

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 26.04.2024.</b></p> <p><b>O.A. NO.371 of 2024 with O.A. No.375 of 2024 with O.A. No. 378 of 2024.</b></p> <p><b>V.V. Adagale B.S. Mulla H.N. Kakandaki .....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. 375/2024 says that so far 'Special I.G.P Kolhapur, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' has not given sympathetically consideration of the grave 'Health Condition' of Applicant who has to necessarily undergo atleast 'Two 'Dialysis Session' every week and stresses that Applicant may anytime suffer 'Medical Emergency'. Hence prays for grant of some 'Interim Relief' to Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that 'Special I.G.P Kolhapur, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' is occupied with 'General Elections Loksabha 2024' &amp; forth coming 'VVIP Visits'. The 'Special I.G.P Kolhapur, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' thus requires more time to submit information as to what can be done about request of Applicant in O.A. No. 375/2024.</p> <p>4. Learned PO thereupon states that more time would also be required to consider the cases of Applicants in O.A. No. 371/2024 and O.A. No. No. 375/2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon mentions about O.A. No. 378/2024 to state that another officer who is 'Police Inspector' had been placed under suspension along with Applicant in O.A. No. 378/2024 and upon reinstatement both came to be posted to establishment of 'SP, Satara'.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant contends that unlike the other officer who is 'Police Inspector' the Applicant in O.A. No. 378/2024 came to be transferred subsequently by 'Transfer Order' dated 24.02.2024 of 'Special I.G.P Kolhapur, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' only because he was serving as 'A.P.I' although similarly placed other officer who is 'Police Inspector' even now continues to serve on establishment of 'SP, Satara'.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for Applicant further stresses on parity of facts &amp; circumstances by specifically mentioning that Applicant in O.A. No. 378/2024 and other officer who is 'Police Inspector' had been suspended together and later on reinstated following grant of 'Anticipatory Bail' to other officer who is 'Police Inspector' by 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India'.</p> <p>8. Learned PO to ascertain further information about case of Applicant in O.A. No. 378 of 2024.</p> <p>9. The 'Special I.G.P Kolhapur, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' against the backdrop of serious 'Health Condition' of Applicant in O.A. No. 375/2024 is informed not to assign him on 'Bandobast Duty' at 'Police Station'. Instead some other duty be assigned to Applicant such as in 'Control Room' which would be less taxing; so as to prevent aggravation of his 'Health Condition'.</p> <p>10. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' only in O.A. 371/2024.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.178 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. P. P. Salunkhe ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. Shingade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 26.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.132 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S. U. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh holding for Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that MPSC has communicated by letter dated 24.04.2024 to the office of CPO pursuant to query made by Tribunal by Order dated 28.03.2024 that in the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination-2006 of Police Sub Inspector, the 'Merit List' had been published based on Reservation of 'Posts'. However, based on query of Tribunal whether the 17 Applicants would still be included in Merit List in 533 posts irrespective of Reservation of 'Posts', the MPSC on verification of records has stated that names of all 17 Applicants would be included within 533 posts of PSI, if arranged as per Merit List.</p> <p>3. The statement of learned CPO made on the basis of letter dated 24.04.2024 of MPSC is accepted and accordingly we direct that if it is so; then the Orders of promotion of all 17 Applicants to post of 'Police Inspector' be issued; subject to Order of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, if applicable.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A) Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.537 of 2024</p> <p>T. K. Pawar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. M. Bangale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 26.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.388 of 2024 With O.A.No.474 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>V. B. Kamble R. S. Roundhai ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that Affidavit in Reply in both matters are filed.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that these 2 matters be kept with O.A.No.157/2024 which is already scheduled on 07.05.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.350 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. Karkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.188 of 2024</p> <p>B. T. Durge ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are not present. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.178 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. P. P. Salunkhe ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. Shingade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.159 of 2024</p> <p>S. V. Mote &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</li><li>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</li><li>4. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.157 of 2024</p> <p>B. S. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.131 of 2024</p> <p>J. P. Nikumbh ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. U. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.102 of 2024</p> <p>P. B. Nagargoje ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.77 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. Desai &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Till then, 'Status Quo' to be maintained qua Applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.04.2024

O.A.No.524 of 2024

N. B. Salave

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D. Kamble holding for Shri A. D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.05.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 06.05.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.566 of 2024</p> <p>S. N. Sable .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li> <li>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that this O.A. be kept with O.A.Nos.368/2024 &amp; O.A. No.370/2024.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.05.2024.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/<del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.459 of 2024</p> <p>W. P. Mundhe ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.215 of 2024</p> <p>M. S. Nalawade ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Mane holding for Shri A. S. Raktade , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that reply is already filed in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.192 of 2024</p> <p>V. M. Bhedurkar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.322 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. D. B. Owhal ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri N. Mane holding for Shri A. Raktade, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.349 of 2024</p> <p>S. C. Bhosale ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.305 OF 2024  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.437 OF 2024**

Dr. R.J. Thorat & Anr. )  
Dr. Y.O. Shirshetty & Ors. )...Applicants

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. )...Respondents

**Shri A.A. Desai, Advocate for Applicants.**

**Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.**

**CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson  
Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member-A**

**DATE : 26.04.2024**

**ORDER**

1. All the Applicants in these 2 OAs who are working as 'Medical Officers' seek extension in age to continue in service till the age of superannuation of 60 years.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicants files 'Pursis' on behalf of Applicants in present OAs. In 'Pursis', it is submitted that Member (Admn.) was working as 'Chief Secretary'. The concerned papers on the issue of extension of age of 'Medical Officers' were routed through him as routine work, as those papers were required to be placed before His Excellency the Governor through Hon'ble Chief Minister and on one of the Notings, the Hon'ble Member (Admin.) has signed, and therefore, this Tribunal in OA Nos.1107/2023 & other connected OAs. [Dr. Nandkumar Banage & Ors.] wherein Applicants are similarly situated like present Applicants have taken time to consider whether to proceed before this

Bench, and therefore, those all matters are adjourned and are now fixed on 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 as per request made by learned Advocate for Applicants. In the meanwhile, these two OAs are filed wherein the issue of similarly situated 'Medical Officers' is involved. Therefore, we raise same question about the consent of Applicants, as the judicial propriety demands. In the 'Pursis', now present Applicants have given their oral consent to argue the matter before this Bench and hence, we are proceeding these two OAs.

3. In the present OAs, learned Advocate for Applicants has submitted Chart of all Applicants wherein 'Date of Birth' and 'Date of Retirement' of all Applicants are mentioned.

4. In OA No.437/2024, Applicant No.1 - Dr. Yashayya O. Shirshetty is going to retire on 30.06.2024, Applicant No.2 - Dr. Vidya V. Patil is going to retire on 30.04.2024, Applicant No.3 - Dr. Satish D. Suryavanshi is going to retire on 31.05.2024, Applicant No.4 - Dr. Rajeev Kumbhare is going to retire on 30.06.2024, Applicant No.5 - Dr. Jayant Dabhale is going to retire on 30.06.2024 and Applicant No.6 - Dr. Mahadev V. Chinchole is going to retire on 31.05.2024. In OA No.305/2024, Applicant No.1 - Dr. Rajabhau Thorat is going to retire on 31.05.2024.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicants relied on Order of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in **Writ Petition No.463 of 2024 [Bharti P. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.] decided on 01.02.2024** which is moved for 'Interim Relief' and Hon'ble Bombay High Court protected the Petitioner till the date of her superannuation.

6. Therefore in these OAs, we are benefited by the Order passed by Hon'ble Bombay High Court in **Bharti Chavan's** matter (cited supra). The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has reinstated her on the basis of 'Interim Order' passed on 05.10.2023 in **Writ Petition No.11453 of 2023 [Mahendra V. Phalke Vs. State of Maharashtra]**.




7. In view of Order passed by Hon'ble High Court in **Bharti Chavan's** matter, we protect the services of Applicants 1 to 6 in OA No.437/2024 and Applicant No.1 in OA No.305/2024. We further make it clear that as Applicant No.2 in OA No.305/2024 – Dr. Bharati Khandelwal who is going to retire on 30.09.2024, we do not grant her interim protection at this stage because as per directions given by Hon'ble Bombay High Court in **Writ Petition No.1416/2024 [Dr. Kailas B. Batte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors] decided on 15.03.2024** wherein Hon'ble Bombay High Court has directed this Tribunal to decide OA 76/2024 on its merit and in accordance with law. Thereafter, Division Bench of this Tribunal has commenced the hearing of concerned OAs on the point of extension in age of 'Medical Officers' and the matter on this issue is partly heard and now it is fixed on 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.


8. We are hopeful that matter will be decided by the Tribunal within a month thereafter, and therefore, no blanket orders of giving extension in age to 'Medical Officers' after 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 can be granted.

9. We also make it clear that no 'Interim Relief' is given to Dr. Bhimrao K. Kamble and Dr. Maroti B. Pillewar, as they already retired on 31.01.2024 and 30.09.2023 respectively and have not approached the Tribunal before their retirement and they are praying for reinstatement. It is another issue which required to be decided on deliberation of that order.

10. 'Interim Relief' in respect of Dr. Bharati Khandelwal is kept open and can be considered in the month of August, 2024, if at all other OAs are not finally decided. But in the meantime, we direct Respondents, not to process her pension papers until further orders of the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

  
(DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY)  
Member-A

  
(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 26.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.575 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A.B. Gunjal</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Shelke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who is 'Police Constable' was first appointed in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police (Railways), Pune'. However, he was subsequently assigned to post of 'Police Constable – Driver'; but later was brought back to post of 'Police Constable'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that upon 'Inter Establishment Transfer' of Applicant from 'Superintendent of Police (Railway), Pune' to 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' as 'Police Constable'; he was assigned since 26.05.2023 by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' again to post 'Police Constable – Driver'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that Applicant has already requested 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' by representation dated 15.02.2024 requesting to be assigned back to post of 'Police Constable'.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. states that no information is available; being first date of this O.A. No.575/2024 and seeks time of atleast 'Four Weeks' to obtain information from office of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2024.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
12. S.O. to 13.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakraborty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>26.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 78/2024 in O.A 213/2018 with M.A 85/2024 in O.A 214/2018</u></b></p> <p>A.A Walvekar V.S Suryawanshi ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 and Shri K.K Khamdar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Both the Misc Applications are filed seeking condonation of delay of 1 year and 6 months.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that in both the Misc Applications, the applicants are not pressing for interim relief, but only prays to condone the delay of 1 years and 6 months.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submits that the cause of action arose on 24.9.2015. The limitation period was upto 24.9.2016 and the O.A should have been filed on 24.9.2016. However, both O.A 213 &amp; 214/2018 was filed on 5.3.2018.</p> <p>5. In both the Original Applications, the applicants claim relief of migration from OBC Female category to Open Female category on the basis of merit to the post of Assistant Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department. Learned counsel submitted that similarly placed applicant had approached this Tribunal by filing O.A 660/2015. The said Original Application was dismissed on 15.3.2019. The said O.A was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P No. 5721/2019. By order dated 25.8.2021 the said Writ Petition was allowed. Learned counsel for the applicants produced copy of the said order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 5721/2019.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the applicants have very good case on merit in view of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the said Writ Petition.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the reason for the delay was that both the applicants were sent for training for the post of Assistant Engineer on 1.1.2016 and the training was upto 1.1.2017 and one applicant was posted at <u>Paithan</u></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>and one was posted in Vidharbha region and further one of the applicant got married in the year 2017 and so they could not file the Original Applications within time.</p> <p>9. Learned C.P.O opposes Misc Application seeking condonation of delay as reasonable cause is not explained.</p> <p>10. We find that no satisfactory reason is given by the learned counsel for the applicants as to why the applicants did not approach this Tribunal in the year 2016 and 2017. However, it is submitted that similarly situated candidate has succeeded in the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 5721/2019 in getting the migration.</p> <p>11. Therefore, in order to give fair opportunity to the applicants, we condone the delay of 1 years and 6 months in filing the Original Application subject to payment of cost of Rs. 7000/- each to be paid to PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF ANIMALS (PETA).</p> <p>12. In view of the above, both the Misc Applications stand disposed of.</p> <p>13. O.A Nos 213 &amp; 214/2018 to be placed on Board on 20.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.999 of 2017</u></p> <p>P.S. Mahamuni &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO orally submits for speaking to minutes of the order dated 28.3.2024 passed in the above OA. She submits that in para 3 the figures "Rs.7500-250" be corrected as "Rs.5500-9000" and "Rs.7500-200-10500" be corrected as "Rs.6500-200-10500".</p> <p>3. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            26.4.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            26.4.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1343 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.T. Patil &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that out of 139 applicants, 128 applicants have already been given the benefit of the Old Pension Scheme. Only 11 applicants have not been given the benefit of Old Pension Scheme. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files Purshis dated 26.4.2024 and the same is taken on record. He prays that remaining 11 applicants may be given the benefit of Old Pension Scheme as per GR dated 2.2.2024.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to verify whether the remaining 11 applicants are covered by the said GR and take a decision within six weeks from today. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgãl) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1342 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.M. There &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that all the 18 applicants in the above OA who were working as Motor Vehicles Inspector have retired from service. Ld. Advocate for the applicants pointed out that they are covered by the GR dated 2.2.2024 of Finance Department and entitled for the benefit of Old Pension Scheme.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to verify whether the applicants are covered by the said GR and take a decision within six weeks from today. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As. No.914, 921, 922, 947, 956 & 1347 of 2023

A.E. Kerure  
D.B. Kadge & Ors.  
S.M. Wazarkar  
B.U. Patil  
D.N. Mirajkar  
Dr. K.U. Methekar ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The respondents are directed to file reply by 3.5.2024 and in the meanwhile the respondents are directed to release the provisional pension of the applicants till the matters are decided finally.

3. S.O. to 7.5.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
26.4.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.333 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Fase ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Majahan, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 4.4.2024 of respondents no.1 to 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.6.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.294 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.B. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 17.4.2024 of respondent no.4.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.5.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.257 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.Y. Mungashe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Butla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Private respondent no.2 tenders an application seeks time to engage lawyer.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.252 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.R. Mane (Kharat) ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 23.4.2024 of respondent no.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.5.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.168 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.S. Aarge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Suhas Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1421 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.K. Kharat &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 18.4.2024 of respondents no.1, 3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.6.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1247, 1290 &amp; 1291 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Mirgal O.M. Laddha B.D. Kadam ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. Sahil Pandhire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files replies dated 7.3.2024 of respondent nos.1 &amp; 3 and 2 &amp; respectively in OA No.1247/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1023 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Gawankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.6.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.571 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.K. Parad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.547 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.D. Ghunkikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has filed OA challenging the transfer order and stay has been granted by other Single Bench. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has filed MA under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985 for transfer of this OA challenging suspension to the said other Single Bench.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gāḍgil) Member (A) 26.4.2024</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2024</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1457 of 2023</b></p> <p>A.D. Nikose .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has conveyed by letter dated 08.04.2024 their willingness to consider representation submitted by Applicant on 08.04.2024 and agreed to place it for due consideration in next meeting of 'C.S.B.' to be held for 'General Transfers: 2024'.</p> <p>3. The 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is accordingly informed to consider representation of Applicant submitted on 08.04.2024 to be posted on post of 'Inspector' in 'Public Trust Registration Officer, Nagpur' during 'General Transfer: 2024' by taking into account her 'Health Condition'.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No.1457/2023 is accordingly disposed off with above directions to 'Charity Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.236 of 2024</p> <p>V.S. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 'Short Affidavit' dated 27.03.2024 has already been filed on behalf of 'Respondent No.3'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that he received 'Short Affidavit' dated 27.03.2024 filed on behalf of 'Respondent No.3' only on 12.04.2024 and thus would like to go through it and then file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' within 'One Week'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.41 of 2024 with O.A. No.42 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.G. Bharsakle Dr. S.A.Syed .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states more time is required to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents; as no information has yet been received with respect to observations made in 'Para 4', 'Para 5' &amp; 'Para 6' of Order dated 17.01.2024 and seeks time of 'Four Weeks'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon seeks continuation of 'Interim Relief' and states that O.A. No.41 of 2024 with O.A. No.42 of 2024 be placed for further hearing after 'Summer Vacations'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 26.04.2024

O.A. No.282 of 2024

Dr. R.S. Satpute .....Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 - Intervener.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

NMN



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.940 of 2023</p> <p>Y.M. Shaikh .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sahil Pandire, learned Advocate holding for Ms Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2024.</p> <p>M. A. No.267 of 2024 In O.A.No.569 of 2024</p> <p>R.B. Zendage &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S. A. Ambulkar , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li><li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li><li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</li><li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</li><li>5. M. A. No.267/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>26.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 6/2020 in O.A 1044/2017</u></b></p> <p>H.G Chopade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 21.6.2024</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>26.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 33/2024 in O.A 1268/2022</u></b></p> <p>B.D Kamble &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Respondents have initiated the process of promotion and names of the applicants are being considered for promotion. Learned C.P.O submits that the State will have to take approval of the Election Commission of India for the postings of the applicants as the Election Code of Conduct is in force.</p> <p>3. We expect that the Respondent-State will complete the process and obey the order of this Tribunal on or before 10.6.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>26.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 30/2023 in O.A 774/2022</u></b></p> <p>V.K Adsul &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 5.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 26.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.368/2017</b></p> <p>R.H. Aangre .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officers for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 14.06.2024. P.H.</p> <p>Sd/- sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 26.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.349/2017</b></p> <p>K.V. Patil .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p align="center"><b>SPEAKING TO MINUTES</b></p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes. In order dated 23.04.2024 paragraph 2 is wrongly quoted, hence paragraph 2 stands deleted. Paragraph 2 reads as below :</p> <p><i>Learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on the following points :</i></p> <p>(i) <i>Stating the number of sanctioned posts of Superintendent available in Pune Circle along with names of the persons and date of promotion on the post of Superintendent.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>Also to state that Mr. Kute Sachin Uddhavrao is not appointed from Pune Circle and he has not consumed any approved post of Superintendent from Pune Circle.'</i></p> <p>3. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 26.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.440 of 2024 With O.A.No.587of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Patil A. S.Chaudhari</b> .....Applicants</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. N. Biradar and Shri V. A. Nagrani, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsels for the Applicants submits that interviews for the posts of 'Senior Research Officer, Group-A' (Class-2) are fixed on 30.04.2024. Therefore, they pray for interim relief.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that the MPSC issued an Advertisement No.15/2020 on 11.08.2020 for appointment on the 7 posts of 'Senior Research Officer, Group-A' (Class-2) on the establishment of Respondent No.2-Tribal Development Department and 2 posts out of the total 7 post were reserved for 'Open' Category. The 'Screening Test' was conducted by MPSC on 01.12.2022 and results were declared the result of 'Screening Test' on 04.08.2023, thereby publishing the list of 36 'Qualified Candidates' and also published 'Screening Test Cutoff' wherein cutoff for 'Open' Category 'Male' was 103.50 marks. The Applicant has secured 101.50 marks.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that there is total 41 Candidates who were found qualified. After scrutiny, 30 Candidates out of 41 were found ineligible in document verification and thus, the list of only 11 candidates were recommended for 'Interview'. He further submits that ratio laid down by MPSC 1: 5 for 'Interview' is not maintained.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Shri V. A. Nagrani, learned Counsel for Applicant in O.A. No.587/2024 submits that Applicant is presently working as 'Medical Social Worker' in Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation. However, he has been held ineligible for the 'Interview' on the ground that work experience of the Applicant is not as per the Advertisement No.15/2020 dated 11.08.2020 issued by MPSC for appointment on the post of 'Senior Research Officer, Group-A' (Class-2). Learned Counsel further submits that in pursuance to order dated 08.04.2024 passed in O.A.No.426/2024 and O.A.444/2024, the Tribal Development Department has constituted the Committee to decide 'Practical Experience' for the post of 'Senior Research Officer'. The Applicant's case will also be considered by the said Committee and meanwhile Applicant may be permitted to appear for the 'Interview'.</p> <p>6. We are, informed by Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No1- MPSC that MPSC has not yet taken decision pursuant to our order dated 08.04.2024 in O.A.No.426/2024 and O.A.444/2024 &amp; O.A.440/2024. Under such circumstances, without going into merit as the Applicants have approached this Tribunal and other Applicants in O.A.No.426/2024 and O.A.444/2024 are already allowed to appear for 'Interview' scheduled on 30.04.2024, we pass this order on the same line and allow present Applicants to appear for 'Interview' scheduled on 30.04.2024 subject to outcome of this O.A. and without claiming any equity.</p> <p>7. We also direct learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC to report this order to the MPSC for necessary compliance.</p> <p>8. The MPSC is therefore directed to take necessary steps giving intimation to Applicants in O.A. No.440/2024 and OA No.587/2024 about Interview to be held on 30.04.2024.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 21.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 26.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.569 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Zendage &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S Dere holding for Shri S. A. Ambulkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants through Video Conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicants are challenging the 'Provisional Selection List' of the Sub-Registrar (SR) and State Tax Inspector (STI) pursuant to Advertisement No.070/2023 dated 15.09.2023 of the Maharashtra Non-Gazetted Gr-B Services Main Examination 2023. In the said publish lists, the Applicants are not considered for any of the posts.</p> <p>3. The office of the MPSC is served. However, none present on behalf of MPSC.</p> <p>4. We direct MPSC to remain present on next date and explain why MPSC which used to conduct common 'Preliminary Examination', but separate 'Main Examination' for the Maharashtra Non-Gazetted Gr-B Services for the posts of Assistant Section Officer (ASO), State Tax Inspector (STI) and Police Sub Inspector (PSI) but now as per combined Advertisement No.001/2023 of Maharashtra Non-Gazetted Gr-B Services Main Examination 2023, dated 20.01.2023 for the posts of Sub-Registrar (SR), the State Tax Inspector (STI), the Assistant Section Officer (ASO) and the Police Sub-Inspector (PSI) but conducted combined Main-Examination No.070/2023 dated 15.09.2023 of Maharashtra Non-Gazetted Gr-B Services Main Examination 2023.</p> <p>5. The 'OSD (Legal)' is directed to personally contact to 'Shri Rajnish Seth, Chairperson, MPSC' to convey why 'Special Counsels' are not being appointed to represent matters on time relating to MPSC.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

6. The Learned Counsel for Applicants submits that Affidavit of Service is placed on record and copy is served to Respondents.

7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.04.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 29.04.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson