the State Service Main Examination, 2017 and accordingly she should be appointed for the post of Deputy Collector, Group-A.

3. Affidavit-in-reply dated 30.10.2018 is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2, through Mr. Mangesh V. Ghadigoankar, Under Secretary, Office of M.P.S.C.

4. Learned P.O. submits on instructions from M.P.S.C. that earlier M.P.S.C. has taken a view that migration in horizontal reservation is not possible. As the applicant has applied in NT- category and the post was available in Open Female, learned P.O. submits that subsequently after the judgment of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench in **Charushila Tukaram Chaudhari and Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra and Ors., Writ Petition No.4159 of 2018 decided on 08.08.2019**, she was recommended by the M.P.S.C. for migration in Open Female Category and she was appointed.

5. Nobody is appearing in the matter and learned Advocate is also not present. However, we keep this matter under the caption of 'For Dismissal' on 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 26.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.576 of 2019</p> <p>A.P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>