

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.657/2022 in O.A.507/2022

Shri R.G. Shendge ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent is present.

2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 which shows that DE ~~is already~~, is already completed and final order will be passed soon.

3. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant and Advocate are not attending the matter regularly. On previous date also, they were absent. O.A. is filed challenging Show Cause Notice dated 19.04.2022 whereby Applicant was directed to remain present for enquiry.

4. Whereas M.A.No.657/2022 is filed for disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.294/2019 dated 11.06.2019 whereby directions were given to complete the enquiry within six months.

5. In view of continuous absence of the Applicant and his Advocate, they seem not interested in the matter.

6. In view of above, M.A. as well as O.A. stands dismissed in default. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashhish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
26.06.2023

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
26.06.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.990 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. ZagadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 is present.</p> <p>2. Matter is called out.</p> <p>3. As this matter is remand back matter of Hon'ble High Court, matter is peremptorily fixed on 05.07.2023 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.947 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. P. P. SabadeApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms Jwala Jose, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered the Government order dated 22.02.2019 which shows that the Government has regularized the services of the Applicant .</p> <p>3. It appears that the Applicant was temporarily appointed in 2009 and thereafter recruitment was initiated by M.P.S.C. in 2017. In that recruitment, MPSC recommended the name of the Respondent No.3 and being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed O.A. to set aside the order dated 06.02.2016 under which Respondent No.3 was selected.</p> <p>4. Thus, during the pendency of O.A., now the Government by order dated 22.02.2019 regularized the services of the Applicant amongst others w.e.f. 24.10.2016.</p> <p>5. Thus, the Applicant's grievance seems to have been redressed.</p> <p>6. In view above, the O.A. has become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1314 of 2022</p> <p>P.Chinpayan & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.4 & 5. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder, if any. 4. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder. 5. S.O. to 10.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.323/2023

B.N. DholeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. B. Mangale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant challenges Departmental Enquiry initiated against him in the year 2018. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to issue promotion order of the Applicant to the post of Superintendent of District Prison and also to grant Applicant the deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 2015 with all consequential service benefits. The name of the Applicant is shown at Serial No.2 in the D.P.C. meeting held on 30.09.2020, however, in the Seniority list which is published on 02.06.2023 the name of the Applicant is not shown.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

4. Time granted by way of last chance.

5. Adjourned to 17.07.2023

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

C.A.No.56/2023 in O.A.No.614/2014

D.E. HirdeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 17.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2023

**M.A.No.148/2022 in O.A.No.879/2021 with
O.A.No.879/2021**

R.T. JagtapApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak, i/b. Mr. Sachin Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 7 years and 10 months in filing O.A.No.879/2021.

3. Applicant seeks appointed to the post of Police Constable pursuant to advertisement dated 30.04.2014. Applicant challenges selection of Respondent No.4 who secured 99% and Applicant 100%. Learned Advocate states that the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th appointment lists were published on 08.08.2014, 16.08.2014, 30.08.2014 and 30.10.2014 respectively. Since her name did not appear in any of the list she filed Writ Petition No.4915/2021. Vide order dated 30.09.2021 passed in W.P.No.4915/2021 the Hon'ble High Court directed the Applicant to approach M.A.T. Learned Advocate has further submitted that the delay is caused for non-response of her representation which is made to Respondent No.3. Since the Applicant did not get any response from Respondent she was constraint to file O.A.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that there is inordinate delay of 7 years and 10 months. It is further stated in the affidavit that Respondent No.4 is working on the said post categorically since more than seven years.

5. We find there is no satisfactory ground for condoning the delay of 7 years and 10 months. Hence, M.A. stands dismissed.

6. In view that M.A. seeking condonation of delay is dismissed, O.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.316/2023

R.T. HulawaleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3. Time granted by way of last chance.

4. Adjourned to 17.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.990 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. ZagadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 is present.</p> <p>2. Matter is called out.</p> <p>3. As this matter is remand back matter of Hon'ble High Court, matter is peremptorily fixed on 05.07.2023 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.117 of 2016</u></p> <p>D.B. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate are not present.</p> <p>3. Matter will be proceeded with the submissions of Ld. PO.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.6.2023 26.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.436 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.S. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K.. Ld. PO who is looking after this matter is not present today.</p> <p>3. Hence, S.O. to 28.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 26.6.2023 26.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.925 of 2017 with M.A. No.569 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.K. Gunjal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Bhide with Shri C.T. Chandratre, and Shri M.B. Gawade, learned Advocates for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 11, 15, 19, 21 & 24.</p> <p>2. This matter is to be kept along with O.A. No.862 of 2019 and M.A. No.474 of 2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2023. Part heard.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.6.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.273 of 2023</p> <p>B.R. GhadgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.R. Ghadge, Applicant in Person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The question was put to party in present, whether he wished to amend his prayer with regard to completion of D.E.</p> <p>3. He submits that he does not want to amend and restricted his prayer to Suspension period.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.589 of 2022</p> <p>M.K. Gadekar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply. 3. Interim Relief to continue. 4. S.O. to 10.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.06.2023

O.A. No.397 of 2023

A.B. JagtapApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that matter can be disposed of since the Applicant has been reinstated on 23.06.2023.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seek one week time. Time as prayed is granted.
4. S.O. to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.351 of 2023</p> <p>S.P. AdivarekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.3. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder.4. S.O. to 13.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.321 of 2023</p> <p>B.D. IngaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply, otherwise failing which matter will be decided without Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.06.2023

O.A. No.213 of 2023

P.S. GharatApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. Admit with liberty to file Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 17.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.06.2023

O.A. No.45 of 2022

**R.B. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. On request of learned P.O. four weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
4. S.O. to 24.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.06.2023

O.A. No.634 of 2023

**M.S. BotleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.
3. Admit for Final Hearing.
4. S.O. to 17.07.2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha/Gadgil)
Member (A)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.06.2023

M.A. No.222 of 2023 in O.A. No.251 of 2023

**A.D. VeerApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. One week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.59 of 2023</p> <p>Y.M. RawoolApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since pleading is complete, Admit for Final Hearing</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gaᅡgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.06.2023

O.A. No.1319 of 2022

**V.P. KolekarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.
3. S.O. to 10.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.244 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. DahiphaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. 4. This matter pertains to the Single Bench headed by Hon'ble Chairperson. 5. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Hon'ble Chairperson. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.506/2023</p> <p>N.K. MujawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.07.2023 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.406/2023</p> <p>S.M. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant challenges transfer order dated 13.04.2023 passed by the Respondent No.1 transferring the Applicant from the post of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Thane to Zilla Parishad, P.W.D. Thane.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate today files rejoinder.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 10.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.517/2023</p> <p>R.S. ChendkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate, Adjourned to 28.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.706/2023</p> <p>P.D. GadhaveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant, Circle Officer, Kudal, Tal. Jawli, District Satara is transferred to the post of Awal Karkoon in the office of Tahasildar, Mahabaleshwar, District Satara by order dated 31.05.2023. Applicant is challenging the transfer order on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) None of the options as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018 is considered and all the 10 preferences /options are not taken into account.</p> <p>(b) Out of the 10 options, option given by the Applicant at Serial No.7 is vacant till date.</p> <p>(c) Applicant's options were not placed before the Civil Services Board.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 28.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.625 of 2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Going through the Affidavit filed by Ashok M. Atram, Joint Secretary, Mr. Atram is directed to remain present as we are not satisfied with the answers given by him in Clause No.3 & 4. He was required to make statement as on today what is a pay structure under the Minimum Payable Structure Act. We have used word Skilled and Unskilled as it was brought to the notice by the representative of the Government and we would like to know whether the present Drivers (Applicants) fall under काल्पनिक कुशल OR काल्पनिक अकुशल.</p> <p>2. Dr. Rajendra Gadekar, Deputy Secretary, Finance Dept. is directed to remain present in order to explain G.R. dated 02.08.2016 especially word काल्पनिक.</p> <p>3. We would like to know what is a difference between out-sourcing and contract basis.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.714/2023</p> <p>M.A. HajariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant, Police Constable, challenges the summary dismissal order dated 19.04.2023 under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution. By way of interim relief he prays that the implementation of the said order is to be stayed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the dismissal is illegal and therefore it is challenged. Learned Advocate has further submitted that the applicant has been staying in service quarters since 10.07.2019, hence he be protected.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is not in service since 19.04.2023. The Applicant is challenging the order of dismissal dated 19.04.2023 by filing O.A. on 21.06.2023 i.e. after two months. We have gone through the order of dismissal under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution. We have also gone through the Preliminary Enquiry Report. The reference of which is in the dismissal order. Considering the reasons given and reference made in the order, we are not inclined to grant any protection in respect of his service quarters.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.531/2023

Dr. S.D. SuryawanshiApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is a very serious case. When the matter was placed before this Tribunal on 04.05.2023 in paragraph 4 of the said order the tribunal it has stated that the Respondents are required to take necessary steps for issuing appropriate posting order of the Applicant since long time he is kept in abeyance awaiting the posting order and not getting salary. Thereafter also the Public Health Department did not take steps. On 16.06.2023 again the matter was placed and direction was given by this Tribunal to comply within seven days. Now seven days are over. That apart this Tribunal further independently observe not to give posting and to keep the applicant who is a Civil Surgeon, without posting for such a long period of ten and a half months is a loss of doctor-hours which are required for the public at large. It is a waste of human resources by the Public Health Department of State Government. It amounts to mental harassment to the Civil servant.

3. The Principal Secretary, Public Health Department is hereby directed to remain present tomorrow i.e. 27.06.2023 at 2.30 p.m. to answer why the Applicant is not given posting for nearly ten and a half months.

4. I am also informed that the Applicant is not paid salary since last ten and a half months. Last salary received by him is on 09.08.2022. Since, thereafter he is not paid because he is not posted. Now the Government is directed to pay him salary of total eleven months with an interest of 18% on or before 05.07.2023.

5. Adjourned to 27.06.2023 at 2.30 p.m.

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2023

C.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.879/2015

G.P. WadekarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.


1. Heard Applicant present in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

2. Learned P.O. has pointed on short affidavit in-reply dated 14.06.2023 on behalf of Respondent No1, Mr. Deepak N. Kendre, Deputy Secretary in the office of Secretary, Public Health Department wherein the delay is explained.

3. On perusal of affidavit it is found that first action as per order dated 04.01.2022 was taken by the Chief Secretary on 12.04.2022. There was delay on the part of Public Health Department to place the matter before the Chief Secretary. Further there is delay on the part of G.A.D. to give opinion when the file was received on 12.04.2022 and the opinion was given on 15.09.2022. On this delay the explanation is not satisfactory at all. The Public Health Department has failed to procure the matter from one Department to other Department. Mr. Kendre is directed to remain present tomorrow for non compliance of the order.

3. Adjourned to 27.06.2023.

Sd/-


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.707 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. Ajay O. Dahad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to show the appearance of Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar on board as Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Pursuant to order dated 22.6.2023, Ld. CPO informs that Director of Ayurved (Maharashtra) Recruitment Rules, 1975 are still in operation and the advertisement given is correct and the Government wants to stick to the Rules of 1975 to fill up the post of Director, AYUSH in view of opening of Ayurved Colleges in State of Maharashtra. She further submits that last date of filling up the form was 126.2023 and the OA is filed on 20.6.2023. So the last date of filling up the form is also over.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has filed W.P. (St.) No.14623 of 2023 in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the process of selection and advertisement. However, the applicant was directed to approach this Tribunal. Circulation was granted by the Hon'ble High Court and as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court he has approached this Tribunal on 20.6.2023. Hence, there is no delay.</p> <p>5. We have come across letter dated 13.3.2023 written by MPSC addressed to Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department. MPSC has raised very specific query regarding the application of 1975 Rules for filling up the post of Director, AYUSH, Group-A. Reply to this letter is very relevant. Ld. CPO informs that she has communicated about earlier order to the department, however, nobody has come today till 11.20 a.m.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. When the matter was called out as per information given by the Ld. CPO the persons from Medical Education & Drugs Department were present on 22.6.2023. However, they did not give instructions pertaining to reply of this letter. But they asked for four weeks time. Matter is fixed today.</p> <p>7. As nobody has come from Mantralaya and considering the letter dated 13.3.2023 of MPSC we direct both the parties to maintain status quo.</p> <p>8. Now Shri Rajesh Sonawane, Superintendent, Office of Director of AYUSH is present at 11.25 a.m. and hands over a letter from Under Secretary, Medical Education written to Ld. CPO. Ld. CPO on the basis of this letter informs that there are 6 Ayurved Medical Colleges in Maharashtra, 19 Private Aided Ayurved Colleges whereas 1 Govt. Homeopathic College. Therefore, Government has taken policy decision to appoint Director, AYUSH from faculty of Ayurved and therefore Rules of 1975 are in operation.</p> <p>9. It is to be noted that by GR dated 1.11.2012 the nomenclature of Directorate of Ayurved, State of Maharashtra is changed to Directorate of AYUSH, State of Maharashtra. No other GR is placed before us reflecting policy decision of State of Maharashtra that the Rules of 1975 are applicable to Directorate of AYUSH. It is also to be informed what decision is taken by the Government pursuant to GR dated 9.9.2021.</p> <p>10. Since none from Medical Education Department is present, we grant status quo.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 3.7.2023. Hamdast granted.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>26.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>26.6.2023</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1114/2017</u></p> <p>Smt. L.N. Soni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In this second round of litigation, the Applicant is seeking the benefit of extension of age from 62 to 64 years and to continue her on the post of Librarian till 31.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Earlier, Applicant had filed O.A.1108/2013 in this Tribunal claiming extension of age from 58 to 62. That O.A. was decided with connected O.A. by order dated 20.07.2019 and relief of extension of age upto 62 was granted. Being aggrieved by it, the State Government had filed Writ Petition No.1592 of 2016 against the order of the Tribunal dated 20.07.2015. During the pendency of Writ Petition, Applicant attained age of 62 years and stand retired. Therefore, while considering interim application No.904/2022, Hon'ble High Court directed State to pay retiral benefits considering the age of retirement as 58 years without prejudice to the rights and contentions raised by the parties. Besides, State was directed not to recover the amount paid to the Applicant for services rendered from age 58 upto 62 years. Accordingly, interim application was disposed of by order dated 21.04.2023.</p> <p>4. Writ Petition No.1592/2016 is still subjudice.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant could not satisfy us as to how this O.A. now survives.</p> <p>6. However, now Applicant had crossed the age of 64 years on 31.12.2019. This being so, the claim of the Applicant has become infructuous and O.A. is liable to be disposed of.</p> <p>7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of being infructuous with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 26.06.2023 26.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.76/2022 in O.A.489/2021</u></p> <p>Dr. R.R. Asawa ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person present. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.4 and also tendered the order passed by Respondent No.1 dated 23.06.2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The perusal of order dated 23.06.2023 reveals that Respondent No.1 has implemented the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.489/2021 and granted benefit of increment which was due to the Applicant on 1st July, 2016.</p> <p>4. In view of above, CA is disposed of with direction to Respondents, particularly Respondent No.2 to release revised retiral benefits to the Applicant within two weeks from today.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 26.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 26.06.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.47/2023 in O.A.280/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.B. Kalekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>3. Shri Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as Shri Chougule, learned P.O. submit that Writ Petition filed by the Government against the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.280/2023 is allowed and order passed by the Tribunal for correction of date of birth is quashed and set aside.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Contempt Application does not survive and accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 26.06.2023 26.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.431/2022</u>
	<p>Shri T.P. Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>5. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Respondents have already filed Affidavit-in-reply. No Rejoinders filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned for final hearing to 17.07.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17th July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 26.06.2023 26.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.351 of 2017

M. N. ImpalApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that today for the first time, the matter is listed and sought short adjournment.

3. The perusal of record also reveals that matter was not listed regularly and was unattended in the office.

4. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 03.07.2023.

5. S.O. to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.165 of 2017

S. B. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.4 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 27.06.2023.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 2606.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A.No.65 of 2020</p> <p>S. P. KapdneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. challenging the order passed by the Tribunal was heard by the Hon'ble High Court today morning and stay to the order of the Tribunal is granted.</p> <p>3. In view of above, hearing of C.A. is adjourned for four weeks.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to produce the order by next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 24.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="center">Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.427 of 2022

N. G. Khatake

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Shri K. R. Jagdale holding for Shri Bhavake, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of Advocate Shri K. R. Jagdale, the matter is adjourned to 27.06.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.85 of 2022

R. N. Jadhav (Kumbhar)Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. The perusal of record reveals that for long time, the matter was not listed for hearing and after much gap, it is listed for final hearing.
3. Therefore, in the interest of justice to give one opportunity to Applicant and his Counsel, the matter is adjourned for hearing to 12.07.2023.
4. S.O. to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2023

O.A.No.737 of 2017

S. J. MohiteApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The perusal of record reveals that the matter was not regularly listed and after long gap, it is listed today for hearing.
3. In view of above, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one opportunity to Applicant and his Counsel.
4. S.O. to 06.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.809/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.D. Tasgaonkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7th July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 26.06.2023 26.06.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.902 & 1002/2022</u>
	<p>Shri R.R. Godase Shri S.P. Deshpande ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in both the O.As, Affidavit-in-rejoined is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. This statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is completed, O.As be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17th July, 2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 26.06.2023 (skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 26.06.2023</p> </div> </div>
	[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.120/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.B. Dhaygude ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent Leave Note. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was filed along with M.A.71/2022 for condonation of delay. The perusal of record reveals that the Tribunal by order dated 05.06.2023 condoned the delay subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- to be paid within 3 weeks and matter was adjourned for today.</p> <p>3. Three weeks' time given for the payment of cost is over. Office to verify whether the cost is paid in terms of order dated 05.06.2023 and list the matter for further order on 03.07.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3rd July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar, Member-A Member-J 26.06.2023 26.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1081/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.S. Jamkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 26.06.2023 26.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>