

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.1088 of 2019</p> <p>D. U. Sawant & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Drs. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents. 2. In terms of order dated 14.11.2019 the Tribunal has directed Respondent No.2 to take decision on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police for retention of quarter within two weeks. 3. Learned P.O. submits that no such decision is yet taken and again requested for time. 4. The matter in issue pertains to continuation of retention of quarter of 16 Police Constables. Some of the Applicants are appointed on compassionate ground and some are appointed through recruitment process but all are in possession of quarters allotted to the original employee. It is, therefore, necessary for the Respondent No.2 to take decision on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police at the earliest. 5. On request of learned P.O., one week's time is granted to take decision on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police. 6. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Commissioner himself is Competent Authority to take decision and there was no requirement to refer the matter to the Government. 7. If the Respondent No.1. i.e. Commissioner is empowered to do so, he should take decision within a week from today. 8. S.O. to 02.12.2019. 9. Hamdast granted. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.1118 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for grant of interest on various delayed payment of GPF, GIS, Gratuity etc. He has made various representations to the Respondent No.1, who in turn made reference to Respondent No.2. However, no decision is taken. Therefore, Applicant has approached this Tribunal. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.01.2020. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 02.01.2020.</p> <p>10. In meantime, the Respondents shall take appropriate decision on the representations made by the Applicant and shall apprise the Tribunal.</p> <p>11. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.81 of 2019 in O.A.No.153 of 2019</p> <p>S. R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A. It is taken on record.3. M.A.No.81/2019 along with other connected M.A. be kept for hearing.4. In the meantime, if reply is filed in M.A.No.109/2019 in O.A.200/2019 and M.A.No.110/2010 in O.a.201/2019, it be taken on record.5. S.O. to 02.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Date : 25.11.2019.

O.A.1088 of 2019

D. U. Sawant & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, U. V. Bhosale and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order dated 14.11.2019 the Tribunal has directed Respondent No.2 to take decision on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police for retention of quarter within two weeks.

3. Learned P.O. submits that no such decision is yet taken and again requested for time.

4. The matter in issue pertains to continuation of retention of quarter of 16 Police Constables. Some of the Applicants are appointed on compassionate ground and some are appointed through recruitment process but all are in possession of quarters allotted to the original employee. It is, therefore, necessary for the Respondent No.2 to take decision on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police at the earliest.

5. On request of learned P.O., one week's time is granted to take decision on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police.

6. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Commissioner himself is Competent Authority to take decision and there was no requirement to refer the matter to the Government.

7. If the Respondent No.1. i.e. Commissioner is empowered to do so, he should take decision within a week from today.

8. S.O. to 02.12.2019.

9. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 25.11.2019.

O. A. No.1107 of 2019

Y.V. Mane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 06.01.2020.

Sd/-

Ww
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(j)

vsm

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.636 of 2019 in O.A.No.1117 of 2019</p> <p>V. Y. Bhalerao & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.636/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT
(A.P. Kurhekar)... Applicant/s
Member(J)

(Advocate vsm)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 25.11.2019.

O.A.No.785 of 2019

G. K. Sanap

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. B. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 17.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.S99 of 2019 in O.A.No.862 of 2017 with M.A. No.67 of 2019 in O.A.No.890 of 2017</p> <p>S. N. Moule U. P. UgaleApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Matter is adjourned for hearing. 4. S.O. to 09.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p>R. A. No.14 of 2019 in O.A.No.103 of 2019</p> <p>B. L. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Matter is adjourned for hearing. 4. S.O. to 09.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;"> 7 Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.584, 585, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690 of 2019</p> <p>S. B. Malusare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in all the Original Applications. It is taken on record.3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with connected matters.4. S.O. to 16.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

16/12

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="right">Date : 25.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.405 of 2019 in O.A.No.750 of 2019</p> <p>B. R. LondheApplicant</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p align="center">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A. on behalf of the Respondent No.1. it is taken on record.3. Matter is adjourned for hearing with connected M.A.4. S.O. to 6.12.2019. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

16/12

Date : 25.11.2019.

O.A.No.1117 of 2019

V. Y. Bhalerao & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.01.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 02.01.2020.

11.11.19
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="771 690 933 725"><u>25.11.2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="909 778 1372 814"><u>M.A 346/2018 in O.A 554 /2018</u></p> <p data-bbox="771 858 1429 893">Shri R.R Tarkar & Others ... Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="958 893 1006 924">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="771 924 1453 960">The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="771 982 1510 1061">1. None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1106 1518 1185">2. This Misc Application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 10 years and 4 months.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1238 1518 1318">3. Today during hearing, applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1380 1323 1415">4. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p data-bbox="1356 1513 1429 1548">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1242 1574 1502 1645">(P.N¹ Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p data-bbox="779 1654 820 1681">Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 346/2018 in O.A 554 /2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Tarkar & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Kanade, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he was in Hon'ble High Court and therefore, could not remain present and therefore, the matter may be restored.</p> <p>3. Earlier, the matter was dismissed for want of prosecution. However, in view of the submission made by learned advocate for the applicant, the matter is restored.</p> <p>4. M.A seeking condonation of delay and Original Application would be heard together.</p> <p>5. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>25.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 495/2019 in O.A 218/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.G Lakhat & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits affidavit in sur-rejoinder on behalf of Respondent no. 3. The same is taken record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="769 659 927 692"><u>25.11.2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="1036 747 1235 780"><u>O.A 833/2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="769 858 1409 891">Smt Disha K. Pajai ... Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="954 891 1003 924">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="769 924 1458 957">The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="769 979 1511 1156">1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="769 1201 1511 1278">2. Learned P.O seeks eight weeks' time for filing reply.</p> <p data-bbox="769 1333 1511 1466">3. Learned advocate for the applicant opposes the same. According to him, reply should be filed expeditiously.</p> <p data-bbox="769 1521 1490 1555">4. Adjourned to 19.12.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p data-bbox="1360 1643 1425 1676">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1247 1720 1498 1787">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p data-bbox="776 1798 816 1820">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.842 of 2017</u></p> <p>B.A. Dane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits additional affidavit in support of number of days attended by the applicant. The respondents are directed to file their reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.¹Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.981 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.S. Gargote ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 6.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.876 of 2017 with M.A. No.446 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.R. Sonkavade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rishab Gugale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Advocate Shri Sakolkar is having business in some other court and Advocate Shri Wagh is in Aurangabad. As a result both are unable to come. The matter had already been dismissed and restored at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2019 by way of last chance. No excuses would be permitted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.399 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Korpale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.A. Ingole, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. At her request S.O. to 29.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1058 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.M. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that necessary documents are yet to be procured.</p> <p>3. At his request S.O. to 16.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.727 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.H.K. Inamdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.630 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.M. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the applicant has filed an appeal in the month of June, 2019. However, he has filed this OA in the month of July, 2019. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that he would seek instructions from the applicant and clarify the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.590 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.K. Pajai ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At his request to be heard on 16.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.336 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 & 2 and the same is taken on record. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned for three weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2019 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.465 of 2019 with M.A. No.277 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Mukherjee ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks eight weeks for getting relevant documents and for making amendment in the pleadings in the MA as well as OA. He is permitted to make necessary amendment after documents are available and he takes express approval from this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 13.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 25.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

O.A. No.1110 of 2019

B.R. Sangle ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 6.1.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
25.11.2019

(sgj)

O.A. No.1108 of 2019

V.D. Suryawanshi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 10.1.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N.'Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
25.11.2019

(sgj)