

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.892 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. KharatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order passed by the Tribunal on 25.04.2022, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 25.04.2022 on behalf of Respondent No.1, through Ms. Swati M. Nanal, Deputy Secretary, office of Additional Chief Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, Mantralaya. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant. The said affidavit is in respect of G.R. dated 23.02.2022. Paragraph No.5 of the said affidavit reads as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"5. In view of above, I say that the benefit of said G.R. is not applicable to the candidates appointed in Government service and the candidates selected in Government service on the basis of bogus Sports Certificate Verification Report. I further say that the said G.R. will be applicable from the date of issuance of the said G.R. i.e. from 23.2.2022."</i></p> <p>In view of this affidavit as the benefit of the said G.R. is not extended to the present applicant, hence, we will proceed with the matter.</p> <p>3. Investigating Officer Mr. Manoj Shinde, A.P.I. Crime Branch, Aurangabad has informed that he is unable to attend the Tribunal today as he is scheduled to give evidence before the Sessions Court. We direct learned C.P.O. to inform us as to when Mr. Manoj Shinde can appear before this Tribunal during the course of the day.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Further learned C.P.O. informs that so far as Mr. Nitin Kareer then President of the Maharashtra Amateur Trampoline Association Regd. Affiliated to Trampoline Federation of India, is concerned he not available to file the affidavit as he is on leave from 18.04.2022 to 02.05.2022.</p> <p>5. Investigating Officer Mr. Manoj Shinde, A.P.I. Crime Branch, Aurangabad is hereby directed to produce the original certificate of all the three candidates i.e. Mr. R.R. Rathod (O.A.No.643/2021), Mr. R.B. Jaybhay (O.A.No.645/2021) and Mr. S.B. Kharat (O.A.No.892/2021).</p> <p>6. Learned C.P.O. produces the copy of FIR in all the three matters. Copy of FIR is taken on record because we want to ascertain as to offence is registered against whom and when and under what Sections.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 27.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.04.2022</u></p> <p>M.A 255/2022 in O.A 892/2021 with M.A 256/2022 in O.A 643/2021 with M.A 252/2022 in O.A 645/2021</p> <p>S.B Kharat R.R Rathod R.B Jaybhay ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhi Ayyappan, i/b Shri S.B Talekar with Shri A.B Chalak , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above three Misc Applications is moved by the applicants to cross examine Dr Nitin Kareer, the then President and Shri R.R Pathaniya, then General Secretary, Maharashtra Amateur Trampoline Association in case they deny the signature on the Sports Certificates issued by them. Further it is prayed that the said Certificates are to be sent to the handwriting experts in one of the Government Forensic Science Laboratories.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O opposed the applications on the ground that the Dr Nitin Kareer and Shri R.R Pathaniya are not examined before the Tribunal or the Appellate Authority and also opposes sending these two Certificates to the hand writing experts in one of the Government Forensic Science Laboratories.</p> <p>4. Considered the submissions and the grounds mentioned in the Misc Applications.</p> <p>5. Regarding prayer (a), cross examining two persons, namely Dr Nitin Kareer, the then President and Shri R.R Pathaniya, then General Secretary, Maharashtra Amateur Trampoline Association, are baseless because they have never been put in the witness box and their Examination-in-chief is not on record. The procedure of the Evidence Act is required to be followed to appreciate such request of cross examination. Therefore, prayer (a) is hereby rejected.</p> <p>6. Regarding prayer (b), request of sending the signature of Dr Nitin Kareer and Mr R.R Pathaniya also cannot be entertained mainly on the ground that the Tribunal does not want to sit and go into such depth of fact finding issue, though the Tribunal has powers under Section 22 of the Administrative Tribunals Act to adopt its own procedure in order to arrive at the legality and the truth, on the basis of the material placed before the first and the second Appellate Authority. The signature also can be verified by naked eyes. Moreover, the science of handwriting is not a perfect science.</p> <p>7. Hence, all the Misc Applications are dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 169/2022</u></p> <p>S.S Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that the Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the provisional Select List and further direct Respondent no. 2 to prepare the select list as per the merit for the VJ-A Earthquake Affected category.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has secure 122 marks in VJ(A) Earthquake affected category and the other candidate who is shown as selected has secured 103 marks in VJ(A) Earthquake Affected category.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that his Non Creamy Layer Certificate of the relevant year was not submitted within the stipulated period. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he seeks interim relief.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O opposes grant of interim relief as the names of the selected candidates are declared and the other candidate is not made party-Respondent in this Original Application.</p> <p>6. Considered the submissions of both the parties. We are not inclined to grant interim relief as prayed for by the learned counsel for the applicant. However, his limited relief can be granted that the appointment or selection will be made, that will be subject to the outcome of the present Original Application. The persons who is selected and going to be appointed in the post reserved for VJ(A) Earthquake Affected category is also be made party Respondent in the present O.A so that it will be made clear to him by the Respondents.</p> <p>7. S.O to 15.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 26.04.2022
	<p align="center">M.A. No.556 of 2021 in O.A. No.984 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. GopaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Perused contents of Application and Reply of Respondents. The Delay is stated to be of two years and one month. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. It is submitted on behalf of the Applicant that he has a strong case on merits, he had filed representation before the authorities which went un-heeded, the order which the Applicant desires to impugn in the Original Application seeks to recover huge amount from him on the ground of alleged overstay in the Government quarters, the situation of Pandemic also contributed greatly to the delay, if delay is condoned no third party interest would be adversely affected and for all these reasons delay deserves to be condoned so that the Original Application can be heard on merits and the matter will be decided fully and finally. In their Reply the Respondents referred to various factual contentions raised by the Applicant. For the present the question is limited i.e. whether or not delay caused in filing the Original Application should be condoned. According to the learned P.O. making repeated representations would not arrest run of the period of limitation and hence instant application deserves to be rejected. <i>[Signature]</i> have considered rival submissions in the light of record of the case. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The Applicant is relied on "Union of India and Ors. v/s. Tarsem Singh, 2008 (4) SCT 19 (SC)". In this case at Para 5 it is held as under:-

"5. To summarise, normally, a belated service related claim will be rejected on the ground of delay and laches (where remedy is sought by filing a writ petition) or limitation (where remedy is sought by an application to the Administrative Tribunal). One of the exceptions to the said rule is cases relating to a continuing wrong. Where a service related claim is based on a continuing wrong, relief can be granted even if there is a long delay in seeking remedy, with reference to the date on which the continuing wrong commenced, if such continuing wrong creates a continuing source of injury. But there is an exception to the exception. If the grievance is in respect of any order or administrative decision which related to or affected several others also, and if the re-opening of the issue would affect the settled rights of third parties, then the claim will not be entertained. For example, if the issue relates to payment or re-fixation of pay or pension, relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of third parties. But if the claim involved issues relating to seniority or promotion etc., affecting others, delay would render the claim stale and doctrine of laches/limitation will be applied. In so far as the consequential relief of recovery of arrears for a past period, the principles relating to recurring/successive wrongs will apply. As a consequence, High Courts will restrict the consequential relief relating to arrears normally to a period of three years prior to the date of filing of the writ petition."

The afore-quoted observations fully support the contentions of the Applicant.


9. I have therefore come to the conclusion that the Application deserved to be allowed. Hence, the Order.

Order

Misc. Application No.556/2021 is allowed. Delay stands Condoned.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.984 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. GopaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 08.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.131/2022

Shri P.P. Mahajan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shraddha Raut holding for Shri A.S. Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is listed for permission to carry out amendment which was to be incorporated in view of order passed in M.A.No.155/2022 which was allowed on 29.30.2022.
3. M.A. was filed to add additional grounds to challenge suspension order as well as to correct prayer clause. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant incorporated the amendment in prayer clause only, though permission was granted to add additional grounds by way of amendment. Therefore, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to incorporate the amendment.
4. Allowed to incorporate the grounds in O.A. and amendment be carried out within three days from today.
5. The amended copy of O.A. be served upon the Respondents afresh.
6. Matter is already adjourned to 10.06.2022 for filing reply of Respondents to O.A. as well as amendment to O.A.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
26.04.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.737/2020

Shri S.R. Gaud ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel with Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Today, matter was fixed for filing M.A. to amend O.A. so as to challenge the subsequent order dated 14.06.2021 whereby another period from 15.09.2020 to 08.11.2020 has been treated as break in service.
3. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has no instructions from the client about the subsequent order dated 14.06.2021.
4. Since learned Advocate for the Applicant do not want to amend O.A, it needs to be heard as it is.
5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for adjourned beyond vacation.
6. Adjourned to 14th June, 2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
26.04.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.153 of 2022</p> <p>R.G. SaindaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted till returnable date for filing Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3 as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ← (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.04.2022

O.A. No.379 of 2022

A.S. JaiswalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.465 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is detached from the group in which it was attached so far. The matters in the group are heard and closed for orders.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.566 of 2021 in O.A. No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Perused contents of Application and record.</p> <p>2. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The delay is stated to be of about one year and 10 months. It is the contention of the Applicant that in fact he is seeking redressal of what can be legitimately described as a continuous wrong because an error has been made in fixing the amount of pension payable to him. The correctness of this submission is borne out by the record. The Applicant has relied on the judgment dated 13.08.2008 passed by the <i>Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.5151 - 5152 of 2008</i>. In this case it is observed -</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"5. To summarise, normally, a belated service related claim will be rejected on the ground of delay and laches (where remedy is sought by filing a writ petition) or limitation (where remedy is sought by an application to the Administrative Tribunal). One of the exceptions to the said rule is cases relating to a continuing wrong. Where a service related claim is based on a continuing wrong, relief can be granted even if there is a long delay in seeking remedy, with reference to the date on which the continuing wrong commenced, if such continuing wrong creates a continuing source of injury. But there is an exception to the exception. If the grievance is in respect of any order or administrative decision which</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

related to or affected several others also, and if the re-opening of the issue would affect the settled rights of third parties, then the claim will not be entertained."

4. It is settled legal position that in matters of condonation of delay liberal approach should be adopted so that the matter can be decided on merits fully and finally.

5. Considering this legal position, facts of the case, extent of delay and the reasons furnished for its condonation. I have come to the conclusion that the Application deserves to be allowed. Hence the order.

Order

Misc. Application is allowed. Delay is Condoned.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.344 of 2022 with O.A.No.327 of 2022</p> <p>A.K. Gosavi & Ors. (O.A.344/2022) S.N. Solanki & Ors. (O.A.327/2022)....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed in O.A.No.344/2022. Reply is already filed in O.A.No.327/2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.04.2022 for hearing.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.614 of 2016</p> <p>S.K. ManthalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar submits that on the dismissal board of today, O.A. No.93/2017, O.A.No.218/2018 with M.A.No.495 /2019, O.A.No.355/2018 and O.A.No.782/2018 are not in respect of 'Summary Dismissal', hence they are to be taken out from the list of caption 'Dismissal', whereas O.A.No.614/2016 being 'Summary Dismissal' matter is correctly shown under the caption of 'Dismissal'.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate O.A.No.614/2016 adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.614 of 2014 with O.A.No.938 of 2016</p> <p>D.E. Hirde (O.A.614/2014) V.V. Chavan & Ors. (O.A.938/2016)Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4. 2. Matter called out, learned Advocate Mr. A.A. Desai appearing for the Applicant in O.A.No.614 /2014 and learned Advocate Mr. S.S. Dere, appearing for the Applicant in O.A.No.938/2016 and for the private Respondents No.3, 4 & 5 in O.A.No.614/ are absent. 3. Matter was specifically fixed today with their consent for answering queries of the Tribunal. However, matter is kept back. 4. Later on learned Advocate Mr. Dere appears. He submits that G.R. of 2001 is not applicable to the present matter. He further submits that he did not find any law on the point of ceased to be surplus. 5. Learned Advocate Mr. Desai is not present. Applicant Mr. Hirde in O.A.No.614/2014 is also not present. However, Mr. Hirde has not given any application. Mr. Hirde, party in person had requested the Tribunal to keep the matter on 02.05.2022 as he wants to argue the matter in-person. However he was directed to place the application on record that he wants to discontinue Mr. Desai, which is not placed today. Matter fixed on 02.05.2022. 6. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere wants to submit the copy of judgment of Punjab and Haryana High Court on the point of not challenging the initial appointment (para 22). It be taken on record. He is also directed to email the copy of the said judgment to Mr. Desai and also Applicant Mr. Hirde. 7. Adjourned to 02.05.2022 to meet this ruling. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.383 of 2022</p> <p>A.G. Tainak & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel seeks seniority on the basis of marks he secured in the written examination and the training as per letter dated 02.01.2020 and which is applicable to all the Range Forest Officer and Assistant Conservator of Forest. He further states that there are two similar matters i.e. O.A.No.862/2019 with C.A.No.13/2020 decided on 06.02.2020 by M.A.T Bench Nagpur and O.A.No.57/2020 with M.A.No.210/2020 decided on 17.11.2020 by M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad which are based on the letter dated 02.01.2020 (page 165).</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions on this basis.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.988 of 2019</p> <p>V.Y. MokashiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Reply to the amended portion of O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.272 of 2022</p> <p>R.G. SanadiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None for the Applicant. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Reply. 4. S.O. to 07.06.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A.E. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.151 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Lendave & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K.D. Likhar since deceased through her heir & L.R. Mr. D.D. LikherApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.04.2022

O.A. No.373 of 2022

C.M. KadamApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 08.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar) ✓
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.363 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. TokeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 26.04.2022

O.A. No.351 of 2022

P.S. PandaApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 07.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.369 of 2022</p> <p>R.N. ManeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.06.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 22.06.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.368 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. KasbeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 22.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.364 of 2022</p> <p>D.A. LalgeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks directions that Respondent No.1 should decision on reports dated 13.02.2020, 12.03.2020 and 02.03.2021 which is submitted by Respondent No.2 to Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. In view of above we direct Respondent No.1 to take the decision on the said reports by 25.06.2022 and communicate the same to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>4. With above directions, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.154 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter fixed at 2.30 p.m. on today for clarification from the Desk Office No.28 of G.A.D. regarding G.R. dated 16.04.1981 and 14.05.1986.</p> <p>3. Today at 2.30 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.393 of 2013 with O.A.No.394 of 2013 with O.A.No.395 of 2013</p> <p>K.R. Shelke (O.A.393/2013) D.S. Salve (O.A.394/2013) R.V. Bansode (O.A.395/2013)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Reema Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents informs she will file affidavit-in-reply in all the three O.As. during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.05.2022 for hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.770 of 2020 with O.A.No.663 of 2020 with O.A.No.351 of 2021</p> <p>R.R. Kalaskar (O.A.770/2020) R.S. Yadav (O.A.663/2020) U.S. Koli (O.A.351/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.770 /2020 and O.A.No.351/2021, Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.663 /2020 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 28.06.2022. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.32 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Palve & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is filed by Respondent No.3, Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. However, reply of remaining Respondents is yet to file.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.381 of 2022</p> <p>P.G. DalviApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 28.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.370 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. IngleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.06.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 22.06.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medhã Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.929 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. M.S. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed by learned C.P.O. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 15.06.2022 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.245 of 2021</p> <p>J.S. SatheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 14.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.244 of 2022 in O.A.No.383 of 2022</p> <p>A.G. Tainak & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>