

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.328 OF 2019  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.331 OF 2019

Shri Pawankumar H. Rathod & Ors. )  
Shri Vinod M. Nathjogi & 2 Ors. )...Applicants

Versus

1. The Addl. Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. )...Respondents

Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicants.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 26.06.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In O.A.No.328/2019, 46 Police Constables and in O.A.No.331/2019, 3 Police Constables who are working presently in Motor Transport Department i.e. on the establishment of Respondent No.1 are seeking repatriation to their original Department having completed more than five years' period in Motor Transport Department. In this behalf, the Director General of Police,

*Wadhwa*

Maharashtra has already taken a policy decision as reflected in Circular dated 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 as well as 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2018 to the effect that the deputation period should not exceed more than five years and on completion of five years, they should be sent back to their original establishment. The Applicants have completed more than 5 years' period, and therefore, seeking direction for repatriation. In fact, the similar relief was already granted earlier in O.As.

3. The main contention of learned C.P.O. is that it is not possible to relieve the Applicants in one go, as it would affect day to day work. She submits that there are so many Police Constables, who are seniors to the Applicants working in Motor Transport Department and if the Applicants are only relieved, those Police Constables, who are seniors to the Applicants will be aggrieved and in that situation, it would not be possible to repatriate all such Police Constables at once. The difficulty for not relieving the Applicants seems to be because of not getting substitutes in their place, who are to be sent by Additional Commissioner of Police, Armed Force, Mumbai.

4. The learned C.P.O. has submitted that the Additional Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport Department has written letters dated 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2019, 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2019, 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2019 and 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2019 to Respondent No.3 – Additional Commissioner of Police, Armed Force for deputing the batch of another Police Constables, so as to repatriate the Applicants from the establishment, but there is no response from Respondent No.3.

5. Significantly, there is neither response to that letters from Respondent No.3 nor Respondent No.3 had filed reply to this O.A. It is, therefore, necessary to know the response of Respondent No.3. There seems to be practical difficulties in relieving the Applicants at once for want of substitutes. At the same time, they having completed more than five years' service, they needs to be repatriated in view of policy decision as well as decisions rendered by this

Tribunal. The Respondent No.3 was, therefore, expected to respond the requisitions made by Motor Transport Department and the steps taken by him for deputing other Police Constables in Motor Transport Department.

6. In view of above, the Respondent No.3 is directed to file Affidavit making its stand clear on the issues involved in the matter.

7. The Respondent No.3 is also directed to remain present before this Tribunal to appraise the Tribunal about the steps taken by him in the matter and to explore the solution to the problem. The learned C.P.O. is directed to inform Respondent No.3 accordingly.

8. S.O. to 8th July, 2019. Hamdast copy granted.

Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**Member-J**

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000--3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.545 of 2019</p> <p>W. H. Suryawanshi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the Applicant who was working as Medical Officer admittedly stands retired w.e.f. 30.04.2019. However, he is claiming benefit of G.R. dated 29.08.2018 whereby two years period is extended in case of Medical Officer of Group 'A'.</p> <p>3. Thus, the Applicant already stands retired w.e.f. 30.04.2019 and then filed the O.A. on 11.06.2019. This being the position, basically the claim now pertains to appointment may be in terms of G.R. dated 29.08.2018. This being the position, the matter needs to be placed before the Division Bench as per office order.</p> <p>3. The Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.531 of 2019</p> <p>A.M. Naikwadi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 28.05.2019 on the ground that he was not giving posting as per his choice in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. By impugned transfer order, he was transferred to Pune on Non-Executive post in view of pendency of D.E.</p> <p>3. The Tribunal in its order dated 12.06.2019 had suggested the Respondents to see whether the Applicant can be accommodated as per his choice as his grievance was that not a single place out of ten choices given by him has been considered.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered a Government Order dated 20.06.2019 which shows that the Government has reconsidered the posting of the Applicant and transferred him to Panchayat Samiti, Atpadi, Zilla parishad-Sangali.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation to the Respondents for more convenient posting.</p> <p>6. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to Applicant to make representation which may considered by the department in accordance to law.</p> <p>7. Original Application is disposed as withdrawn with no order as to costs.</p> <p>N Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2949 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.883 of 2017 with M.A.429 of 2018</p> <p>P. D. Nagpurkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 22.04.2019. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2019.

O. A. No.591 of 2019

M. A. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2019.

O. A. No.590 of 2019

D. K. Pajal

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2019.

O. A. No.590 of 2019

D. K. Pajal ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

Sd/-

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.496 of 2019</p> <p>S. P. Kale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amruta Devkat holding for Shri N. P. Dalvi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. The order of status quo granted on 06.06.2019 be continued till further orders.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.06.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.149 of 2019 in O. A. No.276 of 2019</p> <p>M. R. Ahire .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the present M.A. with liberty to file fresh M.A. with proper pleadings and explanation.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the M.A. with liberty to file fresh M.A.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 26.06.2019.</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.152 of 2019 in O. A. No.279 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>L. B. Ghor &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.07.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.06.2019.

O. A. No.591 of 2019

M. A. Jadhav

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

Sd/-


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>26/6/2019</u>            COPIES _____            Hon. _____ (man)            Hon. <u>Shri P. A. Dixit (V/C-A)</u>            APP. _____            Shri. <u>Applicant and Advocate</u>  <u>for the applicants are absent.</u>            Adv. _____            Shri. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u>            C.P.O. _____            Adj. _____ <u>17/7/2019</u>  </p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.256 of 2019 with O.A. No.257 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. S.D. Kulkarni            Dr. P.D. Shendge ..Applicants            Vs.            The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.7.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-            (P.N. Dixit)            Vice-Chairman (A)            26.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2019.

O.A.No.111 of 2019

M.H. Deshmukh ..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply has been filed. O.A. is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)  
Vice-Chairman(J)

prk

DATE: 26/6/2019  
CORAM: Shri B.P. Patil (V.C.)  
Hon'ble Shri A.S. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member (A) V.C. A)  
APPEALANT: S.S. Dere

Advocate: Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to: O.A. is admitted  
and kept for final hearing  
in due course.

*prk*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.06.2019.

O.A.No.322 of 2019  
(Subject : Continue in service)

N.S. Navgan ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.P. Doekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. and therefore he sought leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. on fresh cause of action.

3. Since the Applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave as prayed for is granted.

4. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Applicant to approach this Tribunal on fresh cause of action.

DATE: 26/6/2019  
CORAM: Shri B.P. Patil (Vice-J)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri R.N. Dixit Member (A) - VICE A  
APPEARANCE: P.P. Doekar

Advocate for Applicant  
Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.  
C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s  
Adj./S.O. to ..... O.A. is disposed  
of as withdrawn.

*prk*

Sd/-  
(R.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-  
(B.P. Patil)  
Vice-Chairman(J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 26/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri B.P. Patil (VCG) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (VCA)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. Prashant Suryawanshi Ld. Adv. holding for Shri G.M. Savagave Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C: PO for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">M/e</p>	<p>Date : 26.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.687 of 2017 (Subject : Challenging G.R.)</p> <p>R.P. Doiphode &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter has been heard at length. During the course of argument, learned Advocate for the Applicants <del>has</del> on instructions from the Applicants <sup>stated</sup> submitted that the Applicants do not want to proceed with the O.A. and want to withdraw the O.A., therefore he sought leave of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed for is granted. // In view of the submission made by learned Advocate O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Vice-Chairman(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 20/6/2019  
 CORAM: Shri B.P. Patil (Vice-Chairman)  
 Hon'ble J. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Hon'ble J. Patil (A) Vice-Chairman  
 Advs: None for the Appl.  
 Advoca: .....  
 Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  
 C.J. P.O. for the Respondent/s  
 Adj./S.O. to 4/7/2019 for  
 dismissal.

*File*

Date : 26.06.2019.

O.A.No.1180 of 2016 with (O.A.No.237 of 2015 Nagpur)  
 With  
 O.A.No.110 of 2017  
 With  
 O.A.No.401 of 2017 (O.A.No.658 of 2015 Nagpur)  
 (Subject : Appointment)

R.M. Janbandhu & Ors. (O.A.No.1180/ 2016)  
 K.S. Joshi (O.A.No.110/2017)  
 M.A. Patel (O.A.401/2017) ..... Applicants  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicants and Advocates both are absent. Matter is pretty old. It seems that the Applicants are not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, fixed for dismissal on 04.07.2019.

Sd/-  
 (P.N. Dixit)  
 Vice-Chairman(A)

Sd/-  
 (B.P. Patil)  
 Vice-Chairman(J)