

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.367/2019
(ArvindSulakheVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents is filed.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit in rejoinder.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances, this case is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission.

5. S.O. to 15-07-2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.252/2020
(HarikishanJadhavVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant, ShriI.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.4 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for respondent nos.1 to 3.

2. Record reveals that that the matter is awaited for status report in respect of compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 16-02-2021.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent nos.1 to 3 has placed on record letters dated 14-06-2021 and 24-06-2021 addressed to the respondent no.3 by the respondent no.2 to comply with the order in question. Those are marked as document-X-3 and X-4, respectively. Respondent no.3, however, did not respond to these communications. In view of the same, prima facie, it seems that the respondent no.2 has failed to comply with order of the Tribunal as well as the order of his superior i.e. respondent no.2.

4. Record further shows that the affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent no.4. No affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. In view of the

=2=

O.A.No.252/2020

same, it is evident that inconvenience is caused in administering justice in this matter because of the non-response of the respondent no.3.

5. Respondent no.3 is therefore directed to remain present before this Tribunal to explain the things personally, failing which appropriate action will be taken against the respondent no.3.

6. S.O. to 13-07-2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.453/2020
(BhatuMahaleVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant places on record additional documents in order to support the O.A. Permission is granted to produce the same on record.

3. S.O. to 19-07-2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.134/2021
(Smt. RupaliChouguleVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi learned Advocate holding for Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit in reply placed on record on behalf of the respondent is taken on record.

3. On the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, **S.O. to 20-07-2021** for filing rejoinder, if any. Liberty is granted to the applicant to file affidavit in rejoinder before due date.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.131/20 IN O.A.ST.NO.375/2020
(ArunaLahurikarVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sachin Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. During the course of arguments learned Advocate for the applicant sought to produce two documents purportedly written by the respondent no.3 to the Dean, Government Medical College, Aurangabad in respect of illness of the husband of the applicant. The applicant is allowed to produce those documents on record in the O.A.

3. S.O. to 22-07-2021.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.166/2021 WITH O.A.NO.117/2021
(SudhirTambeVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Gaikwad Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 15.07.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and

=2=

M.A.NO.166/2021 WITH O.A.NO.117/2021

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 15.07.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 25.06.2021

O.A.NOS.204/2019, 238/2019 & 239/2019
(Dr. BhagwatKhadke&Ors.Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective matters and Shri S.V. Deshmukh learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 in O.A.No.238/2019.

2. Reply is filed on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 in all the matters. Reply is also filed by the Zilla Parishad in O.A.No.238/2019.

3. Pleadings are complete. S.O. to 26-07-2021 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 12/2021 in O.A. No. 02/2019
(Tukaram R. BhojaneVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriV.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriS.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is working on his present posting at Paithan since 16.08.2014. He further submits that as per the order dated 26.06.2019 passed in O.A. No. 02/2019 filed by him, the applicant has made representation dated 06.01.2020 for request transfer at Aurangabad in Government Medical College and Hospital. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that nothing is communicated about the said representation dated 06.01.2020. In order dated 26.06.2019 passed in O.A. No. 02/2019, it is specifically mentioned that in case applicant's request is declined, applicant shall be specifically informed such decision in writing.

3. In view of the same, at this stage, the learned P.O. for the respondent to seek instructions as regards

//2//

C.P. 12/2021 in
O.A. 02/2019

the stage of said pending representation of the applicant dated 06.01.2020 and place the same on record by the next date.

4. S.O. to 13.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 25.06.2021

MEMBER (J)

C.P. 20/2017 in O.A. 718/1998

(S.S. Gaikwad&Ors.Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

WITH

C.P. 21/2017 in O.A. 1203/1999

(Ravji K. Bandre&Ors.Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

WITH

C.P. 22/2017 in O.A. 717/1998

(S.V. Sonar through Lrs. Sumati Sonar &Ors.Vs. State of Mah.&Ors.)

WITH

C.P. 25/2017 in O.A. 525/2002

(N.S. Shinde through Lrs. S.S. ShindeVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriM.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and ShriS.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respective Respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that in other similar matters orders were complied with by the respondents and concerned Contempt Petitions have been disposed of. He submits that respondents will take necessary steps to comply the orders in the present matters also and will report progress by the next date.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445 OF 2019
(Mangal P. Musande&Ors.Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

ShriVishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicants(**Absent**). Heard ShriV.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.ShriSachinDeshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 (**Absent**).

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on record by the respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 446 OF 2019
(Shridevi G. Dama&Ors.Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

ShriVishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicants(**Absent**). Heard ShriV.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.ShriSachinDeshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos.4&5(**Absent**).

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on record by the respondent Nos. 4& 5.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 19/2019 in O.A. No. 226/2016
(Shivram N. DhapateVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriP.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriB.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on record by the respondent No. 2.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 23.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 25.06.2021

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. 116/2021 with M.A. 237/2019 in O.A. 42/2014
(State of Maharashtra &Ors.Vs. Smt. Surekha B. Andhale&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmku, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in the present M.A. (Respondents in O.A.) and ShriS.R. Shirsath, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, for the Respondents (applicants in O.A.)

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in O.A. 42/2014. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for advancing further arguments after going through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents.

4. Hence, S.O. to 29.06.2021 for further hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 49/2019 in O.A. No. 560/2018
(Dr. Omprakash G. KanadeVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriShamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriV.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As per order dated 05.12.2018 passed in O.A. No. 560/2018 filed by the applicant, the impugned communication dated 23.01.2018 refusing the voluntary retirement was quashed and set aside and the respondents were directed to accept the voluntary retirement submitted by the applicant through the notice dated 01.12.2016 and consequential orders be issued within a period of 30 days from the date of the order. It is the case of the applicant that the said order is not complied with by the respondents and hence, he has filed the present C.P.

3. Today, learned P.O. for the respondents has placed on record a copy of Government Order dated 23.01.2020, whereby the voluntary retirement of the applicant as per direction given by this Tribunal is

//2//

C.P. 49/2019 in
O.A. 560/2018

accepted and concerned department is directed to extend the pensionry benefits to the applicant. Copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

4. In view of the above, when the order in question is complied with by the respondents, nothing remains to be decided in the present C.P. Hence, the C.P. stands disposed of being complied with. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 25.06.2021

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 183 OF 2021
(Satyajeet M. AmbhoreVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.06.2021.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriVishal Bakal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed only on behalf of respondent No. 3.

3. On last date i.e. on 11.06.2021, time was granted to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 as per their request. Today, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is again seeking time for filing affidavit in reply. For that purpose she has placed on record a copy of communication dated 24.06.2021 received from the respondent No. 2. Copy of the said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

4. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that by now 30 appointments have been given on compassionate ground. He produced

//2// O.A. No. 183/2021

the said list, which said to have been obtained under Right to Information Act. The applicant is seeking ad-interim relief in view of prayer clause 20 (F) to the effect that name of the applicant should be inducted in the seniority / waiting list of the candidates seeking appointment on compassionate grounds in par with his mother.

5. In our considered opinion, the said prayer is touching to the main prayer of the present O.A. and therefore, it is desirable to consider the same by seeking affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 at the earliest.

6. In view of the above, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

7. S.O. to 22.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 25.06.2021

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 112/2021 IN O.A.NO. 386/2020
(Ganga S. SuryawanshiVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.C. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of the respondents.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Perusal of O.A. reveals that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 is already on record. In the circumstances we are of the opinion that the O.A. can be taken up for hearing.

5. S.O. to 08.07.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission itself.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 389 OF 2020
(Radhika S. KhareVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 390 OF 2020
(Aashatai P. MetkarVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these OAs (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the OAs, present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 26.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 25.6.2021-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 30 OF 2018
(Rohini S. Deokar Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107 OF 2019
(Manjusha E. Kute (Khade) Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 25.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

O.A. NO. 30/2018

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

O.A. NO. 107/2019

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 07.07.2021 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2021
(Kishor G. NarwadeVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Puri, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.07.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 269 OF 2021

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 19.07.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 25.6.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285 OF 2021
(Hemant S. PatilVs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriAvinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The question raised in this Original Application is that the respondent without jurisdiction has issued the impugned order of transfer of the applicant dated 18.06.2021. It has come on record that the applicant has been relieved from his post on the date of transfer. The question raised in the matter goes to the root of the matter. In view of the same affidavit in reply of the respondents at the earliest is desirable. For that purpose this matter is expedited and at the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer time is granted up to 02.07.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 02.07.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2021
(Dattatrya M. More Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 25.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application has been filed by the applicant for quashing and setting aside the impugned order dated 07.05.2021 directing the excess recovery from the applicant. The applicant is working on the post of Forester, which falls under Class-III category. The applicant seeks interim relief for stay the recovery. Perusal of the impugned order dated 07.05.2021 (Annexure 'A-4', page-17 of the paper book) would show that recovery of excess payment if any is ordered. Learned Advocate for the applicant pointed out to me Annexure 'A-5', which is salary slip of the applicant from the month of March, 2021, April, 2021 & May, 2021. Upon perusal of these three pay slips it appears that from March, 2021 the basic salary of Rs. 35,300/- is paid to the applicant; whereas the basic salary paid to the applicant for the month of May, 2021 is 32,300/-. In view of that the applicant assumes that it is recovery pursuant to the impugned order dated 07.05.2021. Learned Advocate for the applicant is seeking

interim relief by way of stay to the impugned order of recovery relying upon the case law of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ETC. VS. RAFIQ MASIH (WHITE WASHER) ETC. in Civil Appeal No. 11527/2014** decided on December 18, 2014. As per the observations made in paragraph No. 12 of the said case law recovery from employees belonging to Class-III and Class-IV service or Group 'C' and Group 'D' and others are banned where payments have mistakenly been made by the employer. However, considering the salary slip for the month of May, 2021, it is not clear that this will be recovery pursuant to order dated 07.05.2021. Even order dated 07.05.2021 does not say that recovery is due. In view of the same, at this stage, I am keeping open the point of interim relief and allowed the respondents to file affidavit in reply.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 16.07.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case

//3//

O.A. No. 291/2021

would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 16.07.2021.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 25.6.2021-HDD

Date : 25.06.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.272/2021

(Jayawant Bhangare V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble
Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri V.B. Wagh Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 22.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 25.06.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.266/2021

(Smt. Laxmi Dhotre V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble
Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri V.B. Wagh Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 22.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR