

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 26/4/16 0/13</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : V.V. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 9/6/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B76</p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.584 of 2015 in O.A.No.1036 of 2015 with M.A.No.585 of 2015 in O.A.No.1037 of 2015 with M.A.No.626 of 2015 in O.A.No.1115 of 2015 with O.A.No.25 of 2016 with O.A.No.26 of 2016 with O.A.No.27 of 2016</p> <p>5.K. Baravkar (M.A.584/15 IN O.A.1036/15) L.G. Nevase (M.A.585/15 in O.A.1037/15 S.M. Khaire & Ors. (M.A.626/15 in O.A.1115/15 L.D. Randhir & Ors. (O.A.25/16) P.K. Jadhav (O.A.26/16) R.S. Paigude & Ors. (O.A.27/16) Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicants Shri V.V. Joshi, adjourned to 09.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice K. S. Gaikwad (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>24/6/16</u>..... <i>BH</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.134 of 2015 in O.A.NO.406 of 2014</p> <p>Shri Sukhdeo Sadashio Naik ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Shri K.B. Bakshi & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit affirmed by Shri K.B. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department. It is taken on record. 3. Perusal of affidavit it reveals that the circular is issued by Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department on 22.04.2016. The urge and effort revealing therefrom is appreciable. However, it does not appear that any particular officer is made responsible for monitoring the compliance of the order of Tribunal and for bringing to the notice of Head of the Department, the delay and / or failure to comply with the order within time limit prescribed or within reasonable time. 4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that this aspect would be brought to the notice of Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department and the response would be reported. 5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents. 6. S.O. to 24.06.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

+O.A.75/2015

**Shri Dr. S.M. Dhoke ... Applicant
Versus
The Dist. Civil Surgeon,
Thane & 4 Ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This Tribunal has passed a detailed order on 29.3.2016 directing the Principal Secretary, Cooperation Department to file a detailed Affidavit. However, no such Affidavit has been filed. Cost of Rs.5,000/- is imposed on the Principal Secretary.

Affidavit has been filed on behalf of the Respondent No.3.

The case is adjourned to 14th June, 2015. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
26.04.2016

(skw)

DATE: 26/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G.M. Savagave

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~order passed in the
Tribunal's column.~~

~~Adj. To~~

~~S.O. to 14/6/16.~~

Hamdast.
Reply filed by A.No.3. [Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.04.2016.

C.A.No.53 of 2014 in O.A.No.44 of 2009 with
C.A.No.54 of 2014 in O.A.No.173 of 2009 with
C.A.No.55 of 2014 in O.A.No.54 of 2010

Shri R.G. Joshi (C.A.No.53 /2014 in O.A.No.44 / 2009)
Shri S.B. Mahadik (C.A.No.54/2014 in O.A.No.173/2009)
Shri S.N. Pitke & Ors. (C.A.No.55 / 2014 in O.A.54 / 2010)
...Applicants

Vs.

Shri Sanjay Kumar, The Secretary,
Vocational Education & Training & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned C.P.O. for the Respondents are agreed. The affidavits which are already on record are filed with reference to contents of the application as it was filed initially.

3. Fresh affidavit will have to be filed to substitute the application.

4. In this application, the affidavit of State affirmed on 18.12.2014, 1.1.2015 and 4.3.2016 all are struck off. All these affidavits be removed from 1st part and be preserved in second part.

5. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time to answer the substituted application.

6. Time as prayed is granted.

7. S.O. to 10.6.2016.

DATE: 26/4/16 OIB

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 10/6/2016

BTE

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi) Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.04.2016.

O.A.No.902 of 2015

Shri Nandkishore Govind Kondhalkar ...Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard party in person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

The copy served on the P.O. is not complete, some pages are missing.

3. The party in person is present. He states that he shall supply the pages which are not served on the P.O. within one week.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for five weeks time for filing reply.

5. Time as prayed for is granted.

6. S.O. to 11.07.2016.

DATE: 26/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Appl in person present

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A. S. Gohad

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....11/7/2016.....

BJE

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi/J.)
Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/4/16</u> <u>DIB</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Head of Bench: <u>A.H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Head of Bench: <u>A.H. Joshi</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>20/6/16</u></p> <p><u>HT</u></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.89 of 2015 in O.A.No.1091 of 2010</p> <p>Shri Vilas Dattatray Patil ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Shri Manukumar Shrivastav, Secretary, Revenue Department & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>All compliance are done. The Applicant shall start getting the pension from the month of May, 2016.</p> <p>3. In view of this statement, for reporting compliance, adjourned to 20.06.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, <u>Joshi</u> Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/16</u> <u>DLB</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice S. A. B. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Nr. <u>S. S. Dere holding As</u> <u>A.V. Bardiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicants Shri/Nr. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>16/6/16</u></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.116 of 2015 in O.A.No.431 of 2014</p> <p>Shri Rakesh Vasant Salunke & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs. Shri Vijay Singhal, & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, holding for Shri A.V. Bardiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that Shri Vijay Singhal, Commissioner of State Excise, Shri P.H. Wagashe, Jt. Secretary, Home (State Excise) and Shri Arjun Ohal, Deputy Commissionere, States Excise has come to instruct.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit in reply affirmed by Shri Vijay Jagdishprasad Singhal the Contemnor No.1. It is taken on record, apart from the explanation in attempt to give, it contents a statement as follows:-</p> <p>I have taken instructions from Respondent No.2 in respect of compliance of the order and on instructions from Respondent No.2, I state that order of this Hon'ble Tribunal passed in O.A.No.431 of 2014 will be complined with within two weeks from today.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement, the order passed by this Tribunal on 22.04.2016 taking cognizance of contempt shall continue to remain in abeyance, till next date.</p> <p>4. For reporting compliance, adjourned to 16.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.04.2016.

D.A.No.303 of 2016

S.G. Yewale

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. It is seen that notices is not issued to the Respondents.
3. Issue notice returnable on 14.06.2016.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad waives services for all Respondents except Respondent No.2.
9. S.O. to 14.06.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>26/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri K. B. Bhise (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Sgt. <u>V.V. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicants, Shri/Sgt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>9/6/16.</u></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.295 of 2016</p> <p>A.K. Randive Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice to Respondent No.5 returnable on 09.06.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Respondent No.5 shall, unless there be any legal impediment, issue necessary No Objection Certificate required to issued furtherance to letter dated 04.01.2016, (copy whereof is enclosed at page 32, A-16 of the O.A. paper book). 8. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. 9. S.O. to 09.06.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.J. 16/04/16) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice M. Ramasubramanian (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>J.N. Kamble Id. Adv. holding</u></p> <p><u>A.H. Joshi</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>27/6/16</u></p> <p><i>H. Joshi</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.242 of 2016</p> <p>A.D. Raste Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.M. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 25.06.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 25.06.2016.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, <i>H. Joshi</i>) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.04.2016.

O.A.No.307 of 2016

B.R. Khedkar Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.R. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.A: Masal, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.7.

2. Learned Advocate Ms. Shradha Dudhale holding for learned Advocate Shri Shivaji Masal appearing for Respondent No.7 has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate Ms. Shradha Dudhale prays for time to address.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri A.J. Chougule prays for time for filing reply.

5. Time as prayed for is granted to both.

6. Adjourned to 01.08.2016.

DATE: 26/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.R. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ms. Shradha Dudhale holding for adv. SA.

Masal for R. 7

Adj. To 11/8/16.

Handwritten initials

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.04.2016.

O.A.No.901 of 2015

Shri Nandkishore Govind Kondhalkar ...Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard party in person and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply on the ground that instructions are received to the effect that further time be prayed to prepare para-wise remarks.

3. In view of the request, time is granted.

4. S.O. to 12.07.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

Sba

DATE: 26/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri N. K. Rajpurohit (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit in person present

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./F.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 12/7/16.

Sd/-

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 26.04.2016.

O.A.No.161 of 2016

K.H. Pimple ... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that time is required for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Respondents are put to notice that reply should specifically deal with the matter as to how Applicant's cause is distinguishable from the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, copy whereof is placed on record at Exhibit-B, from pages 17 to 37 of the O.A. paper book.

4. S.O. 17.06.2016.

DATE: 26/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshwar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./ F.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 17/6/2016

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.04.2016.

O.A.No.314 of 2016

R.S. Patil Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Shri N.K. Rajpurohit states that time is required for filing affidavit-in-reply because para-wise remarks are send for approval to the Government of Maharashtra.

3. It is seen that Government is the formal party and order is based on the proposal sent by the Chief Conservator of Forest (T), Kolhapur and is accepted by the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur.

4. Reply, if any, ought to be filed by Respondent No.2. Therefore, by way of last chance one week's time is granted for reply from Respondent No.2.

5. Record be kept ready for inspection by the Applicant one day before the date of hearing.

6. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..

7. S.O. to 05.05.2016.

DATE: 26/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kanoshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 26/4/16. Steno copy &

Hamdast allowed.

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 30/6/16</p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.9 of 2016</p> <p>S.R.S. Munir Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri C.T. Chandratre prays for time to address.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

versus

Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....)

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1100/2015

Shri V.S. Rane

... Applicant

Versus

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. Lata Patne, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Ms. Patne, learned Advocate for the Applicant files Affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record. OA is admitted. The Respondents will file Sur-rejoinder, if need be.

S.O. to 14th June, 2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice-Chairman

26.04.2016

(skw)